Congruence lattices and Compact Intersection Property

Miroslav Ploščica (joint work with F. Krajník)

Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice

June 8, 2013

<ロト <回ト <三ト <三ト 三日</td>

Problem. For a given class \mathcal{K} of algebras describe Con \mathcal{K} =all lattices isomorphic to Con A for some $A \in \mathcal{K}$.

Or, at least,

for given classes \mathcal{K} , \mathcal{L} determine if Con $\mathcal{K} = \text{Con } \mathcal{L}$ (Con $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \text{Con } \mathcal{L}$)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > <

Some varieties ${\mathcal V}$ for which Con ${\mathcal V}$ is well understood:

- Boolean algebras (bounded distributive lattices);
- distributive lattices;
- Stone algebras.
- What do they have in common?
 - congruence-distributive;
 - finitely generated;
 - Compact Intersection Property: intersection of compact (finitely generated) congruences is always compact.

4 日 2 4 周 2 4 月 2 4 月 2 4

Why is CIP so helpful?

Compact congruences of an algebra A form a $(\lor, 0)$ -subsemilattice $\operatorname{Con}_c A$ of the lattice $\operatorname{Con} A$. The lattice $\operatorname{Con} A$ is isomorphic to the ideal lattice of $\operatorname{Con}_c A$. And if the semilattices $\operatorname{Con}_c A$ for $A \in \mathcal{V}$ fail to be lattices, then they are difficult to characterize, because various "refinement properties" come into play. Compare

Theorem

(F. Wehrung) There exists a distributive algebraic lattice which is not isomorphic to the congruence lattice of any lattice.

with

Theorem

(E. T. Schmidt) Every distributive algebraic lattice whose compact elements are closed under intersection is isomorphic to the congruence lattice of a lattice.

э

So, we consider the following general problem.

Given a finitely generated CD variety V with CIP, characterize lattices in $Con_c V$.

・ロト ・ 一日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・

For a finitely generated congruence-distributive variety \mathcal{V} , the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) \mathcal{V} has CIP.
- (2) Every subalgebra of a subdirectly irreducible algebra is subdirectly irreducible or one-element;
- (3) For every embedding $f : A \to B$ with A finite the mapping $\operatorname{Con}_c f : \operatorname{Con}_c A \to \operatorname{Con}_c B$ preserves meets.

 $(\operatorname{Con}_c f(\alpha) \text{ is the congruence on } B \text{ generated by all pairs} (f(x), f(y)) \text{ with } (x, y) \in \alpha$. The map $\operatorname{Con}_c f$ always preserves 0 and joins.) (Equivalence of (1) and (2) observed by Baker, proved by Blok, Pigozzi.)

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

 $\mathcal{V}....$ a finitely generated, congruence-distributive CIP variety (throughout the talk).

 $\mathrm{SI}(\mathcal{V})....$ all subdirectly irreducible members of $\mathcal{V},$ including one-element algebras;

 $\mathrm{M}^*(L).....$ all completely $\wedge\text{-irreducible}$ elements of a lattice L , including 1.

Obvious: $\alpha \in M^*(Con A)$ iff $A/\alpha \in SI(\mathcal{V})$.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let P be a poset with 1. A $SI(\mathcal{V})$ -valuation is a P-indexed commutative diagram $(v(p), f_{p,q}; p, q \in P, p \leq q)$ such that

- $v(p) \in SI(\mathcal{V})$ for every p;
- $f_{p,q}$ is a surjective homomorphism for every $p \leq q$;
- the assingment $q \mapsto \ker(f_{p,q})$ is a bijection from $\uparrow p$ to $M^*(\operatorname{Con} v(p))$ (in fact, an order-isomorphism)

Example: If A is any algebra, $P = M^*(\operatorname{Con} A)$, and $f_{\alpha\beta}$ is the natural projection $A/\alpha \to A/\beta$, then $(A/\alpha, f_{\alpha,\beta})$ is a $\operatorname{SI}(\mathcal{V})$ -valuation.

For a finite lattice L, the following are equivalent.

- (1) $L \in \operatorname{Con} \mathcal{V};$
- (2) there exists a SI(\mathcal{V})-valuation $D = (v(p), f_{p,q})$ on $P = M^*(L)$ such that
 - (i) all projections π_p : lim_← D → v(p) are surjective;
 (ii) if p ≤ q, then ker(π_p) ≤ ker(π_q).

Valuations satisfying (i) and (ii) will be called admissible.

Duals of lattice homomorphisms

Now let $\varphi: K \to L$ be a $(0, \vee)$ -homomorphism of finite $(0, \vee)$ -semilattices. We define the map $\varphi^{\leftarrow}: L \to K$ by

$$\varphi^{\leftarrow}(\beta) = \bigvee \{ \alpha \mid \varphi(\alpha) \le \beta \}.$$

If $K = \operatorname{Con} A$, $L = \operatorname{Con} B$ and $\varphi = \operatorname{Con} f$, for some algebras A, B and a homomorphism $f : A \to B$, then $\varphi^{\leftarrow}(\beta) = \{(x, y) \in A \mid (f(x), f(y)) \in \beta\}.$

Lemma

Let $\varphi: K \to L$ be a $(0, \vee)$ -homomorphism of finite lattices.

1 φ^{\leftarrow} preserves \wedge and 1.

If φ : K→L is a 0-preserving homomorphism of finite distributive lattices, then φ[←](c) ∈ M^{*}(K) for every c ∈ M^{*}(L).

Miroslav Ploščica (joint work with F. Krajník) Congruence lattices and Compact Intersection Property

Let L be a distributive lattice with 0. Then $L \simeq \operatorname{Con}_c A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{V}$ if and only if L is isomorphic to the direct limit of a P-indexed diagram $\vec{L} = (L_p, \varphi_{p,q} \mid p \leq q \text{ in } P)$, where each L_p is a finite distributive lattice and each $\varphi_{p,q}$ is a 0-preserving lattice homomorphism, such that

• For every $p \in P$, the ordered set $M^*(L_p)$ has an admissible $SI(\mathcal{V})$ -valuation $(v_p(\alpha), f^p_{\alpha,\beta})$.

• For every $p,q \in P$, $p \leq q$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathrm{M}^*(L_q)$ there exists embedding

$$e_{p,q}^{lpha}: v_p(\varphi_{p,q}^{\leftarrow}(lpha)) o v_q(lpha)$$
 such that
 $e_{p,q}^{eta} f_{lpha',eta'}^p = f_{lpha,eta}^q e_{p,q}^{lpha},$

for every $\alpha \leq \beta$ in $M^*(L_q)$ and $\alpha' := \varphi_{p,q}^{\leftarrow}(\alpha), \beta' := \varphi_{p,q}^{\leftarrow}(\beta).$

Additional assumptions:

- for every $S \in SI(\mathcal{V})$, either $|\operatorname{Con} S| = 1$ or $|\operatorname{Con} S| = 2$;
- there exists $S \in SI(\mathcal{V})$ which has a one-element subalgebra.

Theorem

Let L be a distributive lattice with 0. TFAE

(1)
$$L \simeq \operatorname{Con}_c A$$
 for some $A \in \mathcal{V}$;

- (2) *L* is isomorphic to the direct limit of a *P*-indexed diagram $\vec{L} = (L_p, \varphi_{p,q} \mid p \leq q \text{ in } P)$, where each L_p is a finite boolean lattice and each $\varphi_{p,q}$ is a 0-preserving lattice homomorphism;
- (3) L is a generalized Boolean lattice.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Let L be a distributive lattice with 0 and let $(P(L), \tau, \leq)$ be its dual Priestley space. The following are equivalent.

(1)
$$L \in \operatorname{Con}_c \mathcal{V};$$

- (2) There exists a SI(\mathcal{V})-valuation $D = (v(I), f_{I,J})$ on P(L) and a subalgebra A of $\lim_{\leftarrow} D$ such that
 - (i) every projection π_I : $A \rightarrow v(I)$ is surjective;
 - (ii) if $I \not\leq J$, then $\ker(\pi_I) \not\subseteq \ker(\pi_J)$;
 - (iii) for every $a, b \in A$ the set $U_{a,b} = \{I \mid \pi_I(a) = \pi_I(b)\}$ is clopen.

If $L \simeq \operatorname{Con}_c A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{V}$, then

(Pr1) P(L) has an admissible SI(\mathcal{V})-valuation $(v(I), f_{I,J})$;

(Pr2) For every $I \in P(L)$ there exists an open set U such that $I \in U$ and for every $J \in U$ the algebra v(I) is isomorphic to a subalgebra of v(J).

In many cases the conditions (Pr1) and (Pr2) are sufficient.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト …

Additional assumptions:

- for every $S \in \operatorname{SI}(\mathcal{V})$, $\operatorname{Con} S$ is a chain with $|\operatorname{Con} S| \leq n$
- if $S \leq T \in \operatorname{SI}(\mathcal{V})$, then $\operatorname{Con} S \simeq \operatorname{Con} T$.

Denotation:

 \mathcal{P}_n the class of all partially ordered sets (C, \leq) with a largest element, such that for every $x \in C$, $\uparrow x$ is a k-element chain, $k \leq n$

Let \mathcal{V} satisfy the assumptions stated above. Let L be a distributive lattice with 0 and let $(P(L), \leq, \tau)$ be its dual Priestley space. The following conditions are equivalent.

Conjecture: (Pr1) and (Pr2) are sufficient whenever $\operatorname{Con} S$ is a chain for every $S \in \operatorname{SI}(\mathcal{V})$.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

 $\mathcal{W} = \mathsf{HSP}(A),$

where

A is the 4-element chain $0 < a < b < 1, \mbox{ regarded as a lattice,} endowed with an additional unary operation$

h(0) = 0, h(a) = b, h(b) = a, h(1) = 1. then:

- Every countable lattice satisfying (Pr1), (Pr2) belongs to $\operatorname{Con}_{c} \mathcal{W}$;
- There exist a lattice satisfying (Pr1), (Pr2) of cardinality \aleph_1 not in $\operatorname{Con}_c \mathcal{W}$.

$\mathcal V, \ \mathcal W.....$ classes of algebras

Gillibert:

$$\operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{V};\mathcal{W}) = \min\{|S| : S \in \operatorname{Con}_c \mathcal{V} \setminus \operatorname{Con}_c \mathcal{W}\}$$

(\product if \Con_c \mathcal{V} \Geq \Con_c \mathcal{W})

Miroslav Ploščica (joint work with F. Krajník) Congruence lattices and Compact Intersection Property

There are finitely generated congruece distributive CIP varieties \mathcal{V} , \mathcal{W}) with $\operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{V}; \mathcal{W}) = \aleph_1$).

Conjecture: always $\operatorname{Crit}(\mathcal{V}; \mathcal{W}) \leq \aleph_1$ for such varieties.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト