

# An algorithmic ordering condition for groups

George Metcalfe

Mathematical Institute  
University of Bern

Joint work with Almudena Colacito

AAA 94, Novi Sad, 17. June 2017

# A Key Theorem

**Ordered groups** play a significant role in *algebra*, *logic*, and *topology*.

The following fundamental result for the theory of ordered groups was proved by Shimbireva (1947), Iwasawa (1948), Neumann (1948), and Vinogradov (1949), after unpublished claims by Birkhoff and Tarski.

## Theorem

*Every free group can be totally ordered.*

# A Key Theorem

**Ordered groups** play a significant role in *algebra*, *logic*, and *topology*.

The following fundamental result for the theory of ordered groups was proved by Shimbireva (1947), Iwasawa (1948), Neumann (1948), and Vinogradov (1949), after unpublished claims by Birkhoff and Tarski.

## Theorem

*Every free group can be totally ordered.*

We give a proof of this theorem that

- uses a new **algorithmic** ordering condition for groups;
- relates ordering free groups to **validity** in totally ordered groups.

Ordered Groups and Proof Theory.

A. Colacito and G. Metcalfe.

Proceedings of WoLLIC 2017, to appear.

Proof Theory for Lattice-Ordered Groups.

N. Galatos and G. Metcalfe.

*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 167 (2016), 707–724.

We give a proof of this theorem that

- uses a new **algorithmic** ordering condition for groups;
- relates ordering free groups to **validity** in totally ordered groups.

Ordered Groups and Proof Theory.

A. Colacito and G. Metcalfe.

Proceedings of WoLLIC 2017, to appear.

Proof Theory for Lattice-Ordered Groups.

N. Galatos and G. Metcalfe.

*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 167 (2016), 707–724.

We give a proof of this theorem that

- uses a new **algorithmic** ordering condition for groups;
- relates ordering free groups to **validity** in totally ordered groups.

Ordered Groups and Proof Theory.

A. Colacito and G. Metcalfe.

Proceedings of WoLLIC 2017, to appear.

Proof Theory for Lattice-Ordered Groups.

N. Galatos and G. Metcalfe.

*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 167 (2016), 707–724.

We give a proof of this theorem that

- uses a new **algorithmic** ordering condition for groups;
- relates ordering free groups to **validity** in totally ordered groups.

Ordered Groups and Proof Theory.

A. Colacito and G. Metcalfe.

Proceedings of WoLLIC 2017, to appear.

Proof Theory for Lattice-Ordered Groups.

N. Galatos and G. Metcalfe.

*Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 167 (2016), 707–724.

A **partial order** of a group  $\mathbf{G} = \langle G, \cdot, {}^{-1}, e \rangle$  is a partial order  $\leq$  of  $G$  that is preserved by multiplication on both sides, i.e., for all  $a, b, c \in G$ ,

$$a \leq b \quad \implies \quad ac \leq bc \quad \text{and} \quad ca \leq cb.$$

If  $\leq$  is also total, then it is called a **total order** of  $\mathbf{G}$ .



The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

The **positive cone**  $P_{\leq} = \{a \in G : e < a\}$  of a partial order  $\leq$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  satisfies

- (1)  $a, b \in P_{\leq} \implies ab \in P_{\leq}$
- (2)  $a \in P_{\leq}, b \in G \implies bab^{-1} \in P_{\leq}$
- (3)  $e \notin P_{\leq}$ .

Conversely, if  $P$  is a normal subsemigroup of  $\mathbf{G}$  omitting  $e$ , then

$$a \leq_P b \iff ba^{-1} \in P \cup \{e\}$$

defines a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  such that  $P = P_{\leq_P}$ .

# Fuchs' Orderability Condition

Let  $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$  denote the normal subsemigroup generated by  $S \subseteq G$ ; this is a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  if and only if it doesn't contain  $e$ .

## Theorem (Fuchs 1963)

*The following are equivalent for any  $S \subseteq G$ :*

- (1)  $S$  extends to a total order of  $G$ .*
- (2) For all  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$ , there exist  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that*

$$e \notin \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$

# Fuchs' Orderability Condition

Let  $\langle\langle S \rangle\rangle$  denote the normal subsemigroup generated by  $S \subseteq G$ ; this is a partial order of  $\mathbf{G}$  if and only if it doesn't contain  $e$ .

## Theorem (Fuchs 1963)

*The following are equivalent for any  $S \subseteq G$ :*

- (1)  $S$  extends to a total order of  $G$ .*
- (2) For all  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$ , there exist  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$  such that*

$$e \notin \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$



# An Algorithmic Condition

We write  $\vdash_G S$  if a subset  $S \subseteq G$  is derivable using the rules

$$\frac{}{T \cup \{a, a^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{T \cup \{a\} \quad T \cup \{b\}}{T \cup \{ab\}} \quad \frac{T \cup \{ba\}}{T \cup \{ab\}}$$

## Example

In the group  $Z = \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0 \rangle$ , we obtain  $\vdash_Z \{3, -5\}$  as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{\{3, -3\}}{\{3, -2\}} \quad \frac{\{3, -2\}}{\{3, -5\}}}{\{3, -5\}}$$

# An Algorithmic Condition

We write  $\vdash_G S$  if a subset  $S \subseteq G$  is derivable using the rules

$$\frac{}{T \cup \{a, a^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{T \cup \{a\} \quad T \cup \{b\}}{T \cup \{ab\}} \quad \frac{T \cup \{ba\}}{T \cup \{ab\}}$$

## Example

In the group  $\mathbf{Z} = \langle \mathbb{Z}, +, -, 0 \rangle$ , we obtain  $\vdash_{\mathbf{Z}} \{3, -5\}$  as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\{3, -3\}}{\{3, -2\}} \quad \frac{\frac{\{2, -2\}}{\{1, -2\}}}{\{3, -2\}}}{\{3, -5\}}}$$







## Theorem

The following are equivalent for any  $S \subseteq G$ :

- (1)  $S$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{G}$ .
- (2) There exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  
$$e \in \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$
- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S$ .

Note that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) is just Fuchs' condition written contrapositively.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2)

We prove by induction on the height of a  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}}$ -derivation of  $S$  that

$\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S \implies$  there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  
 $e \in \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle$  for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

To prove:

There exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  
 $e \in \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle$  for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\} \implies \vdash_G S.$

Crucial lemma:

For all  $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq G$  and  $c \in G \setminus \{e\}$ ,

$\vdash_G S_1 \cup \{c\}$  and  $\vdash_G S_2 \cup \{c^{-1}\} \implies \vdash_G S_1 \cup S_2.$



(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)

To prove:

There exist  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in G \setminus \{e\}$  such that  
 $e \in \langle\langle S \cup \{c_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, c_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle$  for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$   $\implies \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S$ .

Crucial lemma:

For all  $S_1 \cup S_2 \subseteq G$  and  $c \in G \setminus \{e\}$ ,

$\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S_1 \cup \{c\}$  and  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S_2 \cup \{c^{-1}\}$   $\implies \vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S_1 \cup S_2$ .

# A Restricted System

For any  $S \cup \{c\} \subseteq G$ , we call  $\langle c, S \rangle$  a **pointed subset** of  $G$ , and write  $\vdash_G^r \langle c, S \rangle$  if it is derivable using the rules

$$\frac{}{\langle c, T \cup \{a, a^{-1}\} \rangle} \qquad \frac{}{\langle c, T \cup \{c^{-1}\} \rangle}$$
$$\frac{\langle c, T \cup \{a\} \rangle \quad \langle c, T \cup \{b\} \rangle}{\langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle} \qquad \frac{\langle c, T \cup \{ba\} \rangle}{\langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle}$$

We are then able to prove that

$$\vdash_G S \cup \{c\} \iff \vdash_G^r \langle c, S \rangle$$

and establish the crucial lemma by reasoning about  $\vdash_G^r$ -derivations.

# A Restricted System

For any  $S \cup \{c\} \subseteq G$ , we call  $\langle c, S \rangle$  a **pointed subset** of  $G$ , and write  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r \langle c, S \rangle$  if it is derivable using the rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\langle c, T \cup \{a, a^{-1}\} \rangle} \\ \\ \frac{\langle c, T \cup \{a\} \rangle \quad \langle c, T \cup \{b\} \rangle}{\langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \overline{\langle c, T \cup \{c^{-1}\} \rangle} \\ \\ \frac{\langle c, T \cup \{ba\} \rangle}{\langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle} \end{array}$$

We are then able to prove that

$$\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S \cup \{c\} \iff \vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r \langle c, S \rangle$$

and establish the crucial lemma by reasoning about  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r$ -derivations.

# A Restricted System

For any  $S \cup \{c\} \subseteq G$ , we call  $\langle c, S \rangle$  a **pointed subset** of  $G$ , and write  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r \langle c, S \rangle$  if it is derivable using the rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \overline{\langle c, T \cup \{a, a^{-1}\} \rangle} \\ \langle c, T \cup \{a\} \rangle \quad \langle c, T \cup \{b\} \rangle \\ \hline \langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \overline{\langle c, T \cup \{c^{-1}\} \rangle} \\ \langle c, T \cup \{ba\} \rangle \\ \hline \langle c, T \cup \{ab\} \rangle \end{array}$$

We are then able to prove that

$$\vdash_{\mathbf{G}} S \cup \{c\} \iff \vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r \langle c, S \rangle$$

and establish the crucial lemma by reasoning about  $\vdash_{\mathbf{G}}^r$ -derivations.

# Free Groups and Totally Ordered Groups

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a free group, and let  $\mathcal{OG}$  be the class of **totally ordered groups** in an algebraic signature with operation symbols  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $^{-1}$ , and  $e$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in F$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $F$ .*
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,*

$$e \in \langle\langle \{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$

- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .*
- (4)  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .*

# Free Groups and Totally Ordered Groups

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a free group, and let  $\mathcal{OG}$  be the class of **totally ordered groups** in an algebraic signature with operation symbols  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $^{-1}$ , and  $e$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in F$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$e \in \langle\langle\{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\}\rangle\rangle.$$

- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .

# Free Groups and Totally Ordered Groups

Let  $\mathbf{F}$  be a free group, and let  $\mathcal{OG}$  be the class of **totally ordered groups** in an algebraic signature with operation symbols  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$ ,  $\cdot$ ,  $^{-1}$ , and  $e$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in F$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,

$$e \in \langle\langle\{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\}\rangle\rangle.$$

- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .

# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}}{\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}}{\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .



# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{\overline{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{\overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{\overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \frac{\overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

# An Example

We can show that  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}} \{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{x, yy, x^{-1}\}}}{\overline{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}\}}} \quad \frac{\overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}} \quad \overline{\{xx, y, y^{-1}\}}}{\overline{\{xx, yy, y^{-1}\}}}{\overline{\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}}}$$

and hence, by our main theorem,

- 1  $\mathcal{OG} \models e \leq xx \vee yy \vee x^{-1}y^{-1}$
- 2  $\{xx, yy, x^{-1}y^{-1}\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}$ .

# Ordering Free Groups

Since  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{G} \not\equiv e \leq x$  for any variable  $x$ , by the previous theorem...

## Corollary

*Every free group can be totally ordered.*

Since  $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{G} \not\leq e \leq x$  for any variable  $x$ , by the previous theorem...

## Corollary

*Every free group can be totally ordered.*

# A More General Version

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety of groups and  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$  a non-trivial free group of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be the class of totally ordered groups with group reducts in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in F_{\mathcal{V}}$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .*
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F_{\mathcal{V}} \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  
$$e \in \langle\langle \{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$*
- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .*
- (4)  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .*

## Corollary

*$\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$  can be totally ordered if and only if  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is non-trivial.*



# A More General Version

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety of groups and  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$  a non-trivial free group of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be the class of totally ordered groups with group reducts in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in F_{\mathcal{V}}$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in F_{\mathcal{V}} \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  
$$e \in \langle\langle \{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$
- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .

## Corollary

$F_{\mathcal{V}}$  can be totally ordered if and only if  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is non-trivial.

# A More General Version

Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a variety of groups and  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$  a non-trivial free group of  $\mathcal{V}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  be the class of totally ordered groups with group reducts in  $\mathcal{V}$ .

## Theorem

*The following are equivalent for  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$ :*

- (1)  $\{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$  does not extend to a total order of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$ .
- (2) There exist  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}} \setminus \{e\}$  such that for all  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n \in \{-1, 1\}$ ,  
$$e \in \langle\langle \{t_1, \dots, t_m, s_1^{\delta_1}, \dots, s_n^{\delta_n}\} \rangle\rangle.$$
- (3)  $\vdash_{\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}} \{t_1, \dots, t_m\}$ .
- (4)  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}} \models e \leq t_1 \vee \dots \vee t_m$ .

## Corollary

$\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{V}}$  can be totally ordered if and only if  $\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{V}}$  is non-trivial.

- We have used new algorithmic condition for ordering groups to relate ordering relatively free groups to validity in classes of ordered groups.
- Can we use our conditions to prove ordering results for other groups (e.g., braid groups, fundamental groups of surfaces)?
- Can we use ordering theorems to develop a uniform proof theory for varieties of lattice-ordered groups?
- Is checking validity in totally ordered groups – equivalently, extending finite subsets of free groups to total orders – decidable?

- We have used new algorithmic condition for ordering groups to relate ordering relatively free groups to validity in classes of ordered groups.
- Can we use our conditions to prove ordering results for other groups (e.g., braid groups, fundamental groups of surfaces)?
- Can we use ordering theorems to develop a uniform proof theory for varieties of lattice-ordered groups?
- Is checking validity in totally ordered groups – equivalently, extending finite subsets of free groups to total orders – decidable?

- We have used new algorithmic condition for ordering groups to relate ordering relatively free groups to validity in classes of ordered groups.
- Can we use our conditions to prove ordering results for other groups (e.g., braid groups, fundamental groups of surfaces)?
- Can we use ordering theorems to develop a uniform proof theory for varieties of lattice-ordered groups?
- Is checking validity in totally ordered groups – equivalently, extending finite subsets of free groups to total orders – decidable?

- We have used new algorithmic condition for ordering groups to relate ordering relatively free groups to validity in classes of ordered groups.
- Can we use our conditions to prove ordering results for other groups (e.g., braid groups, fundamental groups of surfaces)?
- Can we use ordering theorems to develop a uniform proof theory for varieties of lattice-ordered groups?
- Is checking validity in totally ordered groups – equivalently, extending finite subsets of free groups to total orders – decidable?