

Mal'cev clones on finite sets

Erhard Aichinger

Institute for Algebra

Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF)

P29931

“Clonoids: a unifying approach to equational logic and clones”

Clones

We study **operations** on a set A .

$$\mathbf{O}(A) := \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{f \mid f : A^k \rightarrow A\}.$$

Definition 1. A subset C of $\mathbf{O}(A)$ is a **clone** on A if

$$(1) \quad \forall k, i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } i \leq k: ((x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto x_i) \in C,$$

$$(2) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N}, f \in C^{[n]}, g_1, \dots, g_n \in C^{[m]}:$$

$$f(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in C^{[m]}.$$

$C^{[n]}$... the n -ary functions in C ,

$$C^{[n]} \subseteq A^{A^n}$$

Clones with a Mal'cev operation

Theorem 2. Let d be a Mal'cev operation on a finite set A , and let $\mathbf{Clo}_A(d)$ be the clone generated by d .

- (1) If d satisfies $dxyx = x$ (Pixley), then $[\mathbf{Clo}_A(d), \mathbf{O}(A)]$ is finite. Each clone in the interval is determined by its binary invariant relations (Baker-Pixley, 1975).
- (2) (Aichinger-Mayr-McKenzie, published in 2014):
 $[\mathbf{Clo}_A(d), \mathbf{O}(A)]$ is at most countably infinite and has no infinite descending chains. Each clone in the interval is determined by one finitary invariant relation.

Clones from an algebra

Definition 3. Let $\mathbf{A} = (A; F)$ be an algebra.

- (1) $\mathbf{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as $\mathbf{Clo}_A(F)$, the clone generated by F .
Called: the **clone of \mathbf{A}** or **clone of term functions on \mathbf{A}** .

- (2) $\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A})$ is defined as
$$\mathbf{Clo}_A(F \cup \{\text{unary constant functions on } A\}).$$

Called: the clone of **polynomial functions on \mathbf{A}** .

- (3) $\mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})$ is the set of all **congruence preserving functions of \mathbf{A}** .

The interval $[\text{Pol}(A), \text{Comp}(A)]$

Theorem 4 (Bulatov, 2001). For $n \geq 2$, let

$$C_n := \text{Pol}(\mathbb{Z}_4, +, 2x_1x_2 \dots x_n).$$

Then

- (1) $C_2 \subset C_3 \subset \dots \subset \bigcup_{i \geq 2} C_i = C$.
- (2) C is not finitely generated.
- (3) C_n is described by its 2^{n+1} -ary invariant relations, but not by its $(2^{n+1} - 1)$ -ary invariant relations.
- (4) C_n is not generated by its $(n - 1)$ -ary members.

The interval $[\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A}), \text{Pol}(\mathbf{A})]$

Corollary 5. Let \mathbf{A} be the alternating group on 6 letters. Then the interval $[\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A}), \text{Pol}(\mathbf{A})] = [\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{A})]$ contains an infinite ascending chain.

Proof: \mathbf{A} has a four element cyclic subgroup.

The interval $[\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})]$

Theorem 6. (Aichinger, Horváth, 2015, unpublished)
Let \mathbf{A} be a finite p -group. Then $[\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})]$ is infinite $\Leftrightarrow \exists D, E \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A} : 0 < E \leq D < \mathbf{A}, \forall X \trianglelefteq \mathbf{A} : X \geq E$ or $X \leq D$.

Theorem 7. (Aichinger, Lazić, Mudrinski; Monatshefte, 2016) Let \mathbf{A} be a finite p -group. Then $\mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})$ is finitely generated \Leftrightarrow (*a condition on the shape of the normal subgroup lattice of \mathbf{A}*).

Clearly: \mathbf{A} finite, finite type, $\mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})$ not f.g. \Rightarrow $[\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})]$ is infinite.

The relational degree

Definition 8. The **relational degree** of an algebra \mathbf{A} is the minimal $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\text{Clo}(\mathbf{A})$ is determined by its invariant relations of arity $\leq k$.

Example 9. For $n \geq 2$, the relational degree of $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +, 2x_1x_2 \dots x_n)$ is 2^{n+1} .

Theorem 10. (Aichinger, Mayr, McKenzie). The relational degree of a finite algebra with edge term is finite.

Problem 11. Given a finite $\mathbf{A} = (A, f_1, \dots, f_k)$ with edge (or Mal'cev) term, is there a computable bound for the relational degree?

The relational degree

Theorem 12. (Kearnes, Szendrei, 2012) If \mathbf{A} is finite, has a Mal'cev term and generates a residually finite variety, then its relational degree is at most

$$\max(2, m^{m+1}(B(m) + 1) - 1),$$

where $m := |A|$.

Corollary 13. Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra of finite type with edge term such that $V(\mathbf{A})$ is residually small. Then there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every algebra in $\mathbb{V}(\mathbf{A})$ is of relational degree at most k .

The bound k can be computed from the residual bound of $V(\mathbf{A})$ and the type of \mathbf{A} .

The interval $[\text{Clo}_A(d), \mathbf{O}(A)]$

Proposition 14. *A finite, d Mal'cev. Every infinite subset $S \subseteq [\text{Clo}_A(d), \mathbf{O}(A)]$ contains an infinite ascending chain.*

Proof: If S has no such chain, there is maximal $C \in S$ with $[C, \mathbf{O}(A)]$ infinite. C is finitely related, thus it has finitely many covers. The interval above one of these covers is infinite. Contradiction.

Problem 15. Are there finite A and a Mal'cev term d such that $[\text{Clo}_A(d), \mathbf{O}(A)]$ has no infinite antichain?

The interval $[\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})]$

Theorem 16. (Aichinger, Mudrinski; Order, 2013) Let \mathbf{A} be a finite algebra with a Mal'cev term. Let $(C_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite sequence of clones in $[\mathbf{Pol}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{Comp}(\mathbf{A})]$. Let

$$F_i := ([\cdot, \cdot]_{(A, C_i)}, [\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{(A, C_i)}, \dots).$$

Then $(F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ has an infinitely ascending subsequence.

If there only were no antichains . . .

Theorem 17. *A finite, d Mal'cev, f, g operations on A . Suppose that there is no antichain of clones on A containing d . We define*

$$f \leq_d g :\Leftrightarrow f \in \mathbf{Clo}_A(g, d).$$

Let ψ be a property of operations such that

$$g \models \psi, f \leq_d g \Rightarrow f \models \psi.$$

Then ψ can be decided in polynomial time in $\|f\| \sim |A|^{\text{arity}(f)}$.

Proof: ψ has finitely many minimal counterexamples g_1, \dots, g_k . The property $g_i \in \mathbf{Clo}_A(f, d)$ can be checked “easily” .