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## Outline

We will study:

- relation between $\mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A}), \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{B})$ and $\mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$.
- relation between $\mathbf{F}_{V(\mathbf{A})}(k) \times \mathbf{F}_{V(\mathbf{B})}(k)$ and $\mathbf{F}_{V(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})}(k)$.
- relation between $V(\mathbf{A}), V(\mathbf{B})$ and $V(\mathbf{A}) \vee V(\mathbf{B})$.


## Term functions on direct products

Question
How do the term functions of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ depend on the term functions of $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ ?

Proposition
Let A, B be similar algebras, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and define

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi: \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) & \longrightarrow \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A}) \times \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{B}) \\
t^{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}} & \longmapsto\left(t^{\mathbf{A}}, t^{\mathbf{B}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\phi$ is a subdirect embedding.
Proposition
A, B from a cp variety, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for all $k$-ary terms $s, t$ :

$$
\left(s^{\mathbf{A}}, t^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(\phi) \Longleftrightarrow V(\mathbf{A}) \cap V(\mathbf{B}) \models s \approx t .
$$

## Disjoint varieties

$\phi: \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A}) \times \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{B})$
$t^{\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}} \longmapsto\left(t^{\mathbf{A}}, t^{\mathbf{B}}\right)$.
If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ are from a cp variety, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(s^{\mathbf{A}}, t^{\mathbf{B}}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(\phi) & \Leftrightarrow \exists u: u^{\mathbf{A}}=s^{\mathbf{A}} \text { and } u^{\mathbf{B}}=t^{\mathbf{B}} \\
& \Leftrightarrow V(\mathbf{A}) \cap V(\mathbf{B}) \models s \approx t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition
$V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are disjoint if $V_{1} \cap V_{2} \models x \approx y$.
Corollary
$\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ from a cp variety, $k \geq 2$. Then $\phi$ is an isomorphism from $\mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$ to $\mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{A}) \times \mathrm{Clo}_{k}(\mathbf{B}) \Longleftrightarrow V(\mathbf{A})$ and $V(\mathbf{B})$ are disjoint.

## History (1955-1969)

Definition [Foster, 1955]
A sequence $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)$ of subvarities of $W$ is independent if there is a term $t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ such that
$\forall i \in[n]: V_{i} \models t\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \approx x_{i}$.
Example [Grätzer, Lakser, Płonka, 1969]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{0} \quad:=\left\{\quad\left(G, f_{0}(x, y)=x \cdot y, f_{1}(x, y)=x \cdot y^{-1}\right) \mid\right. \\
& \text { ( } G, \cdot,^{-1}, 1 \text { ) is a group\} } \\
& V_{1}:=\left\{\quad\left(L, f_{0}(x, y)=x \vee y, f_{1}(x, y)=x \wedge y\right) \mid\right. \\
& (L, \vee, \wedge) \text { is a lattice }\} \text {, } \\
& t(x, y):=\quad f_{1}\left(f_{0}(x, y), y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - } V_{0} \models f_{1}\left(f_{0}(x, y), y\right)=(x \cdot y) \cdot y^{-1} \approx x \text { and } \\
& \text { - } V_{1} \models f_{1}\left(f_{0}(x, y), y\right)=(x \vee y) \wedge y \approx y \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## History (1969)

Theorem [Grätzer, Lakser, Płonka, 1969]
Let $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ be independent subvarieties of $W$. Then every
$\mathbf{A} \in V_{0} \vee V_{1}$ is isomorphic to a direct product $\mathbf{A}_{0} \times \mathbf{A}_{1}$ with $\mathbf{A}_{0} \in V_{0}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{1} \in V_{1}$.

Consequence
Let $V_{0}$ and $V_{1}$ be independent. Then
$\left(V_{0} \vee V_{1}\right)_{S I}=\left(V_{0}\right)_{S I} \cup\left(V_{1}\right)_{S I}$.

## History (1971)

## Theorem [Hu and Kelenson, 1971]

Let $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)$ be a sequence of subvarieties of a cp variety $W$. If for all $i \neq j, V_{i} \cap V_{j} \models x \approx y$ ( $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ are disjoint), then $\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right)$ is independent.
Proof for $n=2$ :

- Goal: construct $t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ with $V_{1} \models t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \approx x_{1}$ and $V_{2} \models t\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \approx x_{2}$.
- $\phi: \mathbf{F}_{V_{1} \vee V_{2}}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_{V_{1}}(x, y) \times \mathbf{F}_{V_{2}}(x, y)$, $t / \sim v_{1} \vee v_{2} \mapsto\left(t / \sim v_{1}, t / \sim v_{2}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \leq_{s d} \mathbf{F}_{V_{1}}(x, y) \times \mathbf{F}_{V_{2}}(x, y)$.
- Fleischer's Lemma yields $\mathbf{D}, \alpha_{1}: \mathbf{F}_{V_{1}}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$, $\alpha_{2}: \mathbf{F}_{V_{2}}(x, y) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ with

$$
\operatorname{Im}(\phi)=\left\{(f, g) \mid \alpha_{1}(f)=\alpha_{2}(g)\right\}
$$

- $|\mathbf{D}|=1$, hence $\phi$ is surjective.
- Thus $\left(x / \sim v_{1}, y / \sim v_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$, which yields $t$.


## History (2004-2013)

Theorem [Jónsson and Tsinakis, 2004]
The join of two independent finitely based varieties is finitely based.

Theorem [Kowalski, Paoli, Ledda, 2013]
Let $V_{1}, V_{2}$ be disjoint subvarieties of $W$. Then $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are independent iff $\exists q(x, y, z): V_{1} \models q(x, x, y) \approx y$ and $V_{2} \models q(x, y, y) \approx x$.

## Product subalgebras

Definition
$\mathbf{C} \leq \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$ is a product subalgebra if $\mathbf{C}=\pi_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{C}) \times \pi_{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{C})$.
Proposition
$\mathbf{C} \leq \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$ is a product subalgebra iff for all $a, b, c, d$ :
$(a, b) \in C$ and $(c, d) \in C \Longrightarrow(a, d) \in C$.
Definition
$\alpha \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F})$ is a product congruence if $\alpha=\beta \times \gamma$ for some
$\beta \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{E})$ and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Con}(\mathbf{F})$.

## Product subalgebras of powers

Theorem [EA and Mayr, 2015]
Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ be algebras in a congruence permutable variety. We assume that

1. all subalgebras of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ are product subalgebras, and
2. for all $\mathbf{E} \leq \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{F} \leq \mathbf{B}$, all congruences of $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$ are product congruences.
Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, all subalgebras of $\mathbf{A}^{m} \times \mathbf{B}^{n}$ are product subalgebras.

## Product subalgebras of powers

Theorem [EA and Mayr, 2015]
Let $k \geq 2$, let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ be algebras in a variety with $k$-edge term.
We assume that

1. for all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r+s \leq \max (2, k-1)$, every subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{r} \times \mathbf{B}^{s}$ is a product subalgebra, and
2. for all $\mathbf{E} \leq \mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{F} \leq \mathbf{B}$, every tolerance of $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$ is a product tolerance.
Then for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, every subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{m} \times \mathbf{B}^{n}$ is a product subalgebra.

## Direct products and independence

Definition
$\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in W$ are independent $: \Longleftrightarrow V(\mathbf{A})$ and $V(\mathbf{B})$ are independent.

## Independence in cp varieties

Proposition (known before 2000)

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be similar algebras.
TFAE:

1. A and $\mathbf{B}$ are independent.
2. For all sets $I, J$ with $|I| \leq|A|^{2}$ and $|J| \leq|B|^{2}$, all subalgebras of $\mathbf{A}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{B}^{J}$ are product subalgebras.
If $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ lie in a cp variety, then these two items are furthermore equivalent to
3. $V(\mathbf{A})$ and $V(\mathbf{B})$ are disjoint.

Theorem (EA, Mayr, 2015)
Let $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$ be finite algebras in a cp variety. TFAE:

1. $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are independent.
2. All subalgebras of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ are product subalgebras, and all congruences of all subalgebras of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ are product congruences.
3. All subalgebras of $\mathbf{A}^{2} \times \mathbf{B}^{2}$ are product subalgebras.
4. $H S\left(\mathbf{A}^{2}\right) \cap H S\left(\mathbf{B}^{2}\right)$ contains only one element algebras.

## Independence for algebras with edge term

Theorem [EA and Mayr, 2015]
Let $k \geq 2$, and let $\mathbf{A}$, $\mathbf{B}$ be finite algebras in a variety with $k$-edge term. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are independent.
2. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r+s \leq \max (2, k-1)$, every subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{r} \times \mathbf{B}^{s}$ is a product subalgebra, and for all $E \leq \mathbf{A}, F \leq \mathbf{B}$, every tolerance of $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$ is a product tolerance.
3. For all $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r+s \leq \max (4, k-1)$, every subalgebra of $\mathbf{A}^{r} \times \mathbf{B}^{s}$ is a product subalgebra.

Example - infinite groups
Let $p, q$ be primes, $p \neq q$,
$\mathbf{A}:=C_{p^{\infty}}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: z^{p^{n}}=1\right\}$, $\mathbf{B}:=C_{q^{\infty}}$. Then all subalgebras of $\mathbf{A}^{m} \times \mathbf{B}^{n}$ are product subalgebras, but $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ are not independent.

## Application to polynomial functions

Theorem
Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be finite algebras in a variety with a 3-edge term, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume that every tolerance of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ is a product tolerance. Let $\psi: \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A}) \times \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{B}) \rightarrow(A \times B)^{(A \times B)^{k}}$ be the mapping defined by

$$
\psi(f, g)\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)\right):=(f(\mathbf{a}), g(\mathbf{b}))
$$

for $f \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A}), g \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{B}), \mathbf{a} \in A^{k}$, and $\mathbf{b} \in B^{k}$. Then $\psi$ is a bijection from $\operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A}) \times \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{B})$ to $\operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$.

## Application to polynomial functions

## Corollary

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be algebras in the variety $V$, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If either

1. $V$ has a majority term, or
2. $V$ is congruence permutable, and every congruence of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ is a product congruence,
then for all polynomial functions $f \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A})$ and $g \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{B})$, there is a polynomial function $h \in \operatorname{Pol}_{k}(\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B})$ with $h\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{k}, b_{k}\right)\right)=(f(\mathbf{a}), g(\mathbf{b}))$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in A^{k}$ and $\mathbf{b} \in B^{k}$.
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