

TAYLOR FORMULA OF BOOLEAN AND PSEUDO-BOOLEAN FUNCTION

Koriolan Gilezan

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad,
21000 Novi Sad, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, Yugoslavia

Abstract

Partial derivatives of generalized pseudo-Boolean functions are defined and it is shown that each generalized pseudo-Boolean function can be represented by these partial derivatives.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classifications (1991): 47A60

Key words and phrases: Pseudo-Boolean functions, partial derivatives of pseudo - Boolean functions, Boolean functions, partial derivatives of Boolean functions, Boolean algebra, lattice.

1. Partial derivatives of Boolean functions

Partial derivatives of Boolean functions are defined in [8]. Let us recall these notions in order to fix the notations.

Let $(B, U, \cdot, \iota, 0, I)$ be a Boolean algebra, where $x + y = x' \cdot y \cup x \cdot y'$ for $x, y \in B$. A Boolean function is every mapping f from B^n into B , where B^n is the direct product of the set B .

A partial derivative of a Boolean function $f : B^n \rightarrow B$ with the variable x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a Boolean function

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} : B^{n-1} \rightarrow B$$

defined by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f((x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, I, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) + f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n), (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Partial derivatives of higher order are defined inductively.

The following theorem is proved in [8].

Theorem 1. *The following Taylor formula holds for every Boolean function $f : B^n \rightarrow B$: for every $A \in B^n$,*

$$f(x) = f(A) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{1, 2, \dots, n} (x_{i_1} + \alpha_{i_1}) \cdot (x_{i_2} + \alpha_{i_2}) \dots (x_{i_m} + \alpha_{i_m}) \cdot \frac{\partial^m f(A)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}.$$

(see [8] for more detail).

2. Partial derivatives of lattice functions

Partial derivatives of lattice functions are derived in [3].

Let (L, \vee, \wedge) be a lattice, let L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n be finite subsets of L , and let $S = L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$ be the direct product. A lattice function is every mapping f from S into L , i.e. $f : S \rightarrow L$.

A partial derivative of a lattice function $f : S \rightarrow L$ with the variable x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a lattice function

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} : S \rightarrow L$$

defined by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \vee f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i \oplus 1}, \dots, x_n), (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

\oplus is the addition modulo m_i , m_i is the cardinality of the set L_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

3. Partial derivatives of ring functions

Partial derivatives of ring functions are defined in [3].

Let $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ be a set and let \oplus and \cdot be the addition and the multiplication modulo p , respectively. Then (L, \oplus, \cdot) is a ring. If L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n are the subsets of L , then $S = L_1 \times L_2 \times \dots \times L_n$ denotes their direct product.

A ring function is any mapping of the set S into L , i.e. $f : S \rightarrow L$.

The partial derivative of a ring function $f : S \rightarrow L$ with the variable x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a ring function

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} : S \rightarrow L$$

defined by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(x_1, \dots, x_{i \oplus 1}, \dots, x_n) \oplus f'(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where $f \oplus f' = 0$.

4. Partial derivatives of generalized pseudo-Boolean functions

Let $(P, +, \cdot)$ be a ring such that $\{0, 1\} \subset P$, where 0 is the zero element for the binary operation $+$ and 1 is the identity element for the binary operation \cdot . Let L be an arbitrary finite set.

A generalized pseudo-Boolean function (GPB function) is every mapping f of the set L^n into P , i.e. $f : L^n \rightarrow P$, where L^n is the direct product of L .

Let us introduce the following relation on L

$$[x_i]_{a_i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x_i = a_i \\ 0, & \text{if } x_i \neq a_i, x_i, a_i \in L, \end{cases}$$

$[X]_A$ will denote the product $[x_1]_{a_1} \cdot [x_2]_{a_2} \cdot \dots \cdot [x_n]_{a_n}$, where $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, i.e. $A, X \in L^n$. Furthermore, $x + x' = x' + x = 0$, where $x' = -x$, for $x, x' \in P$.

Every *GPB* function can be represented in the following form:

$$f(x) = \sum_{A \in L^n} f(A) \cdot [X]_A.$$

Partial derivatives of *GPB* functions are defined in [4].

Definition 1. A partial derivative of *GPB* functions $f : L^n \rightarrow P$ with the variable x_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) is a *GPB* function

$$\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} : L^n \rightarrow P, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i}(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x), \quad a \in L, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n).$$

Partial derivatives of higher order are *GPB* functions

$$\frac{\partial^m f_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} : L^n \rightarrow P, \quad m \geq 1,$$

defined inductively by

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial^m f_{a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_m}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_m}} \left(\dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_m}} \left(\frac{\partial f_{a_1}(x)}{\partial x_{i_1}} \right)_{a_{i_2}} \right) \dots \right)_{a_{i_m}},$$

$$1 \leq i \leq n, \quad a_{i_j} \in L, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m.$$

The following properties follows immediately from Definition 1.

If f and g ($f : L^n \rightarrow P$, $g : L^n \rightarrow P$) are *GPB* functions and $c \in P$, then for every $a, b \in L$,

$$(2.1) \quad f \text{ does not contain } x_i, \quad (\forall a \in L) \left(\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} = 0 \right), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)$$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial (cf)_a}{\partial x_i} = c \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\partial (f+g)_a}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial x_i}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial(f \cdot g)_a}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} g + f \cdot \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial x_i} + \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} \cdot \frac{\partial g_a}{\partial x_i}, (1 \leq i \leq n),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_{ab}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 f_{ba}}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}, (1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m)$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{\partial \underbrace{f_{aa \dots a}}_m}{\partial x_i^m} = (-1)^{m+1} \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i}, (m \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq m)$$

Theorem 2. Let $f : L^n \rightarrow P$ be a GPB function and let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be two vectors from L^n , then:

$$(3) \quad f(B) = f(A) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{1, \dots, n} \frac{\partial^m f_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_m}}^{(H)}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}, (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m).$$

$$i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ the formula (3) is of the form

$$(3.1) \quad f(a_1) + \frac{\partial f_{b_1}(a_1)}{\partial x_1} = f(b_1),$$

where by Definition 1

$$\frac{\partial f_{b_1}(x_1)}{\partial x_1} = f(b_1) - f(x_1)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{b_1}(a_1)}{\partial x_1} = f(b_1) - f(a_1).$$

Hence, formula (3.1) is true.

For $n = 2$ formula (3) is of the form

$$(3.2) \quad f(a_1, a_2) + \frac{\partial f_{b_1}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial f_{b_2}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f_{b_1 b_2}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = f(b_1, b_2)$$

where by Definition 1 (1.1 and 1.2)

$$\frac{\partial f_{b_1}(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1} = f(b_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{b_2}(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_2} = f(b_2, x_1) - f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f_{b_1, b_2}(x_1, x_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = f(b_1, b_2) - f(x_1, b_2) - f(b_1, x_2) + f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$(3.2.1.) \quad \frac{\partial f_{c_1}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_1} = f(b_1, b_2) - f(a_1, a_2)$$

$$\frac{\partial f_{b_2}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_2} = f(a_1, b_2) - f(a_1, a_2)$$

$$(3.2.2.) \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_{b_1 b_2}(a_1, a_2)}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = f(b_1, b_2) - f(a_1, a_2) - f(b_1, a_2) + f(a_1, a_2),$$

By substituting (3.2.1) and (3.2.2) in the left-hand side of (3.2) we obtain that (3.2) is true.

If $A = B$, then by the properties of partial derivatives we obtain that

$$\frac{\partial^m f_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_m}}(b_1, \dots, b_n)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} = 0, \quad \begin{array}{l} (i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m), \\ (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m = 1, 2, \dots, n). \end{array}$$

Therefore $f(B) = f(A)$ for $B = A$, $A, B \in L^n$.

Let substitute $A = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n)$ in (3), i.e.

$$f'(x_n) = f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n) + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m}^{1, 2, \dots, n-1} \frac{\partial^m f_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_m}}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_n)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}}$$

$$i_1, i_2 < \dots < i_m.$$

Then, by (3.1) we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad f'(b_n) = f'(a_n) + \frac{\partial f'_{b_n}(a_n)}{\partial x_n}$$

Finally, if we develop $f'(a_n)$ in (3.3), then the indices b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_m} of partial derivatives form the following set of subsets

$$P = \{x | x \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}, x \neq \emptyset\}.$$

On the other hand, if we develop $\frac{\partial f'_{b_n}(a_n)}{\partial x_n}$ in (3.3), then the indices b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_m} of partial derivatives form the following set of subsets

$$P' = \{X \cup \{b_n\} \mid x \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}\}\}.$$

Obviously, $P \cap P' = \emptyset$ and

$$P \cup P' = \{X \mid x \subset \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}, x \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Hence, the indices p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_m} in (3.3) range over the partitive set of $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Theorem 3. Every GPB function $f : L^n \rightarrow P$ satisfies the following (Taylor) formula: for every $A \in L^n$

(4)

$$f(x) = f(A) + \sum_{m=1}^n \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n}^{1, 2, \dots, n} \sum_{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_m} \in L} \frac{\partial^m f_{c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_m}}(A)}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} \cdot [x_{i_1}]_{c_{i_1}} \cdot [x_{i_2}]_{c_{i_2}} \dots [x_{i_m}]_{c_{i_m}}$$

$$(i_1 < \dots < i_m).$$

Proof. Let us take $X = A$ in (4), then the products

$$(4.1) \quad [a_{i_1}]_{c_{i_1}} \cdot [a_{i_2}]_{c_{i_2}} \dots [a_{i_m}]_{c_{i_m}}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

are equal 1 if and only if $c_{i_m} = a_{i_m}$ for every m . In the rest of the cases these products are 0. Therefore the partial derivatives in (4) are 0 whenever the products in (4.1) are 1 and vice versa, i.e. if the partial derivatives are different from 0, then the products in (4.1) are 0.

Thus, it follows from (4) that $f(X) = f(A)$ for $X = A$.

If we substitute $X = B \neq A$ in (4), then one of the products

$$(4.2) \quad [b_{i_1}]_{c_{i_1}} \cdot [b_{i_2}]_{c_{i_2}} \dots [b_{i_m}]_{c_{i_m}}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n; \quad i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

is equal to 1 if and only if $c_{i_m} = b_{i_m}$ for every m , while all the others are 0. In this case for $X = B \neq A$ according to (4.2) formula (4) is transformed into formula (3) from Theorem 2.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Example. Let us take $L = \{0, 1, 2\}$, a ring (P, t, \cdot) and let $2 = (2, 2, 2) \in L^3$. According to Theorem 3 the Taylor formula of a GPB function $f: L^3 \rightarrow P$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x, y, z) = & f(2) + \frac{\partial f_0(2)}{\partial x}[x]_0 + \frac{\partial f_1(2)}{\partial x}[x]_1 + \frac{\partial f_0(2)}{\partial y}[y]_0 + \frac{\partial f_1(2)}{\partial y}[y]_1 \\
 & + \frac{\partial f_0(2)}{\partial z}[z]_0 + \frac{\partial f_1(2)}{\partial z}[z]_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{00}(2)}{\partial x \partial y}[x]_0 \cdot [y]_0 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{01}(2)}{\partial x \partial y}[x]_0 \cdot [y]_1 \\
 & + \frac{\partial^2 f_{10}(2)}{\partial x \partial y}[x]_1 \cdot [y]_0 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{11}(2)}{\partial x \partial y}[x]_1 \cdot [y]_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{00}(2)}{\partial x \partial z}[x]_0 \cdot [z]_0 \\
 & \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_{01}(2)}{\partial x \partial y}[x]_0 \cdot [y]_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{01}(2)}{\partial x \partial z}[x]_0 \cdot [z]_1 \\
 & \frac{\partial^2 f_{10}(2)}{\partial x \partial z}[x]_1[z]_0 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{11}(2)}{\partial x \partial z}[x]_1[z]_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{00}(2)}{\partial y \partial z}[y]_0 \cdot [z]_0 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{01}(2)}{\partial y \partial z}[y]_0 \cdot [z]_1 \\
 & \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_{10}(2)}{\partial y \partial z}[y]_1[z]_0 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{11}(2)}{\partial y \partial z}[y]_1[z]_1 + \frac{\partial^2 f_{000}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_0 \cdot [y]_0 \cdot [z]_0 \\
 & + \frac{\partial^3 f_{001}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_0[y]_0[z]_1 + \frac{\partial^3 f_{010}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_0[y]_1[z]_0 + \frac{\partial^3 f_{100}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_1[y]_0[z]_1 \\
 & + \frac{\partial^3 f_{110}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_1[y]_1[z]_0 + \frac{\partial^3 f_{101}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_1[y]_0[z]_1 + \frac{\partial^3 f_{011}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_0[y]_1[z]_1 \\
 & \quad + \frac{\partial^3 f_{111}(2)}{\partial x \partial y \partial z}[x]_1[y]_1[z]_1.
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Aczel, J., Lecture on functional equations and their applications. Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
- [2] Akers, S. B., On a theory of Boolean functions, SIAM J. 7, 487-498.
- [3] Davio, M., Deschamps, J. P., Thayse, A., Discrete and Switching Functions, Georgi Publishing Company St. Saphorin, New York, 1978.
- [4] Gilezan, K., Les derivees partielles des Fonctions pseudo - Booleennes - generalisees: Nort - Holand, Publishing Comp. Discrete Applied Mathematics 4 (1982), 37-45.

- [5] Gilezan, K., Equations fonctionnelles pseudo-Booleennes generalisees Publ. Inst. Math., Beograd 20(34) (1979), 99-109.
- [6] Gilezan, K., Equations fonctionnelles pseudo-Booleennes generalisees du Deuxieme ordre, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prir.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 9 (1979).
- [7] Gilezan, K., Udicki, M., Generalized pseudo - Boolean equations of the third order, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prir.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 19, 2 (1989), 81-91.
- [8] Rudeanu, S., Boolean Functions and Equations, Nort - Holand , Publishing Company, Amsterdam. London 1974.

Received by the editors October 30, 1994