

ZBORNIK RADOVA
Prirodno-matematičkog fakulteta
Univerziteta u Novom Sadu
Serija za matematiku, 17.1(1987)

REVIEW OF RESEARCH
Faculty of Science
University of Novi Sad
Mathematics Series, 17.1(1987)

ON NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF A SINGULARLY
PERTURBED BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM II

Dragoslav Herceg* and Nenad Petrović**

*University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science,
Institute of Mathematics, Dr. I. Djuričića 4,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

**University of Novi Sad, Advanced Technical
School, Školska 1, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

ABSTRACT

We consider the numerical solution of a nonlinear singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problem by combination of numerical solutions of boundary value problems which approximate the original problem in two parts of the considered interval and the solution of the reduced problem. To approximate the differential equation we use the Hermitian approximation on a special nonuniform mesh. Some numerical examples are given to demonstrate the efficiency of the method.

1. Introduction

In this paper we shall consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T}{\epsilon} u := -\epsilon^2 u'' + c(x, u) &= 0, \quad x \in I = [0, 1], \\ u(0) &= u(1) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 65L10.

Key words and phrases: Singularly perturbation, numerical solution, boundary value problem.

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\varepsilon_0 \ll 1$, is a small perturbation parameter. We assume that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$c \in C^k(I \times R), \quad k \in N \quad (1.2)$$

$$g(x) \leq c_u(x, u) \leq G(x), \quad (x, u) \in I \times R \quad (1.3)$$

$$\delta = \min \{ 5g(x) - 2G(x) : x \in I \} > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

$$0 < \gamma^2 \leq g(x), \quad |g'(x)| \leq L, \quad |G'(x)| \leq L, \quad x \in I \quad (1.5)$$

Numerical treatment of problem (1.1) was considered, among the others, in Bakhvalov [1], Boglaev [2], Doolan, Miller and Schilders [9], Herceg [12], Herceg and Vučanović [13], Marchuk and Schaidurov [20], Shishkin [23] and Vučanović [26] and [28]. The problem (1.1) occurs in the study of chemical catalysis, fluid mechanics (boundary value problems), elasticity, quantum mechanics and fluid dynamics.

We note that the problem (1.1) with conditions (1.2) and

$$0 < \gamma^2 \leq c_u(x, u), \quad (x, u) \in I \times R \quad (1.6)$$

occurs frequently in the literature. In linear case, $c(x, u) = f(x)u + e(x)$, problem (1.1) can be considered under conditions (1.2), with $k \geq 2$, and (1.6) only. Because of $g(x) = G(x) = f(x) \geq \gamma^2$ and $\delta = \min\{3f(x) : x \in I\} \geq 3\gamma^2$ conditions (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) are satisfied.

It is well known that there exists a unique solution $u_{\varepsilon} \in C^{k+2}(I)$ to (1.1) which in general displays boundary layers at $x=0$ and $x=1$ for small ε , [1], [2], [9], [19]. The corresponding reduced problem $c(x, u)=0$ has also a unique solution $u_0 \in C^k(I)$ which in general does not satisfy the boundary conditions. For the solution u_{ε} to (1.1) it holds, [23], [25]:

$$|u_{\varepsilon}^{(i)}(x)| \leq \begin{cases} M(1 + e^{-i} \exp(-\gamma x/\varepsilon)), & 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \\ M(1 + e^{-i} \exp(-\gamma(1-x)/\varepsilon)), & 0.5 \leq x \leq 1 \end{cases} \quad i=0, 1, \dots, k. \quad (1.7)$$

Here and throughout the paper M denotes any positive constant that may take different values in different formulas, but that are always independent of ϵ and of discretization mesh.

In this paper we shall talk about a numerical solution of problem (1.1) which consists of $u_0(x)$ for $x \in [s, 1-s]$, $s, s_0 \in (0, 0.5)$ and numerical solutions to problems

$$\begin{aligned} -\epsilon^2 v'' + c(x, v) &= 0, \quad x \in I = [0, s], \\ v(0) &= 0, \quad v(s) = u_0(s), \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\epsilon^2 w'' + c(x, w) &= 0, \quad x \in I = [1-s, 1], \\ w(1-s) &= u_0(1-s), \quad w(1)=0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

A choice of s and s_0 is described in section 2.

From now on we consider a construction of numerical solution to (1.1) on $[0, 0.5]$. A numerical solution on $[0.5, 1]$ can be constructed in a similar way.

Let

$$h := 0.5/n, \quad I_h = \{x_i = \lambda(a, ih) : i=0, 1, \dots, n\}, \tag{1.10}$$

be a special discretization mesh with mesh generating function:

$$\lambda(a, t) = \frac{act}{q-t}, \quad t \in [0, 0.5], \tag{1.11}$$

where

$$q = 0.5 + a\epsilon,$$

and a satisfies

$$0 < 2a\epsilon < 1. \tag{1.12}$$

Let $I_s = \{x \in I_h : x \leq s\}$. On I_s we solve (1.8) using Hermitian approximation. If we denote this solution by $v_h = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m]^T$, $m \in N$, $m \leq n$, then we prove that

$$|v(x_i) - v_i| \leq Mh^4, \quad x_i \in I_s$$

where v is the exact solution to (1.8). The existence of v and the following estimates

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - v(x)| \leq M(\exp(-sy/\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2), \quad x \in [s, 0.5], \quad (1.13)$$

$$|v^{(i)}(x)| \leq M(1 + \varepsilon^{-i} \exp(-yx/\varepsilon)), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 0.5, \quad i=0,1,\dots,k, \quad (1.14)$$

follow from the inverse monotonicity of (1.8) under assumption (1.3), see [19], [23], [25]. For $s \leq x \leq 0.5$ we approximate $u_\varepsilon(x)$ by $u_0(x)$ and it holds

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u_0(x)| \leq M(\exp(-sy/\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^2), \quad x \in [s, 0.5]. \quad (1.15)$$

Using [1.13 - 1.14] we prove

$$|u_\varepsilon(x) - u(x)| \leq M(h^4 + \varepsilon^2), \quad x \in I_s \cup [s, 0.5],$$

where

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{for } x = x_i \in I_s, \\ u_0(x) & \text{for } x \in [s, 0.5]. \end{cases}$$

For the mesh generating function on $[0.5, 1]$ we can take $\lambda_0(a_0, t) = 1 - \lambda(a_0, t)$, $t \in [0.5, 1]$, if $0 < 2a_0\varepsilon < 1$. In this case s_0 one can obtain from $s_0 = \lambda(a_0, mh)$ where m satisfied $mh < \max\{1-a_0, 1-a_1\} \leq (m+1)h$.

Our numerical results are obtained by solving boundary value problems which were considered in many papers: [2], [5-11], [15-17], [20], [24], [27-28]. These results show that the theoretical order of convergence is also established numerically.

2. The numerical method

From now we shall take $k=8$ and a such that (1.12) holds. Our discretization mesh is of the form (1.10) with the mesh generating function λ given by (1.11) and

$$n \geq \max \{3, 3.5L/\delta\} , \quad (2.1)$$

The value of s we choose as follows. Let

$$\alpha_1 = q - qa\epsilon, \quad \alpha_0 = q - h(1 + 2\sqrt{3}/3) ,$$

and let $m \in N$ be determined so that

$$(m-1)h \leq \min(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) < mh .$$

Then we take

$$s = \frac{x_m}{m} = \lambda(a, mh) .$$

Since $\alpha_1 < 0.5$ it holds $s \in (0, 0.5)$. Now we shall give some properties of the function $\lambda(a, t)$. It is easily seen that

$$\lambda^{(i)}(t) > 0, \quad t \in [0, 0.5], \quad i=1, 2.$$

Let us consider the following two cases.

C1. $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1$. In this case it holds

$$4.5aq\epsilon \leq 9aq\epsilon \leq (3 + 2\sqrt{3})^2 h^2, \quad (m-1)h \leq \alpha_0 < mh \leq \alpha_0 + h,$$

and

$$s = \lambda(a, mh) > \lambda(a, \alpha_0) \geq a\epsilon(3n/(3+2\sqrt{3}) - 1) .$$

Thus,

$$\exp(-sy/\epsilon) \leq \exp(-\lambda(\alpha_0)y/\epsilon) \leq M n^4 ,$$

$$\lambda'(a, t) \leq \lambda'(a, mh) \leq \lambda'(a, \alpha_0 + h) = 0.75aq\epsilon/h^2 \leq \sqrt{3} + 1.75 , \quad t \in [0, mh].$$

C2. $\alpha_0 > \alpha_1$. Here it holds

$$(m-1)h \leq \alpha_1 < mh \leq \alpha_1 + h \leq \alpha_0 + h,$$

$$s = \lambda(a, mh) > \lambda(a, \alpha_1) = a\epsilon(\sqrt{1+0.5/(a\epsilon)} - 1) .$$

Thus,

$$\exp(-\gamma/\varepsilon) \leq \exp(-\lambda(\alpha_1)\gamma/\varepsilon) \leq M\varepsilon^2,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x'(a,t) &\leq x'(a,mh) \leq x'(a,\alpha_1 + h) \leq x'(a,\alpha_0 + h) \leq \sqrt{3} + 1.75 \\ t &\in [0, mh]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that in both cases we have

$$\exp(-\gamma/\varepsilon) \leq M(h^2 + \varepsilon^2), \quad (2.2)$$

$$x'(a,t) \leq \sqrt{3} + 1.75, \quad t \in [0, mh]. \quad (2.3)$$

In order to form a discretization of the problem (1.8) we approximate the differential equation of (1.8) by difference formula of Hermite type in $x_i \in I_s$, $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$. The coefficients of this formula are not constant, i.e. they depend on x_{i-1}, x_i, x_{i+1} . These coefficients one can obtain in a similar way as on equidistant mesh. Let

$$T^h y_i := a_1(i)y_{i-1} + a_0(i)y_i + a_2(i)y_{i+1} + b_1(i)y''_{i-1} + b_0(i)y''_i + b_2(i)y''_{i+1}$$

where $y_i = y(x_i)$ and $y''_i = y''(x_i)$ for a function $y(x)$.

We obtain the coefficients $a_p(i)$, $b_p(i)$, $p=0, 1, 2$ from the following system:

$$T^h x_i^k = 0, \quad k=0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (2.4)$$

$$b_1(i) + b_0(i) + b_2(i) = 1.$$

Let $h_i = x_i - x_{i-1}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then from system (2.4)

$$a_1(i) = \frac{-2}{h_i(h_i + h_{i+1})}, \quad a_2(i) = \frac{-2}{h_{i+1}(h_i + h_{i+1})}, \quad a_0(i) = \frac{2}{h_i h_{i+1}},$$

$$b_1(i) = -a_1(i)(h_i^2 - h_{i+1}^2 + h_i h_{i+1})/12,$$

$$b_2(i) = -a_2(i)(h_{i+1}^2 - h_i^2 + h_i h_{i+1})/12,$$

$$b_0(i) = a_0(i)(h_{i+1}^2 + h_i^2 + 3h_i h_{i+1})/12.$$

Using this we approximate the differential equation of (1.8) in $x_i \in I_s$, $i=1,2,\dots,m-1$, by

$$\begin{aligned} F_i v_h := & \varepsilon^2(a_1(i)v_{i-1} + a_0(i)v_i + a_2(i)v_{i+1}) \\ & + b_1(i)c(x_{i-1}, v_{i-1}) + b_0(i)c(x_i, v_i) + b_2(i)c(x_{i+1}, v_{i+1}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Using this and (2.5) we form discrete analogue of problem (1.8):

$$F_0 v_h := v_0 = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i v_h := & \varepsilon^2(a_1(i)v_{i-1} + a_0(i)v_i + a_2(i)v_{i+1}) + b_1(i)c(x_{i-1}, v_{i-1}) \\ & + b_0(i)c(x_i, v_i) + b_2(i)c(x_{i+1}, v_{i+1}) = 0, \quad i=1,2,\dots,m-1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

$$F_m v_h := v_m = u_0(s).$$

The solution $v_h = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_m]^T$ of (2.6), i.e. of $Fv_h = 0$, where $F = (F_0, F_1, \dots, F_m)$, is the approximation of exact solution u_ε of (1.1) for $x \in I_s$.

Theorem 1. Suppose that conditions (1.2)-(1.5) are satisfied. Then the equation $Fv_h = 0$, i.e. (2.6) has a unique solution v_h which is a point of attraction of SOR-Newton and Newton-SOR methods with relaxation parameter $\omega \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. The Frechet-derivative $F'(z)$ of F for arbitrary $z = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m]^T$ is tridiagonal matrix

$$F'(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ A_1 & B_1 & C_1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & \ddots & \ddots \\ A_{m-1} & B_{m-1} & C_{m-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A_i = \varepsilon^2 a_1(i) + b_1(i) c_u(x_{i-1}, z_{i-1}),$$

$$B_i = \varepsilon^2 a_0(i) + b_0(i) c_u(x_i, z_i),$$

$$C_i = \varepsilon^2 a_2(i) + b_2(i) c_u(x_{i+1}, z_{i+1}).$$

Let

$$\sigma := \min\{|B_i| - |A_i| - |C_i| : 1 \leq i \leq m-1\}.$$

For the coefficients $a_p(i)$, $b_p(i)$, $p=0, 1, 2$, $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$ it holds

$$a_1(i) + a_0(i) + a_2(i) = 0, \quad b_1(i) + b_0(i) + b_2(i) = 1,$$

$$a_1(i) < 0, \quad a_0(i) > 0, \quad a_2(i) < 0, \quad b_0(i) \geq 5/6.$$

Since $i \leq m-1$ we have

$$ih \leq a_0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad b_1(i) \geq -1/6.$$

For $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$ it holds

$$-1/6 \leq b_1(i) \leq b_2(i), \quad 1/12 \leq b_2(i) \leq 1/6.$$

Now we consider two cases: $b_1(i) < 0$ and $b_1(i) \geq 0$. In the first case $A_i < 0$ and in dependence of C_i we have the following two subcases.

If $C_i \leq 0$ it holds

$$\sigma \geq \varepsilon^2 (a_1(i) + a_0(i) + a_2(i)) + b_1(i)c_u(x_{i-1}, z_{i-1}) + b_0(i)c_u(x_i, z_i)$$

$$+ b_2(i)c_u(x_{i+1}, z_{i+1}) \geq b_0(i)g(x_i) + b_2(i)g(x_{i+1}) + b_1(i)G(x_{i-1})$$

$$\sigma \geq (b_0(i) + b_2(i))g(x_i) + b_1(i)G(x_i) + b_2(i)g'(e_{i+1})h_{i+1} + b_1(i)G'(e_{i-1})h_i$$

$$6\sigma \geq 11g(x_i) - G(x_i) - Ig'(e_{i+1})lh_{i+1} - Ig'(e_{i-1})lh_i \geq \delta - 2Lh_{i+1}$$

$$\sigma \geq \delta/6 - Lh_{i+1}/3.$$

If $c_i > 0$ we have

$$\sigma \geq -2\varepsilon^2 a_2(i) + b_1(i)c_u(x_{i-1}, z_{i-1}) + b_0(i)c_u(x_i, z_i) - b_2(i)c_u(x_{i+1}, z_{i+1})$$

$$\sigma > b_0(i)g(x_i) + (b_1(i) - b_2(i))G(x_i) - b_2(i)G'(e_{i+1})h_{i+1} + b_1(i)G'(e_{i-1})h_i$$

$$6\sigma > 5g(x_i) - 2G(x_i) - Ig'(e_{i+1})lh_{i+1} - Ig'(e_{i-1})lh_i \geq \delta - 2Lh_{i+1}$$

$$\sigma \geq \delta/6 - Lh_{i+1}/3.$$

In the second case, i.e. in the case $b_1(i) \geq 0$ in a similar way as above we conclude that for $A_i \leq 0$, $C_i \leq 0$ it holds $\sigma \geq \gamma^2$ and in other cases it holds

$$\sigma \geq \delta/6 - Lh_{i+1}/6.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\sigma \geq \min\{\gamma^2, \delta/6 - Lh_{i+1}/3\}.$$

Since $h_{i+1} = \lambda'(a, \theta)h$ for some $\theta \in (ih, (i+1)h)$ we have from (2.3)

$$0 < \lambda'(a, t) \leq \sqrt{3+1.75}, \quad t \in [0, mh].$$

Now from (2.1) it follows

$$\sigma \geq \min\{Y^2, \delta(1.75 - \sqrt{3}/21)\}$$

and

$$\|F'(z)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq 1/\sigma \leq M. \quad (2.7)$$

Now by Hadamard Theorem, [21] it follows that equation $Fv_h = 0$ has a unique solution v_h . The matrix $F'(z)$ is strictly diagonally dominant matrix and convergence of the Newton-SOR and SOR-Newton iterative methods for $w \in (0,1]$ follows by well known theorems from [21].

The theorem below is the main result of our paper.

Theorem 3. Suppose that conditions (1.2)-(1.5) are satisfied. Let u_ϵ be the solution to problem (1.1) and let

$$u(x) = \begin{cases} v_i & \text{for } x = x_i \in I_s, \\ u_\epsilon(x) & \text{for } x \in [s, 0.5], \end{cases}$$

where $v_h = [v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n]^T$ is the solution of (2.6) on discretization mesh given by (1.10)-(1.12) with

$$n \geq \max\{3, 3.5L/g\}.$$

Then we have

$$|u_\epsilon(x) - u(x)| \leq M(h^4 + \epsilon^2), \quad x \in I_s \cup [s, 0.5]. \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. From (1.15) and (2.2) it follows that (2.8) is valid for $x \in [s, 0.5]$. For $x = x_i \in I_s$ we have

$$|u_\epsilon(x) - u(x)| \leq |u_\epsilon(x) - v(x)| + |v(x) - v_i|.$$

Using (2.2) and (1.13) we see that for proof of (2.8) it is sufficient to prove

$$\|v^h(x) - v_h(x)\| \leq Mh^4, \quad (2.9)$$

where $v^h = [v(x_0), v(x_1), \dots, v(x_m)]^T$.

For a function $f \in C^6(I)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_i := T^h f_i &= (-a_1(i)h_i^5 + a_2(i)h_{i+1}^5)f^{(5)}(x_i)/120 \\ &\quad + (-b_1(i)h_i^3 + b_2(i)h_{i+1}^3)f^{(5)}(x_i)/6 \\ &\quad + (a_1(i)h_i^6 + a_2(i)h_{i+1}^6)f^{(6)}(\theta_i)/720 \\ &\quad + (b_1(i)h_i^4 + b_2(i)h_{i+1}^4)f^{(6)}(\sigma_i)/24, \end{aligned}$$

with $\theta_i, \sigma_i \in (x_{i-1}, x_{i+1})$. Simple calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_i &= (h_{i+1} - h_i)(2h_i^2 + 2h_{i+1}^2 + 5h_i h_{i+1})f^{(5)}(x_i)/180 \\ &\quad - (h_i^5 + h_{i+1}^5)f^{(6)}(\theta_i)/(360(h_i + h_{i+1})) \\ &\quad + (h_i^4 + h_{i+1}^4 - h_i^2 h_{i+1}^2)f^{(6)}(\sigma_i)/144. \end{aligned}$$

For the solution v to problem (1.8) we have for $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^2 T^h v(x_i) &= \epsilon^2 Rv(x_i) = \epsilon^2 (a_1(i)v(x_{i-1}) + a_0(i)v(x_i) + a_2(i)v(x_{i+1})) \\ &\quad + b_1(i)c(x_{i-1}, v(x_{i-1})) + b_0(i)c(x_i, v(x_i)) \\ &\quad + b_2(i)c(x_{i+1}, v(x_{i+1})). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that for $i=1, 2, \dots, m-1$

$$\epsilon^2 T^h v(x_i) - F_i v_h = F_i v^h - F_i v_h = \epsilon^2 Rv(x_i).$$

Let $R_h = \epsilon^2 [0, Rv(x_1), Rv(x_2), \dots, Rv(x_{m-1}), 0]^T$. From

$$Fv^h - Fv_h = R_h,$$

it follows

$$F'(z)(v^h - v_h) = R_h,$$

for some $z = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_m]^T$. Now from (2.7) we have

$$\|u^h - v_h\|_\infty \leq M \|R_h\|_\infty.$$

In order to prove (2.9) we need the estimate

$$\|R_h\|_\infty \leq M h^4$$

i.e.

$$\epsilon^2 |Rv(x_i)| \leq M h^4, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m-1. \quad (2.10)$$

By using the technique from [25], [25] and [27] we can obtain the last inequality. Here we give the main steps only.

The truncation error of our discretization one can write as

$$\epsilon^2 Rv(x_i) = \epsilon^2 (P_i + Q_i + S_i),$$

where

$$P_i = (h_{i+1} - h_i)(2h_i^2 + 2h_{i+1}^2 + 5h_i h_{i+1}) v^{(5)}(x_i)/180,$$

$$Q_i = - (h_i^5 + h_{i+1}^5) v^{(6)}(x_i)/(360(h_i + h_{i+1})),$$

$$S_i = (h_i^4 + h_{i+1}^4 - h_{i+1}^2 h_i^2) v^{(6)}(x_i)/144.$$

The estimates of $\epsilon^2 (P_i + Q_i + S_i)$, will be given in the following two cases.

C1. Because of $q > 2h$ there is a number $Q \in (1, M)$ such that $n > Q / ((Q-1)q) > 1/q$. Now let $(i-1)h \leq q - Q / (Q-1)n$. It follows that $(i+1)h < q$ and

$$q - (i-1)h \leq Q(q - (i+1)h).$$

Since

$$h_{i+1} - h_i \leq Mh^2 \lambda''((i+1)h),$$

$$h_{i+1} = \lambda((i+1)h) - \lambda(ih) \leq h\lambda'((i+1)h),$$

$$\lambda'((i+1)h) = a\epsilon q(q - (i+1))^{-2} \leq a\epsilon q Q^2(q - (i-1)h)^{-2},$$

$$\lambda''((i+1)h) = 2a\epsilon q(q - (i+1))^{-3} \leq a\epsilon q Q^3(q - (i-1)h)^{-3},$$

$$q - (i-1)h > q - \alpha_1 = \sqrt{a\epsilon q}$$

we have

$$|P_i| \leq Mh^4(a\epsilon q)^3 Q^7(1 + \epsilon^{-5} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) / (q - (i-1)h)^7,$$

$$|P_i| \leq Mh^4 \epsilon^3(1 + \epsilon^{-5} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) / (q - (i-1)h)^7,$$

$$|P_i| \leq Mh^4(\epsilon^{-1/2} + \epsilon^{-2}(q - (i-1)h)^{-7} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)),$$

$$\epsilon^2 |P_i| \leq Mh^4.$$

Analogously

$$\epsilon^2 |Q_i + S_i| \leq Mh^4 \epsilon^2(1 + \epsilon^{-6} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) \lambda'((i+1)h)^4,$$

$$\epsilon^2 |Q_i + S_i| \leq Mh^4(1 + \epsilon^{-4} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) \epsilon^4(q - (i-1)h)^{-8},$$

$$\epsilon^2 |Q_i + S_i| \leq Mh^4(1 + (q - (i-1)h)^{-8} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) \leq Mh^4.$$

C.2. In this case is $0 < q - 2Qh/(Q-1) < (i-1)h \leq \alpha_1$
and $\epsilon \leq Mh^2$. Now we use

$$\epsilon^2 |Rv(x_i)| \leq M\epsilon^2 \max(|v''(x)| : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}])$$

$$\leq M\epsilon^2(1 + \epsilon^{-2} \exp(-\gamma\lambda((i-1)h)/\epsilon)) \leq mh^4$$

So in both cases we get (2.10), which completes the proof of the theorem.

3. Numerical examples

In this section we present the results of some numerical experiments using the scheme described in previous section. Our examples are often used in the literature to compare different codes. For some of the selected examples the exact solutions are known. In cases where the exact solution is not available for comparison purposes, we compute a good approximation to it by asymptotic expansions. We also give the numerical validation of the theoretical order of uniform convergence for the scheme discussed in section 2.

We shall here list our examples and the characteristics of the examples. The boundary conditions for all examples are the same as in (1.1), i.e. $u(0)=u(1)=0$.

Example 1.

Equation: $-\varepsilon^2 u'' + u + \cos^2(\pi x) + 2(\varepsilon\pi)^2 \cos(2\pi x) = 0$

Solution: $u_\varepsilon(x) = (\exp(-x/\varepsilon) + \exp(-(1-x)/\varepsilon))/(1 + \exp(-1/\varepsilon)) - \cos^2(\pi x)$

References:

- a) Cash,[6]
- b) Dickhoff,Lory,Oberle,Pesh,Rentrop,Seidel,[8]
- c) Deuflhard,Bader,[7]
- d) Doolan,Miller,Schilders,[9]
- e) Hemker, Schippers, de Zeeuw,[11]
- f) Herman,Berndt,[15]
- g) Lentiñi,Osborne,Russel,[16]
- h) Lentiñi,Pereyra,[17]
- i) Stoer,Bulirsch,[24]
- j) Vušanović,Herceg,Petrović,[27]
- k) Vušanović,[28]

Parameters: $\varepsilon = 1/20$ in b,g,h,i

$\varepsilon = 1/k$, $k=20(20)200$ in c

$\varepsilon = 1/k$, $k=2,10,100,1000$ in e

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon &= 1/k, k=100-10\ 000 \text{ in } f \\ \varepsilon &= 10^{-k}, k=1-8 \text{ in } a \\ \varepsilon &= 1/400 \text{ in } d \\ \varepsilon &= 10^{-k}, k=3,6,9,12 \text{ in } j,k.\end{aligned}$$

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma = 1, L = 0, g(x)=G(x)=1, \delta = 3.$

Condition for n: $n \geq 3.$

Example 2.

Equations: $-e^2 u'' + u - 1 = 0.$

Solution: $u(x) = 1 - ch(x/\varepsilon) - sh(x/\varepsilon)(1 - ch(1/\varepsilon))/sh(1/\varepsilon).$

References: a) Bahl,[5]

b) Herceg,[14].

Parameters: $\varepsilon = 1/k, k=10,20,30,300,1000,100\ 000 \text{ in } a$
 $\varepsilon = 2^{-k}, k=20-80 \text{ in } b.$

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma = 1, L = 0, g(x)=G(x)=1, \delta = 3.$

Condition for n: $n \geq 3.$

Example 3.

Equation: $-e^2 u'' + u - 10(2 - \exp(x)) = 0.$

Solution: $u(x) = 20 - d \exp(x) + (20 - d)(cth(1/\varepsilon)sh(x/\varepsilon) - ch(x/\varepsilon))$
 $+ d \exp(1)(20 - d \exp(1)) sh(x/\varepsilon)/sh(1/\varepsilon)$
 $d = 10/(1 - \varepsilon^2).$

Reference: a) Marchuk,Schaidurov,[20].

Parameters: $\epsilon = 1/k$, $k=10, 20, 100$.

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma = 1$, $L = 0$, $g(x)=G(x)=1$, $\delta = 3$.

Condition for n: $n \geq 3$.

Example 4.

Equations: $-\epsilon^2 u'' + (1 - \epsilon)u/(1 + x)^2 + (1 - \epsilon)x/(1 + x)^2 = 0$.

Solution: $u_\epsilon(x) = -x + (2/(1+x))^{-1/\epsilon} (1 - (1+x)^{-2/\epsilon} + 1)/(1 - 2^{-2/\epsilon} + 1)$.

Reference: a) Boglaev,[2].

Parameters: $\epsilon = 1/k$, $k=10, 100, 10, 000, 1000, 000$.

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma^2 = (1 - \epsilon_0)/2$, $L = 3/4$, $\delta = 2 - 2.5\epsilon_0$,
 $g(x) = (1 - \epsilon_0)/(1 + x^2)$, $G(x) = 1/(1 + x^2)$.

Condition for n: $n \geq \max\{3, 10.5/((8 - 10\epsilon_0))\}$.

Example 5.

Equations: $-\epsilon^2 u'' + (1 + x)^2 u - (12x^2 - 13x + 5)(1 + x)^2 = 0$.

Solution: $u_\epsilon(x) = 12x^2 - 13x + 5 + 2.5((x/\epsilon)^2 + x/\epsilon - 2)\exp(-x/\epsilon)$
 $-4((x-1)/\epsilon)^2 - 1.5(x-1)/\epsilon \exp(2(x-1)/\epsilon) + p(x)$,
 $|p(x)| \leq M\epsilon^2$.

Reference: a) Doolan,Miller,Schilders,[9].

Parameters: $\epsilon = 2^{-k}$, $k=1(1)9$.

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma = 1, L = 2, g(x)=G(x)=(1+x)^2, \delta = 3.$

Condition for n: $n \geq 3.$

Example 6.

Equation: $-\varepsilon^2 u'' + (2x^3 - 3x^2 + 6)u - 4(2x^2 - 3x + 2)((x - .5)^2 + 2) = 0.$

Solution: $u_\varepsilon(x) = 1 - x + (12x^2 - 12x + 8)(x^2 - x + 2.25)/(2x^3 - 3x^2 + 6)$
 $- 4\exp(-\sqrt{6}x/\varepsilon) - 3.6\exp(\sqrt{5}(x-1)/\varepsilon) + p(x),$
 $|p(x)| \leq M\varepsilon^2.$

Reference: a) Doolan, Miller, Schilders, [9].

Parameters: $\varepsilon = 2^{-k}, k=1(1)9.$

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.4) : $\gamma^2 = 5, L = 3/2, \delta = 20$
 $g(x)=G(x)=2x^3 - 3x^2 + 6.$

Condition for n: $n \geq 3.$

Example 7.

Equation: $-\varepsilon^2 u'' + (u - 1)/(1 + d(1 - u)) = 0, 0 \leq d < \sqrt{2.5} - 1.$

Solution: unknown.

References: a) Bohl, [5].

Parameters: $\varepsilon = 1/k, k=10,20,30,300,1000,1000000.$

Constants and functions

from (1.3)-(1.5) : $\gamma = 1/(1+d), L = 0, g(x)=1/(1+d)^2,$
 $G(x)=1, \delta = 5/(1+d)^2 - 2.$

Condition for n: $n \geq 3.$

We denote by E_n the maximum of $|u_\varepsilon(x) - u(x)|$,
 $x \in I_h$, i.e.

$$E_n = \max\{|u_\varepsilon(x_i) - u(x_i)| : i=0,1,2,\dots,n\} .$$

Also, we define in the usual way the order of convergence Ord for two successive values of n with respective errors E_n and E_{2n} :

$$Ord = (\log(E_n) - \log(E_{2n}))/\log 2 .$$

We expect that $Ord = 4$ for small ε . Tables 1-3. present the results for numerical solution obtained by our method for examples 1,2 and 6 respectively. In table 4 we give some values of α_0 and α_1 as a functions of n and ε .

Table 1. Example 1. $a=2$.

n, ε	2^{-4}	2^{-8}	2^{-16}	2^{-32}	$2^{-64} - 2^{-80}$	
4	3 7.92966(-2) -	2 1.44092(-1) -	2 1.35368(-1) -	2 1.35335(-1) -	2 1.35335(-1) -	E_n Ord
8	6 7.92966(-2) 0.000	6 3.82619(-3) 5.235	6 4.49273(-3) 4.913	6 4.49559(-3) 4.912	6 4.49559(-3) 4.912	
16	12 7.92966(-2) 0.000	15 3.32807(-4) 3.523	14 3.48598(-4) 3.688	14 3.48711(-4) 3.688	14 3.48712(-4) 3.688	
32	23 1.04333(-1) -0.396	29 3.01196(-4) 0.144	30 2.12123(-5) 4.039	30 2.12160(-5) 4.039	30 2.12165(-5) 4.039	
64	45 1.17451(-1) -0.171	57 3.01196(-4) 0.000	62 1.31060(-6) 4.017	62 1.31247(-6) 4.015	62 1.31340(-6) 4.014	
128	89 1.24171(-1) -0.080	114 3.01196(-4) 0.000	126 8.21892(-8) 3.995	126 8.21892(-8) 3.997	126 8.63802(-8) 3.926	

Table 2. Example 2. $a=1$.

n	2^{-4}	2^{-8}	2^{-16}	2^{-32}	$2^{-64} - 2^{-80}$	E_n
						Ord
4	3 1.35336(-1) -	2 3.73583(-1) -	2 3.67902(-1) -	2 3.67879(-1) -	2 3.67879(-1) -	
8	7 3.02011(-2) 2.164	6 5.45253(-2) 2.776	6 4.98053(-2) 2.885	6 4.97871(-2) 2.885	6 4.97871(-2) 2.885	
16	13 7.42751(-2) -1.298	14 1.41048(-3) 5.273	14 1.66095(-3) 4.906	14 1.66191(-3) 4.905	14 1.66191(-3) 4.905	
32	25 1.03032(-1) -0.472	30 1.27091(-4) 3.472	30 1.28421(-4) 3.693	30 1.28449(-4) 3.694	30 1.28450(-4) 3.694	
64	49 1.18787(-1) -0.205	59 2.19385(-5) 2.534	62 7.80961(-6) 4.039	62 7.80984(-6) 4.040	62 7.81030(-6) 4.040	
128	97 1.26968(-1) -0.096	118 2.19385(-5) 0.000	126 4.82891(-7) 4.015	126 4.84288(-7) 4.011	126 4.84521(-7) 4.011	

Table 3. Example 6. $a=2$.

$n \backslash \epsilon$	2^{-4}	2^{-8}	2^{-16}	2^{-32}	$2^{-64} - 2^{-80}$	E_n Ord
3	2	2	2	2	2	
4	6.77863(-2)	1.51891(-1)	1.64808(-1)	1.64850(-1)	1.64861(-1)	
-	-	-	-	-	-	
6	6	6	6	6	6	
8	5.65400(-3)	9.82175(-3)	1.04836(-2)	1.04861(-2)	1.04861(-2)	
3.584	3.951	3.975	3.975	3.975	3.975	
12	15	14	14	14	14	
16	8.85083(-3)	5.44772(-4)	6.23719(-4)	6.23875(-4)	6.23876(-4)	
-0.647	4.172	4.071	4.071	4.071	4.071	
23	29	30	30	30	30	
32	9.06107(-3)	4.73624(-5)	3.86313(-5)	3.86424(-5)	3.86387(-5)	
-0.034	3.523	4.013	4.013	4.013	4.013	
45	57	62	62	62	62	
64	9.12677(-3)	4.54336(-5)	2.41213(-6)	2.42237(-6)	2.41585(-6)	
-0.104	0.060	4.001	3.996	3.999		
89	114	126	126	126	126	
128	9.18783(-3)	4.57680(-5)	1.61119(-7)	1.44355(-7)	1.59256(-7)	
-0.096	-0.011	3.904	4.069	3.923		

Table 4. . $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0(n, \epsilon)$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\epsilon)$ for $a = 2$.

$n \backslash \epsilon$	2^{-4}	2^{-8}	2^{-16}	$2^{-32} - 2^{-100}$
4	.3556624327	.2384749327	.2306929503	.2306624327
8	.4903312164	.3731437164	.3653617339	.3653312164
16	.5576656082	.4404781082	.4326961258	.4326656082
32	.5913328041	.4741453041	.4663633217	.4663328041
64	.6081664020	.4909789020	.4831969196	.4831664020
128	.6165832010	.4993957010	.4916137186	.4915832010
256	.6207916005	.5036041005	.4958221181	.4957916005
α_1	.3454915028	.4448261113	.4961241484	.4999847417

References

1. Bakhvalov,N.S.: K optimizacii metodov resheniya kraevykh zadach pri nalichii pogranichnogo sloya, Zh. vychisl. mat. i mat. fiz. 9(4), 841-859(1969)
2. Boglaev,I.P.: Variacionno-raznostnaya skhema dlya kraevoi zadachi s malym parametrom pri starshei proizvodnoi, Zh. vychisl. mat. i mat. fiz. 21(4), 887-896(1981)
3. Boglaev,I.P.: Priblizhennoe reshenie nelineinoi kraevoi zadachi s malym parametrom pri starshei proizvodnoi, Zh. vychisl. mat. i mat. fiz. 24(11), 1649-1656(1984)
4. Bohl,E., Lorenz,J.: Inverse monotonicity and difference schemes of higher order. A summary for two-point boundary value problems, Aeq. Math. 19, 1-36(1979)
5. Bohl,E.: Finite Modelle gewöhnlicher Randwertaufgaben.
Stuttgart: Teubner 1981
6. Cash,J.R.: Adaptive Runge-Kutta methods for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems with mild boundary layers. Comp.& Maths. with Appl. Vol.11(8), 605-619(1985)
7. Deuflhard,P., Bader,G.: Multiple shooting techniques - revised. Preprint No.163, Univ. Heidelberg, Inst. Für Angewandte Informatik(1982)
8. Dieckhoff,H.-J., Lory,P., Oberle,H.J., Pesch,H.J., Rentrop, P., Seidel,R.: Comparing routines for the numerical solution of initial value problems of ordinary differential equations in multiple shooting. TU München, Inst. für Math., Report 7520(1975)

9. Doolan,E.P., Miller,J.J.H., Schilders,W.H.A.: Uniform numerical methods for problems with initial and boundary layers. Dublin: Boole Press 1980
10. Hemker,P.W.: A numerical study of stiff two-point boundary problems. Mathematical Centre Tracts 80, Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam(1977)
11. Hemker, P.W., Schippers,H., de Zeeuw,P.M.: Comparing some aspects of two codes for two-point boundary value problems. Mathematisch Centrum Amsterdam, Report NW 98/80(1980)
12. Herceg,D.: A uniformly convergent scheme with quasi-constant fitting factors, Zb. rad. Prir.-mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu, Ser. Mat. 11,105-115(1981)
13. Herceg,D., Vulanović,R.: Some finite-difference schemes for a singular perturbation problem on a non-uniform mesh, Zb. rad. Prir.-mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu, Ser. Mat. 11, 117-134(1981)
14. Herceg,D.: On numerical solution of singularly perturbed boundary value problem. In: V Conference on Applied Mathematics (Z.Bohte,ed.),59-66. Ljubljana: University of Ljubljana, Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics 1986
15. Hermann,M., Berndt,H.: RWPM, a multiple shooting code for nonlinear two-point boundary value problems: Version 4,part I-III. Preprint Nr. 67,68,69, Fr.-Schiller-Univ. Jena(1982)
16. Lentini,M., Osborne,M.R., Russel,R.D.: The close relationships between methods for solving two-point boundary value problems. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 22, 280-309(1985)
17. Lentini,M., Pereyra,V.: An adaptive finite difference solver for nonlinear two-point boundary problems with mild boundary layers. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 14, 91-111(1977)

18. Lorenz,J.: Combinations of initial and boundary value methods for a class of singular perturbation problems. In: Numerical Analysis of Singular Perturbation Problems (P.W. Hemker, J.J.H. Miller,eds.). London: Academic Press 1979
19. Lorenz,J.: Zur Theorie und Numerik von Differenzenverfahren für singuläre Störungen. Habilitationsschrift, Konstanz (1980)
20. Marchuk,G.I., Schaidurov,V.V.: Povishenie tochnosti reshenii raznostnykh skhem. Moskva:Nauka 1979
21. Ortega, J.M., Rheinboldt,W.C.: Iterative solution of nonlinear equations in several variables. New York and London: Academic Press 1970
22. Pearson,C.E.: On a differential equation of boundary layer type, J. Math. Phys. 47,134-154(1968)
23. Shishkin,G.I.: Raznostnaya skhema na neravnomernoi setke dlya differencial'nogo uravneniya s malym parametrom pri starshei proizvodnoi, Zh. vychisl. mat. i mat. fiz. 23(3), 609-619(1983)
24. Stoer,J., Bulirsch,R.: Einführung in die Numerische Mathematik II. Berlin-Heidelberg-New York: Springer 1973
25. Vulanović,R.: On a numerical solution of a type of singularly perturbed boundary value problem by using a special discretization mesh. Zb. rad. Prir.-mat. Fak. Univ. u Novom Sadu, Ser. Mat. 13,187-201(1983)
26. Vulanović,R.: Exponential fitting and special meshes for solving singularly perturbed problems. In IV Conference on Applied Mathematics(B.Vrdoljak,ed.), 59-64. Split: Faculty of Civil Engineering 1985

27. Vulanović, R., Herceg, D., Petrović, N.: On the extrapolation for a singularly perturbed boundary value problem. *Computing* 36, 1-2, 69-79 (1986)
28. Vulanović, R. Mesh construction for discretization of singularly perturbed boundary value problems. Doctoral Dissertation, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad (1986)

REZIME

O NUMERIČKOM REŠAVANJU SINGULARNO PERTURBOVANIH KONTURNIH PROBLEMA II

Posmatra se numeričko rešavanje nelinearnog singularno perturbovanog konturnog problema pomoću kombinacije rešenja odgovarajućeg redukovanih problema i numeričkog rešenja polaznog problema na onom delu intervala koji sadrži granični sloj. Pri tom se za aproksimaciju diferencijalne jednačine koriste Hermitove diferencne formule na specijalnoj neekvidistantnoj mreži. Numerički primjeri ilustruju efikasnost predloženog postupka i potvrđuju teoretske rezultate.

Received by the editors February 4, 1987.