

## A QUADRATIC SPLINE DIFFERENCE SCHEME FOR A SELF-ADJOINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

K. Surla

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad, Dr Ilije Đurićica 4,  
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

### Abstract

The exponentially fitted quadratic spline difference scheme for the problem:  $-ey'' + q(x)y = f(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $y(0) = \alpha_0$ ,  $y(1) = \alpha_1$  is derived. The scheme has a second order accuracy, under some conditions, on the functions  $q$  and  $f$ . The numerical results are also given.

### 1. Introduction

The quadratic spline collocation method ([3], [2]) when applied with a uniform mesh of size  $h$  to problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} Ly = -ey'' + q(x)y = f(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = \alpha_0, & y(1) = \alpha_1 \end{cases}$$

has the condition

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} h^2 q_{i+1/2} / c &\leq 1, & q_{i+1/2} &= q(x_i + \frac{h}{2}), \\ h &= x_i - x_{i-1}, & i &= 1(1)n+1, & x_0 &= 0, & x_{n+1} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

AMS Mathematics Classification (1980): 65L10

Key words: quadratic spline, difference scheme, fitting factor, singular perturbation problem.

which leads to spurious oscillation or gross inaccuracies in the approximate solution. In order to avoid this difficulty, we introduce an exponentially fitting factor

$$(3) \quad \sigma_1 = q_{1+1/2} h^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{2}{sh^2 \rho_1} \right] / 8, \quad \rho_1 = h \sqrt{q_{1+1/2} / \epsilon},$$

affecting the second derivative. Because of this the spline collocation method becomes uniformly stable in  $\epsilon$  and the corresponding difference scheme is uniformly convergent. The exponentially fitted cubic spline difference scheme for the same problem is derived in [4]. According to [3] the quadratic spline has some advantages over the cubic spline in solving the above problem. The numerical results illustrate this fact.

## 2. Derivation of the scheme

We shall seek the approximate solution of problem (1) in the form of the quadratic spline  $v(x) \in C^1[0,1]$  and on each interval  $[x_1, x_{1+1}]$   $v(x)$  has the form

$$v_1(x) = v_1^{(0)} + (x-x_1)v_1^{(1)} + \frac{(x-x_1)^2}{2} v_1^{(2)}.$$

The constants  $v_1^{(k)}$ ,  $k=0(1)2$  are obtained from the equations:

$$(4) \quad \sigma_1 v_1^{(2)} + q_{1+1/2} \left[ v_1^{(0)} + (x-x_1)v_1^{(1)} + (x-x_1) \frac{v_1^{(2)}}{2} \right]_{x=x_1+h} = f_{1+1/2}$$

$$(5) \quad v_1^{(0)} = v_{1-1}^{(0)} + hv_{1-1}^{(1)} + \frac{h^2}{2} v_{1-1}^{(2)}$$

$$(6) \quad v_1^{(1)} = v_{1-1}^{(1)} + hv_{1-1}^{(2)}$$

$$v_0 = \alpha_0, \quad v_{n+1} = \alpha_1$$

From (6) we have  $v_{1-1}^{(2)} = \frac{v_1^{(1)} - v_{1-1}^{(1)}}{h}$  and, then, from (4) and (5) we have

$$(7) \quad p_1 v_{1+1}^{(1)} + s_1 v_1^{(1)} = f_{1+1/2} - q_{1+1/2} v_1$$

$$p_1 = -\sigma_1 + h^2 q_{1+1/2} / 8, \quad s_1 = \sigma_1 + 3h^2 q_{1+1/2} / 8.$$

$$(8) \quad v_{1+1}^{(1)} + v_1^{(1)} = 2(v_{1+1} - v_1)/h, \quad v_1 = v_1^{(0)}.$$

From (7) and (8) we get

$$(9) \quad v_1^{(1)} = \frac{1}{s_1 - p_1} [(v_{1+1} - v_1) \cdot 2p_1/h + f_{1+1/2}h - q_{1+1/2}hv_1], \quad i=1(1)n,$$

$$(10) \quad v_{1+1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{p_1 - s_1} [f_{1+1/2}h - q_{1+1/2}hv_1^{(0)} + 2s_1(v_{1+1} - v_1)/h], \quad i=0(1)n-1.$$

If we replace the subscript  $i$  by  $i-1$  in (10) and, then, equalize (9) and (10), we obtain the scheme:

$$(11) \quad R_h v_i = Q_h f_i, \quad i=1(1)n \quad \text{where}$$

$$R_h v_i = r^- v_{i-1} + r^c v_i + r^+ v_{i+1}$$

$$Q_h f_i = q^- f_{i+1/2} + q^+ f_{i+1/2}$$

$$r^- = -\frac{1}{h(1 + sh^2 \rho_{i-1})}, \quad r^+ = -\frac{1}{h(1 + sh^2 \rho_i)}$$

$$r^c = -r^- - r^+ + a_1 + a_{i-1}, \quad a_1 = \frac{2sh^2 \rho_1}{h(sh^2 \rho_1 + 1)}$$

$$q^+ = q^+(q_1) = \frac{2sh^2 \rho_1}{hq_{1+1/2}(1+sh^2 \rho_1)}, \quad q^- = q^+(q_{i-1})$$

### 3. The truncation error

The truncation error of scheme (11) for an arbitrary smooth function,  $r(x)$  is defined by

$$\tau_1(r) = R_h r_1 - Q_h(Lr)_1$$

**Lemma 1.** The truncation error of scheme (11) for  $y(x) \in C^3[0,1]$  has the form:

$$\tau_1(y) = -(-s_{1-1} \varphi_{1-1,1} + \varphi_{1-1,0}) \frac{h}{p_{1-1} - s_{1-1}} + \\ + \frac{h}{s_1 - p_1} (-\varphi_{1,1} p_1 + \varphi_{1,0}), \quad \text{where}$$

$$\varphi_{1,1} = \psi_{1,1} - 2\psi_{1,0}/h, \quad \psi_{1,k} = \frac{(h/2)^{3-k}}{(3-k)!} y''(\xi_k), \quad x_{1-1} \leq \xi_k \leq x_1,$$

$$\varphi_{1,0} = (-\sigma_1 + q_{1+1/2} \frac{h^2}{8}) \psi_{1,1} - q_{1+1/2} \psi_{1,0} h + h [(\epsilon - \sigma_1) y''_{1+1/2} + \sigma_1 \psi_{1,2}].$$

*Proof.*

$$\tau_1(y) = R_h(y_1 - v_1) = R_h z_1. \text{ The function } z(x),$$

$z(x) = y(x) - v(x) \in C^1[0,1]$ , satisfies the following system equations

$$-\sigma_1 z_1^{(2)} + q_{1+1/2} (z_1^{(0)} + \frac{h}{2} z_1^{(1)} + \frac{h^2}{8} + \psi_{1,0}) = f_{1+1/2} - (\sigma_1 - \epsilon) y_1''$$

$$z_1^{(0)} = z_{1-1}^{(0)} + h z_{1-1}^{(1)} + \frac{h^2}{2} z_{1-1}^{(2)} + \psi_{1,0}$$

$$z_1^{(1)} = z_{1-1}^{(1)} + h z_{1-1}^{(2)} + \psi_{1,0}$$

By eliminating the constants  $v_1^{(1)}$  and  $v_1^{(2)}$  from the above equations in the same manner as in the derivation of the scheme, we obtain the statement of Lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** *The truncation error of scheme (11) for the function  $y(x) \in C^2[0,1]$  has the form:*

$$\tau_1(y) = \frac{h^2}{2} r^- y''(\xi_1) + \frac{h^2}{2} r^+ y''(\xi_2) + \epsilon(q^- y''_{j-1/2} + q^+ y''_{j+1/2}).$$

$$x_{1-1} \leq \xi_1 \leq x_1 \leq \xi_2 \leq x_{1+1}.$$

*Proof.* It follows from Taylor developments at  $x_1$ .

#### 4. Proof of the uniform convergence

The following lemma from [1] gives us the property of the exact solution which we shall take into account in the proof.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $y(x) \in C^4[0,1]$ . Let  $q'(0) = q'(1) = 0$ . Then, the solution of (1) has the form*

$$y(x) = u(x) + w(x) + g(x), \quad \text{where}$$

$$u(x) = a_0 \exp(-x\sqrt{q(0)/\epsilon})$$

$$w(x) = a_1 \exp(-(1-x)\sqrt{q(1)/\epsilon}),$$

$$|g^{(1)}(x)| \leq M(1 + \varepsilon^{(1-1/2)1}), \quad i=0(1)4,$$

$a_0, a_1$  are bounded functions of  $\varepsilon$  independent of  $x$ , and  $M$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.** Let the conditions of Lemma 1 be fulfilled. Let  $v_j, j=0(1)n+1$  be the approximation to the solution  $y(x)$  of (1) at the grid points obtained by using (11).

Then, the estimate:

$|y(x_j) - v_j| \leq Mh^2, \quad j=0(1)n+1,$  where  $M$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $h$ , holds.

*Proof.* We shall estimate separately the truncation error for each function  $u, w$  and  $g$  (see [4]).

Since,  $\tau_1(u) = 0, \tau_1(w) = 0$  for  $q(x) = q(0) = \text{const}$ , we have

$$\tau_1(u) = \tau_1(u) - \bar{\tau}_1(u), \quad \tau_1(w) = \tau_1(w) - \bar{\tau}_1(w),$$

where  $\bar{\tau}_1(u), \bar{\tau}_1(w)$  are the expressions  $\tau_1(u)$  and  $\tau_1(w)$  with  $q(x) = q(0) = \text{const}$ .

After some Taylor developments in the corresponding expressions from Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$|\tau_1(u)|, |\tau_1(w)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon \quad \text{when } h^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

For  $\tau_1(g)$  we also use the form given by Lemma 1, and from Lemma 3 we have that

$$|\tau_1(g)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon, \quad h^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus, (Lemma 3) we have

$$(12) \quad |\tau_1(y)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon \quad \text{when } h^2 \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let  $\varepsilon \leq h^2$ . Then we use the truncation errors for  $u, w$  and  $g$  in the form given in Lemma 2.

Since all the coefficients in scheme (11) are bounded by  $Mh^{-1}$ , we obtain directly that

$$|\tau_1(g)| \leq Mh.$$

Using the fact that

$$\tau_1(u) = \tau_1(u) - \bar{\tau}(u), \quad \tau_1(w) = \tau_1(w) - \bar{\tau}(u),$$

$|q(x_1) - q(0)| \leq Mx_1^2$ , from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 we have that

$$|\tau_1(u)|, |\tau_1(w)| \leq Mh, \text{ when } \epsilon \leq h^2.$$

Thus,

$$(13) \quad |\tau_1(y)| \leq Mh, \text{ for } \epsilon \leq h^2.$$

Denote by  $A$  the matrix of system (11). Since,

$$|y(x_1) - v_1| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \max_1 |\tau_1(y)| \text{ and}$$

$$\|A^{-1}\| \leq \max_1 |r^c + r^- + r^+|^{-1} \leq \begin{cases} Mc/h, & h^2 \leq \epsilon. \\ Mh, & \epsilon \leq h^2, \end{cases}$$

from (12) and (13) we have that Theorem 1 is valid.

## 5. Numerical results

Our test for the order of uniform convergence, notation and example are taken from [1]

Example:

$$-\epsilon y'' + y = -\cos \pi x - 2\epsilon \pi^2 \cos 2\pi x,$$

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 1$$

Exact solution:

$$y(x) = \exp(-(1-x)/\sqrt{\epsilon}) + \exp(-x/\sqrt{\epsilon}) / (1 + \exp(-1/\sqrt{\epsilon})) - \cos^2 \pi x$$

Table 1 contains the estimate for the order of uniform convergence.

Table 1

$\varepsilon$	$k$	0	1	2	3	4	$\bar{P}_\varepsilon$
1/2		2.02	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
1/4		2.02	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
1/8		2.02	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.01
1/16		2.03	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.01
1/32		2.03	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.01
1/64		2.05	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.01
1/128		2.08	2.02	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.02
1/256		2.14	2.04	2.01	2.00	2.00	2.04
1/512		2.23	2.07	2.02	2.01	2.00	2.06

The computed order of uniform convergence is 2.06 and the classical one is 2.00.

Table 2 contains the maximal differences between the exact and approximate solution at the points of the grid.

Table 3 contains the same results for the cubic spline difference scheme, which is given in [4].

Table 2

$$\max_1 |y(x_1) - v_1|$$

$\varepsilon$	$n$	16	32	64	128
1/2		.58E-2	.14E-2	.36E-3	.90E-4
1/4		.54E-2	.13E-2	.33E-3	.82E-4
1/8		.48E-2	.12E-2	.29E-3	.73E-4
1/16		.41E-2	.10E-2	.25E-3	.63E-4
1/32		.37E-2	.90E-3	.22E-3	.56E-4
1/64		.34E-2	.84E-3	.21E-3	.52E-4
1/128		.34E-2	.82E-3	.20E-3	.50E-4
1/256		.36E-2	.83E-3	.20E-3	.50E-4
1/512		.38E-2	.84E-3	.20E-3	.50E-4

	256	512	1024
←			
	.22E-4	.56E-5	.14E-5
	.20E-4	.51E-5	.13E-5
	.18E-4	.45E-5	.11E-5
	.16E-4	.39E-5	.91E-6
	.14E-4	.35E-5	.87E-6
	.13E-4	.32E-5	.81E-6
	.13E-4	.32E-5	.79E-6
	.13E-4	.31E-5	.78E-6
	.13E-4	.31E-5	.78E-6

Table 3.

c	n				
	32	64	128	256	512
1/2	0.29E-2	0.72E-3	0.18E-3	0.45E-4	0.11E-4
1/4	0.26E-2	0.66E-3	0.17E-3	0.41E-4	0.10E-4
1/8	0.23E-2	0.59E-3	0.15E-3	0.37E-4	0.92E-5
1/16	0.20E-2	0.51E-3	0.13E-3	0.32E-4	0.79E-5
1/32	0.18E-2	0.45E-3	0.11E-3	0.28E-4	0.70E-5
1/64	0.18E-2	0.42E-3	0.10E-3	0.26E-4	0.65E-5
1/128	0.16E-2	0.40E-3	0.10E-3	0.20E-4	0.53E-5
1/256	0.16E-2	0.40E-3	0.10E-3	0.25E-4	0.63E-5
1/512	0.16E-2	0.40E-3	0.10E-3	0.25E-4	0.63E-5

## References:

1. Doolan, E.P., Miller, J.J., Schilders, W.H.A.: Uniform Numerical Methods for Problems with Initial and Boundary Layers, Boole Press, Dublin 1980.
2. Il'in, V.P.: O splajnovih rešenjakh obyknovykh differentsial'nykh uravnenii, Žurn. včislit. mat. i mat. fiz. 3, 1978, 612-627.
3. Khalifa, A.K.A. and Eilbeck, C.J.: Collocation with Quadratic and Cubic Splines. IMA J. Num. Anal. 2, 1982, 111-121.
4. Surla, K.: A uniformly convergent spline difference scheme for singularly perturbed self-adjoint problem on a non-uniform mesh. Zbor. rad. Prir.-mat. Fac. Univ. u Novom Sadu Ser. Mat. 17, 2, 1987, 31-38.
5. Zavjalov, In. S., Kvasov, V.I.: Mirošničenko, Z.L., Methody splajn funkcii, Nauka 1980.

**Rezime****SPLAJN DIFERENCNA ŠEMA SA KVADRATNIM SPLAJNOVIMA  
ZA SAMO-ADJUNGOVANI KONTURNI PROBLEM**

Izvedena je eksponencijalno filterovana diferencna šema zasnovana na kvadratnim splajnovima za problem:  $-cy'' + \alpha(x)y = f(x)$ ,  $0 < x < 1$ ,  $y(0) = \alpha_0$ ,  $y(1) = 1$ . Šema ima drugi red tačnosti pod određenim uslovima na funkcije  $q$  i  $f$ . Dati su numerički rezultati.

*Received by the editors January 12, 1987.*