

Olga Hadžić

FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MULTIVALUED MAPPINGS IN RANDOM NORMED SPACES

Abstract In this paper we shall prove two fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in random normed spaces. Theorem 1 is a generalization of the fixed point theorem from [1].

1. The notion of random normed space was introduced in [12] and in [1] and [5] some fixed point theorems in random normed spaces were proved. First, we shall give some definitions and notations.

Let Δ be the set of all distribution functions. A triplet (X, \mathcal{F}, t) of a real or complex linear space X , a mapping $\mathcal{F}: X \rightarrow \Delta$ and a T-norm $t \geq T_m$ ($T_m(u, v) = \max\{u+v-1, 0\}$, for every $u, v \in [0, 1]$), is called a *random normed space* iff it satisfies the following conditions in which F_p denotes the distribution function $\mathcal{F}(p)$:

(a) $F_p(0) = 0$, for all p in X .

(b) $F_p = H$ iff $p = 0$ in X , where:

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u > 0 \\ 0 & u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

(c) If λ is a nonzero scalar then:

$$F_{\lambda p}(u) = F_p\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda|}\right)$$

for all p in X and for all $u \in R$

(d) $F_{p+q}(u+v) \geq t(F_p(u), F_q(v))$, for all p, q in X and for all $u > 0, v > 0$.

The (ε, λ) -topology on X is defined to be a topology on X determined by the family of neighbourhoods:

$$\{U_v(\varepsilon, \lambda) \mid \varepsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$$

of each $v \in S$, where:

$$U_v(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{u \mid F_{u-v}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

Let A be a subset of X . The function D_A on R defined by:

$$D_A(u) = \sup \inf F_{p-q}(v)$$

$$v < u, p, q \in A$$

is called the probabilistic diameter of A [2]. The set A is said to be a *probabilistic bounded* if [2]:

$$\sup_{u \in R} D_A(u) = 1$$

Kuratowski's function for a probabilistic bounded subset A of X is the function α_A on R defined by:

$$\alpha_A(u) = \sup \{ \varepsilon > 0, \text{ there is a finite cover } \mathcal{A} \text{ of } A \text{ such that } D_B(u) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } B \in \mathcal{A} \}$$

The following are proved in [2]:

1. $\alpha_A \in \Delta$ for every probabilistic bounded subset A of X
2. If A is a probabilistic bounded subset of X then:

$$\alpha_A(u) \geq D_A(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in R$$

3. If B is a probabilistic bounded subset of X and A is a nonempty subset of B then $\alpha_A(u) \geq \alpha_B(u)$, for all $u \in R$
4. If A and B are probabilistic bounded subsets of X then for all $u \in R$:

$$\alpha_{A \cup B}(u) = \min \{ \alpha_A(u), \alpha_B(u) \}$$

5. If A is a probabilistic bounded subset of X then:

$$\alpha_{\bar{A}}(u) = \alpha_A(u), \quad \text{for all } u \in R$$

where \bar{A} denotes the closure of A under the (ε, λ) -topology on X .

6. A probabilistic bounded subset A of X is a probabilistic precompact if and only if $\alpha_A = H$.
7. If (X, \mathcal{F}, ι) is a random normed space with T -norm $\iota = \min$ then:

$$\alpha_A = \alpha_{\overline{\text{co}} A}$$

If $K \subset X$, we shall denote by 2^K the family of all nonempty subsets C of K .

DEFINITION 1 [9] *Let X be a Hausdorff topological linear space and $M \subset X$. The set M is said to be admissible iff for every compact subset K of M and every neighbourhood V of zero in X there exists a continuous mapping $h: K \rightarrow M$ such that:*

- (a) $\dim(\text{span}(h(K))) < \infty$
- (b) $x - hx \in V$, for every $x \in K$

DEFINITION 2 [4] *A mapping $f: K \rightarrow 2^K$ ($K \subset X$) has the almost continuous selection property iff for every neighbourhood V of zero in X there exists a continuous mapping $g_V: K \rightarrow K$ such that:*

$$g_V(x) \in (f(x) + V) \cap \text{cof}(K) \text{ for every } x \in K$$

Now we shall prove a generalization of fixed point theorem from [1].

THEOREM 1 Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a random normed space with continuous T -norm t , A be a probabilistic bounded, closed and convex subset of X and $f: A \rightarrow 2^A$ be a closed mapping with the almost continuous selection property on every convex and compact subset of A and such that the following condition is satisfied:

For every $M \subset A$ such that $\alpha_{\overline{co}f(M)} \leq \alpha_M$ we have that M is relatively compact and for every $M \subset A$ the relation:

$$M = \overline{co} f(M)$$

implies that M is admissible.

Then there exists at least one element $x \in A$ such that:

$$x \in f(x)$$

Proof: First, we shall show that there exists a nonempty set $K \subset A$ such that $K \subset f(K)$. Let us remark that if t is continuous then X is, in the (ϵ, λ) -topology, a linear topological space.

Let p be an arbitrary element from A and let us define the sequence $\{p_n\}_{n \in N \cup \{0\}}$ in the following way:

$$p_0 = p, \quad p_n \in f(p_{n-1}), \quad \text{for every } n \in N.$$

Now we shall prove that K is the set of all the limit points of the set A_p where:

$$(1) \quad A_p \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \{p_n \mid n \in N\}$$

Suppose that A_p is not a relatively compact set. Then we have:

$$\alpha_{\overline{co}f(A_p)} > \alpha_{A_p}$$

On the other hand, from (1) it follows that $A_p \subset \{p_1\} \cup f(A_p)$ and so:

$$\alpha_{A_p} \geq \min \{ \alpha_{\{p_1\}}, \alpha_{f(A_p)} \} = \alpha_{f(A_p)} \geq \alpha_{\overline{co}f(A_p)}$$

which is a contradiction. So we have that the set $\overline{A_p}$ is compact and $K \neq \emptyset$. Let us prove that $K \subset f(K)$. Suppose that $q \in K$. Then there exists a sequence $\{q_n\}_{n \in N} \subset A_p$ such that:

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n = q$$

since X is a metrisable topological space. Let $q_n = p_{m_n}$ for every $n \in N$. Then $\{p_{m_n-1}\}_{n \in N} \subset A_p$ and since the set A_p is relatively compact there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in N} \subset N$ such that:

$$(3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{m_{n_k}-1} = q' \in K$$

Further from (2) it follows that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{m_{n_k}} = q$ and using the fact that $p_{m_{n_k}} \in f(p_{m_{n_k}-1})$, for every $k \in N$, we conclude that $q \in f(q')$ because the mapping f is closed. So we have that $K \subset f(K) \subset \overline{co} f(K)$. As in [4], let us define the family \mathcal{C} in the following way: $\mathcal{C} = \{Q \mid Q \subseteq A, K \subseteq Q, Q = \overline{co} Q, f(Q) \subset Q\}$.

Then $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and so $\mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$ and similarly as in [4], it follows that there exists $C \neq \emptyset$, $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C = \overline{co} f(C)$. From this we conclude that C is a convex, compact and admissible subset of A .

Further, the mapping $f|C:C \rightarrow C$ has the almost continuous selection property. So for every neighbourhood V of zero in X there exists a continuous mapping $g_V:C \rightarrow C$ such that:

$$g_V(x) \in f(x) + V, \text{ for every } x \in C$$

From Theorem 1 in [9], it follows that there exists $x_V \in C$ such that: $x_V = g_V(x_V)$ and so:

$$(4) \quad x_V \in f(x_V) + V$$

From (4), as in [4], it is easy to prove, since C is compact, that there exists $x \in C$ such that $x \in f(x)$.

In the following Corollary we shall use the notation:

$$\Phi_n(t, x) = \underbrace{t(t(\dots t(t(x, x), x), \dots), x))}_{n\text{-times}}, \quad n \in N, \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

COROLLARY 1 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a random normed space with T -norm t such that the family $\{\Phi_n(t, x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$. Further, let A be a probabilistic bounded, closed and convex subset of X and $f:A \rightarrow 2^A$ be a closed mapping which has the almost continuous selection property on every convex and compact subset of A . If:*

For every $M \subset A: \alpha_{\overline{co}(M)} \leq \alpha_M$ implies that M is compact then there exists at least one element $x \in A$ such that $x \in f(x)$.

Proof: In [6] it is proved that X is, in the (ε, λ) topology, a locally convex topological linear space, since the family $\{\Phi_n(t, x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$. In a locally convex space every convex subset is admissible and so all the conditions of the Theorem are satisfied which completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a random normed space, A be a probabilistic bounded, closed and convex subset of X and $f:A \rightarrow 2^A$ be a closed mapping which has the almost continuous selection property. If:*

For every $M \subset A: \alpha_{f(M)} \leq \alpha_M$ implies that M is compact then there exists at least one element $x \in A$ such that: $x \in f(x)$.

Proof: If $t = \min$, then the family $\{\Phi_n(t, x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ since in this case:

$\Phi_n(t, x) = x$, for every $n \in N$ and every $x \in [0, 1]$. Further, if $t = \min$ then $\alpha_{A'} = \alpha_{\overline{co}A'}$ for every $A' \subset A$ and so all the conditions of Corollary 1 are satisfied.

Let us denote by 2_c^A the family of all convex subsets of A .

COROLLARY 3 *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, t) be a random normed space with T -norm t such that the family $\{\Phi_n(t, x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$. Further, let A be a probabilistic bounded, closed and convex subset of X and $f:A \rightarrow 2_c^A$ be a lower semicontinuous, closed mapping such that the following condition is satisfied:*

For every $M \subset A, \alpha_{\overline{co}f(M)} \leq \alpha_M$ implies that \overline{M} is compact. Then there exists at least one element $x \in A$ such that $x \in f(x)$.

Proof: In [11] it is proved that the mapping f has the almost continuous selection property on A and so all the conditions of Corollary 1 are satisfied.

Similarly, we can prove the following Corollary.

COROLLARY 4 Let (X, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a random normed space, A be a probabilistic bounded, closed and convex subset of X and $f: A \rightarrow 2_A^A$ be a lower semicontinuous, closed mapping such that the following condition is satisfied:

For every $M \subset A$, $\alpha_{f(M)} \leq \alpha_M$ implies that \overline{M} is compact. Then there exists at least one element $x \in A$ such that $x \in f(x)$.

Remark: In [8] is given a nontrivial example of T -norm t ($t \neq \min$) such that the family $\{\Phi_n(t, x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$.

2. In [5] the following fixed point theorem is given.

THEOREM A Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete random normed space with continuous T -norm t , A be a closed subset of S and $H: A \rightarrow A$ be a continuous mapping such that the following two conditions are satisfied:

1. For every $x \in A$ there exists $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $y \in A$, $F_{H^{n(x)}x - H^{n(x)}y}(q\varepsilon) \geq F_{x-y}(\varepsilon)$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ where $q \in (0, 1)$.

2. There exists $x_0 \in A$ such that $\sup G_{x_0}(\varepsilon) = 1$, where:

$$G_{x_0}(\varepsilon) = \inf \{F_{H^s x_0 - x_0}(\varepsilon) \mid s \in \mathbb{N}\}, \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then $\text{Fix } H = \{x\}$, where $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, $x_n = H^{n(x_{n-1})} x_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{R}(M)$ the family of all nonempty and convex subsets of M where M is a subset of a topological vector space.

THEOREM B [3] Let E be a Hausdorff topological vector space, M be a nonempty, convex and compact subset of E , $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(M)$ be an upper semicontinuous mapping such that for every $y \in M$ the set:

$$\Phi^{-1}(y) = \{x \mid y \in \Phi(x)\}$$

is open. Then there exists at least one fixed point of the mapping Φ .

Now we shall prove a fixed point theorem for mapping $H + \Phi$ where H is a singlevalued and Φ is a multivalued mapping.

THEOREM 2 Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete random normed space with continuous T -norm t , M be a nonempty, convex and compact subset of S , H be a linear mapping from S into S , $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(S)$ be an upper semicontinuous mapping such that $HM + \Phi M \subseteq M$ and that the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) One of the following two conditions is satisfied:

a) For every $x \in M$ there exists $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $y \in M$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$F_{H^{n(x)}x - H^{n(x)}y}(q\varepsilon) \geq F_{x-y}(\varepsilon), \text{ where } q \in (0, 1).$$

b) There exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$F_{H^n x - H^n y}(\varepsilon) \geq F_{x-y}(\varepsilon), \text{ for every } x, y \in M \text{ and every } \varepsilon > 0.$$

(ii) For every $y \in S$ is the set $\Phi^{-1}(y)$ open.

Then there exists at least one fixed point of the mapping $H + \Phi$.

Proof: For every $y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$, we shall define the mapping $G_y: M \rightarrow M$ in the following way:

$$G_y(x) = Hx + y, \text{ for every } y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$$

Since:

$$G_y^n u = H^n u + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} H^k y, \text{ for every } n \in N, u \in M, y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$$

we have that for every $x \in M$, every $y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ (i) a) implies:

$$F_{G_y^n(x)-G_y^n(x)}(q\varepsilon) \geq F_{x-u}(\varepsilon), \text{ for every } u \in M$$

for every $(x_1, x_2, \varepsilon) \in M \times M \times (0, \infty)$. First, we shall suppose that $k \in (0, 1)$. Since T -norm t is continuous, S is a Hausdorff topological linear space and so it follows that the compact set M is bounded in the (ε, λ) -topology. We shall show that for every $y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$ all the conditions of Theorem A are satisfied. Let V be an arbitrary neighbourhood of zero in S in the (ε, λ) -topology. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $M \subseteq \delta V$. Let us suppose that:

$$V = \{x \mid F_x(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

Then from $\frac{x}{\delta} \in V$, for every $x \in M$, it follows that:

$$F_{\frac{x}{\delta}}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda, \text{ for every } x \in M$$

and so:

$$F_x(\delta\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda \text{ for every } x \in M$$

From this we conclude that for every $x_0 \in M$:

$$\sup_s G_{x_0}(\varepsilon) = 1, \text{ for every } y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$$

where $G_{x_0, y}(\varepsilon) = \inf \{F_{G_y^s(x_0)-x_0}(\varepsilon) \mid s \in N\}$

every $y \in \overline{\Phi(M)}$ there exists one and only one element $Ry \in M$ such that:

$$Ry = HRy + y$$

Since the set M is compact it is easy to prove that the mapping $R: \overline{\Phi(M)} \rightarrow M$ is continuous, similarly as in [7]. Now we shall define the mapping $R^*: M \rightarrow 2^M$ in the following way:

$$R^*x = \bigcup_{y \in \Phi x} Ry, \text{ for every } x \in M$$

The mapping R^* is obviously upper semicontinuous since Φ is upper semicontinuous and R is continuous. It remains to prove that R^*x is a convex set, for every $x \in M$. Since the mapping H is affine, it follows that for every $x \in M$ and every $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ such that $\alpha + \beta = 1$ we have:

$$(5) \quad R(\alpha y_1 + \beta y_2) = \alpha Ry_1 + \beta Ry_2, \text{ for every } y_1, y_2 \in \Phi x$$

Since Φ^* is convex, it is easy to show that R^*x is convex using relation (5). Since the mapping R is a one to one mapping there exists $R^{-1}: R(\Phi(M)) \rightarrow \Phi(M)$. Further $(R^*)^{-1}y = \{x \mid y \in R^*x\}$ and we shall prove that:

$$(R^*)^{-1}y = \Phi^{-1}(R^{-1}y)$$

Suppose that $x \in (R^*)^{-1}y$. Then $y \in R^*x$ which means that:

$$y \in \bigcup_{z \in \Phi x} Rz$$

So there exists $z \in \Phi x$ such that $y = Rz$, $z \in \Phi x$. Then:

$$R^{-1}y = z \in \Phi x$$

and so:

$$x \in \Phi^{-1}(R^{-1}y)$$

Suppose now that $x \in \Phi^{-1}(R^{-1}y)$. Then $R^{-1}y \in \Phi x$ i. e. $R^{-1}y = z$, $z \in \Phi x$. This implies that $y = Rz$, $z \in \Phi x$ and so $y \in R^*x$, which means that $x \in (R^*)^{-1}y$. Since $\Phi^{-1}y$ is open for every $y \in S$, we conclude that $\text{Fix}(R^*) \neq \emptyset$. Since $\text{Fix}(R^*) \subseteq \text{Fix}(H + \Phi)$, it follows that for $k \in (0, 1)$ is $\text{Fix}(H + \Phi) \neq \emptyset$. Now, suppose (i) b). For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we shall define the mappings H_n and Φ_n in the following way:

$$H_n x = \lambda_n Hx, \quad \Phi_n x = \lambda_n \Phi(x) + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0, \quad \text{for every } x \in M$$

where $\{\lambda_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (0, 1)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 1$. Then for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in M$, $H_m^n x = \lambda_m^n H^n x$ and so:

$$F_{H_m^n x - H_m^n y}(\lambda_m^n \varepsilon) = F_{\lambda_m^n (H^n x - H^n y)}(\lambda_m^n \varepsilon) = F_{H^n x - H^n y}(\varepsilon) \geq F_{x - y}(\varepsilon)$$

Since:

$$H_n M + \Phi_n M = \lambda_n (HM + \Phi M) + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0 \subset M$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n^{-1} y &= \{x \mid y \in \Phi_n x\} = \{x \mid y \in \lambda_n \Phi x + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0\} = \\ &= \left\{ x \mid \frac{y - (1 - \lambda_n)x_0}{\lambda_n} \in \Phi x \right\} = \Phi^{-1} \left(\frac{y - (1 - \lambda_n)x_0}{\lambda_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

there exists, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n \in M$ such that $x_n \in H_n x_n + \Phi_n x_n$. This means that there exists $y_n \in \Phi x_n$ so that:

$$x_n = \lambda_n Hx_n + \lambda_n y_n + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n - Hx_n - y_n &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n - 1) Hx_n + (\lambda_n - 1) y_n + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0 = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\lambda_n - 1) (Hx_n + y_n) + (1 - \lambda_n)x_0 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $Hx_n + y_n \subseteq M$ and is bounded. Since M is compact there exists a subsequence $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Hx_{n_k} + y_{n_k} = y^*$$

and so $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = y^*$. Since $y_{n_k} \in \Phi x_{n_k}$ and:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = y^* - Hy^*$$

we have that $y^* - Hy^* \in \Phi y^*$, which means that $y^* \in \text{Fix}(\Phi + H)$ and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. G. Bocsan: *On some fixed point theorems in random normed spaces*, Univ. din Timisoara, Seminarul de teoria functiilor si matematici aplicate, A. Spatii metrice probabiliste, 18, 1974
2. G. Bocsan and G. Constantin, *The Kuratowski Function and Some Applications to the Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, Atti Acad. Naz. Lincei, 55, Fasc. 3-4, (1973), 236-240
3. F. E. Browder, *Fixed point theory of multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Math. Ann., 177 (1968), 283-301
4. J. Daneš, *Some fixed point theorems*, CMUC, 9, 2(1968), 228-235
5. O. Hadžić, *Fixed point for mappings on probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. RSR, T 22 (70), N 3., 1978, 287-292
6. O. Hadžić, *On the (ϵ, λ) - topology of probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Glasnik matematički, Vol. 13 (33) (1979), 293-297
7. O. Hadžić, *Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces*, Glasnik matematički vol. 14 (33) 1980, 113-120
8. O. Hadžić and M. Stojaković, *On the existence of a solution to the system $x=H(x,y)$, $y=K(x,y)$ in random normed spaces*, Zbornik radova Prirodno-matematičkog fakulteta u Novom Sadu, knjiga 1979, 43-48
9. S. Hahn and K. F. Pötter, *Über Fixpunkte kompakter Abbildungen in topologischen Vektorräumen*, Studia Math., 50, (1974), 1-16
10. V. Istratescu, *Introducere in teoria spatiilor metrice probabiliste cu aplicatii*, Editura Tehnica, Bucuresti, 1974
11. E. Michael, *Continous selections*, I, Ann. Math., 63 (1956), 361-382
12. A. N. Šerstnev, *The notion of random normed spaces*, DAN SSSR, 149 (2), (1963), 280-283

Olga Hadžić

TEOREME O NEPOKRETNOSTI TAČKI ZA VIŠEZNAČNA
PRESLIKAVANJA U SLUČAJNIM NORMIRANIM PROSTORIMA

U ovom radu su dokazane sledeće dve teoreme.

TEOREMA 1 *Neka je (X, \mathcal{F}, t) slučajan normirani prostor sa neprekidnom T -normom t , A verovatnosno ograničen, zatvoren i konveksan podskup od X i $f: A \rightarrow 2^A$ zatvoreno preslikavanje sa osobinom gotovo neprekidne selekcije nad svakim konveksnim i kompaktnim podskupom od A i tako da je sledeći uslov zadovoljen: Za svako $M \subset A$ tako da je $\alpha_{\infty} f(M) \leq \alpha_M$ sledi da je M relativno kompaktno i za svako $M \subset A$ relacija $M = \overline{\infty} f(M)$ implicira da je M dopustivo. Tada postoji bar jedan element $x \in A$ takav da je:*

$$x \in f(x)$$

TEOREMA 2 *Neka je (S, \mathcal{F}, t) kompletan normirani prostor sa neprekidnom T -normom t , M je neprazan, konveksan i kompaktno podskup od S , H lineararno preslikavanje S u S , $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(S)$ od gore poluneprekidno preslikavanje tako da je $H(M) + \Phi(M) \subseteq M$ i zadovoljeni su sledeći uslovi:*

(i) *Jedan od sledeća dva uslova je zadovoljen:*

a) *Za svako $x \in M$ postoji $n(x) \in \mathbb{N}$ tako da je za svako $y \in M$ i svako $\epsilon > 0$:*

$$F_{H^n(x)_{x-H^n(y)}}(\epsilon) \geq F_{x-y}(\epsilon), \text{ gde je } q \in (0, 1)$$

b) *Postoji $n \in \mathbb{N}$ tako da je:*

$$F_{H^n_{x-H^n(y)}}(\epsilon) \geq F_{x-y}(\epsilon) \text{ za svako } x, y \in M \text{ i svako } \epsilon > 0$$

(ii) *Za svako $y \in S$ skup $\Phi^{-1}(y)$ je otvoren.*

Tada postoji bar jedna nepokretna tačka preslikavanja $H + \Phi$.