

ON A CLASS OF SPACES OF THE TYPE

$$S'(M_p(x, q))$$

Stevan Pilipović and Arpad Takači

Prirodno-matematički fakultet, Institut za matematiku  
21000 Novi Sad, ul. dr Ilije Djuričića br.4, Jugoslavija

ABSTRACT

We analyze the structure of the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  and  $\sigma'\{M_p\}$ . Under certain conditions on the matrix  $\{C_{p,q} \cdot \exp(m_p(x))\}$  we investigate relations between the space  $\sigma'\{M_p\}$  and some spaces of ultradistributions. Also we investigate the Fourier transformation on the spaces  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  and  $\sigma'\{M_p\}$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

The spaces of the type  $S'(M_p(x, q))$  were introduced in [10], though some examples of such spaces were analyzed already in [1]. In [9] a class of spaces of the type  $S'(M_p(x, q))$  was investigated.

In this paper we shall observe a class of spaces of the type  $S'(M_p(x, q))$  denoted by  $\sigma\{M_p(x, q)\}$  (short.  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  or  $\sigma'$ ) for  $M_p(x, q) = C_{p,q} \exp(m_p(x))$ ,  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$  where  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Throughout the paper  $\{C_{p,q}; (p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0\}$  (short.  $\{C_{p,q}\}$ ) denotes an infinite matrix of positive numbers and  $\{m_p(x), p \in \mathbb{N}\}$  (short.  $\{m_p(x)\}$ ) denotes a sequence of functions. The properties of  $\{C_{p,q}\}$  and  $\{m_p(x)\}$  will be given later.

We shall analyze the structure of the spaces  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ . The elements of  $\sigma'$  we shall call "exponential ultradistributions". Particularly, we shall prove that under certain conditions

the space of test functions  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is sufficiently rich and that  $\sigma'\{M_p\}$  is a subspace of the space of ultradistributions  $\mathcal{D}'^{(N_q)}$  ([3]) for a corresponding sequence  $\{N_q; q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . We shall obtain a representation theorem for exponential ultradistributions. As well, we shall define the space of entire analytic functions on the complex plane which is the Fourier transformation of the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ . This will enable us to define the Fourier transformation of exponential ultradistributions.

## 2. SPACES $\sigma\{M_p\}$ AND $\sigma'\{M_p\}$

Let  $\{C_{p,q}\}$  be an infinite matrix with positive numbers.

For this matrix we suppose:

$$(C.1) \quad C_{p,q} \leq C_{p+1,q} \quad \text{for every } (p,q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0;$$

(C.2) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  the sequence  $\{C_{p,q}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  monotonically tends to zero when  $q \rightarrow \infty$ .

(C.3) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p' > p$ , such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $q_0(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with the property  $C_{p,q} \leq \varepsilon C_{p',q}$  for  $q \geq q_0(\varepsilon)$ .

(C.3) makes that (C.1) is superfluous in the theory of spaces  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$ . We assume that (C.1) holds only to make the whole theory easier:

In order to have the differentiation as an inner operation in  $\sigma'$  we shall suppose as well:

(C.4) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that  $\sup\{C_{p,q}/C_{p',q+1}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0\} < \infty$ .

Let  $\{\mu_p(t); p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , be a sequence of continuous increasing functions which satisfy:  $\mu_p(0) = 0$ ,  $\mu_p(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\mu_p(t) \leq \mu_{p+1}(t)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Putting

$$m_p(t) = \int_0^{|t|} \mu_p(u) du, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

we obtain another sequence of functions. Every  $m_p(t)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , is an even convex function which increases to infinity faster than any linear function when  $|t| \rightarrow \infty$ . This implies that its dual function in the sense of Young

$$\tilde{m}_p(y) := \int_0^{|y|} \mu_p^{-1}(t) dt = \sup\{|t \cdot y| - m_p(t); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is finite for arbitrary  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $\mu_p^{-1}(t)$ ,  $t \geq 0$  is the inverse function of  $\mu_p(t)$  (see [2]). We suppose also in the sequel that the following condition (introduced in [6]), holds :

(A) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$m_p(pt) \leq m_{p'}(t) \quad \text{holds for } |t| \geq p'.$$

We denote by  $\{m_{p,1}(x_1)\}, \dots, \{m_{p,s}(x_s)\}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , the sequences of functions obtained from the corresponding sequences  $\{\mu_{p,1}(x_1)\}, \dots, \{\mu_{p,s}(x_s)\}$  in the above construction, and we put

$$m_p(x) = m_{p,1}(x_1) + \dots + m_{p,s}(x_s), \quad x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s,$$

Since the sequences  $\{m_{p,1}(x_1)\}$ ,  $i=1, \dots, s$  satisfy (A), this condition (in an obvious interpretation) holds also for  $\{m_p(x)\}$ .

Further on in the paper we shall put

$$M_p(x, q) = C_{p,q} \exp(m_p(x)), \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s, x \in \mathbb{R}^s.$$

DEFINITION 1. The vector space of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\gamma_p(\phi) := \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)| M_p(x, |q|); x \in \mathbb{R}^s, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} < \infty$$

is denoted by  $\sigma\{M_p(x, q)\}$  (short.  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ ). The topology in the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is given by the sequence of norms  $\{\gamma_p; p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . (As usual,  $|q| = q_1 + \dots + q_s$  where  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_s)$ ).

In the usual manner (see [1]) one checks that a sequence  $\{\phi_n(x)\}$  from  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  converges to  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$  iff on every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}$  and every  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$  the sequence  $\{\phi_n^{(q)}\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$  converges uniformly to  $\phi^{(q)}$  and for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that  $\gamma_p(\phi_n) \leq C_p$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$ . Then

- (i)  $\lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)|_{M_p(x, |q|)}; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} = 0$   
 (ii)  $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)|_{M_p(x, |q|)}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S\} = 0$

*P r o o f.* (i) follows from (C.3) and (ii) follows from the fact that  $m_p(x) \rightarrow \infty$  if  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

We denote by  $\sigma_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , a subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^S)$  such that  $\phi \in \sigma_p$  iff

$$\gamma_p(\phi) < \infty, \quad \lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)|_{M_p(x, |q|)}; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)|_{M_p(x, |q|)}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S\} = 0.$$

- THEOREM 1. (i) The space  $\sigma_p$  is a Banach space.  
 (ii) The space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is a Frechet-Schwartz space.

*P r o o f.* (i) Let  $\gamma_p(\phi_\nu - \phi_\mu) < \epsilon$  if  $\nu, \mu \geq N(\epsilon)$  and let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^S)$  be the limit of the sequence  $\{\phi_\nu\}$ .

We prove that  $\phi \in \sigma_p$ . Clearly  $\gamma_p(\phi) < \infty$  holds. We want to prove the remaining properties of  $\phi$ . First we prove that for every  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S$

$$(a) \quad \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_\nu^{(q)} - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \nu \rightarrow \infty.$$

If  $\nu, \mu_0 > N(\epsilon)$  we have

$$(b) \quad \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_\nu^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} \leq$$

$$\leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\mu_0}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} + \epsilon,$$

where  $K = B(0, \rho)$  is the closed ball with radius  $\rho > 0$ . If  $\nu \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} \leq$$

$$\leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\mu_0}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} + \epsilon.$$

For every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^S$  there exists  $N(\epsilon, K)$  such that

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_v^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in K\} < \epsilon \text{ if } v > N(\epsilon, K).$$

because  $\phi_v^{(q)}$  converges uniformly to  $\phi^{(q)}$  on  $K$ .

Let  $\mu_0 > N(\epsilon)$  and let  $\rho$  be chosen such that for  $K = B(0, \rho)$  the following estimate holds

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\mu_0}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} < \epsilon.$$

Therefore taking  $v \geq v_0(q) = \max\{N(\epsilon), N(\epsilon, B(0, \rho))\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_v^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \leq \\ & \leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_v^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in K\} + \\ & + \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) (|\phi_v^{(q)}(x)| + |\phi^{(q)}(x)|); x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K\} \leq \\ & \leq \epsilon + 2\epsilon + 2\epsilon = 5\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we proved (a).

Since  $\phi_{\mu_0} \in \sigma_p$ , there exists  $N_0(\epsilon)$  such that

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\mu_0}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} < \epsilon \text{ if } |q| > N_0(\epsilon).$$

From (b) we obtain that  $v > N(\epsilon)$  and  $|q| > N_0(\epsilon)$  imply

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_v^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} < 2\epsilon.$$

For a fixed  $q \in N_0^S$  and  $v(q) > v_0(q)$  we have

$$\sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x) - \phi_{v(q)}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} < \epsilon.$$

Thus, from

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \leq \\ & \leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x) - \phi_{v(q)}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} + \\ & + \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{v(q)}^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$\lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{ M_p(x, |q|) | \phi^{(q)}(x) |; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \} = 0.$$

The proof of

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \{ \sup M_p(x, |q|) | \phi^{(q)}(x) |; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S \} = 0$$

may be derived in a similar way by observing separately this supremum for  $|q| \leq q_0$  and  $|q| > q_0$  for a suitable  $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

$$(ii) \text{ Proposition 1 implies that } \sigma\{M_p\} = \bigcap_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p.$$

Let  $p''$  be an integer such that  $p'' \geq p'$  where  $p'$  is an integer which corresponds to given  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  in condition (C.3). From condition (A) it follows that we may choose  $p''$  such that  $\exp(m_p(x) - m_{p''}(x)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . We shall show that the inclusion mapping  $\sigma_{p''} \rightarrow \sigma_p$  is compact. For the proof we shall use an idea from [1].

Let  $\{\phi_\nu\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\sigma_{p''}$ . We denumerate the set  $\mathbb{N}_0^S$  by putting  $e_1 = (1, \dots, 0) + 1$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1, \dots, 0) + 2, \dots$  etc. By  $\{K_n\}$  we denote a sequence of compact subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^S$  such that

$$K_n \overset{\circ}{\subset} K_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n = \mathbb{R}^S \text{ and}$$

$$\sup \{ \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p''}(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K_n \} < \varepsilon_n$$

where the sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ ,  $\varepsilon_n > 0$ , monotonically tends to zero ( $K_n \overset{\circ}{\subset}$  is the interior of  $K_n$ ).

The functions  $|\phi_\nu^{(e_1)}(x)|$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ , are uniformly bounded

on  $K_1$ . Hence, by virtue of the Arzela theorem, there exists a sub-sequence  $\{\phi_{\nu,1}\}$  of  $\{\phi_\nu\}$  which converges uniformly on  $K_1$ . Because of the uniform boundedness of the functions  $|\phi_{\nu,1}^{(e_1)}(x)|$ ,  $\nu, 1 = 1, 2, \dots$ , on  $K_2$ , according to the same Arzela theorem there exists a sub-sequence  $\{\phi_{\nu,2}\}$  of  $\{\phi_{\nu,1}\}$  such that  $\{\phi_{\nu,2}\}^{(e_2)}$  converges uniformly on  $K_2$ . Continuing in this manner, and then applying a diagonalization process we obtain a sequence  $\{\phi_{\nu\nu}\}$ .

As  $\{\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}\}$  converges to  $\phi^{(q)}$  on every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^S$  and  $\gamma_p(\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}) \leq M$  we have that  $\gamma_{p^n}(\phi) \leq M$  because of

$$|\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x)| \leq (M / C_{p^n, |q|}) \exp(-m_{p^n}(x))$$

which holds on every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^S$ .

We shall show that  $\phi \in \sigma_p$  and that  $\{\phi_{\nu\nu}\}$  converges to  $\phi$  in  $\sigma_p$ .

For a fixed  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c) \quad & \sup\{C_{p, |q|} \exp(m_p(x)) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K_n\} \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon_n (C_{p, |q|} / C_{p^n, |q|}) \sup\{C_{p^n, |q|} \exp(m_{p^n}(x)) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^S \setminus K_n\} \leq \varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon_n$  is from (C.3).

Let  $\{\varepsilon'_n\}$  be a sequence of real numbers which tends to zero and let  $q_n(\varepsilon'_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be the corresponding numbers from condition (C.3). From the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{C_{p, |q|} \exp(m_p(x)) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \leq \\ & \leq \varepsilon'_n \cdot \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p^n}(x)) \sup\{C_{p^n, |q|} \exp(m_{p^n}(x)) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; \\ & x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} \leq \varepsilon'_n M \end{aligned}$$

which holds for  $|q| > q_n(\varepsilon'_n)$ , we obtain that

$$\lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{C_{p, |q|} \exp(m_p(x)) |\phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^S\} = 0.$$

This fact, together with (c), implies

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{C_{p, |q|} |\phi^{(q)}(x)| \exp(m_p(x)); q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S\} = 0.$$

So we proved that  $\phi \in \sigma_p$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_p(\phi_{\nu\nu} - \phi) &\leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in K_n, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} + \\
&+ \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus K_n, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} \leq \\
&\leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x) - \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in K_n, |q| \leq q_0(\varepsilon_n^-)\} + \\
&+ \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) (|\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x)| + |\phi^{(q)}(x)|); x \in K_n, |q| > q_0(\varepsilon_n^-)\} + \\
&+ \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) (|\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x)| + |\phi^{(q)}(x)|); x \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus K_n, |q| \leq \\
&\leq q_0(\varepsilon_n^-)\} + \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) (|\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x)| + |\phi^{(q)}(x)|); \\
&x \in \mathbb{R}^s \setminus K_n, |q| > q_0(\varepsilon_n^-)\} \leq \sup\{M_p(x, |q|) |\phi_{\nu\nu}^{(q)}(x) - \\
&- \phi^{(q)}(x)|; x \in K_n, |q| \leq q_0(\varepsilon_n^-)\} + \varepsilon_n^{-2M} + \varepsilon_n^{-2M} + \varepsilon_n^{-2M} \varepsilon_n^{-2M}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from the construction of the sequence  $\{\phi_{\nu\nu}(x)\}$  it follows that  $\{\phi_{\nu\nu}\}$  converges to  $\phi$  in  $\sigma_p$ .

We shall turn now to an important example of the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ .

### 3. IMBEDDING OF $\sigma\{M_p\}$ INTO ULTRADISTRIBUTIONS

Let

$$(1) \quad c_{p,q} = \frac{p^q}{N_q}$$

where  $\{N_q; q \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive numbers such that the following conditions holds:

$$(M.1) \quad N_q^2 \leq N_{q-1} N_{q+1}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

(M.2) There are  $A > 0$  and  $H > 0$  such that

$$N_q \leq AH^q N_{q+1}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}_0;$$

$$(M.3) \quad \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} N_q / N_{q+1} < \infty.$$

(see [3]). Observe that then the matrix  $\{\frac{p^q}{N_q}\}$  satisfies the



conditions (C.1)-(C.4). Now putting  $M_p(x, |q|) = \frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))$  we come to an example of a space of the type  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ , i.e. to the space  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$ ; of course, here  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^s$ .

One checks easily that the space  $\mathcal{D}^{(N_q)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$  (see [4]) is a subspace of  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$ , in fact we shall prove something more:

**THEOREM 2.** a) *The space  $\mathcal{D}^{(N_q)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$  is a dense subspace of  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$ .*

b) *The space  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$  is sufficiently rich in the sense of [1].*

**P r o o f.** a) Let  $\phi(x)$  be an arbitrary function from  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$  (short.  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$ ). We shall construct a sequence of functions from  $\mathcal{D}^{(N_q)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$ , which converges to  $\phi(x)$  in  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$ .

First, let us recall that condition (M.3) implies that there exists a nonnegative function with compact support  $h(x)$  from  $\mathcal{D}^{(N_q)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$  such that  $h(x) \equiv 1$  on the interval  $[-1, 1]^s$ .

Let  $h_n(x) := h(\frac{x}{n})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; obviously  $h_n(x) \in \mathcal{D}^{(N_q)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let us put  $K_n := \text{supp } h_n$ .

We shall use the following inequality

$$(2) \quad \sup\left\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} |h_n^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s\right\} \leq \frac{1}{n|q|} \sup\left\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} |h^{(q)}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s\right\}$$

for every  $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ .

We prove now that the sequence  $\{h_n(x)\phi(x)\}$  converges to  $\phi(x)$  in the sense of  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$ . It is clear that for every compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^s$  the sequence  $\{(h_n(x)\phi(x))^{(q)}; n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  converges uniformly to  $\phi^{(q)}(x)$  on  $K$ . So, we have yet to prove that for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $C_p > 0$  with the property

$$(3) \quad \gamma_p(h_n(x)\phi(x)) \leq C_p.$$

In fact, from the inequality  $N_r N_{q-r} \leq N_0 N_q$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq q$ ,  $r, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , which follows from (M.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup\{|(h_n(x)\phi(x))^{(q)}| \exp(m_p(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \leq \\ & \leq \sum_{r \leq q} \binom{q}{r} \sup\{|h_n^{(r)}(x)|; x \in K_n\} \sup\{|\phi^{(q-r)}(x)| \cdot \\ & \cdot \exp(m_{2p}(x)); x \in K_n\} \leq \sum_{r \leq q} \binom{q}{r} \frac{N_r N_{q-r}}{(2p)^{|q-r|}} \sup\{|h_n^{(r)}(x)| \cdot \\ & \cdot \frac{2p^{|r|}}{N^{|r|}}; x \in K_n\} \gamma_{2p}(\phi) \leq \frac{N_0 N_q}{(2p)^{|q|}} \sum_{r \leq q} \binom{q}{r} \sup\{|h^{(q)}(x)| \cdot \\ & \cdot \frac{(2p)^q}{N^q}; x \in \mathbb{R}^s, q \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \gamma_{2p}(\phi) \leq C_p \frac{N^{|q|}}{p^{|q|}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C_p \geq 0$  (as usual,  $\binom{q}{r} = \binom{q_1}{r_1} \dots \binom{q_s}{r_s}$ ,  $r \leq q \iff r_i \leq q_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, s$ ).

b) We shall check all three conditions from the Lemma on page 236 in [1]. We already know that there exists a nontrivial function in  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$ . The translation-invariance of the space  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$  follows from condition (A). In fact, by (A) for given  $t \in \mathbb{R}^s$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m_p(x) \leq m_{p'}(x-t)$  for  $|x|$  sufficiently large. For  $\phi \in \sigma_1\{M_p\}$ , this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_p(\phi(x-t)) &= \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x-t)| \frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} \\ &\leq C \cdot \sup\{|\phi^{(q)}(x)| \frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s, q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for some  $C > 0$  which does not depend on  $x$  and  $q$ . At last, we must show that for arbitrary  $t \in \mathbb{R}^s$ , the function  $\phi(x) \exp i(x, t)$  is in  $\sigma_1\{M_p\}$ , provided that  $\phi \in \sigma_1\{M_p\}$ . (As usual,

$(x, t) = x_1 t_1 + \dots + x_s t_s$ ). We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup\{|D^q(\phi(x) \exp i(x, t))| \exp(m_p(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{r \leq q} \binom{q}{r} \sup\{|\phi^{(q-r)}(x)| \frac{(2p)^{|q-r|}}{N|q-r|} \exp(m_{2p}(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \\ &\cdot \frac{(2p|t|)^{|q|}}{(2p)^{|q|} N|q|} \leq \gamma_{2p}(\phi) \frac{N_0 N_q}{(2p)^q} \sum_{r \leq q} \binom{q}{r} \frac{(2p|t|)^{|q|}}{N|q|} \leq \\ &\leq C_1 \sup\{\frac{|2pt||q|}{N|q|}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} \cdot \frac{N|q|}{p|q|} \leq C_2 \cdot \frac{N|q|}{p|q|} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

since  $\sup\{\frac{|2pt||q|}{N|q|}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s\} < \infty$  in view of (M.3);  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants which do not depend on  $x$  or  $q$ .

This theorem shows that  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p(x))\}$  (the dual of the space  $\sigma\{\frac{p|q|}{N|q|} \exp(m_p)\}$ ) is a subspace of a space of ultradistributions  $\mathcal{D}'^{(Nq)}(\mathbb{R}^s)$ .

A sufficient condition which implies that the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is a subspace of a space of ultradistributions is given in the following theorem. Its proof is similar to that of Theorem 2, so we omit it.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let us suppose for the matrix  $\{C_{p,q}\}$  that the following condition holds as well:*

(4) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there exist  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p' > p$ , and  $K_{p,p'} > 0$  such that

$$2^q C_{p,q} \leq K_{p,p'} \cdot C_{p',q-r} \cdot \frac{(p')^r}{N^r}, \quad r, q \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad r \leq q.$$

If  $\{M_q\}$  satisfies (M.1) and (M.3)', then.

- The space  $\mathcal{D}^{(N)}(R^S)$  is a dense subspace of the space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ ;
- The space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is sufficiently rich (in the sense of [1])

#### 4. A STRUCTURAL THEOREM FOR $\sigma\{M_p\}$

It is proved in [9] that a linear functional  $f$  on  $S\{M_p(x, q)\}$  is continuous iff there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence of measures  $\{f_q; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^S$  such that

$$(5) \quad \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S} (\text{total variation of } f_q) < \infty$$

and for every  $\phi \in S\{M_p\}$

$$(6) \quad \langle f, \phi \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S} (-1)^{|q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^S} M_p(x, |q|) \cdot \phi^{(q)}(x) df_q.$$

Yamanaka proved this theorem under conditions which are all satisfied in the case  $M_p(x, |q|) = C_{p,|q|} \exp(m_p(x))$ ; i.e., the representation (6), under the convergence of the series (5), is valid for the elements from  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ . However, for this space we shall obtain a somewhat more precise structural theorem.

**THEOREM 4.** A linear functional  $f$  on  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is continuous iff there exists a  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and a sequence of functions from  $L_{\infty}^{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^S) : \{f_q(x); q \in \mathbb{N}_0^S\}$  such that

$$(5') \quad \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \text{ess sup}\{|f_q(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} < \infty$$

and for every  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$

$$(6') \quad \langle f, \phi \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} C_{p,q} (-1)^{|q|} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp(m_p(x)) \cdot \phi^{(q)}(x) \cdot f_q(x) dx .$$

**P r o o f.** First we have to prove that the sequence of norms  $\{\gamma_p\}$  is equivalent to the sequence of norms  $\{\eta_p\}$  where

$$\eta_p(\phi) := \sup\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x)| dx; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s, p \in \mathbb{N} \right\} .$$

In [6] we proved that condition (A) implies condition (N) ([1]) for every sequence  $\{\exp(m_{p,i}(x_i))\}, i=1,2,\dots,s$ . This fact is crucial for the proof of equivalence of sequences  $\{\gamma_p\}$  and  $\{\eta_p\}$ . In ([7], this Journal) we discuss more about condition (N). Thus, using arguments of the proof of ([7] Lemma 3. (i)) and remarks given in ([7], part 2) one can prove that the sequences  $\{\gamma_p\}$  and  $\{\eta_p\}$  are equivalent.

For a fixed  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we denote by  $\sigma_{1p}$  the normed space defined in the following way

$$\phi \in \sigma_{1p} \quad \text{iff } \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^s) \mu_p(\phi) < \infty \text{ and}$$

$$\lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} M_p(x, |q|) |\phi^{(q)}(x)| dx = 0 ,$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 (i) one can prove that  $\sigma_{1p}, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , are (B) spaces,  $\sigma_{11} \supset \sigma_{12} \supset \dots$  and that the norms  $\{\eta_p\}$  are pairwise compatible. If we denote by  $\sigma^p$  the completion of the space  $\sigma$  according to the norm  $\eta_p, p \in \mathbb{N}$ , from [1] p.35 we obtain

$$\sigma' = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} (\sigma^p)'$$

It means that any element  $f$  from  $\sigma'$  may be extended from the space  $\sigma$  onto the space  $\sigma^p$  (for some  $p$ ); this element from  $(\sigma^p)'$  let us denote also by  $f$ . The  $\sigma^p$  is a closed subspace of the space  $\sigma_{1p}$ . By Hahn-Banach Theorem  $f$  may be continuously extended from  $\sigma^p$  on  $\sigma_{1p}$  to be continuous. Contrary, a restriction of any element from  $\sigma_{1p}'$  on  $\sigma^p$  belongs to  $(\sigma^p)'$ . Since we want to give a representation theorem for the elements from  $\sigma'$ , by the given explanations it is enough to prove a representation theorem for elements from  $\sigma_{1p}'$ .

We denote by  $\Gamma$  the subspace of  $\prod_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0} L^1(\mathbb{R}^s)$  defined in the following way

$$\psi = (\phi_q) \in \Gamma \text{ iff } \|\psi\| := \sup\left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} |\phi_q(x)| dx; q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s \right\} < \infty$$

$$\text{and } \lim_{|q| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} |\phi_q(x)| dx = 0.$$

The space  $\sigma_{1p}$  is isometrically isomorphic to a subspace of  $\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma_p = u(\sigma_{1p})$ , where  $u$  is the mapping defined in the following way

$$\sigma_{1p} \ni \phi \rightarrow u(\phi) = (M_p(x, |q|) \cdot \phi^{(q)}(x)) \in \Gamma_p.$$

If  $f \in \sigma_{1p}'$  then by

$$\langle \tilde{f}, \psi \rangle := \langle f, u^{-1}(\psi) \rangle, \quad \psi \in \Gamma_p,$$

an element from  $\Gamma_p'$  is defined. By Hahn-Banach Theorem  $\tilde{f}$  may be extended on  $\Gamma$  to be an element from  $\Gamma'$ ; let us denote this element by  $F$ . It is known (see [9] or [4]) that if  $F \in \Gamma'$  then there exist functions  $f_q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ , from  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^s)$  such that

$$\langle F, \psi \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} f_q(x) \phi_q(x) dx, \quad \psi = (\phi_q) \in \Gamma \text{ and}$$

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \text{ess sup}\{|f_q(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} < \infty$$

It means that on  $\sigma_{1p}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, \phi \rangle &= \langle \tilde{f}, u(\phi) \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} f_q(x) M_p(x, |q|) \phi^{(q)}(x) dx = \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} (-1)^{|q|} (f_q(x) M_p(x, |q|))^{(q)}, \phi(x) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $f \in \sigma_{1p}$  iff  $f$  is of the form

$$(7) \quad f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} (-1)^{|q|} (f_q(x) M_p(x, |q|))^{(q)}$$

such that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \text{ess sup} \{ |f_q(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s \} < \infty$$

where the series in (7) converges weakly in  $\sigma_{1p}$ .

Let us prove now a more suitable representation theorem.

**THEOREM 5.** *A linear functional  $f$  on  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  is continuous iff there exist  $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  and continuous functions  $F_q(x)$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^s$  with the property  $\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \sup\{ |F_q(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s \} < \infty$  such that for every  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$*

$$(9) \quad \langle f, \phi \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} C_{p_1, |q|} (-1)^{|q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp(m_{p_1}(x)) \phi^{(q)}(x) F_q(x) dx$$

**P r o o f.** By what was said before, the condition is sufficient. Conversely, from representation (6') we obtain that there exist a natural number  $p$  and bounded measurable functions  $f_q$  such that (5') holds with the property

$$\langle f, \phi \rangle = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} C_{p, |q|} (-1)^{|q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp(m_p(x)) \phi^{(q)}(x) f_q(x) dx$$

$\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$ , or symbolically

$$(10) \quad f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} c_{p, |q|} D^q (\exp(m_p(x)) f_q(x)).$$

Let us choose  $p_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the function  $x \cdot \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p_1}(x))$  is bounded (see (N)) and condition (C.4) holds. Then we obtain

$$(10)' \quad f = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} c_{p_1, |q+1|} D^{q+1} (\exp(m_{p_1}(x)) F_{q+1}(x))$$

where

$$F_{q+1}(x) := \frac{c_{p, |q|}}{c_{p_1, |q+1|}} \exp(-m_{p_1}(x)) \int_0^x \exp(m_p(t)) f_q(t) dt, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}^s$$

are bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . Since

$$\sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \sup\{|F_{q+1}(x)|; x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \leq \sup\left\{\frac{c_{p, q}}{c_{p_1, |q+1|}}; q \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\} \cdot$$

$$\sup\{|x| \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p_1}(x)); x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \cdot \sum_{q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s} \text{ess sup}\{|f_q(x)|;$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^s\} < \infty,$$

the relation (10)' is the desired representation of  $f$ .

## 5. FOURIER TRANSFORMATION ON $\sigma\{M_p\}$

In this section we define the space of entire analytic functions  $\Psi$  such that  $F(\phi) = \Psi$  for some  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$ ; as usual  $F$  stands for the Fourier transform. This enables us to define, through the Parseval equality, the Fourier transform of the elements from  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ .

We denote by  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$  the  $s$ -dimensional complex variable,  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s)$  where  $\zeta_k = \xi_k + i\eta_k \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ . As



usual, the scalar product  $\langle x, \zeta \rangle$  is  $\sum_{k=1}^s x_k \zeta_k$  for  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$  and  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ .

The Fourier transform of a function  $\phi \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^s)$  is defined by

$$(11) \quad \hat{\phi}(\zeta) = F(\phi(x))(\zeta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \cdot \phi(x) dx$$

provided that this integral converges. First we prove a Lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$ . Then the integral (11) defines an entire analytic function  $\hat{\phi}(\zeta)$  of  $\zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^s$  such that

$$(12) \quad |\zeta^q \hat{\phi}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{A_p}{C_p |q|} \exp(\tilde{m}_p(\eta)), \quad p=1, 2, \dots,$$

for some  $A_p > 0$ .

Of course,  $\tilde{m}_p(\eta) = \tilde{m}_{p,1}(\eta_1) \dots \tilde{m}_{p,s}(\eta_s)$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s) \dots$

**P r o o f.** Let us take  $\eta_0 = (\eta_{0,1}, \dots, \eta_{0,s})$ ,  $\eta_{0,k} > 0$ ,  $k=1, \dots, s$  and estimate the integral

$$(13) \quad |(-i)^{|q|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} x^q \cdot e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \phi(x) dx| \quad \text{for } |\eta_k| \leq \eta_{0,k}, \\ k=1, \dots, s \quad \text{and } q = (q_1, \dots, q_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s.$$

Since it is less or equal than

$$C \cdot \prod_{k=1}^s \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x_k|)^{q_k} e^{x_k \eta_k} e^{-m_{p,k}(x_k)} dx_k \quad \text{for some } C = C(p, q) > 0$$

and

$$m_{p,k}(x_k) \geq 3 \cdot |x_k| \eta_{0,k} - A_{p,k} \quad (A_{p,k} > 0), \quad k=1, \dots, s$$

we obtain that (13) uniformly converges in any "strip"  $\{\xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{C}^s; |\eta| \leq \eta_0\}$ . This implies that we can differentiate under the integral sign arbitrary many times. This means that  $\hat{\phi}(\zeta)$  is an entire analytic function on  $\mathbb{C}^s$ . Let us prove now (12); we observe first that

$$|\zeta^q \hat{\phi}(\zeta)| = |F(\phi^{(q)}(x))(\zeta)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \phi^{(q)}(x) dx \right|.$$

For given  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we choose  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p'}(x)) dx < \infty$$

(condition (N)). Now if  $\phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}$  we have

$$|\zeta^q \hat{\phi}(\zeta)| \leq \frac{C_1}{C_{p', |q|}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^s |x_i| |\eta_i| - m_{p'}(x)\right) dx \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{C_1}{C_{p', |q|}} \sup\{\exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^s |x_i| |\eta_i| - m_p(x)\right); x \in \mathbb{R}^s\}.$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^s} \exp(m_p(x) - m_{p'}(x)) dx = \frac{C_2}{C_{p', |q|}} \exp(\tilde{m}_p(\eta)) \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{p', |q|}} \exp(\tilde{m}_p(\eta))$$

for some positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  which depend on  $p$  but not on  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0^s$ .

$$\text{If } C_{p, |q|} = \frac{p^{|q|}}{N^{|q|}}, \quad (p, |q|) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ where } \{N_{|q|};$$

$|q| \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  satisfies the conditions (M1), (M2) and (M3), from

this lemma and ([3], Lemma 3.3), directly follows that for

$\phi \in \sigma\left\{\frac{p^{|q|}}{N^{|q|}} \exp(m_p(x))\right\}$  we have the following statement:

For any  $p$  there exists  $C_p > 0$  such that

$$|\hat{\phi}(\zeta)| \leq C_p \cdot \exp\{\tilde{m}_p(\eta) - M(p|\eta|\})\},$$

where  $M(\rho), \rho > 0$ , is the associated function to  $\{N_{|q|}\}$ ,

$$(14) \quad M(\rho) := \sup\left\{\log \frac{\rho^{|q|}}{N^{|q|}}; |q| \in \mathbb{N}_0\right\} \text{ (see [3]).}$$

Let us prove an inequality in the opposite direction.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\Psi(\zeta)$  be an entire analytic function such that

$$(15) \quad |\zeta^q| |\Psi(\zeta)| \leq \frac{B_p}{C_{p, |q|}} \exp(\tilde{m}_p(\eta)) \quad \text{for } \zeta = \xi + i\eta \in \mathbb{R}^s,$$

every  $(p, q) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$  and some  $B_p > 0$ . Then the function  $\phi$  defined by

$$(16) \quad \phi(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \psi(\xi) d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^s$$

is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^s$  which belongs to  $\sigma\{M_p\}$ .

Let us observe that for  $C_{p, |q|} = \frac{p|q|}{N|q|}$  the inequality (15) can be written as

$$(17) \quad |\Psi(\zeta)| \leq B_p \exp(\tilde{m}_p(\eta) - M(p|\zeta|))$$

provided that (M1), (M2)' and (M3)' hold for  $\{N_{|q|}, |q| \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ .

The function  $M$  is defined in (14). So in this case we have a more precise statement.

**P r o o f** of Lemma 2. The behaviour of  $\Psi(\zeta)$  for  $|\zeta|$  large implies that this integral defines a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^s$ . Let us take  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . First, we replace the real hyperplane  $\mathbb{R}^s$  in (16) by the hyperplane

$$\mathbb{R}^s + i\eta = \{\xi + i\eta; \xi \in \mathbb{R}^s\} \quad (\text{we shall fix } \eta \in \mathbb{R}^s \text{ later});$$

in fact, from (15) it follows that

$$\phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} e^{i\langle x, \xi + i\eta \rangle} \psi(\xi + i\eta) d\xi \quad \text{and}$$

$$\phi^{(q)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} i^{|q|} (\xi + i\eta)^q \psi(\xi + i\eta) d\xi \quad (q = (q_1, \dots, q_s) \in \mathbb{N}_0^s)$$

Since by definition  $\zeta^q = \zeta_1^{q_1} \dots \zeta_s^{q_s}$ ,  $\zeta = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_s) \in \mathbb{C}^s$ , using the inequality

$$|\zeta_k|^{q_k} \leq \frac{|\zeta_k|^{q_k+1} |\zeta_k|^{q_k+2}}{\zeta_k^{q_k+1}}, \quad k=1, \dots, s,$$

we obtain

$$|\phi^{(q)}(x)| \leq \exp(-\langle x, \eta \rangle) \int_{\mathbb{R}^s} (|\zeta|^{q_1} + |\zeta|^{q_2}) |\psi(\zeta)| \cdot \frac{d\xi_1 \dots d\xi_s}{(\xi_1^2 + 1) \dots (\xi_s^2 + 1)}$$

where  $q+\bar{2}$  denotes  $(q_1+2, \dots, q_s+2)$ . By assumption we get

$$(18) \quad |\phi^{(q)}(x)| \leq \exp(-\langle x, \eta \rangle) \cdot \left( \frac{B_p}{C_{p^n, |q|}} \exp(\tilde{m}_{p^n}(\eta)) + \frac{B_{p^n}}{C_{p^n, |q+\bar{2}|}} \exp(\tilde{m}_{p^n}(\eta)) \right)$$

where  $p^n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p^n > p$ , is chosen so that

$$(19) \quad \sup \left\{ \frac{C_{p^n, |q|}}{C_{p^n, |q+\bar{2}|}} ; |q| \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} < \infty \quad (\text{see C.4}).$$

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_s) \in \mathbb{R}^s$ ,  $x_1 \dots x_s \neq 0$  we choose each component  $\eta_k$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots, s$  of  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^s$  such that  $x_k \cdot \eta_k > 0$  for every  $k=1, 2, \dots, s$ . Taking the infimum by  $\eta$  of the right hand side in (18) we obtain

$$|\phi^{(q)}(x)| \leq \bar{B}_{p^n} \exp(-m_{p^n}(x)) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{C_{p, |q|}} + \frac{1}{C_{p^n, |q+\bar{2}|}} \right)$$

for some  $\bar{B}_{p^n} > 0$ , which depends also on the sign of  $x_k$ ,  $k=1, \dots, s$ . However, we see at once that a constant  $\bar{B}_{p^n} > 0$  can be found which depends only on  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence

$$C_{p, |q|} \exp(m_p(x)) \cdot |\phi^{(q)}(x)| \leq \bar{B}_p \left( \frac{C_{p, |q|}}{C_{p^n, |q|}} + \frac{C_{p, |q|}}{C_{p^n, |q+\bar{2}|}} \right)$$

and by (19) we get at last

$$\gamma_p(\phi) < \infty.$$

The space of entire analytic functions which satisfy (15) for every  $(p, |q|) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}_0$  we denote by  $H\{M_p\}$ . From Lemmas 1 and 2 we get

**THEOREM 9.** *The Fourier transformation is a topological isomorphism between  $\sigma\{M_p\}$  and  $H\{M_p\}$ .*

The Fourier transform of  $T \in \sigma\{M_p\}$  is an analytic functional  $\hat{T}$  on  $H\{M_p\}$ . We define it in the usual way:

$$\langle \hat{T}, \hat{\phi} \rangle := 2\pi \langle T(x), \phi(-x) \rangle, \quad \phi \in \sigma\{M_p\}.$$

(Obviously  $\phi(-x) \in \sigma\{M_p\}$  if  $\phi(x) \in \sigma\{M_p\}$ ).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Gel'fand, I. M., Shilov, G. E.: *Generalized Functions, Vol. 2, Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*, Acad. Press, New York, (1968).
- [2] Gel'fand, I. M., Shilov, G. E.: *Generalized Functions, Vol. 3., Theory of Differential Equations*, Acad. Press, New York, (1967).
- [3] Komatsu, H.: *Ultradistributions I, Structure Theorems and a Characterization*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I A*, 20, (1973), 25-105.
- [4] Köthe, G.: *Topologische Lineare Räume*, Springer, Berlin, (1960).
- [5] Mitjagin, B. S.: *Nuclearity and Other Properties of the Spaces of the type S*, (in Russian), *Trud. Mosk. Mat. Ob-va*, 9, (1960), 317-328.
- [6] Pilipović, S., Takači, A.: *The Space  $H^*(M_p)$  and Convolutors*, *Proceedings of the International Conference on Generalized Functions held in Moscow in 1980*, Moscow (1981), 415-426.
- [7] Pilipović, S.: *Nuclearity of the Space  $\sigma\{M_p\}$* , *Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Univ. u Novom Sadu, ser. mat.* 13 (1983), 167-176.

- [8] Treves, F.: *Topological Vector Spaces, Distributions and Kernels*, Acad. Press, New York, (1967).
- [9] Wloka, J.: *Über die Hurewicz-Hörmanderschen Distributionsräume*, *Math. Ann.* 160, (1965), 321-362.
- [10] Yamanaka, T.: *Note on Some Function Spaces in Gel'fand and Shilov's Theory of Generalized Functions*, *Can. Math. Univ. St. Paul* 9, (1961), 1-6.
- [11] Zarinov, V.V.: *Compact Families of LCS and Spaces of FS and DFS Type* (in Russian) *Uspehi mat. nauk.* tom 34, (208), (1979), 97-131.

Received by the editors April 25, 1983.

#### REZIME

#### O KLASI PROSTORA TIPA $S'(M_p(x, q))$

U radu je analizirana struktura prostora  $\sigma(M_p)$ ,  $\sigma'(M_p)$ . Pod određenim uslovima za matricu  $\{C_{p,q} \cdot \exp(M_p(x))\}$  ispitan je odnos prostora  $\sigma'(M_p)$  i prostora ultradistribucija. Takođe je ispitana Furijerova transformacija na prostorima  $\sigma(M_p)$ ,  $\sigma'(M_p)$ .