

O. Hadžić and M. Budinčević

A CLASS OF T-NORMS IN THE FIXED POINT THEORY ON PM-SPACES

Abstract In this paper we shall prove some fixed and periodic point theorems for the mapping $H: S \rightarrow S$ where the triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, T) is a probabilistic Menger space with continuous T -norm T such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$, where:

$$T_n(x) = \underbrace{T(T(\dots T(T(x, x), x), \dots, x))}_{n\text{-time}}, \quad x \in [0, 1], n \in \mathbb{N}$$

1. In the following text we shall suppose that (S, \mathcal{F}, T) is a Menger space with continuous T -norm T . It is known, further, that if T -norm T is continuous then S is, in the (ϵ, λ) -topology, a metrisable topological space. The (ϵ, λ) -topology is introduced by the family:

$$\{U_v(\epsilon, \lambda) \mid \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1), v \in S\}$$

where: $U_v(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{u \mid F_{u, v}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$. Further, it is known that if T -norm T is continuous then:

$$I \times I = \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k \times J_k \right) \cup C \left(\bigcup_{k \in K} J_k \times J_k \right) \quad I = [0, 1]$$

where the set K is at most denumerable, for every $k \in K$ is J_k an open interval, $J_k \cap J_r \neq \emptyset$ for every $k \neq r$ and $T|_{J_k \times J_k} = T_k$ is an Archimedean semigroup for every $k \in K$.

In [2] we have proved the following Theorem:

Let (S, \mathcal{F}, T) be a Menger space with continuous T -norm T such that every semigroup $T_k (k \in K)$ is strict. If the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ then there exists a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$ and that the family $\{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pseudometrics induces the (ϵ, λ) -topology, where:

$$\rho_n(x, y) = \sup \{t \mid F_{x, y}(t) \leq a_n\}, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and every $x, y \in S$.

Example: Let $\bar{T}(x, y) = x \cdot y$, for every $x, y \in [0, 1]$ and let us define T -norm T in the following way:

$$T(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 - 2^{-m} + 2^{-m-1} \bar{T}(2^{m+1}(x-1+2^{-m}), 2^{m+1}(y-1+2^{-m})), & (x, y) \in J_m^2 \\ \min\{x, y\} & (x, y) \notin \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}} J_m^2 \end{cases}$$

where $J_m = [1 - 2^{-m}, 1 - 2^{-m-1}]$, $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots [2]$. Then $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an equicontinuous family.

Let us denote the set $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ by S .

Theorem 1 Let (S, \mathcal{F}, T) be a complete Menger space with T -norm T such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ and T_k is strict for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Further, let M be a closed subset of S and $H: M \rightarrow M$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

a) There exists $g: S \rightarrow S$ such that $g^n(a_k) \leq b(k) < 1$, for every $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ($g^n = g(g^{n-1})$), every $(x, y) \in M^2$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$:

$$F_{x, y}(\varepsilon) > g(a_k) \Rightarrow F_{Hx, Hy}(q_k \cdot \varepsilon) > a_k (q_k > 0)$$

b) If the mapping $f: N \rightarrow N$ is defined by $a_{f(k)} = g(a_k)$ for every $k \in N$ then;

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=0}^n q_{f^s(k)} \right) < \infty$$

Then there exists one and only one fixed point x^* of the mapping H and for every $x_0 \in M$:

$$x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H^n x_0$$

Proof: First, we shall prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(1) \quad \rho_n(Hx, Hy) \leq q_n \rho_{f(n)}(x, y)$$

for every $x, y \in M$, where:

$$\rho_n(x, y) = \sup \{t \mid F_{x, y}(t) \leq a_n\}$$

Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in M$ such that:

$$\rho_n(Hx, Hy) > q_n \rho_{f(n)}(x, y)$$

Then there exists $t > 0$ such that:

$$(2) \quad \rho_n(Hx, Hy) > t$$

and:

$$(3) \quad q_n \rho_{f(n)}(x, y) < t$$

From (2) and (3) it follows that:

$$(4) \quad F_{Hx, Hy}(t) \leq a_n, \quad F_{x, y}\left(\frac{t}{q_n}\right) > a_{f(n)} = g(a_n)$$

But, if we take in a) that $\epsilon = \frac{t}{q_n}$, it follows that:

$$F_{Hx, Hy} \left(\frac{t}{q_n} \right) > a_n$$

which is a contradiction in respect to (4). So inequality (1) is satisfied for every $n \in N$ and every $x, y \in M$.

Further, from the conditions:

$$g^n(s) \leq b(s) < 1 \text{ for every } s \in S \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$$

it follows that there exists for every $k \in N$, $m(k) \in N$ such that:

$$(5) \quad a_{m(k)} > b(a_k)$$

From (5) it follows that:

$$a_{f^{m(k)}} < a_{m(k)}$$

and so:

$$\rho_{f^{m(k)}}(x, y) \leq \rho_{m(k)}(x, y)$$

since $a_s < a_r$ implies $\rho_s \leq \rho_r$ for every $r, s \in N$. Since:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=0}^n q_{f^s(k)} \right) < \infty$$

we can prove, similarly as in [3], that for every $x_0 \in M$ the sequence $\{H^n x_0\}_{n \in N}$ converges to the fixed point $x^* \in M$ of the mapping H . Also, it follows that x^* is the only fixed point of the mapping H .

Theorem 2 Let (S, \mathcal{F}, T) be a compact Menger space with continuous T-norm T such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ and T_k is strict for every $k \in K$. Further, let H be such a mapping from S into S that there exist $n \in N$ and $t > 0$ so that:

$$F_{x, y}(\epsilon) > a_n \Rightarrow F_{Hx, Hy}(t) \geq F_{x, y}(t), \text{ for every } t > 0$$

If $F_{x, y}(\epsilon) > a_n$ then there do not exist $m \in N$ and $t > 0$ such that:

$$F_{Hx, Hy}(t) > a_m > F_{x, y}(t)$$

Proof: The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.3 from [1]. Suppose that $F_{x, y}(\epsilon) > a_n$. Then $\rho_n(x, y) < \epsilon$ and let $m \in N$ and $\delta > 0$. If:

$$t = \rho_m(x, y) + \delta$$

then we have:

$$F_{Hx, Hy}(t) \geq F_{x, y}(t) > a_m$$

and so:

$$\rho_m(Hx, Hy) < t = \rho_m(x, y) + \delta$$

which implies, since δ is an arbitrary positive real number, that:

$$\rho_m(Hx, Hy) \leq \rho_m(x, y) \quad \text{for every } m \in N.$$

So from Theorem 2.3 [1] it follows that for every $m \in N$:

$$(6) \quad \rho_m(Hx, Hy) = \rho_m(x, y)$$

Suppose now that for some $m \in N$ and $t > 0$:

$$F_{Hx, Hy}(t) > a_m > F_{x, y}(t)$$

Then $\rho_m(Hx, Hy) < t$ and $\rho_m(x, y) \geq t$ i.e.:

$$\rho_m(Hx, Hy) < \rho_m(x, y)$$

which is a contradiction with (6).

Corollary [1] *Let (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) be a compact Menger space and $H: S \rightarrow S$ such that there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta, \delta \in (0, 1)$, so that:*

$$F_{x, y}(\varepsilon) > \delta \Rightarrow F_{Hx, Hy}(t) \geq F_{x, y}(t) \text{ for every } t > 0$$

Then $F_{x, y}(\varepsilon) > \delta$ implies $F_{Hx, Hy}(t) = F_{x, y}(t)$, for every $t > 0$.

Proof: If $t = \min$ then for the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in N}$ we can take any sequence of real numbers from the interval $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 1$. So if we suppose that:

$$F_{x, y}(\varepsilon) > \delta \text{ and } F_{Hx, Hy}(t) > F_{x, y}(t)$$

for some $t > 0$, then there exists $\eta \in (0, 1)$ such that:

$$F_{Hx, Hy}(t) > \eta > F_{x, y}(t)$$

If we take that $a_1 = \delta$ and $a_2 = \eta$, we obtain a contradiction with Theorem 2.

Similarly as in [1] it is easy to prove the following Theorem.

Theorem 3 *Let $H: S \rightarrow S$ where (S, \mathcal{F}, T) is a compact Menger space with continuous T -norm T such that the family $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in N}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$ and T_k is strict for every $k \in K$. If there exists $m \in N$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that:*

$$F_{x, y}(\varepsilon) > a_m \Rightarrow (\exists \delta > 0) (\forall t \in R_+) (F_{Hx, Hy}(t - \delta) \geq F_{x, y}(t))$$

then the set of the periodic point of the mapping H is nonempty.

Now we shall prove a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselski's type in a random normed space.

The triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, T) is a random normed space if S is a vector space, T is a T -norm stronger than T -norm $T_m (T_m(x, y) = \max\{x + y - 1, 0\})$ and the mapping $\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow \Delta^+$ has the following properties:

$$1. F_p = H \Leftrightarrow p = 0 \text{ where } H(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x > 0 \\ 0 & x \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

2. For every $p \in S$, every $x > 0$ and every $\lambda \in \mathcal{K} \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathcal{K} is the scalar field:

$$F_{\lambda p}(x) = F_p\left(\frac{x}{|\lambda|}\right)$$

3. For every $x, y \in S$ and every $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$:

$$F_{x+y}(\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2) \geq T(F_x(\varepsilon_1), F_y(\varepsilon_2))$$

Theorem 4 Let (S, \mathcal{F}, T) be a complete random normed space with T -norm T as in Theorem 1, M be a closed and convex subset of S , $H: M \rightarrow S$ such that all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied, $G: M \rightarrow S$ be a compact mapping and $G(M) + H(M) \subset M$. Then there exists at least one fixed point of the mapping $H + G$.

Proof: The random normed space (S, \mathcal{F}, T) is, in the (ε, λ) -topology, a locally convex topological vector space with the family of seminorms $\{p_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ where:

$$p_n(x) = \sup\{t \mid F_x(t) \leq a_n\}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in S$. So we can apply Theorem 3 from [3]. It is easy to see that all the conditions of Theorem 3 [3] are satisfied and so:

$$\text{Fix}(H+G) \neq \emptyset$$

REFERENCES

- [1] G. L. Cain and R. H. Kasriel, *Fixed and periodic points of local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory, 9 (1976), 289-297
- [2] O. Hadžić and M. Budinčević, *A fixed point theorem on probabilistic metric spaces*, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis Janos Bolyai (to appear)
- [3] O. Hadžić and B. Stanković, *Some theorems on the fixed point in locally convex spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math., T 10(24), (1970), 9-19

O. Hadžić i M. Budinčević

JEDNA KLASA T-NORMI U TEORIJI NEPOKRETNJE TAČKE NAD PM-PROSTORIMA

Rezime

U ovom radu su dokazane neke teoreme o nepokretnoj tački kao i teorema o periodičnoj tački za preslikavanje $H: S \rightarrow S$, gde je trojka (S, \mathcal{F}, T) verovatnosni Mengerov prostor sa neprekidnom T -normom T tako da je familija $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ podjednako neprekidna u tački $x=1$, gde je:

$$T^n(x) = \underbrace{T(T(\dots T(T(x, x), x), \dots, x))}_{n\text{-puta}}, \quad x \in [0, 1], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

Dobijeni rezultati uopštavaju teoreme do kojih su došli Cain i Kasriel u radu navedenom pod [1].