

ABELIAN THEOREM FOR THE GENERAL INTEGRAL TRANSFORMATION OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Bogoljub Stanković

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

Two structural propositions for distributions with S-asymptotic are proved. This makes possible the proof of an Abelian type theorem for a general integral transformation of distributions.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 46F10

Key words and phrases: Integral transform of distributions, S-asymptotic.

1. Notations

For $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belonging to R^n and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ belonging to C^n : $w^a = w_1^{a_1} \dots w_n^{a_n}$; $x > 0$ means $x_i > 0, i = 1, \dots, n$; $\langle x, a \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i a_i$.
 $|x| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|, \|x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$. By P we shall denote the set of functions $c : R^n \rightarrow R_+ \cdot A = \{A_i\}, i \in N$, is a strictly monotone basis of neighbourhoods of " ∞ " in $R^n \cup \{\infty\}$; with $\cap_{i=1}^n A_i = \{\infty\}$. By Ω we shall denote an unbounded set in R^n such that $\Omega + \Omega \subset \Omega$ and for which " ∞ " is an adherent point. With this notation, for a complex valued function G , $\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} G(h) = \gamma \in C$ means that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $A_j \in A$ such that $|G(h) - \gamma| < \varepsilon$ for $h \in \Omega \cap A_j$. For a distribution T , by $F[T]$ we shall denote its Fourier transform.

2. S-asymptotic

We shall use the S-asymptotic (shift asymptotic) [3], [5] to make precise the asymptotic behaviour of distributions. S-asymptotic has many applications especially in the quantum field theory [1].

Definition 1. A distribution T has the S-asymptotic in D' related to Ω and $c \in P$ with the limit $U \in D'$, if the following limit exists

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(x + \hbar)/c(h), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle U, \varphi \rangle$$

for every $\varphi \in D$. Relation (2.1) can be written

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} (T * \hat{\varphi})(h)/c(h) = (U * \hat{\varphi})(0)$$

where $\hat{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(-x)$. This property of T can be written in short as

$$(2.2) \quad T(x + h)^s \sim c(h) \cdot U(x), h \in \Omega, \text{ in } D'.$$

If T belongs to a subspace M' of D' and if the limit in relation (2.1) exists for every $\varphi \in M$, M being the basis space for M' , we shall say that T has the S-asymptotic in M' .

Proposition 1. If $T(x + h)^s \sim c(h) \cdot U(x)$, $h \in \Omega$ and $U \neq 0$, then the limit

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} c(h + h_0)/c(h) = d(h_0), h_0 \in \Omega$$

exists and U satisfies the equation $U(x + h_0) = d(h_0)U(x)$.

Proof. There exists $\varphi \in D$ such that $\langle U, \varphi \rangle \neq 0$. For this φ

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(h + h_0)}{c(h)} \langle \frac{T(x + (h + h_0))}{c(h + h_0)}, \varphi(x) \rangle = \\ \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{T((x + h_0) + h)}{c(h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle, h_0 \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the assertion of Proposition 1. -

We can obtain more precise results about c and U if we take for Ω a special set. A cone is often used as the set Ω .

Proposition 2. *Suppose: 1. Ω is a convex cone Γ , $\text{int } \Gamma \neq \emptyset$; 2. The set A consists of $A_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \|x\| > i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$; 3. $T(x+h)^s \sim c(h) \cdot U(x), h \in \Gamma, U \neq 0$. Then,*

$$\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} c(h+h_0)/c(h) = \exp \langle p, h_0 \rangle, h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n, p \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and $U = C \exp \langle p, x \rangle$, where C is a constant.

For the proof see [3, p. 84].

The next two propositions will be used to prove an Abelian type theorem for the integral transform of distributions.

Proposition 3. *Suppose $T_0 \in B'$ and $T_0(x+h)^s \sim 1 \cdot U(x), h \in \Omega$ in D' , then:*

1. $T_0 = \sum_{i=0}^2 \Delta^{ik} F_i, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, where F_i are continuous functions belonging to L^∞ and Δ is the Laplace operator.
2. For every $0 \leq i \leq 2$ functions $F_i(x+h)$ converge uniformly to bounded functions $f_i, i = 0, 1, 2$, when x belongs to a compact set K and $h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty$.
3. T_0 has the S-asymptotic in B' , as well, related to Ω and $c = 1$, with the limit U .

In [5] we proved the same Proposition in the case $\Omega \equiv \Gamma$. Since the proof of this Proposition in the case of a general Ω does not require any substantial change, we can omit it.

Now, we shall make precise a class of distributions which will be used in the subsequent pages.

Definition 2. *A distribution T belongs to the class $\mathcal{J}(a, b)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if the distribution $T_0 = T(x) \cdot \exp \langle -a, x \rangle \cdot (z+x)^{-b}$ belongs to B' ; $\text{Im } z_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proposition 4. *Suppose that $T \in D'$ and $T(x+h)^s \sim c(h) \cdot U(x), h \in \Omega$ in D' . If:*

$$1. T \in \mathcal{J}(a, b);$$

$$2. \exp \prec -a, h \succ c(h)/(z+x+h)^b \text{ converges to } V(x) \neq 0 \text{ in } E,$$

then the distribution $T_0(x) = T(x) \exp \prec -a, x \succ (z+x)^{-b}$ has the property $T_0(x+h)^s \sim 1 \cdot U(x)V(x) \exp \prec -a, x \succ, h \in \Omega$ in D' .

Proof. For the distribution T_0 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T_0(x+h), \varphi(x) \rangle = \\ & \langle \frac{T(x+h)}{c(h)}, \frac{c(h) \exp \prec -a, h \succ}{(z+x+h)^b} \cdot \exp \prec -a, x \succ \varphi(x) \rangle, \varphi \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Since $T(x+h)/c(h)$ converges weakly in D' , the set $\{T(x+h)/c(h), h \in \Omega\}$ is weakly bounded, and thus it is a bounded set. By Theorem X in [4], T.I, it follows that

$$\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \langle T_0(x+h), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle U(x)V(x) \exp \prec -a, x \succ, \varphi(x) \rangle$$

for every $\varphi \in D$.

3. A general integral transform of distributions

In the following we shall use the well known function $\eta_\omega \in C^\infty, \omega > 0$ [6]:

$$\eta_\omega(x) = \int_{B(0, 2\omega)} q_\omega(x-t) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$q_\omega(x) = \begin{cases} D\omega^{-n} \exp(-\frac{\omega^2}{\omega^2\|x\|^2}), & \|x\| < \omega \\ 0, & \|x\| \geq \omega \end{cases} : D \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} q_1(t) dt = 1.$$

The function η_ω , has the properties: $0 \leq \eta_\omega(x) \leq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^n; \eta_\omega(x) = 1, x \in B(0, \omega); \eta_\omega(x) = 0, \|x\| > 3\omega; |D^k \eta_\omega(x)| \leq C_k \omega^{-k}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The constants C_k do not depend on ω .

Definition 3. Suppose that $k(s+x)$ is a smooth function for every fixed $s \in S_0 \subset C^n$. The k -transformation of a distribution $T \in D'$, $K(T)(s)$, is defined by the limit

$$K(T)(s) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(x), k(s+x)\eta_\omega(x) \rangle,$$

if this limit exists when $s \in S_0$.

Proposition 5. If for fixed $a, b \in R^n$ and $s \in S_0$ the function $k(s+x)(z+x)^b \exp \langle a, x \rangle \in D_{L^1}$, then the k -transformation exists for $T \in \mathcal{J}(a, b)$, $s \in S_0$ and we have:

$$K(T)(s) = \langle \frac{T(x)}{(z+x)^b \exp \langle a, x \rangle}, k(s+x)(z+x)^b \exp \langle a, x \rangle \rangle.$$

Proof. We have only to prove that $\eta_\omega(x)k(s+x)(s_0+x)^b \cdot \exp \langle a, x \rangle$ converges in D_{L^1} when $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. That is a direct consequence of the property of the function $\eta_\omega : |D^k \eta_\omega(x)| \leq C_k \omega^{-|k|}$.

Remark. If $T \in D'(R)$ is a regular distribution defined by the function f and if the classical transform

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)k(s+x)dx, s \in S_0$$

exists, then the k -transform of $T = f$ exists and

$$\lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \langle f(x), k(s+x)\eta_\omega(x) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)k(s+x)dx, s \in S_0.$$

We shall show this.

$$K(f)(s) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-3\omega}^{-\omega} + \int_{-\omega}^{\omega} + \int_{\omega}^{3\omega} f(x)k(s+x)\eta_\omega(x)dx, s \in S_0.$$

We have to show only that the first and the third integral converge to zero when $\omega \rightarrow \infty$. Since for $\omega \leq x \leq 3\omega$, the function η_ω is positive and monotonely decreasing, by the mean value theorem there exists a $\xi, 0 < \xi < 2$, such that

$$\int_{\omega}^{3\omega} \eta_\omega(x) \operatorname{Re}[f(x)k(s+x)]dx = \eta_\omega(\omega) \int_{\omega}^{\omega+\xi\omega} \operatorname{Re}[f(x)k(s+x)]dx$$

for $s \in S_0$. The last integral tends to zero when $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, because we supposed that the classical k-transformation exists. We have the same situation with the imaginary part of this integral and with the first integral, as well.

In such a way, the k-transformation generalises the classical one.

Proposition 6. *We suppose:*

1. For fixed $a \in R^n$ and $s \in S_0$ the function $k(s+x) \cdot \exp \langle a, x \rangle \in D_{L^2}$.
2. For an $s' \in S_0$, with the property $s' + R^n \subset S_0$, we have: $F[k(s' - x) \exp \langle a, -x \rangle](y) \neq 0, y \in R^n$.

Then, the k-transformation exists for $T \in \mathcal{J}(a, -c), c_i > 1/2, i = 1, \dots, n$, it is a one-to-one mapping defined in the set $\mathcal{J}(a, -c)$ and the set $\{k(s' + x + h) \exp \langle a, x + h \rangle, h \in R^n\}$ is dense in D_{L^2} .

Proof. If for $s \in S_0, k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle \in D_{L^2}$, then for $\text{Im} z_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle (z+x)^{-c}$ belongs to D_{L^1} . By Proposition 5 there exists the k-transformation of $T, K(T)(s)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} K(T)(s) &= \langle T(x)(z+x)^c \exp \langle -a, x \rangle, k(s+x)(z+x)^{-c} \exp \langle a, x \rangle \rangle \\ &= \langle T(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle, k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

because $T \exp \langle -a, x \rangle \in D'_{L^2}$ (see Theorem XXVI in [4], T.II, p. 59).

Now, for $h \in R^n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} K(T)(s' - h) &= \exp \langle a, h \rangle ([T(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle] * \\ & * [k(s' - x) \exp \langle a, -x \rangle])(h). \end{aligned}$$

If $K(T)(s' - x) = 0$ for all $h \in R^n$, then $\exp \langle a, -h \rangle K(T)(s' - h) = 0$, as well. Using the Fourier transform and its properties (see [4], T.II, p. 126) we have:

$$F[T(x)e^{\langle -a, x \rangle}](y)F[k(s' - x)e^{\langle a, -x \rangle}](y) = 0, y \in R^n$$

If $F[k(s' - x) \exp \langle a, -x \rangle](y) \neq 0, y \in R^n$ then $F[T(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle](y) = 0, y \in R^n$ and therefore $T = 0$.

We know (see [2]p.239) that the linear envelope of the set $\{k(s' - h + x) \exp \langle -a, x - h \rangle, h \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ is dense in D_{L^2} if and only if for every $W \in D'_{L^2}$ and $h \in \mathbb{R}^n$ from $\langle W(x), k(s' - h + x) \exp \langle -a, x - h \rangle \rangle = 0$ it follows that $W = 0$. To show this, we shall use the same properties of the Fourier transform as we did above:

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \langle W(x), k(s' - h + x) \exp \langle -a, x - h \rangle \rangle = \\ &= (W(x) * [k(s' - x) \exp \langle -a, -x \rangle])(h), h \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

By the Fourier transform these relations give:

$$0 = F[W](y)F[k(s' - x) \exp \langle -a, -x \rangle](y), y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We have only to use supposition 2 of Proposition 6 to obtain that $W = 0$.

4. Abelian type theorem

Theorem 1. *We suppose:*

1. $T \in \mathcal{J}(a, b)$, where $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\})^n$;
2. $T(x + h)^s \sim c(h) \cdot U(x), h \in \Omega$, in D' ;
3. $k(s + x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle (z + x)^b \in D_{L^1}, \text{Im} z_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$, where $s \in S_0$ and $S_0 - \Omega \subset S_0$;
4. $\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(h) \exp \langle -a, h \rangle}{(z + x + h)^b} = V(x) \neq 0$ in E .

Then the k-transformation of T exists and

$$(4.1) \quad \lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(T)(s - h)}{c(h)} = \langle U(x)V(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle, V^{-1}(x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle k(s + x) \rangle.$$

Proof. If we denote by $T_0(x) = T(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle (z + x)^{-b}$, by proposition 5 the k-transformation exists for $T \in \mathcal{J}(a, b), s \in S_0$ and

$$K(T)(s) = T_0(x), k(s + x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle (z + x)^b.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{K(T)(s-h)}{c(h)} = \langle T_0(x+h), \frac{(z+x+h)^b}{c(h) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle} k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle \rangle$$

By propositions 3 and 4, $T_0(x+h)^s \sim 1 \cdot U(x)V(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle$, $h \in \Omega$, in B' and

$$\frac{K(T)(s-h)}{c(h)} = \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^{ik} \int_{R^n} F_i(x+h) \Delta^{ik} \frac{(z+x+h)^b}{c(h) \exp \langle -a, h \rangle} \cdot k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle dx.$$

The expression $\Delta^{ik}(z+x+h)^b k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle$ consists of the finite sum of elements which have the following form:

$$H_{j,p,q}(x, h) = C_{j,p}(z+x+h)^{b-(j-p)} D_k^p [k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle],$$

where $0 \leq p \leq j \leq b$.

We shall prove that $|H_{j,p,q}(x, h)|/c(h) \exp \langle -a, h \rangle$ is bounded by a function belonging to L^1 for all $h \in R^n$.

First, if $(z+x)^b k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle$ belongs to D_{L^1} , then $(z+x)^b D_x^p [k(s+x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle]$ belongs to L^1 , as well.

Secondly, we shall prove two inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} |(z+x+h)^q| &= \prod_{i=1}^n |z_i + x_i + h_i|^{q_i} \leq \prod_{i=1}^n (|z_i + x_i| + 1)^{q_i} (|z_i + h_i| + |x_i|)^{q_i} \\ &\leq \prod_{i=1}^n \left[|z_i + x_i| \left(1 + \frac{1}{|Imz_i|}\right) \right]^{q_i} \left[|z_i + h_i| \left(1 + \frac{|x_i|}{|Imz_i|}\right) \right]^{q_i} \\ &\leq C_q |(z+x)^q| |(z+h)^q|, \quad q_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n. \\ |(z+x+h)^{-(j-p)}| &\leq C'_{j,p}, \quad j \geq p; x \in R^n, h \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{j,p,q}(x, h)|/c(h) &\leq C_{j,p} C'_{j,p} C_b \left| \frac{(z+h)^b}{c(h)} \right| |(z+x)^b| \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot |D_x^q k(s+x) e^{\langle a, x \rangle}|. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality shows that $|H_{j,p,q}(x, h)|/c(h) \exp \langle -a, h \rangle$ is bounded by a function belonging to L^1 when $h \in \Omega$. When we exchange the limit and the integral in relation (7), we just obtain the assertion of Theorem 1, given by relation (3.1).

References

- [1] Brychkov, Yu. A., Shirokov, Yu. M., Asymptotical behaviour of the Fourier transformation, Teor. Mat. Fiz. T. 4. N° 3(1970), 301-309 (in Russian).
- [2] Köthe, G., Topologische lineare Räume, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- [3] Pilipović, S., Stanković, B., Takači, A., Asymptotic Behaviour and Stieltjes Transformation of Distributions, Teubner, Leibzig, 1990.
- [4] Schwarz, L., Théorie des distributions, T. I-II, Hermann, Paris, 1950-1951.
- [5] Stanković B., Abelian Theorem for the Stieltjes Transform of Distributions, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. 13,4 (1990), 677-686.
- [6] Vladimirov, V. S., Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics, MIR Publisher, Moscow, 1979.

REZIME

ABELOVA TEOREMA ZA OPŠTU INTEGRALNU TRANSFORMACIJU DISTRIBUCIJA

Opšta integralna transformacija distribucije $T \in D$ uvedena je na sledeći način:

Funkcija η_ω [6] je glatka funkcija sa sledećim osobinama: $0 \leq \eta_\omega(x) \leq 1, x \in R^n; \eta_\omega(x) = 1, \|x\| < \omega; \eta_\omega(x) = 0, \|x\| > 3\omega$.

$k(s+x)$ je glatka funkcija za $x \in R^n$ u $s \in S_0 \subset C^m$. k -transformacija distribucije $T \in D', K(T)(s)$, definisana je graničnim procesom

$$K(T)(s) = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow \infty} \langle T(x), k(s+x)\eta_\omega(x) \rangle,$$

ako ova granica postoji za $s \in S_0$.

Za ovu integralnu transformaciju posebno je značajna klasa distribucija $\mathcal{J}(a, b)$. Distribucija T pripada klasi $\mathcal{J}(a, b)$ ako distribucija $T_0(x) = T(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle (z+x)^{-b}$ pripada skupu ograničenih distribucija, B' za $\text{Im} z_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$.

Pored uslova egzistencije k -transformacije i njenih osobina, dokazana je i sledeća

TEOREMA 1. Pretpostavimo:

1. $T \in \mathcal{J}(a, b)$, gde $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ i $b \in (\mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\})^n$;
2. T ima S -asimptotiku u odnosu na c sa granicom u dok $h \in \Omega$.
3. $k(s+x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle (z+x)^b \in D_{L^1}$, $\text{Im} z_i \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$, gde $s \in S_0$ i $S_0 - \Omega \subset S_0$;
4. $\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(h) \exp \langle -a, h \rangle}{(z+x+h)^b} = V(x) \neq 0$ u E .

Tada k -transformacija od T postoji i

$$\lim_{h \in \Omega, h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K(T)(s-h)}{c(h)} = \langle U(x)V(x) \exp \langle -a, x \rangle, V^{-1}(x) \exp \langle a, x \rangle k(s+x) \rangle .$$

Received by the editors September 11, 1990