

ON A COMMON FIXED POINT IN QUASI-
- UNIFORMIZABLE SPACES

Olga Hadžić

Prirodno-matematički fakultet, Institut za matematiku
21000 Novi Sad, ul. dr. Ilije Djuričića br. 4, Jugoslavija

ABSTRACT

Using a similar method as in [7] we prove in this paper a generalization of Fisher's fixed point theorem in quasi-uniformizable spaces. First, we shall give some definitions from [5] and [7].

1. Let X be an arbitrary set, $\{d_i | i \in I\}$ be a family of mappings of $X \times X$ into \mathbb{R}^+ and $g: I \rightarrow I$.

DEFINITION 1. A triplet $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I}, g)$ is said to be a quasi-uniformizable space if for every $x, y, z \in X$ and $i \in I$ we have:

- (a) $d_i(x, y) \geq 0, d_i(x, x) = 0,$
- (b) $d_i(x, y) = d_i(y, x),$
- (c) $d_i(x, y) \leq d_{g(i)}(x, z) + d_{g(i)}(z, y).$

A quasi-uniformizable space $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I}, g)$ is Hausdorff if the relation $d_i(x, y) = 0$, for every $i \in I$ implies $x = y$. A Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable space $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I}, g)$ becomes a Hausdorff topological space if the fundamental system of neighbourhoods of $x \in X$ is given by:

$$B(x; \epsilon, i) = \{y \in X : d_i(x, y) < \epsilon\}.$$

A mapping $t: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called a T-norm if for every $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$:

- 1. $t(0, 0) = 0, t(a, 1) = a,$
- 2. $t(a, b) = t(b, a),$

AMS Mathematics subject classification (1980): 47H10

Key words and phrases: Common fixed points, quasi-uniformizable spaces, probabilistic locally convex spaces.

3. $t(a,b) \geq t(c,d)$ if $a \geq c$ and $b \geq d$,

4. $t(t(a,b),c) = t(a,t(b,c))$.

Now, let X be a vector space. I be an index set, L be a family of distribution functions and for every $i \in I$, $F^i: X \rightarrow L$.

DEFINITION 2. [5] A triplet $(X, \{F^i\}_{i \in I}, t)$ is called a probabilistic locally convex space if t is a T -norm and for every $i \in I$ the following conditions are satisfied ($F^i_x = F^i(x)$):

A. $F^i_x(s) = 1$, for every $s > 0 \iff x=0$,

B. $F^i_x(0) = 0$, for every $x \in X$,

C. $F^i_{rx}(s) = F^i_x\left(\frac{s}{|r|}\right)$, for every $x \in X, s > 0$ and $r \in K \setminus \{0\}$ (K

is the scalar field).

D. $F^i_{x+y}(s_1+s_2) \geq t(F^i_x(s_1), F^i_y(s_2))$, for every $x, y \in X$ and every $s_1, s_2 > 0$.

In X the (ϵ, λ) -topology is introduced in the following way:

The fundamental system of neighbourhoods of $x \in X$ is given by the family $U = \{U^i_x(\epsilon, \lambda) : i \in I, \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$

where $U^i_x(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{y \in X : F^i_{x-y}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$.

In [7] it is shown that a probabilistic locally convex space $(X, \{F^i\}_{i \in I}, t)$, such that $\sup_{a < j} t(a, a) = 1$, is a quasiuniformizable space in which the family $\{d_j\}_{j \in I'}$ is defined in the following way:

$$\text{For } j = (i, \lambda) \in I', \text{ where } i \in I \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1), d_j(x, y) = \\ = \sup\{s : F^i_{x-y}(s) \leq 1 - \lambda\}.$$

The construction of the mapping $g: I \rightarrow I'$ is as follows.

From $\sup t(a, a) = 1$ it follows that for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$

$a < 1$

there exists $\delta_\lambda \in (0, 1)$ so that for every $\delta \leq \delta_\lambda, t(1 - \delta, 1 - \delta) \geq 1 - \frac{\lambda}{2}$.

Let $\bar{g}(\lambda) = \sup\{\delta_\lambda : \text{where } \delta_\lambda \text{ is defined above}\}$.

Then $g(j) = (i, \bar{g}(\lambda))$, for $j = (i, \lambda)$.

2. Now, we shall give a generalization of a common fixed point theorem from [1] in quasi-uniformizable spaces. This theorem is also a generalization of Theorem 1 from [4].

THEOREM Let $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I}, g)$ be a sequentially complete Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable space, $f: I \rightarrow I, S$ and T be continuous mappings from X into $X, A: X \rightarrow SX \cap TX$ be continuous so that A commutes with S and T and the following conditions are satisfied:

1. For every $i \in I$, there exists $q_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which is a nondecreasing function for which is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(t) < 1$ for every $i \in I$ and every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and:

$$d_i(Ax, Ay) \leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(Sx, Ty)) d_{f(i)}(Sx, Ty)$$

for every $i \in I$ and every $x, y \in X$.

2. There exists $x_0 \in X$ so that for every $i \in I$:

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f), p \in \mathbb{N}} d_j(Ax_0, Ax_p) = K_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

where $O(i, f) = \{i, f(i), f^2(i), \dots\}$ and $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by: $Sx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

Then there exists $z \in X$ so that $Az = Sz = Tz$. If, in addition, for every $i \in I$:

$$(1) \sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(A^3x_1, A^2x_0) = M_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

then Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T . Further, let $M = \{w: w \in X, w = Aw = Sw = Tw, \text{ there exists } \{R_i\}_{i \in I}, \text{ so that for every } i \in I: \sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(Az, w) \leq R_i\}$. Then $M = \{Az\}$.

$$j \in O(i, f)$$

Proof: Similarly as in [4] it is easy to prove that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \in I$:

$$d_1(Ax_{2k}, Ax_{2k-1}) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{2k-2} q_{f^s(i)}^{(K_1)K_1}$$

$$d_1(Ax_{2k+1}, Ax_{2k}) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{2k-1} q_{f^s(i)}^{(K_1)K_1}.$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}^{(K_1)} \leq Q_1 < 1$; for every $i \in I$, it follows that there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $q_{f^n(i)}^{(K_1)} \leq Q_1$, for every $n \geq n_1$ which implies that:

$$d_1(Ax_n, Ax_{n-1}) \leq S_1 Q_1^n, \text{ for every } i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let us prove that $\{Ax_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence which means that for every $i \in I$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n(i, \varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $d_1(Ax_n, Ax_{n+p}) < \varepsilon$, for every $n \geq n(i, \varepsilon)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $m \geq k, i \in I$. From the definition of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and condition 1 of the Theorem it follows that:

$$d_1(Ax_{2k}, Ax_{2m+1}) \leq q_1(d_{f(i)}(Ax_{2m}, Ax_{2k-1})) \dots q_{f^{2k-1}(i)}^{(d_{f^{2k}(i)}(Ax_0, Ax_{2m+1-2k})) d_{f^{2k}(i)}(Ax_0, Ax_{2m+1-2k}))}$$

and similarly for $2k > 2m+1$:

$$d_1(Ax_{2k}, Ax_{2m+1}) \leq q_1(d_{f(i)}(Ax_{2m}, Ax_{2k-1})) \dots q_{f^{2m}(i)}^{(d_{f^{2m+1}(i)}(Ax_0, Ax_{2k-2m-1})) d_{f^{2m+1}(i)}(Ax_0, Ax_{2k-2m-1}))}.$$

Using condition 2 and the property of q_1 that $q_1(t) \leq 1$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ we obtain that for every $i \in I$:

$$d_1(Ax_{2k}, Ax_{2m+1}) \leq q_1^{(K_1)} \dots q_{f^{2k-1}(i)}^{(K_1)K_1} \quad (m \geq k)$$

and:

$$d_1(Ax_{2k}, Ax_{2m+1}) \leq q_1^{(K_1)} \dots q_{f^{2m}(i)}^{(K_1)K_1} \quad (2k > 2m+1).$$

This implies that:

$$d_1(Ax_n, Ax_{n+p}) \leq q_1^{(K_1)} \dots q_{f^{n-1}(i)}^{(K_1)K_1}$$

for every $i \in I$ and for $n=2k, p=2m+1$ or $n=2k+1, p=2m+1$. Let $p=2m$ and $n=2k$ or $n=2k+1$. Then:

$$d_1(Ax_n, Ax_{n+p}) \leq d_{g(i)}(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}) + d_{g(i)}(Ax_{n+1}, Ax_{n+1+p-1}) \leq \\ \leq \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} q_{f^s(g(i))} (K_{g(i)})^{K_{g(i)}} + \prod_{s=0}^n q_{f^s(g(i))} (K_{g(i)})^{K_{g(i)}}.$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^s(g(i))} (K_{g(i)}) < 1$, for every $i \in I$ it follows that $\{Ax_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Let $z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n$. As in

[4] it follows that $Az = Sz = Tz$.

Further (1) implies that Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T . Indeed, from $d_j(A^2z, Az) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_j(A^2Ax_{2n+1}, AAx_{2n})$ for every $j \in O(i, f)$. using condition 1. and (1) we conclude that $d_j(A^2z, Az) \leq M_1$, for every $j \in O(i, f)$, since for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$d_j(A^3x_{2n+1}, A^2x_{2n}) \leq d_{f^{2n}(j)}(A^3x_1, A^2x_0) \leq M_1, \text{ for every } i \in I,$$

where $j \in O(i, f)$.

So we have that:

$d_1(A^2z, Az) \leq q_1(M_1)q_{f(i)}(M_1) \dots q_{f^{n-1}(i)}(M_1)M_1$
for every $i \in I$ which implies that $d_1(A^2z, Az) = 0$, for every $i \in I$. This implies that Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T .

Let us prove that $M = \{Az\}$. Suppose that $w = Aw = Tw = Sw$ and for every $i \in I$:

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(Az, w) \leq R_1.$$

Then :

$$d_1(Az, w) = d_1(A(Az), Aw) \leq q_1(d_{f(i)}(S(Az), Tw))d_{f(i)}(S(Az), Tw) = \\ = q_1(d_{f(i)}(Az, w))d_{f(i)}(Az, w) \dots \leq q_1(d_{f(i)}(Az, w)) \dots \times \\ q_{f^n(i)}(d_{f^{n+1}(i)}(Az, w))d_{f^{n+1}(i)}(Az, w).$$

From this it follows that $d_j(Az, w) \leq R_j$ ($j \in O(i, f)$) and so

$$d_i(Az, w) \leq q_i(R_i) q_{f(i)}(R_i) \dots q_{f^n(i)}(R_i) R_i.$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(R_i) < 1$ it follows that $d_i(Az, w) = 0$,

for every $i \in I$ and so $Az = w$.

Remark: If there exists $u \in X$ so that for every $i \in I$:

$$d_j(Az, u) \leq T_j, \text{ for every } j \in O(i, f)$$

and $g: O(i, f) \rightarrow O(i, f)$, for every $i \in I$, then there exists one and only one common fixed point $w \in X$ for A, S and T so that for every $i \in I$:

$$d_j(w, u) \leq T'_j, \text{ for every } j \in O(i, f).$$

Namely, then we have :

$d_j(Az, w) \leq d_{g(i)}(Az, u) + d_{g(i)}(u, w) \leq T_j + T'_j$, for every $i \in I$ and every $j \in O(i, f)$ and in the Theorem is proved that $Az = w$.

COROLLARY 1. Let $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ be a sequentially complete Hausdorff uniformizable space, $f: I \rightarrow I, S$ and T be continuous mappings from X into $X, A: X \rightarrow SX \cap TX$ be continuous so that condition 1. of the Theorem is satisfied and there exists $x_0 \in X$ and $x_1 \in X$ so that $Sx_1 = Ax_0$ and for every $i \in I$:

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(Ax_0, Ax_1) = K_i, K_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Then there exists $z \in X$ so that $Az = Sz = Tz$. If, in addition, for every $i \in I$: $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(A^3x_1, A^2x_0) = M_i, M_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ then Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T . Further, if for every $i \in I$:

$$(2) \quad \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(A^2x_1, A^2x_0) = R_i, R_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

then there exists one and only one element $w \in X$ such that

(3) $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d_{f^n(i)}(w, A^2 x_0) = N_i, N_i \in \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ for every } i \in I$
 and $Aw = Sw = Tw = w$.

P r o o f: Every uniformizable space $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ is a quasiuniformizable space, where $g(i)=i$, for every $i \in I$. So we have that:

$$d_i(Ax_p, Ax_0) \leq d_i(Ax_p, Ax_{p-1}) + d_i(Ax_{p-1}, Ax_{p-2}) + \dots + d_i(Ax_1, Ax_0)$$

(for every $i \in I, p \geq 2$). Since for every $j \in O(1, f)$

$$d_j(Ax_p, Ax_{p-1}) \leq \prod_{s=0}^{p-2} q_{f^s(j)}(K_1) K_1, \text{ for every } i \in I, \text{ it}$$

follows that :

$$d_j(Ax_p, Ax_0) \leq \prod_{r=1}^p \left(\prod_{s=0}^{r-2} q_{f^s(j)}(K_1) \right) K_1.$$

Since $\overline{\lim}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_{f^n(i)}(K_1) < 1$ there exists $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that :

$$q_{f^n(i)}(K_1) \leq Q_1 < 1, \text{ for every } n \geq n_1$$

and if $j \in \{f^s(i) \mid s \geq n_1\}$ then:

$$d_j(Ax_p, Ax_0) \leq \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} Q_1^{r-1} K_1, \text{ for every } p \geq 2.$$

Since $Q_1 < 1$ it is easy to see that condition 2. of the Theorem is satisfied. So, there exists $z \in X$ such that Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T . From (2) it follows that

$d_j(Az, A^2 x_0) \leq R_1$, for every $i \in I$ and every $j \in O(1, f)$ and using the Remark we conclude that there exists one and only one element $w \in X$ such that w is a common fixed point for A, S and T and that (3) is satisfied.

Using the Theorem we obtain the following corollary which is a generalization of the Theorem 1 from [2].

COROLLARY 2 Let $(X, \{f^i\}_{i \in I}, t)$ be a sequentially complete Hausdorff probabilistic locally convex space where

$\sup_{a < 1} t(a, a) = 1, f: I \rightarrow I, S$ and T be continuous mappings from X into $X, A: X \rightarrow SX \cap TX$ be a continuous mapping which commutes with S and T and the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For every $i \in I$, there exists $q_i: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$, which is a nondecreasing function continuous from the right such that for every $i \in I$ and every $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$

$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_i^{f^n(i)}(s) < 1$ and for every $i \in I$, every $x, y \in X$ and every $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$:

$$F_{Ax-Ay}^i(q_i(s)s) \geq F_{Sx-Ty}^{f(i)}(s)$$

- (ii) There exists $x_0 \in X$ so that for every $i \in I$:

$\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_{Ax_0-Ax_p}^j(s) = 1$, uniformly in $j \in O(i, f)$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\{x_p\}_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined by $Sx_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}, Tx_{2n} = Ax_{2n-1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then there exists $z \in X$ so that $Az = Sz = Tz$. If, in addition, for every $i \in I, \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_{Ax_1-Ax_0}^j(s) = 1$, uniformly in $j \in O(i, f)$ then Az is a common fixed point for A, S and T . Further, let

$M' = \{w \in X, w = Aw = Sw = Tw, \text{ for every } i \in I, \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_{Az-w}^j(s) = 1, \text{ uniformly in } j \in O(i, f)\}$. Then $M' = \{Az\}$.

P r o o f: As in [7] it follows that (i) and (ii) implies 1. and 2. from the Theorem and that (1) is satisfied since for every $i \in I, \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F_{Ax_1-Ax_0}^j(s) = 1$, uniformly in $j \in O(i, f)$, where $d_j(x, y) = \sup\{s: F_{x-y}^i(s) \leq 1 - \alpha\}$, for every $j = (i, \alpha) \in I', i \in I$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Since, $w \in M'$ implies $w \in M$, where M is from the Theorem, it follows $M' = \{Az\}$.

Remark: If AX is a probabilistic bounded subset of $SX \cap TX$, (i) from Corollary 2 is satisfied and for every $i \in I$ there exists $h(i) \in I$ such that:

$$F_x^{f^n(i)}(s) \geq F_x^{h(i)}(s), \text{ for every } s > 0, \text{ every } x \in X \text{ and}$$

every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is easy to see that there exists one and only one element $x \in X$ such that Ax is the unique common fixed point for A, S and T .

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fisher, *Mappings with a Common Fixed Point*, *Math. Sem. Notes*, 7, 1979, 81-84
- [2] O. Hadžić, *A fixed point theorem in probabilistic locally convex spaces*, *Revue Roum. Math. Pure Appl.* 23, 5(1978), 735-744
- [3] O. Hadžić, *On Common Fixed Points in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, *Math. Sem. Notes*, 10(1982), 31-39
- [4] O. Hadžić, *On Common Fixed Points in Uniformizable Spaces*, *Anal. Num. Appr.*, 12, 1(1983), 45-54
- [5] V. I. Istrăţescu, *Introducere in teoria spatiilor metrice probabiliste cu aplicatii*, Editura Technica, Bucuresti, 1974
- [6] Duong Trong Nhan, *Pair of nonlinear contraction mappings and common fixed points*, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica*, XXVI, 1, 1981, 34-51
- [7] Do Hong Tan, *On the contraction principle in uniformizable spaces*, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, Tom 5, No. 1980, 88-99

Received by the editors March 5, 1984.

REZIME

O ZAJEDNIČKOJ NEPOKRETNOSTI TAČKI U
KVAZI-UNIFORMIZABILNIM PROSTORIMA

U ovom radu su uopšteni rezultati rada [4].