

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Jakub Opršal

Technische Universität Dresden

Novi Sad, June 15, 2017



History

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.
- ▶ Dalmau: *Generalized majority-minority operations are tractable*, 2005.

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.
- ▶ Dalmau: *Generalized majority-minority operations are tractable*, 2005.
- ▶ Berman, Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Varieties with few subalgebras of powers*, 2009.

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.
- ▶ Dalmau: *Generalized majority-minority operations are tractable*, 2005.
- ▶ Berman, Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Varieties with few subalgebras of powers*, 2009.
- ▶ Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Tractability and learnability arising from algebras with few subpowers*, 2007.

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.
- ▶ Dalmau: *Generalized majority-minority operations are tractable*, 2005.
- ▶ Berman, Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Varieties with few subalgebras of powers*, 2009.
- ▶ Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Tractability and learnability arising from algebras with few subpowers*, 2007.
- ▶ Kearnes, Szendrei: *Clones of algebras with parallelogram terms*, 2012.

History

- ▶ Bulatov, Dalmau: *A simple algorithm for Mal'tsev constraints*, 2006.
- ▶ Dalmau: *Generalized majority-minority operations are tractable*, 2005.
- ▶ Berman, Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Varieties with few subalgebras of powers*, 2009.
- ▶ Idziak, Marković, McKenzie, Valeriote, Willard: *Tractability and learnability arising from algebras with few subpowers*, 2007.
- ▶ Kearnes, Szendrei: *Clones of algebras with parallelogram terms*, 2012.
- ▶ Aichinger, Mayr, McKenzie: *On the number of finite algebraic structures*, 2012.

Finite algebras with few subpowers

Finite algebras with few subpowers

For a (finite) algebra \mathbf{A} , $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ denotes the number of subalgebras of \mathbf{A}^n .

Finite algebras with few subpowers

For a (finite) algebra \mathbf{A} , $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ denotes the number of subalgebras of \mathbf{A}^n .

Definition

A finite algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if there exists a polynomial p such that

$$s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}.$$

Finite characterization

Finite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a finite algebra A :

1. *A has few subpowers;*

Finite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a finite algebra A :

- 1. A has few subpowers;*
- 2. there exists a polynomial p such that every subalgebra of A^n has a generating set of size at most $p(n)$;*

Finite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a finite algebra A :

- 1. A has few subpowers;*
- 2. there exists a polynomial p such that every subalgebra of A^n has a generating set of size at most $p(n)$;*
- 3. A has a cube term;*

Finite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a finite algebra A :

- 1. A has few subpowers;*
- 2. there exists a polynomial p such that every subalgebra of A^n has a generating set of size at most $p(n)$;*
- 3. A has a cube term;*
- 4. A has an edge term;*

Finite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a finite algebra A :

- 1. A has few subpowers;*
- 2. there exists a polynomial p such that every subalgebra of A^n has a generating set of size at most $p(n)$;*
- 3. A has a cube term;*
- 4. A has an edge term;*
- 5. A has a parallelogram term.*

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra A is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_A(n)$ is *finite* for all n and

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra A is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_A(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_A(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

If $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is finite for all n , \mathbf{A} is said to be **weakly oligomorphic**.

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

If $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is finite for all n , \mathbf{A} is said to be **weakly oligomorphic**.

Examples and non-examples

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

If $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is finite for all n , \mathbf{A} is said to be **weakly oligomorphic**.

Examples and non-examples

- ▶ The polymorphism clone of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ has few subpowers.
(Every relation is determined by its binary projections.)

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

If $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is finite for all n , \mathbf{A} is said to be **weakly oligomorphic**.

Examples and non-examples

- ▶ The polymorphism clone of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ has few subpowers. (Every relation is determined by its binary projections.)
- ▶ Any finite algebra with a Mal'cev term (edge term) has few subpowers,

Infinite algebras with few subpowers

Definition

An algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have **few subpowers** if $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is *finite* for all n and there exists a polynomial p such that $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n) \leq 2^{p(n)}$.

If $s_{\mathbf{A}}(n)$ is finite for all n , \mathbf{A} is said to be **weakly oligomorphic**.

Examples and non-examples

- ▶ The polymorphism clone of $(\mathbb{Q}, <)$ has few subpowers. (Every relation is determined by its binary projections.)
- ▶ Any finite algebra with a Mal'cev term (edge term) has few subpowers, *but*
- ▶ a vector space over an infinite field does not!

Example without near unanimity

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example (Bodirsky, O.)

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example (Bodirsky, O.)

1. $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, m)$ with m majority defined as median of arguments.

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example (Bodirsky, O.)

1. $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, m)$ with m majority defined as median of arguments.
2. $\mathbf{Z}_2 = (\{0, 1\}, n)$ with $n(x, y, z) = x + y + z$, the minority.

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example (Bodirsky, O.)

1. $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, m)$ with m majority defined as median of arguments.
2. $\mathbf{Z}_2 = (\{0, 1\}, n)$ with $n(x, y, z) = x + y + z$, the minority.
3. Interpret both m and n as 4-ary edge terms, and consider the product $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Example without near unanimity

Theorem (M. Bodirsky, H. Chen)

Given a countable ω -categorical model complete cores \mathbb{A} , its polymorphism clone \mathcal{A} does not contain a Mal'cev operation.

Example (Bodirsky, O.)

1. $\mathbf{Q} = (\mathbb{Q}, m)$ with m majority defined as median of arguments.
2. $\mathbf{Z}_2 = (\{0, 1\}, n)$ with $n(x, y, z) = x + y + z$, the minority.
3. Interpret both m and n as 4-ary edge terms, and consider the product $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Z}_2$.
4. Add many unary operations preserving $<$ and linear identities in copies of \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{Z}_2 .

Infinite characterization

Infinite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a (countable) algebra A :

Infinite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a (countable) algebra \mathbf{A} :

1. *\mathbf{A} has few subpowers;*

Infinite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a (countable) algebra \mathbf{A} :

- 1. \mathbf{A} has few subpowers;*
- 2. \mathbf{A} has local edge terms and it has small weak orbit growth.*

Infinite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a (countable) algebra \mathbf{A} :

- 1. \mathbf{A} has few subpowers;*
- 2. \mathbf{A} has local edge terms and it has small weak orbit growth.*

A **local edge term** of \mathbf{A} on a (finite) set $S \subseteq A$ is a term t_S that satisfy the edge identities for all tuples of elements of S .

Infinite characterization

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a (countable) algebra \mathbf{A} :

- 1. \mathbf{A} has few subpowers;*
- 2. \mathbf{A} has local edge terms and it has small weak orbit growth.*

A **local edge term** of \mathbf{A} on a (finite) set $S \subseteq A$ is a term t_S that satisfy the edge identities for all tuples of elements of S .

We say that an algebra \mathbf{A} has local edge terms if it has a local edge term for every finite $S \subseteq A$.

Weak orbits

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M .

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leftrightarrow \exists g, h \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leftrightarrow \exists g, h \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

The classes of \sim are called **weak orbits**.

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leftrightarrow \exists g, h \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

The classes of \sim are called **weak orbits**.

Observation: $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ if and only if \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} generate the same subpower.

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leftrightarrow \exists g, h \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

The classes of \sim are called **weak orbits**.

Observation: $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ if and only if \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} generate the same subpower.

An algebra A has **small weak orbit growth** if there is a polynomial p such that the number of weak orbits of n -tuples is bounded by $2^{p(n)}$.

Weak orbits

Given a monoid \mathcal{M} acting on M . We define an equivalence \sim on n -tuples by

$$\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \leftrightarrow \exists g, h \in \mathcal{M} \text{ s.t. } g(\mathbf{a}) = \mathbf{b} \text{ and } h(\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a}.$$

The classes of \sim are called **weak orbits**.

Observation: $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$ if and only if \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} generate the same subpower.

An algebra A has **small weak orbit growth** if there is a polynomial p such that the number of weak orbits of n -tuples is bounded by $2^{p(n)}$.

Small weak orbit growth is necessary for having few subpowers.

Compact representations

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$.

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **representation** of S if

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **representation** of S if

- ▶ for all $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and every k -element subset I of coordinates there is $\mathbf{r} \in R$ such that $\text{proj}_I \mathbf{a} = \text{proj}_I \mathbf{r}$; and

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **representation** of S if

- ▶ for all $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and every k -element subset I of coordinates there is $\mathbf{r} \in R$ such that $\text{proj}_I \mathbf{a} = \text{proj}_I \mathbf{r}$; and
- ▶ for all $i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$ such that $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < i$ there are $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in R$ such that $c_j = d_j$ for all $j < i$ and $(a_i, b_i) = (c_i, d_i)$.

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **representation** of S if

- ▶ for all $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and every k -element subset I of coordinates there is $\mathbf{r} \in R$ such that $\text{proj}_I \mathbf{a} = \text{proj}_I \mathbf{r}$; and
- ▶ for all $i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$ such that $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < i$ there are $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in R$ such that $c_j = d_j$ for all $j < i$ and $(a_i, b_i) = (c_i, d_i)$.

Fact: If R is a representation of S then $\text{Sg}(R) = S$.

Compact representations

Given a finite algebra \mathbf{A} with a k -edge term and a subpower $S \leq \mathbf{A}^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **representation** of S if

- ▶ for all $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and every k -element subset I of coordinates there is $\mathbf{r} \in R$ such that $\text{proj}_I \mathbf{a} = \text{proj}_I \mathbf{r}$; and
- ▶ for all $i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$ such that $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < i$ there are $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in R$ such that $c_j = d_j$ for all $j < i$ and $(a_i, b_i) = (c_i, d_i)$.

Fact: If R is a representation of S then $S_{\text{g}}(R) = S$.

A representation is **compact** if it contains at most $\binom{n}{k}|A|^k + 2n|A|^2$ tuples.

Weakly compact representations

Given a weakly oligomorphic algebra A with local k -edge terms and a subpower $S \leq A^n$. We say that a set $R \subseteq S$ is a **quasi representation** of S if

- ▶ for all $\mathbf{a} \in S$ and every k -element subset I of coordinates there is $\mathbf{r} \in R$ such that $\text{proj}_I \mathbf{a} \sim \text{proj}_I \mathbf{r}$; and
- ▶ for all $i \leq n$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in S$ such that $a_j = b_j$ for all $j < i$ there are $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d} \in R$ such that $c_j = d_j$ for all $j < i$ and $(a_i, b_i) \sim (c_i, d_i)$.

A quasi representation is **weakly compact** if it contains at most $\binom{n}{k} o(k) + 2no(2)$ tuples where $o(k)$ denote the number of weak orbits of k -tuples.

Overview of the proof

Given an algebra with small weak orbit growth and local edge terms:

Overview of the proof

Given an algebra with small weak orbit growth and local edge terms:

1. Show that each subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^n is generated by any its weak compact representation, therefore by a set of polynomial size $p(n)$.
(Follows from local edge/parallelogram terms.)

Overview of the proof

Given an algebra with small weak orbit growth and local edge terms:

1. Show that each subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^n is generated by any its weak compact representation, therefore by a set of polynomial size $p(n)$.
(Follows from local edge/parallelogram terms.)
2. Count weak compact representation up to weak orbits:

$$\# \text{ compact representations} \leq o(n)^{p(n)}$$

Overview of the proof

Given an algebra with small weak orbit growth and local edge terms:

1. Show that each subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^n is generated by any its weak compact representation, therefore by a set of polynomial size $p(n)$.
(Follows from local edge/parallelogram terms.)
2. Count weak compact representation up to weak orbits:

$$\# \text{ compact representations} \leq o(n)^{p(n)} \leq (2^{q(n)})^{p(n)}$$

Overview of the proof

Given an algebra with small weak orbit growth and local edge terms:

1. Show that each subalgebra of \mathbf{A}^n is generated by any its weak compact representation, therefore by a set of polynomial size $p(n)$.
(Follows from local edge/parallelogram terms.)
2. Count weak compact representation up to weak orbits:

$$\# \text{ compact representations} \leq o(n)^{p(n)} \leq (2^{q(n)})^{p(n)} \leq 2^{q(n)p(n)}.$$

Structures

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains an operation e such that for every finite $S \subseteq A$ there is a unary $h_S \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h_S e$ is a local edge term.

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains an operation e such that for every finite $S \subseteq A$ there is a unary $h_S \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h_S e$ is a local edge term.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ω -categorical model complete core:

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains an operation e such that for every finite $S \subseteq A$ there is a unary $h_S \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h_S e$ is a local edge term.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ω -categorical model complete core:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains a quasi edge operation e .

Structures

\mathbb{A} is a **countable** relational structure with small weak orbit growth and \mathcal{A} its polymorphism clone.

Theorem

The following are equivalent:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains an operation e such that for every finite $S \subseteq A$ there is a unary $h_S \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h_S e$ is a local edge term.

Theorem

The following are equivalent for a ω -categorical model complete core:

- ▶ \mathcal{A} has few subpowers;
- ▶ \mathcal{A} contains a quasi edge operation e .