

ON COINCIDENCE POINTS IN PROBABILISTIC METRIC SPACES WITH A CONVEX STRUCTURE

Olga Hadžić¹

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

In this paper a theorem on the existence of a coincidence point in probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure is proved. The theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3 from [6].

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 47H10

Key words and phrases: Coincidence points, probabilistic metric spaces.

1. Introduction

The notion of a probabilistic metric space is introduced by K.Menger in [9] and the first result about the existence of a fixed point of a mapping which is defined on a Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, \min) is obtained by V.Sehgal and A.Barucha-Reid in [1]. Since then, many fixed point theorems for mappings which are defined on a Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, t) are obtained ([4], [5], [6], [13], [15], [16]). The theory of probabilistic metric spaces is now an important part of the stochastic analysis and in the books [3] and [10] extensive bibliographies on probabilistic metric spaces and their applications are given.

In [6] the notion of a probabilistic metric space with a convex structure W is given and a theorem on coincidence point in such a space is proved. Here, we shall prove a generalization of Theorem 3 from [6].

¹Work supported by Fund for Science of Vojvodina

2. Preliminaries

A triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, t) is a Menger space if and only if S is a nonempty set, $\mathcal{F} : S \times S \rightarrow \Delta$, where Δ denotes the set of all distribution functions, and t is a T -norm [10] so that the following conditions are satisfied ($\mathcal{F}(p, q) = F_{p,q}$ for every $p, q \in S$):

1. $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$, for every $x \in \mathbf{R}^+$ if only if $p = q$.
2. $F_{p,q}(0) = 0$, for every $p, q \in S$.
3. $F_{p,q} = F_{q,p}$, for every $p, q \in S$.
4. $F_{p,r}(u + v) \geq t(F_{p,q}(u), F_{q,r}(v))$, for every $p, q, r \in S$ and every $u, v \in \mathbf{R}^+$.

The (ϵ, λ) -topology is introduced by the (ϵ, λ) -neighbourhoods of $v \in S$:

$$U_v(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{u; u \in S, F_{u,v}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}, \quad \epsilon > 0, \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

In [8] some relations between a Menger space and a fuzzy metric space are obtained. It is proved that every Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, t) is a fuzzy metric space $(S, d, 0, R)$, where

$$R(a, b) = 1 - t(1 - a, 1 - b)$$

$$d(x, y)(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s < \sup\{s; F_{x,y}(s) = 0\} \\ 1 - F_{x,y}(s), & s \geq \sup\{s; F_{x,y}(s) = 0\}. \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space. A mapping $W : S \times S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ is said to be a convex structure if for every $(u, x, y, \lambda) \in S \times S \times S \times (0, 1)$:

$$F_{u, W(x, y, \lambda)}(2\epsilon) \geq t(F_{u, x}(\frac{\epsilon}{\lambda}), F_{u, y}(\frac{\epsilon}{1 - \lambda})),$$

for every $\epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $W(x, y, 0) = y$, $W(x, y, 1) = x$.

Every random normed spaces [12] is a probabilistic metric space S with a convex structure $W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$, $x, y \in S$, $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

Example. A nontrivial example of a Menger space with a convex structure

is the following. Let (M, d) be a separable metric space with a convex structure W [14] such that for every $\delta \in [0, 1]$ the mapping $(x, y) \mapsto W(x, y, \delta)$ is continuous. Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space. We shall prove that the Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, t_m) ($t_m(a, b) = \max\{a + b - 1, 0\}$, $a, b \in [0, 1]$) has a convex structure, where S is the space of all measurable mappings from Ω into M (the space of equivalence classes) and for every $X, Y \in S$:

$$F_{X,Y}(u) = P(\{\omega, \omega \in \Omega, d(X(\omega), Y(\omega)) < u\}), u \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

Let $\bar{W} : S \times S \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S$ be defined by the relation: $\bar{W}(X, Y, \delta)(\omega) = W[X(\omega), Y(\omega), \delta]$, for every $\omega \in \Omega$, every $X, Y \in S$ and every $\delta \in [0, 1]$.

From the measurability of the mappings X and Y and the continuity of the mapping $(x, y) \rightarrow W(x, y, \delta)$ it follows that $\bar{W}(X, Y, \delta) \in S$. It is easy to verify that

$$F_{U, \bar{W}(X, Y, \delta)}(2\epsilon) \geq F_{U, X}(\frac{\epsilon}{\delta}) + F_{U, Y}(\frac{\epsilon}{1 - \delta}) - 1$$

for every $X, Y, U \in S$; $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\epsilon > 0$. Further, $\bar{W}(X, Y, 0) = Y$ and $\bar{W}(X, Y, 1) = X$ and so (S, \mathcal{F}, t_m) is a probabilistic metric space with the convex structure \bar{W} .

In this paper we shall suppose that a convex structure W on a Menger space (S, \mathcal{F}, t) satisfies the following inequality:

$$F_{W(x, z, \lambda), W(y, z, \lambda)}(\lambda\epsilon) \geq F_{x, y}(\epsilon)$$

for every $(x, y, z, \lambda, \epsilon) \in S \times S \times S \times (0, 1) \times \mathbf{R}^+$.

The convex structure $W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ in a random normed space satisfies this condition.

In Itoh's paper [7] a similar condition is introduced for metric spaces with a convex structure.

If (S, \mathcal{F}) is a probabilistic metric space with a convex structure W , $x_0 \in S$ and $T : S \rightarrow S$, then T is said to be (W, x_0) -convex if $W(Tz, x_0, \lambda) = T(W(z, x_0, \lambda))$, for every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $z \in S$.

A nonempty set K of S , where (S, \mathcal{F}) is a probabilistic metric space with a convex structure W , is said to be starshaped in respect to $x_0 \in K$ if and only if $W(x, x_0, \lambda) \in K$ for every $x \in K$ and every $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then x_0 is a starcenter of K .

Let (S, \mathcal{F}) be a probabilistic metric space and A a nonempty subset of S . The function $D_A(\cdot) : \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by [3]:

$$D_A(u) = \sup_{s < u} \inf_{p, q \in A} F_{p, q}(s), \quad u \in \mathbf{R}^+$$

is called the *probabilistic diameter* of the set A and the set A is *probabilistic bounded* if and only if

$$\sup_{u \in \mathbf{R}^+} D_A(u) = 1.$$

In [15] the function $\{\beta_A(\cdot)\}$, as a generalization of the notion of the measure of noncompactness, is defined for a probabilistic bounded subset $A \subset S$ in the following way:

$$\beta_A(u) = \sup\{\epsilon; \epsilon > 0, \text{ there exists a finite subset}$$

$$A_f \text{ of } S \text{ such that } \tilde{F}_{A, A_f}(u) \geq \epsilon\}$$

where

$$\tilde{F}_{A, B}(u) = \sup_{s < u} \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{y \in B} F_{x, y}(s)$$

for every two probabilistic bounded subsets of S .

The function $\{\beta_A(\cdot)\}$ has the following properties [15]:

1. $\beta_A \in \Delta$.
2. $\beta_A(u) \geq D_A(u)$, for every $u \in \mathbf{R}^+$.
3. $\emptyset \neq A \subset B \subset S \Rightarrow \beta_A(u) \geq \beta_B(u)$, for every $u \in \mathbf{R}^+$.
4. $\beta_{A \cup B}(u) = \min\{\beta_A(u), \beta_B(u)\}$, for every $u \in \mathbf{R}^+$.
5. $\beta_A(u) = \beta_{\bar{A}}(u)$ ($u \in \mathbf{R}^+$, where \bar{A} is the closure of A).
6. $\beta_A = H \Leftrightarrow A$ is precompact, where

$$H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2. Let (S, \mathcal{F}) be a probabilistic metric space, $B(S)$ the family of all probabilistic bounded subset of S , $M \subseteq S$ and A_1 and A_2 mappings from S into S . If for every $K \subseteq M$ such that $A_1(K), A_2(K) \in B(S)$ the implication

$$\beta_{A_2(K)}(u) \geq \beta_{A_1(K)}(u), u \in \mathbb{R}^+ \Rightarrow \bar{K} \text{ is compact}$$

holds, A_1 is said to be a (β, A_2) -densifying mapping on M .

If X is a topological space, $M \subseteq X$ is an attractor for a mapping $F : X \rightarrow X$ if for every $x \in X$:

$$M \cap \overline{(\cup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F^n(x))} \neq \emptyset.$$

3. A theorem on coincidence points

The following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3 from [6].

Theorem 1. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a complete Menger space with a convex structure W and continuous T -norm t , A and B continuous, commutative mappings from S into S such that AS is probabilistic bounded subset of BS , $x_0 \in S$ and B be (W, x_0) -convex so that

$$F_{Ax, Ay}(\epsilon) \geq F_{Bx, By}(\epsilon), \text{ for every } x, y \in S \text{ and every } \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

If there exists a nonempty subset M of S such that BM is an attractor for the mapping A and A is (β, B) -densifying on M then there exists $x \in S$ such that $Ax = Bx$.

Proof. Similarly as in [6] it follows that there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from M such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\epsilon) = 1, \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0$$

but we shall give the proof of (1) because of the completeness.

Let $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence from $(0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in S$ let

$$A_n x = W(Ax, x_0, k_n).$$

It is easy to verify that for $A_n, S = B$ and $T = B$ all the conditions of Theorem B from [5] are satisfied. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $x_n \in S$ such that

$$x_n = A_n x_n = B x_n.$$

Since (S, \mathcal{F}, t) is a probabilistic metric space with a convex structure W we obtain that

$$(2) \quad F_{x_n, Ax_n}(\epsilon) \geq F_{Ax_n, x_0} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2(1-k_n)} \right)$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $\epsilon > 0$. From

$$BM \cap (\overline{\cup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} A^m x_n}) \neq \emptyset, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbf{N}$$

it follows that there exists, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}, y_n \in M$ such that $By_n \in \overline{\cup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} A^m x_n}$. Further, for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$(3) \quad F_{A^k x_n, A^{k+1} x_n}(\epsilon) \geq F_{x_n, Ax_n}(\epsilon), \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Let $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. We shall prove that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0(\epsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for every $n \geq n_0(\epsilon, \lambda)$.

From (2) and (3) we obtain that for every $\epsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbf{N}$

$$(4) \quad F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\epsilon) \geq t \left(F_{By_n, A^k x_n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{3} \right), t \left(F_{Ax_n, x_0} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{6(1-k_n)} \right), F_{A^k x_n, By_n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{3} \right) \right) \right).$$

Since $By_n \in \overline{\cup_{m \in \mathbf{N}} A^m x_n}$ we conclude that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists $m_n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$(5) \quad F_{By_n, A^{m_n} x_n} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{3} \right) > \eta, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbf{N}$$

where $\eta \in (0, 1)$ is such that the following implication holds

$$(6) \quad x, y, z \geq \eta(\lambda) \Rightarrow t(x, t(y, z)) > 1 - \lambda.$$

The existence of such an element η follows from the continuity of the mapping t . Since AS is a probabilistic bounded set it follows that there exists $n_0(\epsilon, \eta(\lambda)) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$(7) \quad F_{Ax_n, x_0} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{6(1-k_n)} \right) > \eta, \text{ for every } n \geq n_0(\epsilon, \eta(\lambda)).$$

From (4), (5), (6) and (7) it follows that

$$F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\epsilon) \geq t(\eta, t(\eta, \eta)) > 1 - \lambda, \text{ for every } n \geq n_o(\epsilon, \eta(\lambda)).$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\epsilon) = 1$, for every $\epsilon > 0$.

We shall prove that the set $\overline{\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}}$ is compact. Using the assumption that A is (β, B) -densifying it is enough to prove that

$$(8) \quad \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u) = \beta_{A[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u)$$

for every $u > 0$.

In order to prove (8) we shall prove (9) and (10) where

$$(9) \quad \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u) \leq \beta_{A[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u)$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$(10) \quad \beta_{A[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u) \geq \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u)$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

In order to prove (9) we shall prove that for every $u > 0$ and $s \in (0, u)$

$$(11) \quad \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u - s) \leq \beta_{A[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u).$$

Since β is left continuous (11) implies (9). We can suppose that

$$\beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u - s) > 0,$$

since in the opposite case (11) holds. If we prove that

$$(12) \quad 0 < r < \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u - s) \Rightarrow r \leq \beta_{A[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u),$$

then (11) holds.

From the inequality $r < \beta_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}]}(u - s)$ it follows the existence of a finite subset $A_f \subseteq S$ such that

$$\tilde{F}_{B[\{y_n; n \in \mathbb{N}\}], A_f}(u - s) > r.$$

Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $z_n \in A_f$ such that

$$F_{By_n, z_n}(u - s) > r.$$

Let $\delta_1 \in (0, r)$. From the continuity of t and the relation $t(1, r) = r$ it follows the existence of $\delta_2 \in (0, 1)$ such that $1 \geq h > 1 - \delta_2$ implies $t(h, r) > r - \delta_1$. Let $n(s, \delta_2) \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $F_{By_n, Ay_n}(\frac{s}{2}) > 1 - \delta_2$, for every $k > n(s, \delta_2)$. Then

$$F_{Ay_n, x_n}(u - \frac{s}{2}) \geq t(F_{Ay_n, By_n}(\frac{s}{2}), F_{By_n, x_n}(u - s)) \geq$$

$$t(F_{Ay_n, By_n}(\frac{s}{2}), r) > r - \delta_1$$

and so $\beta_{A\{\{y_n; n \in \mathbf{N}\}\}}(u) \geq r$ and (12) is proved. This proves (9). Similarly, we can prove (10) and so we have that for every $u > 0$ (8) holds. Since A is (β, B) densifying we obtain that the set $\{y_n; n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ is compact. Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = y$. Then from $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} By_{n_k} - Ay_{n_k} = 0$ and the continuity of B and A it follows that $By = Ay = y$.

Corollary 1. *Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a Menger space with a convex structure W and continuous T -norm t , A and B continuous, commutative mappings from S into S such that AS is probabilistic bounded subset of BS , $x_0 \in S$ and $B(W, x_0)$ - convex so that*

$$F_{Ax, Ay}(\epsilon) \geq F_{Bx, By}(\epsilon), \text{ for every } x, y \in S \text{ and every } \epsilon \in \mathbf{R}^+.$$

If there exists a compact set $M \subseteq S$ such that BM is an attractor for A then there exists $x \in S$ such that $x = Ax = Bx$.

Proof. It is obvious that A is (β, B) - densifying on M since M is a compact set and so all the conditions of the Theorem are satisfied.

References

- [1] Banas, J., Goebel, K.: Measures of noncompactness in Banach spaces, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1980.
- [2] Bharucha-Reid, A.T.: Fixed point theorems in probabilistic analysis, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. 82(1976), 641-657.
- [3] Constantin, Gh., Istratescu, I.: Elemente de Analiza Probabilista si Aplicatii, Editura Academiei Republici Socialiste Romania, Bucuresti, 1981.

- [4] Hadžić, O.: Some theorems on the fixed points in probabilistic metric and random normed spaces, *Boll. Unione Mat.Ital.*, (6), 1-B(1982), 381-391.
- [5] Hadžić, O.: On a common fixed point in Banach and random normed spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 11(1981), 11-18.
- [6] Hadžić, O.: On coincidence points in metric and probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure. *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat.Fak. Ser. Mat.* 15, 1(1985), 11-22.
- [7] Itoh, Sh.: Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces, *Fund.Math. C II* (1979), 109-117.
- [8] Kaleva, O., Seikkala, S.: On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 12(1984), 215-229.
- [9] Menger, K.: Statistical metric, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA* 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [10] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A.: *Statistical metric spaces, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics*, 5, 1983.
- [11] Sehgal, V., Bharucha-Reid, A.: Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. System Theory* 6(1972), 97-102.
- [12] Sherstnev, A.N.: The notion of random normed spaces, *DAN USSR* 149(2)(1963), 280-283.
- [13] Shih-Sen Chang: On some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces and its applications, *Z.Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie Verw. Geb.* 63(1983), 463-474.
- [14] Takahashi, W.: A convexity in metric spaces and nonexpansive mappings I, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 22(1970), 142-149.
- [15] Tan, D.H.: On probabilistic condensing mappings, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 26, 10(1981), 1305-1317.
- [16] Xie Ping Ding, Common fixed points for nonexpansive type mappings, in convex and probabilistic convex metric spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat.Fak. Ser. Mat.*, 16,1(1986), 73-84.

[17] Zadeh, L.A.: Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control 8(1965), 338-353.

REZIME

O TAČKAMA KOINCIDENCIJE U VEROVATNOSNIM METRIČKIM PROSTORIMA SA KONVEKSNOM STRUKTUROM

U ovom radu je dokazana teorema o postojanju tačke koincidencije u verovatnosnim metričkim prostorima sa konveksnom strukturom. Teorema je uopštenje teoreme 3 iz rada [6].

Received by the editors March 16, 1991.