

# WEAK CONTRACTOR DIRECTIONS AND WEAK DIRECTIONAL CONTRACTIONS FOR A SET VALUED OPERATOR WITH A CLOSED RANGE

**Xie Ping Ding**

Department of Mathematics, Sichuan Normal University  
Chengdu, Sichuan, P. R. C.

## **Abstract**

The weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions for nonlinear set - valued operators are defined and used for obtaining very general solvability theorem for a class of nonlinear set - valued operator equations. The given theorems improve and generalize some important results in [1 - 5].

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* 47H10

*Key words and phrases:* Nonlinear set-valued operator equations, weak contractor directions, weak directional contractions.

## **1. Introduction**

The theory of Altman's contractor directions [1] and [2] provides extremely useful and important tools for obtaining solvability theorems of nonlinear operators with closed ranges. As a further development of the general theory of contractor directions, Altman [3] introduced two new concepts: weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions and obtained a general solvability theorem which generalizes some of the known in literature.

In [5], we introduced the concepts of weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions for nonlinear set-valued operators with closed ranges and obtained general solvability theorems for nonlinear set-valued operator equations which generalize the corresponding results in [1], [2] and [3].

In this paper, we shall define weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions for nonlinear set-valued operators and allow the contractor directions to have more general nonlinear majorant functions. Using the concepts, we obtain very general solvability theorem for nonlinear set-valued operator equations. As a consequence, a solvability theorem can be obtained for a class of nonlinear set-valued operators which are called weak directional contractions. Our theorems improve and generalize some important results in [1], [2], [3], [4] and [5].

## 2. Preliminary definitions and lemmas

Let  $Y$  be a Banach space.  $CB(Y)$  denotes the family of all the non-empty bounded closed subsets of  $Y$ . For  $y \in Y$ ,  $A \subset Y$   $D(y, A) = \inf\{d(y, a) : a \in A\}$  and  $H(\cdot, \cdot)$  denote the Hausdorff metric on  $CB(Y)$  deduced by the norm in  $Y$ .

Let  $\mathbf{R}_+$  be the set of all the positive real numbers. We denote by  $F$  the set of all the functions  $q : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  such that  $q(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$  and  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} q(s) < t$  for every  $t > 0$ . Moreover, we denote by  $F^*$  the set of all the functions  $f : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$  which are upper semicontinuous and such that  $f(t) < t$  for each  $t > 0$ . Obviously, the inclusion  $F^* \subset F$  holds and the inverse is not true (see [6]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let the function  $q \in F$ . Then, for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_+$  with  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$ , the number*

$$c = \inf\left\{1 - \frac{q(t)}{t} : a \leq t \leq b\right\}$$

*satisfies that  $0 < c < 1$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$\sup\left\{\frac{q(t)}{t} : a \leq t \leq b\right\} > 0.$$

Now, we shall show that

$$\sup\left\{\frac{q(t)}{t} : a \leq t \leq b\right\} < 1$$

for any  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ,  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$ . If it is not true, we may assume that there exist  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_+$  with  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$  such that

$$\sup\left\{\frac{q(t)}{t} : a \leq t \leq b\right\} = 1.$$

Thus, we easily choose a decreasing sequence  $\{t_n\} \subset [a, b]$  such that  $q(t_n)/t_n > 1 - 1/(n+1)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $t_n \rightarrow t^*$ . Then we have  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^{**+}} q(s) \geq t^*$ .

This is in contradiction with  $\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^{**+}} q(s) < t^*$ . Hence, we have that

$$0 < \sup\left\{\frac{q(t)}{t} : a \leq t \leq b\right\} < 1$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathbf{R}_+$  with  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < c &= \inf\left\{1 - \frac{q(t)}{t} : 0 < a \leq t \leq b\right\} \\ &= 1 - \sup\left\{\frac{q(t)}{t} : 0 < a \leq t \leq b\right\} < 1. \square \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we assume throughout that the nonlinear majorant functions of weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions belong to  $F$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be an abstract set and  $P : X \rightarrow CB(Y)$  a set-valued operator of  $X$  into  $CB(Y)$ . Given a function  $q \in F$ . We define sets  $\Gamma_X^*(P) \subset Y$  of weak contractor directions for  $P$  at  $x$ , as follows. An element  $y \in Y$  is a weak contractor direction, i. e.,  $y \in \Gamma_X^*(P)$  if there exists a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, y) \leq 1$  and an element  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that

$$(1) \quad H(P\bar{x}, Px + \varepsilon y) \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(0, Px), D(0, Px)\}),$$

where  $0$  is the zero element of Banach space  $Y$ . If  $q(t) = kt$ , with  $0 < k < 1$ , then  $\Gamma_X^*(P)$  is a set of contractor directions for  $P$  at  $x$  denoted by  $\Gamma_X(P)$ , which is also a generalization of the corresponding concepts in [1] and [2].

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $A, B \in CB(Y)$  and  $a \in A$ . Then for each real number  $r > 1$ , there exists an element  $b \in B$  such that*

$$\|a - b\| \leq rH(A, B).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *([2]) Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number of the first or second class and let  $\{t_\gamma\}_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha}$  be a well-ordered sequence of real numbers provided, for ordinal numbers  $\beta$  of the second kind (= limit number), we have*

$$t_\beta = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} t_\gamma.$$

Then, the following equality holds,

$$t_\alpha = t_0 + \sum_{0 \leq \gamma < \alpha} (t_{\gamma+1} - t_\gamma).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** *([1]) Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number of the first or second class and let  $\{x_\gamma\}_{0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha}$  be a well-ordered sequence of elements of metric space  $X$  provided*

$$x_\beta = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} x_\gamma.$$

Then,

$$d(x_\alpha, x_0) \leq \sum_{0 \leq \gamma < \alpha} d(x_{\gamma+1}, x_\gamma).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $A, B \in CB(Y)$  and  $y \in Y$ . Then,*

$$H(A, B) \leq H(A, B - y) + \|y\|.$$

*Proof.* This lemma easily follows from the definition of a Hausdorff metric.

□

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number of the second class and let  $\{A_\gamma\}_{0 \leq \gamma < \alpha}$  be a well ordered sequence of elements of  $CB(Y)$  such that*

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} H(A_\gamma, A_\alpha) = 0$$

where  $A_\alpha \in CB(Y)$ . If  $y_\gamma \in A_\gamma$ ,  $\forall 0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$  and  $\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} y_\gamma = y_\alpha$ , then  $y_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* For all  $0 \leq \gamma < \alpha$ , we have that

$$D(y_\alpha, A_\alpha) \leq \|y_\gamma - y_\alpha\| + H(A_\gamma, A_\alpha).$$

Letting  $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ , we obtain  $D(y_\alpha, A_\alpha) = 0$ . Since  $A_\alpha$  is closed, therefore  $y_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ .  $\square$

### 3. Weak contractor directions

In order to prove a solvability theorem for nonlinear set-value operator  $P$  with weak contractor directions, we shall begin with the following:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be an abstract set,  $Y$  a Banach space,  $P : X \rightarrow CB(Y)$  a nonlinear set-valued operator such that  $P(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} Px$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $\{Px : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $(CB(Y), H)$ . If  $-Px \subset \Gamma_X^*(P)$  for all  $x \in X$ , that the set-valued operator equation*

$$0 \in Px$$

*has a solution in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume that there exists a constant  $k > 0$  such that for all  $x \in X$

$$(2) \quad D(0, Px) > k.$$

For, if this is not a case, then there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset X$  such that  $D(0, Px_n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that there exists  $y_n \in Px_n \subset P(X)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $y_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $P(X)$  is closed in  $Y$ , we have  $0 \in Px$ . Hence, there exists an element  $x^* \in X$  such that  $0 \in Px^*$ , i. e., the theorem holds. Now, we denote by  $\Lambda$  the set of all countable ordinal numbers. That is  $\Lambda$  is the set of all the ordinal numbers less than  $\Omega$ , the first uncountable ordinal. Now we can construct well ordered sequences of nonnegative real numbers  $t_\gamma$ ,  $\gamma \in \Lambda$ , elements  $y(t_\gamma) \in Y$  and sets  $P(t_\gamma) \in \{Px : x \in X\}$  as follows. Let  $t_0 = 0$  and let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$ , and put  $P(t_0) = Px_0$ . Let  $y(t_0)$  be an arbitrary element of  $P(t_0)$ . Suppose that  $t_\gamma$ ,  $P(t_\gamma)$  and  $y(t_\gamma) \in P(t_\gamma)$  have been constructed for all  $\gamma < \alpha$ , and satisfy:

$$(3) \quad (i) \quad y(t_\gamma) \in P(t_\gamma),$$

(ii) for any ordinal numbers  $\gamma < \alpha$ , we have that

$$(4) \quad \|y(t_\gamma)\| \leq \exp(-ct_\gamma)\|y(t_0)\|,$$

where

$$(5) \quad c = \inf\left\{1 - \frac{q(s)}{s} : k \leq s \leq \|y(t_0)\|\right\},$$

with  $k$  determined by (2). It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $0 < c < 1$ .

(iii) for the first ordinal numbers  $\gamma + 1 < \alpha$  the following inequalities are satisfied:

$$(6) \quad H(P(t_{\gamma+1}), P(t_\gamma)) \leq 2\|y(t_0)\|(t_{\gamma+1} - t_\gamma) \exp(-ct_\gamma),$$

$$(7) \quad \|y(t_{\gamma+1}) - y(t_\gamma)\| \leq 2\|y(t_0)\|(t_{\gamma+1} - t_\gamma) \exp(-ct_\gamma),$$

and

$$(8) \quad 0 < t_{\gamma+1} - t_\gamma \leq 1.$$

(iv) for the second ordinal numbers  $\gamma < \alpha$  the following relations hold:

$$(9) \quad t_\alpha = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} t_\gamma, \quad y(t_\alpha) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} y(t_\gamma), \quad P(t_\alpha) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} P(t_\gamma).$$

Then, from (6), (8), (9), Lemma 2.3 and 2.4, it follows that for arbitrary  $\lambda < \gamma < \alpha$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (10) \quad H(P(t_\gamma), P(t_\lambda)) &\leq \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta < \gamma} H(P(t_{\beta+1}), P(t_\beta)) \\ &\leq 2\|y(t_0)\| \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta < \gamma} \exp(-ct_\beta)(t_{\beta+1} - t_\beta) \\ (11) \quad &= 2\|y(t_0)\| \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta < \gamma} \exp(c(t_{\beta+1} - t_\beta)) \text{nonnumber} \\ &\quad \exp(-ct_\beta)(t_{\beta+1} - t_\beta) \\ &\leq 2\|y(t_0)\| \exp(c) \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta < \gamma} \exp(-ct_{\beta+1})(t_{\beta+1} - t_\beta) \\ &\leq 2\|y(t_0)\| \exp(c) \sum_{\lambda \leq \beta < \gamma} \int_{t_\beta}^{t_{\beta+1}} \exp(-ct) dt \\ &= 2\|y(t_0)\| \exp(c) \int_{t_\lambda}^{t_\gamma} \exp(-ct) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (7), (8), (9), Lemma 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain that

$$(12) \quad \|y(t_\gamma) - y(t_\lambda)\| \leq 2\|y(t_0)\| \exp(c) \int_{t_\lambda}^{t_\beta} \exp(-ct) dt$$

Now, assume that  $\alpha$  is the first kind ordinal number. If  $0 \in P(t_{\alpha-1})$ , then the theorem holds from  $P(t_{\alpha-1}) \in \{Px : x \in X\}$ . Thus we may assume that  $0 \notin P(t_{\alpha-1})$ , and let  $x \in X$  such that  $P(t_{\alpha-1}) = Px$ . Then, by the hypotheses of the theorem, there exist a positive  $\varepsilon \leq 1$  and an element  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that for all  $-y \in -Px \subset \Gamma_X^*(P)$ , (1) holds. Put  $\varepsilon_{\alpha-1} = \varepsilon \leq 1$ , and

$$(13) \quad t_\alpha = t_{\alpha-1} + \varepsilon_{\alpha-1}, \quad P(t_\alpha) = P\bar{x}.$$

Then we obtain by using (1) and  $y(t_{\alpha-1}) \in P(t_{\alpha-1})$  that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & H(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1}) - \varepsilon y(t_{\alpha-1})) \\ & \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|, D(0, P(t_{\alpha-1})), D(0, P(t_\alpha))\}) \\ & \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|, D(0, P(t_\alpha))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall show that  $D(0, P(t_\alpha)) \leq \|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|$ . If  $D(0, P(t_\alpha)) > \|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|$ , it follows from (14) and Lemma 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, P(t_\alpha)) & \leq H(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1}) - y(t_{\alpha-1})) \\ & = H(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1}) - \varepsilon y(t_{\alpha-1})) - (1 - \varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\ & \quad + \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|, D(0, P(t_{\alpha-1})), D(0, P(t_\alpha))\}) \\ & \leq (1 - \varepsilon)D(0, P(t_\alpha)) + q(D(0, P(t_\alpha))) \\ & < (1 - \varepsilon)D(0, P(t_\alpha)) + \varepsilon D(0, P(t_\alpha)) = D(0, P(t_\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

This is contradiction. Hence, we have

$$(15) \quad D(0, P(t_\alpha)) \leq \|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|.$$

From  $0 < c < 1$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$  it follows that

$$\min\left\{\frac{1}{1-c}, 1 + \frac{\varepsilon c^2}{1-c}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon c}{6}\right)\right\} > 1.$$

Let the real number  $b$  satisfy

$$(16) \quad 1 < b < \min\left\{\frac{1}{1-c}, 1 + \frac{\varepsilon c^2}{1-c}\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\varepsilon c}{6}\right)\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (15), we obtain that there exists an element  $y(t_\alpha) \in P(t_\alpha)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad & \|y(t_\alpha) - (1 - \varepsilon)y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq bH(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1}) - \varepsilon y(t_{\alpha-1})) \\
 & \leq b\varepsilon q(\max\{\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|, D(0, P(t_{\alpha-1})), D(0, P(t_\alpha))\}) \\
 & \leq b\varepsilon q(\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (17), (16), (4) and (5), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & \|y(t_\alpha)\| \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| + b\varepsilon q(\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|) \\
 & = (1 - \varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| + b\varepsilon \frac{q(\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|)}{\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|} \|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq (1 - \varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| + b\varepsilon(1 - c)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & = (1 - \varepsilon(1 - b(1 - c)))\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq (1 - c\varepsilon + \frac{c^2\varepsilon^2}{2} - \frac{c^3\varepsilon^3}{6})\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & < \exp(-c\varepsilon)\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq \exp(-c\varepsilon) \exp(-ct_{\alpha-1})\|y(t_0)\| \\
 & = \exp(-ct_\alpha)\|y(t_0)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y(t_\alpha) \in P(t_\alpha)$ , by virtue of Lemma 2.5, (14), (15), (5), (18) and (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 H(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1})) & \leq H(P(t_\alpha), P(t_{\alpha-1}) - \varepsilon y(t_{\alpha-1})) + \varepsilon\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq \varepsilon\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| + \varepsilon q(\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|) \\
 & \leq (1 + (1 - c))\varepsilon\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq 2\|y(t_0)\|(t_\alpha - t_{\alpha-1}) \exp(-ct_{\alpha-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we obtain from (17), (16), (13) and (5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y(t_\alpha) - y(t_{\alpha-1})\| & \leq \varepsilon\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| + b\varepsilon q(\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\|) \\
 & \leq \varepsilon(1 + b(1 - c))\|y(t_{\alpha-1})\| \\
 & \leq 2\|y(t_0)\|(t_\alpha - t_{\alpha-1}) \exp(-ct_{\alpha-1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, induction assumptions  $(3_\alpha)$ ,  $(4_\alpha)$ ,  $(6_\alpha)$  -  $(8_\alpha)$  are satisfied for  $t_\alpha$ .

Now, suppose that  $\alpha$  is an ordinal number of the second kind and put  $t_\alpha = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} t_\gamma$ . Let  $\{\gamma_n\}$  be an increasing sequence converging to  $\alpha$ . It



follows from (10) and (12) that  $\{P(t_{\gamma_n})\}$  and  $\{y(t_{\gamma_n})\}$  are Cauchy sequences, and so are  $\{P(t_\gamma)\}$  and  $\{y(t_\gamma)\}$ . Let  $P(t_\alpha) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} P(t_\gamma)$  and  $y(t_\alpha) = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \alpha} y(t_\gamma)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.6 that  $y(t_\alpha) \in P(t_\alpha)$ . Since  $y(t_\gamma)$  satisfy  $(4_\gamma)$ , therefore  $y(t_\alpha)$  satisfies  $(4_\alpha)$ . This process will terminate if  $t = +\infty$ , where  $\alpha$  is of the second kind. In the case  $(4_\alpha)$  yields  $y(t_\alpha) = 0$  and so  $0 \in P(t_\alpha) \in \{Px : x \in X\}$ . The proof of theorem is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be an abstract set,  $Y$  a Banach space,  $P : X \rightarrow Y$  a nonlinear point-valued operator such that  $P(X)$  is closed in  $Y$ . If  $-Px \in \Gamma_X^*$  for each  $x \in X$ , then equation  $Px = 0$  has a solution in  $X$ .*

**Remark 3.1.** *Note that our definitions of nonlinear majorant functions and the set of weak contractor directions are more general than those in [3], [5]. Thus Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 improve and generalize Theorem 1.1 of [3] and Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.1 of [5].*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be an abstract set,  $Y$  a Banach space. The function  $q \in F$  and  $P : X \rightarrow CB(Y)$  is such that  $P(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} Px$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $\{Px : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $(CB(Y), H)$ . If for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ , there exist positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, y) \leq 1$  and  $\bar{x} \in X$  such that*

$$(19) \quad H(P\bar{x}, Px + \varepsilon y) \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(u, Px), D(u, P\bar{x})\})$$

for any  $u \in Y$ , then the nonlinear set-valued operator equation  $u \in Px$  has a solution in  $X$  and  $P(X) = Y$ .

*Proof.* For an arbitrary given  $u \in Y$ , put  $\bar{P}x = Px - u$ . By (19)

$$H(\bar{P}\bar{x}, \bar{P}\bar{x} + \varepsilon y) \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(u, \bar{P}x), D(u, \bar{P}\bar{x})\}).$$

Since  $\Gamma_X^*(\bar{P}) = \Gamma_X^*(P) = Y$ , for all  $x \in X$ , it follows that  $-Px \in \Gamma_X^*(\bar{P})$  for all  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $\bar{P}x = Px - u$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $\{\bar{P}x : x \in X\} = \{Px - u : x \in X\}$  also is closed in  $(CB(Y), H)$ . Hence, by Theorem 3.1, equation  $0 \in \bar{P}x$  has a solution in  $X$ , and consequently, equation  $u = Px$  has a solution in  $X$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** *Theorem 3.2 of [1] (see also Theorem 1.2 of [2]), Theorem 1.2 of [3] and Theorem 3.2 of [5] are very special cases of Theorem 3.2.*

## 4. Weak directional set-valued contractions

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and a function  $q \in F$ . A mapping  $T : D(T) \rightarrow CB(Y)$  is called a weak directional set-valued contraction if for each  $x \in D(T)$  and  $y \in X$  there exists a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, y) \leq 1$  such that  $x + \varepsilon y \in D(T)$  and

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} & H(T(x + \varepsilon y), Tx) \\ & \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(y, x - Tx), D(y, x + \varepsilon y - T(x + \varepsilon y))\}). \end{aligned}$$

The following global solvability theorem holds for weak directional set-valued contractions.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $T : D(T) \rightarrow CB(Y)$  be a weak directional set-valued contraction. If  $P = I - T$  ( $I$  is identity mapping) is such that the set  $P(D(T)) = \bigcup_{x \in D(T)} Px$  is closed in  $X$  and the set  $\{Px : x \in D(T)\}$  is closed in  $(CB(X), H)$ , then for each  $y \in X$ , set-valued operator equation  $y \in Px$  has a solution in  $D(T)$ . Furthermore, we have  $X = P(D(T))$ .

*Proof.* Since  $P = I - T$ , by (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(P(x + \varepsilon y), Px + \varepsilon y) &= H(x + \varepsilon y - T(x + \varepsilon y), x - Tx + \varepsilon y) \\ &= H(T(x + \varepsilon y), Tx) \\ &\leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(y, Px), D(y, P(x + \varepsilon y))\}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Theorem 4.1 is the improvement and generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [3].

**Corollary 4.1.** Let  $X$  and  $q$  satisfy the hypotheses in Theorem 4.1. Suppose that  $T : D(T) \subset X \rightarrow CB(X)$  such that for each  $x \in D(X)$  and  $y \in X$ , there exist a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x, y) \leq 1$  such satisfying:  $x + \varepsilon y \in D(T)$  and

$$(21) \quad H(T(x + \varepsilon y), Tx) \leq \varepsilon q(\|y\|).$$

If  $P = I - T$  is such that  $P(D(T))$  is closed in  $X$  and  $\{Px : x \in X\}$  is closed in  $(CB(X), H)$ . Then for  $y \in X$ , equation  $y \in Px$  has a solution in  $D(T)$  and so  $P(D(T)) = X$ . Specially,  $T$  has a fixed point in  $D(T)$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Letting  $\varepsilon(x, y) = 1$  or all  $x \in D(T)$  and  $y \in X$ , Corollary 4.1 is the set-valued generalization of the theorem of Boyd and Wong [4].

## 5. Weak directional set-valued contractions in a narrow sense

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $W$  be a convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  and a function  $q \in F$ . A mapping  $T : W \subset X \rightarrow CB(W)$  is called a weak directional set-valued contraction in a narrow sense if for each  $x \in W$  there exists a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x) \leq 1$  such that for each  $y \in Tx - x$

$$(22) H(T(x + \varepsilon y), Tx) \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(x, Tx), D(x + \varepsilon y, T(x + \varepsilon y))\}).$$

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $T : W \subset X \rightarrow CB(W)$  be a weak directional set-valued contraction in a narrow sense. If  $P = I - T$  is such that  $P(W) = \bigcup_{x \in W} Px$  is closed in  $X$  and  $\{Px : x \in W\}$  is closed in  $(CB(X), H)$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $W$ .

*Proof.* By  $P = I - T$  and (22), for each  $x \in W$  and  $y \in Tx - x$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(P(x + \varepsilon y), Px + \varepsilon y) &= H(x + \varepsilon y - T(x + \varepsilon y), x - Tx + \varepsilon y) \\ &= H(T(x + \varepsilon y), Tx) \\ &\leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, D(0, Px), D(0, T(x + \varepsilon y))\}). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bar{x} = x + \varepsilon y \in x + \varepsilon(Tx - x) = (1 - \varepsilon)x + \varepsilon Tx \subset W$  and  $Tx - x \subset \Gamma_X^*(P)$ , i. e.  $-Px = Tx - x \subset \Gamma_X^*(P)$  for all  $x \in W$ . From Theorem 3.1 it follows that there exists an element  $x^* \in W$  such that  $0 \in Px^* = x^* - Tx^*$  and hence  $x^* \in Tx^*$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.1.** Let  $W$  be a convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  and a function  $q \in F$ . Suppose that  $T : W \subset X \rightarrow W$  satisfies that for each  $x \in W$ , there exists a positive  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(x) \leq 1$  such that for each  $y = Tx - x$ ,

$$\|T(x + \varepsilon y) - Tx\| \leq \varepsilon q(\max\{\|y\|, \|x - Tx\|, \|x + \varepsilon y - T(x + \varepsilon y)\|\}).$$

If the range  $(I - T)(W)$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point in  $W$ .

**Remark 5.1.** Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 5.1 are generalizations of Theorem 3.4 of [3].

## References

- [1] Altman, M., Contractor directions, directional contractors and directional contractions for solving equations, *Pacific J. Math.* 62 (1976), 1-18.
- [2] Altman, M., *Contractor and Contractor Directions - Theory and Applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1977.
- [3] Altman, M., Weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions, *Nonlinear Anal.* 7 (1983), 1043-1049.
- [4] Boyd D. W., Wong J. S. W., On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 20 (1969), 458-464.
- [5] Xie-Ping Ding, Weak contractor directions and weak directional contractions and the solution of nonlinear set-valued operator equations, *J. Engineering Math.* (in press).
- [6] Hikida M., A fixed point theorem for contractive type mappings, *Math. Japonica*, 29 (1984), 183-189.

## REZIME

### SLABE DIREKCIONE KONTRAKCIJE I SLABI PRAVCI KONTRAKCIJE ZA SKUPOVNE OPERATORE

Slabi pravci kontrakcije i slabe direkcione kontrakcije za nelinearne skupovne operatore su definisani i korišćeni za dobijanje vrlo opštih teorema o rešenju za jednu klasu nelinearnih skupovnih operatorskih jednačina. Date teoreme poboljšavaju i uopštavaju neke vrlo važne rezultate u [1 - 5].

*Received by the editors February 5, 1986*