

CURVATURE PINCHING FOR MINIMAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A SPHERE

Li Haizhong¹

Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University
Zhengzhou 450052, China

Abstract

By use of the modified Simons' method, a pinching theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere is obtained. Our theorem is the improvement of Simons' theorem and Shen Yibing's theorem.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 53C40, 53C20

Key words and phrases: pinching theorem, minimal submanifolds.

1. Introduction

Let M^n be an n -dimensional compact minimal submanifold in a Euclidean unit sphere S^{n+p} of dimension $n+p$. It is well known, that if the length square $\|\sigma\|^2$ of the second fundamental form of M^n satisfies

$$(1) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 \leq n/(2 - \frac{1}{p})$$

everywhere, then either $\|\sigma\|^2 = 0$ (i.e. M^n is totally geodesic) or $\|\sigma\|^2 = n/(2 - \frac{1}{p})$. In the latter case M^n is either a Clifford hypersurface or a Veronese surface in S^4 ([5, 2]). In [7], Shen Yibing modified Simons' method and improved the above Simons' pinching constant. In fact, he obtained

¹This research has been supported by the National Science Foundation of China

Theorem A (Theorem 1.1 of [7]). *Let M^n be an n (≥ 2)-dimensional compact minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} with*

$$(2) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 \leq n/(1 + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n}}).$$

Then M^n is either a totally geodesic submanifold or a Veronese in S^4 .

In this paper, we greatly improve the above Theorem A. In fact, we establish the following result:

Theorem 1. *Let M^n be an n (≥ 2)-dimensional compact minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} with*

$$(3) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 \leq \frac{n(3n-2)}{5n-4}.$$

Then M^n is either a totally geodesic submanifold or a Veronese surface in S^4 .

Remark 1. Our pinching constant $\frac{n(3n-2)}{5n-4}$ is independent of the codimension p of M^n and is not less than Simons' constant $n/(2 - \frac{1}{p})$ in the case $p \geq 3 - 2/n$ (i.e. $n = 2, p \geq 2, n \geq 3, p \geq 3$). Our pinching constant is not less than Shen Yibing's pinching constant $n/(1 + \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{2n}})$.

Remark 2. In [4], Sasaki, M. studied the same problem. By Gauss equation his condition of Theorem 1 in [4] is equivalent to $\|\sigma\|^2 \leq n/(2 - \frac{2}{(n-1)(n+1)})$. Obviously our condition (3) is better than his.

Remark 3. When $n = 2$, our Theorem 1, Theorem A and Sasaki's Theorem all have the same result. In this case, the condition of the Theorem is equivalent to the Gauss curvature $K \geq \frac{1}{3}$. This result has been proved by Benko-Kothe-Semmler-Simon ([1]) and Itoh ([3]) independently.

In [3] and [6], it was proved that if M^n is a compact minimal submanifold of S^{n+p} with a sectional curvature $> \min(\frac{p-1}{2p-1}, \frac{n}{2(n+1)})$, then M^n is totally geodesic. A further problem is as follows: Can we find a pinching constant c_s depending on the dimension n and the codimension p such that $c_s \leq \min(\frac{p-1}{2p-1}, \frac{n}{2(n+1)})$? By use of our Theorem 1, we give a partial answer to this problem.

Theorem 2. *Let M^n be a compact minimal submanifold in S^{n+p} with the constant scalar curvature. If the sectional curvature of M^n is not less than*

$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3n-2}{2p(5n-4)}$ everywhere, then M^n is either a totally geodesic submanifold or a Veronese surface in S^4 .

Remark 4. It is clear that the pinching constant $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3n-2}{2p(5n-4)} \leq \min(\frac{p-1}{2p-1}, \frac{n}{2(n+1)})$ if $3 - 2/n \leq p \leq \frac{(3n-2)(n+1)}{5n-4}$.

Remark 5. Theorem 2 has improved Proposition 4.1 of [7].

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we shall use the same notation as in [7]. Let M^n be an n -dimensional compact minimal submanifold in a unit sphere S^{n+p} of the dimension $n+p$. We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_{n+p} in S^{n+p} in such a way that, when restricted to M^n , e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M^n . Let $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^{n+p}$ be their dual coframes. The following convention on the range of indices will be used

$$1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n+p; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n;$$

$$n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \dots \leq n+p.$$

The second fundamental form of M^n in S^{n+p} is

$$\sigma = \sum_{\alpha, i, j} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega^i \omega^j e_{\alpha}$$

and

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, i, j} (h_{ij}^{\alpha})^2$$

is the square of the length of σ .

Let

$$UM = \bigcup_{x \in M} UM_x \quad \text{and} \quad UM_x = \{u \in TM_x : \|u\| = 1\}.$$

Thus $UM \rightarrow M$ is the unit tangent bundle over M^n . We define a function $f : UM \rightarrow R$ by

$$f(u) = \|\sigma(u, u)\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \left(\sum_{i, j} h_{ij}^{\alpha} u^i u^j \right)^2,$$

for $u = \sum_i u^i e_i \in UM$. Since UM is compact, f attains its maximum at the vector in UM . Suppose that this vector is $v = \sum_i v^i e_i \in UM_{x_0}$, $x_0 \in M^n$. Assume $e_i = v$ at x_0 and

$$(4) \quad b_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha} h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{ij}^{\alpha}.$$

From the maximum conditions of the function f at v , we have at x_0 (see Lemma 1.1 of [7]);

$$(5) \quad f(v) = b_{11} = \max_{u \in UM} \{ \|\sigma(u, u)\|^2 \},$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{\alpha} (h_{11i}^{\alpha})^2 + \sum_{\alpha} h_{11}^{\alpha} h_{11ii}^{\alpha} \leq 0,$$

$$(7) \quad b_{ij} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$(8) \quad 2 \sum_{\alpha} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 + b_{kk} - f(v) \leq 0 \quad (k \neq 1).$$

3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. All the calculations below will be made out at the point x_0 . Summing up over i in (6), by the Ricci identity and (7), we get (see (2.3) of [7]):

$$(9) \quad 0 \geq nf(v) + 2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - \\ - 2f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - \sum_{k \neq 1} b_{kk}^2 - f(v) \sum_{\alpha} (h_{11}^{\alpha})^2,$$

which is the fundamental inequality used below. The key problem is how to estimate from below the second term on the right-hand side: $2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2$. We obtain a better result because we give a better lower bound.

In [7], Shen Yibing gave the following estimation $2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2$ (see (2.7) of [7]):

$$(10) \quad 2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \geq -\frac{1}{a} f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 - af(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2,$$

where $a > 0$ is an arbitrary real number.

On the other hand, by $b_{kk}^2 \leq f(v) \sum_{\alpha} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 \leq f(v)^2$, we have $(f(v) + b_{kk})(f(v) - b_{kk}) \geq 0$. Combining this with (8), we get $f(v) + b_{kk} \geq 0$, i.e. $b_{kk} \geq -f(v)$. Therefore we have the following estimation:

$$(11) \quad 2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \geq -2f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2.$$

Combining (10) with (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk}^2 (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 = b \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 + (2-b) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} b_{kk} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \\ & \geq -\frac{bf(v)}{2a} \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 - \frac{abf(v)}{2} \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - (2-b)f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 \\ (12) \quad & = -\frac{bf(v)}{2a} \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 - (2-b + \frac{ab}{2})f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $a > 0$ and $0 \leq b \leq 2$.

Substituting (12) into (9) and using $b_{kk}^2 \leq f(v) \sum_{\alpha} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad & 0 \geq nf(v) - (4-b + \frac{ab}{2})f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - \\ & - (\frac{b}{2a} + 1)f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 - f(v) \sum_{\alpha} (h_{11}^{\alpha})^2; \end{aligned}$$

(5) implies that

$$(14) \quad \frac{b}{2an} \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 \leq \frac{(n-1)b}{2an} \sum_{\alpha} (h_{11}^{\alpha})^2,$$

therefore we have from (13)

$$\begin{aligned} & 0 \geq nf(v) - (4-b + \frac{ab}{2})f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - \\ & - (\frac{b}{2a} + 1 - \frac{b}{2an})f(v) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{kk}^{\alpha})^2 - (1 + \frac{(n-1)b}{2an})f(v) \sum_{\alpha} (h_{11}^{\alpha})^2 = \\ (15) \quad & = f(v)[n - (4-b + \frac{ab}{2}) \sum_{\alpha, k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^{\alpha})^2 - (1 + \frac{(n-1)b}{2an}) \sum_{\alpha, i} (h_{ii}^{\alpha})^2]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $4 - b + ab/2 = 2(1 - (n - 1)b/2an)$, i.e. $b = \frac{4an}{(3n-2) - n(a-1)^2}$. Noting

$$\|\sigma\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \geq \sum_{\alpha,i} (h_{ii}^\alpha)^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha,k \neq 1} (h_{1k}^\alpha)^2,$$

we obtain from (15)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq f(v)[n - (1 + \frac{(n - 1)b}{2an})\|\sigma\|^2(x_0)] \\ (16) \quad &= f(v)[n - (1 + \frac{2(n - 1)}{(3n - 2) - n(a - 1)^2})\|\sigma\|^2(x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = 1$, then (16) becomes

$$(17) \quad 0 \geq f(v)[n - \frac{5n - 4}{3n - 2}\|\sigma\|^2(x_0)].$$

Thus, it follows from (3) and (17) that either $f(v) = 0$ or

$$(18) \quad \|\sigma\|^2(x_0) = \frac{n(3n - 2)}{5n - 4}.$$

If $f(v) = 0$, then $\|\sigma(u, u)\|^2 = 0$ for any $u \in UM$, so that M^n is totally geodesic. If $f(v) \neq 0$, then (18) holds, so that (9)-(17) are all equalities in the case $a = 1$ and $b = \frac{4n}{3n-2}$. Making the same discussion as in [7], we conclude that $n = 2$ and Theorem 1 follows directly from Theorem B of [1]. Theorem 1 is proved completely. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let K_M be the infimum of the sectional curvatures of M^n . It is easy to see that (cf. [6])

$$(19) \quad 2 \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k,l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^2 R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkjk}) \geq 2nK_M \|\sigma\|^2.$$

By Lemma 1.2 in [7] (i.e. (1.19) in [7]), we have

$$(20) \quad 0 \geq \int_{M^n} \|\sigma\|^2 (2nK_M + \frac{1}{p}\|\sigma\|^2 - n) dv.$$

Since the scalar curvature of M^n is a constant, then $\|\sigma\|^2 = \text{const}$. Now we assume that

$$(21) \quad \|\sigma\|^2 > \frac{n(3n - 2)}{5n - 4}.$$

Substituting (21) into (20) and using the condition of Theorem 2, we have

$$(22) \quad 0 > \int_{M^n} \|\sigma\|^2 \left(K_M - \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3n-2}{2p(5n-4)} \right) \right) dv > 0.$$

This contradiction implies that (21) is false. Therefore, $\|\sigma\|^2 \leq \frac{n(3n-2)}{5n-4}$, so that Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1. \square

Acknowledgment. The author has done this work during his stay as a visiting scholar at the Department of Mathematics of the University of Sarajevo. He expresses his thanks to Prof. dr. Avdispahić for his encouragements and many helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Benko, K., Kothe, M., Semmler, K.D., Simon, U., Eigenvalues of Laplacian and curvature, *Colloq. Math.*, 42(1979), 19-31.
- [2] Chern, S.S., Do Carmo, M., Kobayashi, S., Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, *Functional Analysis and Related Fields*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, (1970), 393-409.
- [3] Itoh, T., Addendum to my paper On Veronese manifolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 3(1978), 73-74.
- [4] Sasaki, M., Remarks on the rigidity and stability of minimal submanifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(1989), 793-795.
- [5] Simons, J., Minimal varieties in Riemannian manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 88(1968), 62-105.
- [6] Yau, S.T., Submanifolds with constant mean curvature, *Amer. J. Math.* 97(1975), 76-100.
- [7] Yibing, S., On intrinsic rigidity for minimal submanifolds in a sphere, *Science in China*, 32(1989), 769-781.

REZIME**GRANIČNA KRIVINA ZA MINIMALNU
PODMNOGOSTRUKOST NA SFERI**

U radu je dokazana granična teorema za minimalnu podmnogostrukost na sferi korišćenjem modifikovanog Simonsovog metoda. Ova teorema je poboljšanje Simonsove teoreme i Shen Yibingove teoreme.

Received by the editors February 28, 1991