

ON THE EQUATION $Tx = F(x, Q(Tx))$ IN LOCALLY
CONVEX SPACES

Olga Hadžić and Ljiljana Gajić

*Prirodno-matematički fakultet. Institut za matematiku
21000 Novi Sad, ul. dr Ilije Djuričića br. 4, Jugoslavija*

ABSTRACT

In [6] two theorems on the existence of a solution of equations $Tx = F(x, Tx)$ and $Tx = F(x, Q(Tx))$ in locally convex spaces are proved. Using fixed point theorems from [4] and [7] we shall prove in this paper, some generalizations of Rzepecki's results from [6].

In [1], [2], [7] and [3], [4] some generalizations of the Banach contraction principle in locally convex spaces are proved. Some applications of such a result in the theory of Mikušinski operators are given in [3].

Here we shall use the following fixed point theorem proved in [7].

THEOREM A. Let $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a sequentially complete Hausdorff uniformizable space, T be continuous mapping X into X satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) For each $i \in I$ there exist $f(i) \in I$ and a nondecreasing function $q_i : \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ so that

$$d_i(Tx, Ty) \leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(x, Y))d_{f(i)}(x, Y)$$

for every $x, Y \in X$.

- 2) For each $i \in I$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(t) < 1$.
- 3) There is an element $x_0 \in X$ such that:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(x_0, Tx_0) = K_i \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ for every } i \in I.$$

Then there exists a unique $x^* \in X$ such that $x^* = Tx^*$ and for each $i \in I$:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(x_0, x^*) = S_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the following Lemma A is an index set and $\{f_a\}_{a \in A}$ is a net of transformations.

LEMMA 1. Let E be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space in which the topology is defined by the family $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of seminorms and $g: I \rightarrow I$. Further let M be a closed sequentially complete subset of E , for every $a \in A$, $f_a: M \rightarrow M$ so that $\lim_{a \in A} f_a x$ exists for every $x \in M$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

a) For every $i \in I$ there exists $q_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ which is a nondecreasing function for which is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{g^n(i)}(t) < 1$ for every $i \in I$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and for every $x, y \in M$:

$$(1) \quad p_i(f_a x - f_a y) \leq q_i(p_{g(i)}(x-y)) p_{g(i)}(x-y) \quad \text{for every } a \in A.$$

b) For every $i \in I$ there exists a continuous function $h_i: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that h_i maps bounded set into bounded

set $h_i(0) = 0$ and $p_{g^n(i)}(x) \leq h_i(x)$, for every $x \in E$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and for every $x \in M$ is $\{f_a x | a \in A\}$ bounded.

If $f_0 x = \lim_{a \in A} f_a x$, $x \in M$, $f_a x_a = x_a$ for every $a \in A$, $x_0 = f_0 x_0$ then

$$\lim_{a \in A} x_a = x_0.$$

P r o o f. From (1) it follows that:

$$p_i(f_0 x - f_0 y) \leq q_i(p_{g(i)}(x-y)) p_{g(i)}(x-y)$$

for every $x, y \in M$ and every $i \in I$. Applying Theorem A, from b) we conclude that for every $b \in A \cup \{0\}$ there exists one and only one $x_b \in M$ so that $x_b = f_b x_b$. Further in [7] it is proved that for an arbitrary $y_0^a \in M$, $x_a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^a$, where $y_n^a = f_a y_{n-1}^a$ ($n \geq 1$) and that:

$$p_i(y_{k+1}^a - y_k^a) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} q_{g^s(i)}(p_{g^{s+1}(i)}(y_{k-s}^a - y_{k-s-1}^a)) p_{g^k(i)}(y_0^a - y_1^a)$$

for every $a \in A$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in I$.

Since $p_{g^k(i)}(y_0^a - y_1^a) \leq h_i(y_0^a - y_1^a)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $a \in A$ and $i \in I$ and $q_1(t) \in [0, 1]$ for every $i \in I$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ it follows that:

$$p_j(y_n^a - y_{n-1}^a) \leq h_i(y_0^a - y_1^a)$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \in A$ and $j \in O(i, g) = \{1, g(i), g^2(i), \dots\}$.

So we have:

$$p_i(y_{k+1}^a - y_k^a) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} q_{g^s(i)}(h_i(y_0^a - y_1^a)) h_i(y_0^a - y_1^a), \quad i \in I, a \in A.$$

From b) it follows that y_0^a can be an arbitrary element from M and so let $y_0^a = x_0$, for every $a \in A$. Then:

$$p_i(y_{k+1}^a - y_k^a) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} q_{g^s(i)}(h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0)) h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I, a \in A.$$

Further, it follows that the set $\{x_0 - f_a x_0 \mid a \in A\}$ is bounded and by using b) we conclude that there exists $N_1 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ so that

$$h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0) \leq N_1, \quad \text{for every } a \in A (i \in I)$$

which implies that:

$$(2) \quad p_i(y_{k+1}^a - y_k^a) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{k-1} q_{g^s(i)}(N_1) h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0) \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}, a \in A, i \in I).$$

From (2) we have:

$$p_i(y_m^a - y_n^a) \leq \sum_{s=n}^{m-1} p_i(y_{s+1}^a - y_s^a) \leq \sum_{s=n}^{m-1} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{s-1} q_{g^k(i)}(N_1) \right) h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0)$$

and when $m \rightarrow \infty$.

$$(3) \quad p_i(x_a - y_n^a) \leq \sum_{s=n}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{s-1} q_{g^k(i)}(N_1) \right) h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I, a \in A).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{g^n(i)}(t) < 1$ it follows that the series $\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{s-1} q_{g^k(i)}(N_1) \right)$

is convergent for every $i \in I$. From (3) we have:

$$p_i(x_a - y_1^a) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{s-1} q_{g^k(i)}(N_1) \right) h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0)$$

and so:

$$p_i(x_a - x_0) \leq p_i(x_a - f_a x_0) + p_i(f_a x_0 - x_0) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{s-1} q_{g^k(i)}^{(N_i)} \right) \times \\ \times h_i(x_0 - f_a x_0) + p_i(f_a x_0 - x_0) \quad (i \in I, a \in A).$$

Since $x_0 - f_a x_0 = f_0 x_0 - f_a x_0$ and $\lim_{a \in A} f_0 x_0 - f_a x_0 = 0$, by using the continuity of h_i and $h_i(0) = 0$, it follows that:

$$\lim_{a \in A} p_i(x_a - x_0) = 0, \quad \text{for every } i \in I$$

which means that $\lim_{a \in A} x_a = x_0$.

In the following Lemma, E is as in Lemma 1. Lemma 2 is a generalization of Theorem 1 from [6], for bounded $T(M)$.

LEMMA 2. Let M be an arbitrary set, T be a transformation from M into E such that $T(M)$ is a sequentially complete, bounded closed set and let $\{g_a\}_{a \in A}$ be a net of transformations defined on M with the values in E and $g_a(M) \subseteq T(M)$, for all $a \in A$. Suppose that for every $a \in A$ and every $x \in M$ there exists $\lim_{a \in A} g_a x = g_0 x$. Further, for every $i \in I$ there exists $f(i) \in I$ and $q_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 1 so that:

$$p_i(g_a x - g_a y) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tx - Ty)) p_{f(i)}(Tx - Ty)$$

for every $x, y \in M$ and condition b) of Lemma 1 for $f = g$ is satisfied. Let $b \in A \cup \{0\}$. Then:

1. For every $y \in T(M)$ the set $g_b(T^{-1}y)$ has only one element and the mapping $f_b: y \rightarrow g_b(T^{-1}y)$ has one and only one fixed point $y_b \in T(M)$.
2. For every $x \in T^{-1}y$, $g_b x = Tx$ and $g_b x^{(i)} = Tx^{(i)}$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$) implies that $Tx^{(1)} = Tx^{(2)}$.
3. $\lim_{a \in A} Tx_a = Tx_0$ ($x_b \in T^{-1}y_b$, $b \in A \cup \{0\}$).

P r o o f. First, we shall prove that $g_b(T^{-1}y)$ contains only one element. Let $y \in T(M)$ and $Tx_i = y$ ($i \in \{1, 2\}$). We shall prove that $v_1 = v_2$, where $v_i = g_b x_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We have:

$$p_i(v_1 - v_2) = p_i(g_b x_1 - g_b x_2) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2)) p_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2).$$

Since $Tx_1 = Tx_2 = y$ it follows that $v_1 = v_2$. From this we conclude that f_b is a singlevalued mapping. Since $g_b(M) \subseteq T(M)$ it follows that $f_b : T(M) \rightarrow T(M)$. Further let $x, y \in T(M)$, $x = Tu$, $y = Tv$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(f_b x - f_b y) &= p_i(g_b u - g_b v) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tu - Tv)) p_{f(i)}(Tu - Tv) = \\ &= q_i(p_{f(i)}(x - y)) p_{f(i)}(x - y) \end{aligned}$$

and so for every $b \in A \cup \{0\}$ there exists one and only one element $y_b \in T(M)$ so that $f_b y_b = g_b(T^{-1}y_b) = y_b$. Applying Lemma 1 we conclude that $\lim_{a \in A} y_a = y_0$. The proofs of 2. and 3. are as in Theorem 1 |6|.

THEOREM 1. Let $M \subseteq E, K$ be a closed and convex subset of E , $T : M \rightarrow K, T(M)$ be complete and bounded, $Q : K \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping, $F : M \times K \rightarrow T(M)$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For every $i \in I$ there exist $f(i) \in I$ and $q_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 1 so that:

$$p_i(F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y)) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2)) p_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2)$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and $y \in K$ and the condition b) of Lemma 1 is satisfied for $g = f$.

2. For every $i \in I$ there exists $C(i) > 0$ so that:

$$p_i(F(x, y_1) - F(x, y_2)) \leq C(i) p_i(Qy_1 - Qy_2)$$

for every $x \in M$ and every $y_1, y_2 \in K$ and for every $i \in I$ the serie ::

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{r=0}^{k-1} q_{f^r(i)} \right) C(f^k(i))$$

is convergent, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Then there exists $x \in M$ so that $F(x, Tx) = Tx$.

P r o o f. From Lemma 2 it follows that for every $y \in K$ there exists $u(y) \in M$ such that $F(u(y), y) = Tu(y)$. If $\tilde{f}: y \mapsto Tu(y)$ ($y \in K$) then $\tilde{f}(K) \subseteq K$. The continuity of \tilde{f} follows as in [6] since all the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied, where $\{x_a\}_{a \in A}$ is a convergent net in K , $\lim_{a \in A} x_a = x_0$ and $g_a x = F(x, x_a)$, $g_0 x = F(x, x_0)$, for $x \in M$. Let us prove that $\tilde{f}(K)$ is compact which means that for every net $\{x_a\}_{a \in A}$ in K there exists a convergent subnet of the net $\{fx_a\}_{a \in A} = \{F(u_{x_a}, x_a)\}_{a \in A}$. We have ($a, c \in A$):

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) &\leq p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_a)) + \\ &+ p_i(F(u_{x_c}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c})) p_{f(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c}) \\ &+ C(i) p_i(Qx_a - Qx_c) \leq q_i(p_{f(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c})) [q_{f(i)}(p_{f^2(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c})) \\ &\times p_{f^2(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c}) + C(f(i)) p_{f(i)}(Qx_a - Qx_c)] + C(i) p_i(Qx_a - Qx_c) \leq \\ &\leq \dots \leq \prod_{s=0}^n q_{f^s(i)} (p_{f^{s+1}(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c})) p_{f^{n+1}(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c}) + \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{s=0}^k q_{f^s(i)} (p_{f^{s+1}(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c})) \right) C(f^{k+1}(i)) p_{f^{k+1}(i)}(\\ &(Qx_a - Qx_c) + C(i) p_i(Qx_a - Qx_c). \end{aligned}$$

Further there exists $M(i) > 0$ ($i \in I$) so that

$$p_{f^{s+1}(i)}(Tu_{x_a} - Tu_{x_c}) \leq M(i) \quad (s \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, i \in I, a, c \in A).$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) &\leq \prod_{s=0}^n q_{f^s(i)} (M(i)) M(i) + C(i) p_i(Qx_a - Qx_c) + \\ &\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{s=0}^k q_{f^s(i)} (M(i)) C(f^{k+1}(i)) h_i(Qx_a - Qx_b) \right). \end{aligned}$$

If $n \rightarrow \infty$ then:

$$p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) \leq C(i)p_i(Qx_a - Qx_c) + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=0}^r q_{f^s(i)} \right) (M(i)) : \\ \times C(f^{r+1}(i))h_i(Qx_a - Qx_c) .$$

The set $\overline{Q(K)}$ is compact and so there exists a convergent subnet $\{Qx_a\}_{a \in A'}$ of the net $\{Qx_a\}_{a \in A}$. Now, we shall show that $\{F(u_{x_a}, x_a)\}_{a \in A'}$ is a Cauchy net which means that there exists $a_0 \in A'$, such that $a, c \geq a_0(i, \epsilon)$ implies $(\epsilon > 0)$:

$$p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) < \epsilon .$$

Since h_i is continuous and $h_i(0) = 0$ it follows that for every $\epsilon' > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood $V_{i, \epsilon'}(0)$ of zero so that

$$z \in V_{i, \epsilon'} \Rightarrow h_i(z) < \frac{\epsilon'}{K(i)}$$

where $K(i) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{s=0}^r q_{f^s(i)} \right) (M(i))C(f^{r+1}(i)) + C(i)$. Since

$\{Qx_a\}_{a \in A'}$ is convergent there exists $a_0(i, \epsilon)$ so that $a, c \geq a_0(a, c \in A')$ implies:

$$Qx_a - Qx_c \in V_{i, \epsilon} .$$

Then we have that $a, c \geq a_0(i, \epsilon)$ ($a, c \in A'$) implies that:

$$p_i(F(u_{x_a}, x_a) - F(u_{x_c}, x_c)) < \epsilon .$$

The rest of the proof is as in [6].

As in [6] and using a similar method as in Theorem 1 we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let $M \subseteq E, K$ be a closed and convex subset of E , $T : M \rightarrow \overline{E}, T(M)$ be bounded complete $T(M) \subseteq K, Q : K \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping and $F : M \times \overline{Q(K)} \rightarrow E$ so that for every $x \in M$ the mapping

$y \rightarrow F(x, y)$ ($y \in \overline{Q(K)}$) is continuous, $F(M, \overline{Q(K)}) \subseteq T(M)$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

For every $i \in I$ there exist $f(i) \in I$ and $q_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 1 so that:

$$P_i(F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y)) \leq q_i(P_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2))P_{f(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2)$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and every $y \in \overline{Q(K)}$ and condition b) of Lemma 1 is satisfied for $g = f$.

Then there exists $x \in M$ so that $F(x, Q(Tx)) = Tx$.

From Theorem 2 we obtain the following Corollary, which is a generalization of Krasnoseljski's fixed point theorem.

COROLLARY Let $K = \overline{K}$ be a bounded, convex and complete subset of E , $Q : K \rightarrow E$ be a compact mapping, $F : K \times \overline{Q(K)} \rightarrow E$ so that for every $x \in K$ the mapping $y \rightarrow F(x, y)$ ($y \in \overline{Q(K)}$) is continuous, $F(K, \overline{Q(K)}) \subseteq K$ and the following condition is satisfied:

For every $i \in I$ there exist $f(i) \in I$ and $q_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as in Lemma 1 so that:

$$P_i(F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y)) \leq q_i(P_{f(i)}(x_1 - x_2))P_{f(i)}(x_1 - x_2)$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in K$ and every $y \in \overline{Q(K)}$ and condition b) of Lemma 1 is satisfied for $g = f$.

Then there exists $x \in K$ so that $F(x, Qx) = x$.

P r o o f. It is enough to take that $T = \text{Id}_K$, $M = K$.

REMARK. In Krasnoseljski's fixed point theorem is $F(x, y) = Sx + y$, where S is a contraction type mapping.

Using a similar method as in [6] we can prove the following Lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let $(E, \{p_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space in which the topology is defined by the family $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of seminorm, $g : I \rightarrow I, M$ be a closed sequentially complete subset of E , for every $a \in A$, $f_a : M \rightarrow M$ so that $\lim_{a \in A} f_a x$ exists for every $x \in M$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For every $i \in I$ there exists $q(i) > 0$ so that:

$$p_i(f_a x - f_a y) \leq q(i) p_{g(i)}(x - y), \text{ for every } x, y \in M \text{ and}$$

every $a \in A$.

2. For every $i \in I$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exist $a_n(i) \geq 0$ and $f(i) \in I$ so that $p_{g^n(i)}(x) \leq a_n(i) p_{f(i)}(x)$, for every $x \in E$ and the series:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{r=0}^{n-1} q(g^r(i)) \right) a_n(i)$$

is convergent for every $i \in I$.

If $f_0 x = \lim_{a \in A} f_a x$, for every $x \in M$ then $\lim_{a \in A} x_a = x_0$, where $f_a x_a = x_a$, for every $a \in A \cup \{0\}$.

Using Lemma 3 instead of Lemma 1 it is easy to prove the following theorem on the existence of a solution of the equation $Tx = F(x, Q(Tx))$.

THEOREM 3. Let $E, M, K, T, \overline{T(M)}, Q$ and F be as in Theorem 2 and the following condition be satisfied:

For every $i \in I$ there exist $q(i) > 0$ and $g(i) \in I$ so that:

$$p_i(F(x_1, y) - F(x_2, y)) \leq q(i) p_{g(i)}(Tx_1 - Tx_2)$$

for every $x_1, x_2 \in M$ and every $y \in \overline{Q(K)}$ and condition 2. of Lemma 3 is satisfied.

Then there exists $x \in M$ so that $F(x, Q(Tx)) = Tx$.

Suppose that E is a vector space in which the topology is defined by the family $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ of functionals such that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $p_i(0) = 0$, for every $i \in I$.
2. $p_i(-x) = p_i(x)$, for every $x \in E$ and every $i \in I$.
3. $p_i(x+y) \leq p_i(x) + p_i(y)$, for every $x, y \in E$ and every $i \in I$.
4. If $p_i(x_a - x) \rightarrow 0$, ($\{x_a\}$ is a net from E) and $t_a \rightarrow t$ then $p_i(t_a x - tx) \rightarrow 0$, $a \in A$.

Then E is a topological vector space in which the fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero in E is given by the family:

$$V(i, r) = \{x | p_i(x) < r\} \quad (i \in I, r > 0).$$

Suppose now that $(E, \{p_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a topological vector space in which the topology is defined by the family of functionals $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$ so that 1., 2., 3. and 4. are satisfied. We shall suppose that E is Hausdorff which means that $p_i(x) = 0$, for every $i \in I$ implies that $x = 0$.

Similarly as in [8], it is easy to prove the following fixed point theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let $(E, \{p_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a Hausdorff topological vector space in which the topology is defined by the family of functionals $(i \in I)$ so that 1., 2., 3. and 4. is satisfied. Let M be a closed and convex subset of E and $F : M \rightarrow M$ be a compact mapping such that the following condition is satisfied:*

For every $i \in I$ there exists $C_i > 0$ so that:

$$p_i(tx) \leq C_i t p_i(x), \text{ for every } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and } x \in F(M) - F(M).$$

Then there exists $x \in M$ so that $x = Fx$.

P r o o f. First, we shall show that for every V from the fundamental system of neighbourhoods of zero in E there exists a neighbourhood of zero U so that:

$$\text{co}(U \cap (F(M) - F(M))) \subseteq V$$

where co is convex hull. Let $V = V(i, r)$ ($i \in I, r > 0$). Then $U = V(i, \frac{r}{C_1})$. Indeed, suppose that $z \in \text{co}(U \cap (F(M) - F(M)))$. Then there exist $s_i > 0$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) so that $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i = 1$ and $u_i \in U \cap (F(M) - F(M))$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) so that:

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^n s_i u_i .$$

Then we have:

$$p_i(z) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_i(s_j u_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n C_i s_j p_i(u_j) < \sum_{j=1}^n C_i s_j \frac{r}{C_1} = r.$$

Then [5] there exists $x \in M$ such that $x = Fx$.

Now, using Theorem 4 instead of Tihonov's fixed point theorem, it is easy to prove the following generalization of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1'. Let $(E, \{p_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a Hausdorff topological vector space in which the topology is defined by the family of functionals p_i ($i \in I$) so that 1., 2., 3. and 4. are satisfied. Let M, K, T, Q and F be as in Theorem 1 and conditions 1. and 2. be satisfied as in Theorem 1. Suppose that for every $i \in I$ there exists $C_i > 0$ so that:

$$p_i(tx) \leq C_i t p_i(x) \quad , \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, 1] \text{ and every } x \in T(M) - T(M).$$

Then there exists $x \in M$ so that $F(x, Tx) = Tx$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Деляну, Г. Маринеску, Теорема о неподвижной точке и неявных функциях в локально выпуклых пространствах, *Revue de Math. Pures et Appliq.* 8, 1963, 91-99.
- [2] F. Gandac, *Theoreme de punct fix in spatii local convexe*, *Studii si cerc. mat.*, T 24, Nr 7, (1972), 1097-1106.
- [3] O. Hadžić and B. Stanković, *Some theorems on the fixed point in locally convex spaces*, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, T 10(24), (1970), 9-19.
- [4] O. Hadžić, *The existence theorems for the system $x=H(x,y)$, $y=K(x,y)$ in locally convex spaces*, *Publ. Inst. Math.*, T 16(30), (1973), 65-73.
- [5] B. Rzepecki, *Remarks on Schauder's fixed point principle and its applications*, *Bull. Ac. Pol. Math.*, 27(1979), 273-280.
- [6] B. Rzepecki, *Some fixed point theorems in locally convex spaces and applications to differential and integral equations*, *CMUC*, 22, 1, (1982), 113-127.
- [7] Do Hong Tan, *On the contraction principle in uniformizable space*, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, Tom 5, No 2, (1980), 88-100.
- [8] K. Zima, *On Schauder's fixed point theorem with respect to paranormed space*, *Comm. Math.*, 19(1977), 421-423.

Received by the editors February 20, 1983.

REZIME

O JEDNAČINI $Tx=(Fx, Q(Tx))$ U LOKALNO
KONVEKSNIM PROSTORIMA

U ovom radu su, korišćenjem teorema o nepokretnoj tački iz rada [4] i [7], dokazana neka uopštenja teorema o egzistenciji rešenja jednačina $Tx=F(x, Tx)$, i $Tx=F(x, Q(Tx))$ u lokalno konveksnim prostorima koje su dokazane u radu [6].