

THE CRAIG INTERPOLATION THEOREM FOR MIXED — VALUED PREDICATE CALCULI

Gradimir D. Vojvodić

*Prirodno-matematički fakultet, Institut za matematiku,
 21 000 Novi Sad, Ul. dr Ilije Đuričića 4, Jugoslavija*

We shall consider mixed-valued predicate calculi. In this paper we shall prove an assertion which is an analogue of the Craig Interpolation Theorem (as in (2)).

The main characteristic of mixed-valued predicate calculi, which were introduced by H. Rasiowa in [3], is: for each predicate ρ there is an $n_\rho \geq 2$, such that ρ is n_ρ -valued.

We assume that the reader is familiar with papers [2], [3], [4]. A terminology and notations are the same as in [3], [4].

The following theorem is well known (see T. 5.1.2. in [3].)

THEOREM 1. *Let $L=(A, T, F)$ be an arbitrary mixed-valued predicate language. Then for each $C \subset F$ and $\alpha \in F$*

$$C \vdash \alpha \text{ iff } C \models \alpha.$$

THEOREM 2. *Formula $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ ($\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta$) is a theorem of L iff $\alpha_R(v) \leq \beta_R(v)$ ($\alpha_R(v) \Leftrightarrow \beta_R(v)$), for every realization R and valuation v .*

Proof: $\vdash \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ iff $\models \alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ (by (T.1.))

iff $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)_R(v) = e_\omega$ (by the definition of \models),

iff $\alpha_R(v) \Rightarrow \beta_R(v) = e_\omega$ (by the definition of R and v),

iff $\alpha_R(v) \leq \beta_R(v)$ (see example in [3], p-217.).

THEOREM 3. *If α, β are in F and $\text{ord } \beta = m$, then $\alpha \vdash \beta$ iff $\alpha \vdash D_{m-1}(\beta)$*

Proof: *If $\alpha \vdash \beta$, then $\alpha \vdash D_i(\beta)$ for $0 < i < \omega$ (bay rule r -mix, see [3]) that is $\alpha \vdash D_{m-1}(\beta)$.*

Conversely. $D_{m-1}(\beta) \Rightarrow \beta$ is a theorem for L . This follows from $\text{ord } \beta = m$, $\beta = (D_1(\beta) \cap e_1) \cup \dots \cup (D_{m-2}(\beta) \cap e_{m-2}) \cup D_{m-1}(\beta)$ and the fact that $D_{i+1}(a) \leq D_i(a)$ for each element a in P_ω , $0 < i < \omega$, and $e_0 \leq e_1 \leq \dots \leq e_\omega$. This we obtain $\beta \cap D_{m-1}(\beta) = D_{m-1}(\beta)$, that is, $D_{m-1}(\beta) \leq \beta$. By T. 2. $D_{m-1}(\beta) \Rightarrow \beta$ is a theorem of L .

Let $\vdash D_{m-1}(\beta)$, then by modus ponens, from $\vdash D_{m-1}(\beta) \Rightarrow \beta$ and $\alpha \vdash D_{m-1}(\beta)$ we obtain $\alpha \vdash \beta$.

THEOREM 4. For each α in F° , $f_i(\alpha) = \alpha$, $0 < i < \omega$.

Proof: The proof is based on a definition of F° and f_i (see [4]).

THEOREM 5. If $\gamma_i \in F^\circ$ for $0 < i < \omega$, and the formulas $(\gamma_i \Rightarrow \gamma_{i-1})$, where $2 \leq i < \omega$ are theorems in L , and γ is the formula $((\gamma_1 \cap e_1) \cup \dots \cup (\gamma_i \cap e_i))$, then

$(D_i(\gamma))_R(\nu) = \gamma_{iR}(\nu)$ for every realization R and valuation ν .

Proof: For every j , $0 < j < \omega$ we have $(D_j((\gamma_1 \cap e_1) \cup \dots \cup (\gamma_i \cap e_i)))_R(\nu) = ((D_j(\gamma_1) \cap D_j(e_1))_R(\nu) \cup \dots$

$\dots \cup (D_j(\gamma_i) \cap D_j(e_i))_R(\nu) = \gamma_{jR}(\nu)$ (see (p_1) (p_2) (p_6) in [3], and T. 1. T. 2. in [4]).

THEOREM 6. For any formula $\alpha, \beta \in F^\circ$, the formula $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ ($\text{ord}(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = m \geq 2$) is a theorem of L iff $f(\alpha) \Rightarrow f(\beta)$ is a theorem of $K(A)$.

Proof: If $f(\alpha) \Rightarrow f(\beta)$ is not a theorem of $K(A)$ (see [4]), then there is a model R_0 of $K(A)$ and a valuation ν such that $\alpha_{R_0}(\nu) = e_\omega$ and $\beta_{R_0}(\nu) = e_0$. By T. 5. in [4], there is a realization R of F , such that $\alpha_R(\nu) = e_\omega$ and $\beta_R(\nu) = e_0$. Hence, by T. 1., $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is not a theorem in L . Conversely, if $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is not a theorem of L , by T. 1. there is a realization R of L and valuation ν such that $(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta)_R(\nu) = (\alpha)_R(\nu) \Rightarrow (\beta)_R(\nu) \neq e_0$. Hence by T. 1. in [4] $\alpha_R(\nu) = e_\omega$ and $\beta_R(\nu) = e_0$. By T. 5. in [4] $(f\alpha)_{R_0}(\nu) = e_\omega$ and $(f\beta)_{R_0}(\nu) = e_0$. Since R_0 is a model of $K(A)$, this implies that $(f\alpha \Rightarrow f\beta)$ is not a theorem of $K(A)$.

THEOREM 7. (THE CRAIG INTERPOLATION THEOREM FOR L) If α, β are formuls in L , α is closed and $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$, ($\text{ord}(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = m$, $2 \leq m < \omega$) is a theorem of L , then there is a closed formula γ which contains only those predicates that occur in both α and β , and the formulas $\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma$, $\gamma \Rightarrow \beta$ are theorems of L . If α and β have no common predicate, then γ is one of the propositional constants $e_0, \dots, e_{m-2}, e_\omega$.

Proof: Assume that predicates of α are ρ, σ and all predicates of β are σ, θ . If $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ is a theorem of L , then, by T. 3., T. 2. and $|p_3|$ in [3] $D_i(\alpha) \Rightarrow D_i(\beta)$ is a theorem of L for $0 < i < m$. Similarly, as in [2], we have that $ff_i\alpha \Rightarrow ff_i\beta$ $0 < i < m$ is a theorem of $K(A)$ (by T. 6.). It follows that in K , the formula $(A_\rho \cap A_\sigma \cap ff_i\alpha) \Rightarrow (A_\theta \cap ff_i\beta)$, for $0 < i < m$, is a theorem. The common predicates of $(A_\rho \cap A_\sigma \cap ff_i\alpha)$ and $(A_\theta \cap ff_i\beta)$ are some $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}$, ($\text{ord}(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) = m$). By the Craig Interpolation Theorem, for classical predicate callculi [1], there are closed formulas γ_i^* , for $0 < i < m$ in F , such that it contains only the predicates from $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{m-1}\}$ and the formuls $(A_\rho \cap A_\sigma \cap ff_i\alpha) \Rightarrow \gamma_i^* \Rightarrow (A_\theta \cap ff_i\beta)$ for $0 < i < m$ are theorems of K . Since f is a mapping from F° onto F , then there are $\gamma_i \in F^\circ$, such that $\gamma_i^* = f(\gamma_i)$, for $0 < i < m$. It follows that the formulas $ff_i\alpha \Rightarrow f\gamma_i$, $f\gamma_i \Rightarrow ff_i\beta$ are theorems of $K(A)$, for $0 < i < m$. Similarly, as in [2], we have, by applying T. 6., T. 3. in [4], (p_7) in [3] and T. 2., that

$$D_i(\alpha) \Rightarrow \gamma_i \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i \Rightarrow D_i(\beta)$$

are theorems of L for $0 < i < m$. Let $\gamma'_1 = \gamma_1 \cap \dots \cap \gamma_i$ then

- (I) $\gamma'_i \Rightarrow \gamma'_{i-1}$, for $1 < i < m$, and
- (II) $D_i\alpha \Rightarrow \gamma'_i$ and $\gamma'_i \Rightarrow D_i\beta$ for $0 < i < m$,

are theorems of L . Let γ be the formula

$$(\gamma'_1 \cap e_1) \cup \dots \cup (\gamma'_i \cap e_i) \quad \text{for } 0 < i < m-1, \text{ and}$$

$$(\gamma'_1 \cap e_1) \cup \dots \cup (\gamma'_{m-1} \cap e_{m-1}) \quad \text{for } i = m-1.$$

By (I), (II) T. 5., T. 2. it follows that $D_i(\alpha) \Rightarrow D_i(\gamma)$, $D_i(\gamma) \Rightarrow D_i(\beta)$ are theorems of L , for $0 < i < m$. By [p3] in [3] and T. 3. we have that $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta$ and $\gamma \Rightarrow \beta$ are theorems in L . A similar proof holds in general.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kreisel, G., Krivine, J. I., *Elements of Mathematical logic-model Theory*, North-Holland, 1967.
- [2] Rasiowa, H., *The Craig interpolation Theorem for m -valued predicate calculi*, Bull. Ac. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astr. Phys. 20 (1972), pp. 341–346.
- [3] Rasiowa, H., *Mixed-Valued predicate calculi*, Studia Logic 34, (1975), pp. 215–234.
- [4] Vojvodić, G., *Some Theorems for model theory of mixed-valued calculi*, Publ. Inst. Math. 23 (37), 1978, pp. 229–234.

INTERPOLACIONA TEOREMA KREJGA ZA RAZNOVREDNOSNI PREDIKATSKI RAČUN

Gradimir D. Vojvodić

REZIME

U radu je dokazana teorema analogna Interpolacionoj teoremi Krejga, za raznovrednosni predikatski račun.