

DETERMINANTS OF RECTANGULAR MATRICES AND MOORE-PENROSE INVERSE

Predrag Stanimirović, Miomir Stanković

Faculty of Philosophy, Department of Mathematics, University of Niš
Ćirila i Metodija 2, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia

Abstract

We investigate determinants of rectangular matrices and generalized inverses. Moreover, the correlation between induced generalized inverses, the Moore-Penrose inverse and the well-known determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse is considered.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 15A09, 15A60

Key words and phrases: Moore-Penrose inverse, determinants of rectangular matrices, generalized inverses, determinantal representation, generalized algebraic complement

1. Introduction

Let C^n be the n -dimensional complex vector space, $C^{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices, and $C_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in C^{m \times n} : \text{rank}(X) = r\}$. The adjugate matrix of a square matrix B is denoted by $\text{adj}(B)$, and its determinant is denoted $\det(B)$. Conjugate, transposed and conjugate-transposed matrix of A is denoted by \overline{A} , A^T and A^* , respectively. Minor of A containing rows $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ and columns β_1, \dots, β_t is denoted by $A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$, and

$$A_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & i & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & j & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_t \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{p+q} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_t \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that for $A \in C^{m \times n}$ there exists a unique matrix $A^+ = X \in C^{n \times m}$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad AXA = A$$

$$(1.2) \quad XAX = X$$

$$(1.3) \quad (AX)^* = AX$$

$$(1.4) \quad (XA)^* = XA,$$

known as the *Moore-Penrose inverse* of A [7]. A matrix satisfying the condition (1.1) is called a generalized inverse of A and is denoted by $A^{(1)}$. A matrix which satisfies conditions (1.1) and (1.2) is called a reflexive generalized inverse of A , and is denoted by $A^{(1,2)}$. A matrix satisfying the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) is called a right (left) normalized generalized inverse of A , and is denoted by $A^{(1,2,3)}$. Similarly, a matrix satisfying the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4) is called a left normalized generalized inverse of A , and is denoted by $A^{(1,2,4)}$.

The set of matrices satisfying the conditions (1.*i*), (1.*j*), ..., (1.*l*) is denoted by $A\{i, j, \dots, l\}$. A matrix $A \in C^{m \times n}$ is said to be left (respectively, right) invertible if there exists a matrix A_l^{-1} (respectively A_r^{-1}) from $C^{n \times m}$ such that $A_l^{-1}A = I_n$ (respectively, $AA_r^{-1} = I_m$). A matrix A_l^{-1} (respectively A_r^{-1}) satisfying this condition is called left (respectively, right) inverse of A . (I_m denotes a unit matrix of the order $m \times m$).

Theorem 1.1. [1] *Let $A \in C^{m \times n}$ be a full-rank matrix. If $\text{rank}(A) = m \leq n$ the system*

$$(1.5) \quad AX = I_m;$$

$$(1.6) \quad (XA)^* = XA$$

has a unique solution $X = A^+$. Similarly, if $m > n = \text{rank}(A)$, then the following system has a unique solution $X = A^+$:

$$(1.7) \quad XA = I_n;$$

$$(1.8) \quad (AX)^* = AX.$$

Determinantal representation of the *Moore-Penrose inverse* is studied in [1], [2], [3], [4], [6]. The main result of these papers is:

Theorem 1.2. [2], [3], [4], [6] *Element* $a_{ij}^{(+,r)}$, $\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m \end{matrix} \right)$ lying on the i -row and j -column of the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a given matrix $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ is given by

$$a_{ij}^{(+,r)} = \frac{A_{ji}^{(+,r)}}{N_r(A)} = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix}}$$

We denote by $adj^{(+,r)}(A)$ matrix whose (i, j) th element is $A_{ji}^{(+,r)}$.

General forms of generalized inverses are described in the following theorem.

Theorem 1.3. [8] *If* $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ *has a full-rank factorization* $A = PQ$, $P \in C_r^{m \times r}$, $Q \in C_r^{r \times n}$, $W_1 \in C^{n \times r}$ *and* $W_2 \in C^{r \times m}$ *are some matrices such that* $rank(QW_1) = rank(W_2P) = rank(A)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} A^+ &= Q^*(QQ^*)^{-1}(P^*P)^{-1}P^* = Q^+P^+ \\ A\{1, 2\} &= \{W_1(QW_1)^{-1}(W_2P)^{-1}W_2 = Q_r^{-1}P_l^{-1}\} \\ A\{1, 2, 3\} &= \{W_1(QW_1)^{-1}(P^*P)^{-1}P^* = Q_r^{-1}P^+\} \\ A\{1, 2, 4\} &= \{Q^*(QQ^*)^{-1}(W_2P)^{-1}W_2 = Q^+P_l^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The notion of *determinants of rectangular matrices* has been introduced in [9], [10], [11] by M. Stojaković and M. Radić. Their definitions are contained in the following definition:

Definition 1.1. *Determinat of* $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ *is a function* $det_{(\epsilon,p)} : C^{m \times n} \rightarrow C$ *defined by:*

$$det_{(\epsilon,p)}(A) = \sum_{\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_p \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_p} \epsilon^{(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_p) + (\beta_1 + \dots + \beta_p)} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_p \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_p \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for } p \leq \min\{m, n\}, \text{ and } det_{(\epsilon,p)}(A) = 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

For $\epsilon = 1$ we get the Stojaković determinant, denoted by $\det_{(S,p)}(A)$. Similarly, for $\epsilon = -1$, we get the determinant introduced by M. Radić (it is denoted by $\det_{(R,p)}(A)$).

Later, in [5], V.N. Joshi has defined a determinant of rectangular full-rank matrices, as follows.

Definition 1.2. Let m, p_1, \dots, p_m be integers which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) $m \leq n$;
- (ii) $p_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$;
- (iii) $p_1 < \dots < p_m$.

For an integer d , $1 \leq d \leq (n - m + 1)$ consider the set

$$S_d = \{e_{d,p} = (d, p_2, \dots, p_m) \mid d < p_2 < \dots < p_m \leq n\}.$$

For a rectangular matrix $A \in C^{m \times n}$ ($m \leq n$) let $A_{d,p}$ be $m \times m$ submatrix whose columns conform to the ordering of integers in

$$e_{d,p}, \quad 1 \leq d \leq n - m + 1, \quad 1 \leq p \leq N_d = \binom{n-d}{m-1}.$$

Determinant of A is the number

$$\det_{(J,m)}(A) = \sum_{d=1}^{n-m+1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_d} \det(A_{d,p}).$$

For an $m \times n$ matrix ($m > n$), $\det_{(J,n)}(A)$ is equal to $\det_{(J,n)}(A^T)$.

2. Determinants of rectangular matrices

In this section we investigate connections between the presented definitions of the *rectangular determinants* and their main properties.

Theorem 2.1. For $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$, $r = \min\{m, n\}$ is valid

$$\det_{(J,r)}(A) = \det_{(S,r)}(A).$$

Proof. Suppose that $m \leq n$. By (ii) and (iii) we get $e_{d,p}$ are the combinations of m elements selected from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ which start with the number $d = p_1$. Thus,

$$\det(A_{d,p}) = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & m \\ d & p_2 & \dots & p_m \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ p_1 & \dots & p_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad p_1 = d < p_2 < \dots < p_m \leq n, \quad 1 \leq d \leq n - m + 1.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_p p = 1^{N_d} \det(A_{d,p}) = \sum_{d=p_1 < \dots < p_m \leq n} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ p_1 & \dots & p_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq d \leq n - m + 1,$$

and finally,

$$\det_{(J,m)}(A) = \sum_{d=1}^{n-m+1} \sum_{p=1}^{N_d} \det(A_{d,p}) = \sum_{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_m \leq n} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ q_1 & \dots & q_m \end{pmatrix} = \det_{(S,m)}(A).$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *If $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$, then*

$$\det_{(J,p)}(A) = \det_{(R,p)}(A^\odot), \quad \text{where } A^\odot = [(-1)^{i+j} a_{ij}] \quad \text{and } p \leq r.$$

Proof. The proof is an easy consequence of Theorem 2.1. and the following relation, which is proved in [9], [10]: $\det_{(R,p)}(A) = \det_{(S,p)}(A^\odot)$. □

In [5], [9], [10], [11] are presented some important properties of *rectangular determinants* for full-rank matrices. The following lemma is valid for an arbitrary matrix

Lemma 2.1. *For $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ and $p \leq r$ is valid:*

$$a) \quad \det_{(\epsilon,p)}(cA) = c^p \det_{(\epsilon,p)}(A), \quad c \in C. \quad b) \quad \det_{(\epsilon,p)}(A^*) = \overline{\det_{(\epsilon,p)}(A)}.$$

The multiplicative property of rectangular determinants is proved in [11]. A similar claim, presented in [10] is not valid. We prove this property using an original proof.

Lemma 2.2. For $A \in C_r^{m \times r}$, $B \in C_r^{r \times n}$ and $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$ the following relation can be proved

$$\det_{(\epsilon, r)}(AB) = \det_{(\epsilon, r)}(A)\det_{(\epsilon, r)}(B).$$

Proof. According to Definition 1.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{(\epsilon, r)}(AB) &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m}} \epsilon^{(i_1 + \dots + i_r) + (j_1 + \dots + j_r)}(AB) \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m}} \epsilon^{(i_1 + \dots + i_r) + (j_1 + \dots + j_r)} A \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= \left[\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m} \epsilon^{(i_1 + \dots + i_r) + (1 + \dots + r)} A \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right] \cdot \\ &\cdot \left[\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n} \epsilon^{(1 + \dots + r) + (j_1 + \dots + j_r)} B \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix} \right] = \det_{(\epsilon, r)}(A) \cdot \det_{(\epsilon, r)}(B). \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following example is shown the existence of the matrices A and B such that $\det_{(\epsilon, p)}(AB) \neq \det_{(\epsilon, p)}(A)\det_{(\epsilon, p)}(B)$, for some $p < r = \text{rank}(A) = \text{rank}(B)$.

Example 2.1. Consider matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -\frac{1}{5} & \frac{5}{4} & 2 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{5} & -1 & \frac{14}{3} \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{5}{2} & \frac{3}{7} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -11 & \frac{4}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ -2 & \frac{2}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} \\ 5 & \frac{1}{5} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $\det_{(S, 2)}(AB) = \frac{111259}{252} \neq \frac{3186341}{3150} = \det_{(S, 2)}(A)\det_{(S, 2)}(B)$.

In [5], [10], [11] is developed a generalization of the cofactor expansion. We prove the same theorem using a short proof.

Theorem 2.2. For a full-rank matrix $A \in C^{m \times n}$ is valid Laplace's development:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \det_{(\epsilon,m)}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} A_{ki}^{(\epsilon,m)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad m \leq n \\ \det_{(\epsilon,n)}(A) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik} A_{ki}^{(\epsilon,n)}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad n \leq m \end{array} \right.$$

where $A_{ij}^{(\epsilon,m)}$, i.e. $A_{ij}^{(\epsilon,n)}$ is the generalized algebraic complement corresponding to the element a_{ji} , defined as follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} A_{ij}^{(\epsilon,m)} = \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & i & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & j & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}, \quad m \leq n \\ A_{ij}^{(\epsilon,n)} = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} \epsilon^{(i_1+\dots+i_n)+(1+\dots+n)} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i & \dots & i_n \\ 1 & \dots & j & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}, \quad n \leq m. \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. In the case $m \leq n$, according to Definition 1.1. and using Laplace's development for the square minors $A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}$, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{(\epsilon,m)}(A) &= \\ &= \sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m a_{ij_k} A_{ij_k} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & i & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & j_k & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n a_{il} \left[\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_m \leq n} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} A_{il} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & i & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & l & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix} \right] \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^n a_{il} A_{li}^{(\epsilon,m)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.2. If $A \in C^{m \times n}$ is a full-rank matrix, then:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} A_{kj}^{(\epsilon,m)} = \delta_{ij} \det_{\epsilon,m}(A), \quad m \leq n \\ \sum_{k=1}^m a_{ik} A_{kj}^{(\epsilon,n)} = \delta_{ij} \det_{\epsilon,n}(A), \quad n \leq m \end{array} \right., \quad \text{where } \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Proof. For $i = j$ we obtain the claim of Theorem 2.2. In the case $i \neq j$, starting from a matrix A whose i th and j th rows are identical, $1 \leq i \leq m$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, using Laplace's development on the j th row for the obtained square minors and the well-known fact: *rectangular determinant* of a full-rank matrix which has two identical rows is equal to zero, ([5], [9], [10], [11]), we obtain the proof. \square

3. Rectangular determinants and induced generalized inverses

Now we present a definition of generalized inverses in terms of the *rectangular determinants* and *generalized cofactors*, which we call by *determinantal generalized inverse*.

Definition 3.1. For $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ generalized inverse $A_{(\epsilon, p)}^{-1}$ of A is the matrix whose (i, j) th entry is equal to

$$\left(A_{(\epsilon, p)}^{-1} \right) = \frac{A_{ij}^{(\epsilon, p)}}{\det_{(\epsilon, p)}(A)},$$

where $1 \leq p \leq \text{rank}(A) \leq \min\{m, n\}$ is the greatest integer, such that $\det_p^\epsilon(A) \neq 0$ (denoted by $r_\epsilon(A)$), and $A_{ij}^{(\epsilon, p)}$ is the generalized algebraic complement of the order p corresponding to the element a_{ji} , defined as follows:

$$A_{ij}^{(\epsilon, p)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_p \leq n \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq m}} \epsilon^{(i_1 + \dots + i_p) + (j_1 + \dots + j_p)} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & \dots & j & \dots & j_p \\ i_1 & \dots & i & \dots & i_p \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, p)}(A) = \left(A_{ij}^{(\epsilon, p)} \right)$, $\left(\begin{matrix} 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m \end{matrix} \right)$ we shall call *generalized adjoint matrix* of A of the order p .

In the case $p = r_\epsilon(A) = r$ we obtain the corresponding notions of generalized inverses, introduced in [5], [10], [11]. Moreover, we investigate the properties of the introduced generalized inverses. The following theorem is proved in [5]. The proof is evident from Corollary 2.2.

Theorem 3.1. *If $p = r_\epsilon(A) = \min\{m, n\}$ matrix $A_{(\epsilon, p)}^{-1}$ computed according to Definition 1.1 is a right inverse of A if $m < n$ and a left inverse in the case $m > n$.*

In the following two lemmas we examine the properties of *generalized adjoint matrices* and *determinantal inverses*.

Lemma 3.1. *If $A \in C^{m \times r}$, $B \in C^{r \times n}$ are two full rank matrices such that $\text{rank}(A) = r = \text{rank}(B) = r_\epsilon(A) = r_\epsilon(B) = r_\epsilon(AB)$, then $\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, r)}(AB) = \text{adj}^{(\epsilon, r)}(B) \cdot \text{adj}^{(\epsilon, r)}(A)$.*

Proof. An element lying in the i th row and j th column of $\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, r)}(AB)$ is equal to

$$(AB)_{ij}^{(\epsilon, r)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < i < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < j < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} (AB)_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the Cauchy-Binet formula, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_{ij}^{(\epsilon, r)} &= \sum_{\substack{\beta_1 < \dots < i < \dots < \beta_r \\ \alpha_1 < \dots < j < \dots < \alpha_r}} \left[\sum_{k=1}^r A_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot B_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r \left[\sum_{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < i < \dots < \beta_r \leq n} B_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \left[\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < j < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m} A_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^r B_{ik}^{(\epsilon, r)} A_{kj}^{(\epsilon, r)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $k = r_\epsilon(A)$, then the following equations are valid:*

a) $\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, k)}(cA) = c^{k-1} \text{adj}^{(\epsilon, k)}(A)$, $c \in C$;

b) $\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, k)}(A^*) = \left(\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, k)}(A) \right)^*$;

c) $(cA)_{(\epsilon, k)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{c} A_{(\epsilon, k)}^{-1}$, $c \in C$;

d) If $k = \min\{m, n\}$ then

$$\left[\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right]^m = \sum_{p_1 < \dots < p_m} A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_m \\ 1 & \dots & m \end{pmatrix} \left(\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_m \\ 1 & \dots & m \end{pmatrix},$$

$m \leq n,$

$$\left[\det_{(\epsilon, n)}(A) \right]^n = \sum_{p_1 < \dots < p_n} A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_n \\ 1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix} \left(\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, n)}(A) \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & n \\ p_1 & \dots & p_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$n \leq m.$

Proof. d) For $m \leq n$ matrix $A_{(\epsilon, m)}^{-1}$ is a right inverse of A , so that $A \cdot \text{adj}^{(\epsilon, m)}(A) = \det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \cdot I_m$. Thus, $\det \left(A \cdot \text{adj}^{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right) = \left[\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right]^m$. Applying the Cauchy-Binet Theorem, we get

$$\left[\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right]^m = \sum_{p_1 < \dots < p_m} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ p_1 & \dots & p_m \end{pmatrix} \left[\left(\text{adj}^{(\epsilon, m)}(A) \right) \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & m \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

□

If A is a square $m \times m$ matrix, we obtain the well-known result

$$\det(\text{adj}(A)) = \det^{m-1}(A).$$

According to Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 2.2, we can compute the *determinantal inverses* using the notion of the full-rank factorization.

Corollary 3.1. *If $A = PQ$ is a full-rank factorization of $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$, determinantal inverse of A is*

$$A_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = Q_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} P_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1}, \quad r = r_\epsilon(A).$$

Using Theorem 1.3, we can immediately prove the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *If $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$, $r = r_\epsilon(A)$, then $A_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = Q_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} P_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1}$ is:*

- The Moore-Penrose inverse of A if $Q_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = Q^+$ and $P_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = P^+$;
- Right normalized generalized inverse of A if $P_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = P^+$, $Q_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} \neq Q^+$;
- Left normalized generalized inverse of A if $Q_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = Q^+$, $P_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} \neq P^+$;
- Reflexive generalized inverse of A , in other cases.

Example 3.1 Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. We have $m = 4$; $n = 3$; $\text{rank}(A) = 2 < \min\{m, n\}$. Using Definition 3.1, we obtain:

$$A_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det_2^S(A)}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -1 & -2 \\ -1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ 0 & -2 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 & -2 & -9 \\ 6 & 4 & 0 & -4 \\ -4 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, from Definition 3.2 we get $A = PQ$; $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$;

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The right inverse of Q is

$$Q_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det_2^S(Q)} \begin{pmatrix} \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The left inverse of P is

$$P_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det_2^S(P)} \begin{pmatrix} \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \\ \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & \det_1^S \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{6} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ -1 & 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the generalized inverse of A is equal to

$$Q_{(S,2)}^{-1} \cdot P_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \frac{1}{12} \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 5 & -2 & -9 \\ 6 & 4 & 0 & -4 \\ -4 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we study the correlations between $A_{(R,k)}^{-1}$ and $A_{(S,k)}^{-1}$, $k=r_\epsilon(A)$.

Theorem 3.2. For $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$ the following relations between Radić's and Stojaković's inverse can be proved.

$$a) A_{(R,k)}^{\odot^{-1}} = \left(A_{(S,k)}^{-1} \right)^{\odot}; \quad b) A_{(S,k)}^{-1} = \left(A_{(R,k)}^{\odot^{-1}} \right)^{\odot};$$

$$c) A_{(S,k)}^{\odot^{-1}} = \left(A_{(R,k)}^{-1} \right)^{\odot}; \quad d) A_{(R,k)}^{-1} = \left(A_{(S,k)}^{\odot^{-1}} \right)^{\odot}.$$

Proof. a) Element lying in the j th row and i th column of $A_{(R,k)}^{\odot^{-1}}$ is equal to

$$\left(A_{(R,k)}^{\odot^{-1}} \right)_{ji} = \frac{\sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ j_1 < \dots < j_k}} (-1)^{(i_1 + \dots + i_k) + (j_1 + \dots + j_k)} A_{ij}^{\odot} \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i & \dots & i_k \\ j_1 & \dots & j & \dots & j_k \end{pmatrix}}{\det_{(S,k)}(A)} =$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{i+j} \sum_{\substack{i_1 < \dots < i_k \\ j_1 < \dots < j_k}} A_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i & \dots & i_k \\ j_1 & \dots & j & \dots & j_k \end{pmatrix}}{\det_{(S,k)}(A)} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{A_{ij}^{(S,k)}}{\det_{(S,k)}(A)}$$

$$= (-1)^{i+j} \left(A_{(S,k)}^{-1} \right)_{ji}. \square$$

4. Rectangular determinants and Moore-Penrose inverse

Now, we investigate the correlation between the *determinantal generalized inverses* and the Moore-Penrose inverse.

Theorem 4.1. For a rectangular full-rank matrix $A \in C_r^{m \times n}$, and $r = r_c(A) = \min\{m, n\}$, the relation $A_{(\epsilon, r)}^{-1} = A^+$ holds if and only if the matrix A satisfies one of the following two conditions:

$$\frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < p < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & p & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}}{(\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A))^{-1}} = \frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < q < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & q & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}}{(\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A))^{-1}};$$

(1)

$$\frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < p < \dots < j_{n-1}} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+n)+(j_1+\dots+j_n)} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & \dots & p & \dots & j_n \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}}{(\det_{(\epsilon, n)}(A))^{-1}} = \frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < q < \dots < j_{n-1}} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+n)+(j_1+\dots+j_n)} A \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & \dots & q & \dots & j_n \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}}{(\det_{(\epsilon, n)}(A))^{-1}}.$$

Proof. According to Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 1.1, $A_{(\epsilon, m)}^{-1} \neq A^+$ if and only if $A_{(\epsilon, m)}^{-1} A \neq (A_{(\epsilon, m)}^{-1} A)^*$. Indeed, the element γ_{pq} in the p th row and q th column of the matrix product $A_{(\epsilon, m)}^{-1} \cdot A$ is equal to

$$\frac{\sum_{k=1}^m A_{pk}^{(\epsilon, m)} a_{kq}}{\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A)} = \frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+j_m)} \left[\sum_{k=1}^m a_{kq} A_{kp} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & p & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix} \right]}{\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A)} = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < q < \dots < j_m \leq n} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+p+\dots+j_m)} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & q & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}}{\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A)}.$$

In a similar way it can be proved

$$\bar{\gamma}_{qp} = \frac{\sum_{j_1 < \dots < p < \dots < j_m} \epsilon^{(1+\dots+m)+(j_1+\dots+q+\dots+j_m)} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & m \\ j_1 & \dots & p & \dots & j_m \end{pmatrix}}{\det_{(\epsilon, m)}(A)} \quad \square$$

In Theorem 4.1 we found a necessary and sufficient condition for detection of the equivalence of the *determinantal inverse* and the *Moore-Penrose inverse*. This condition is obtained applying Theorem 1.1. Moreover, in the following lemma, using determinantal representation of the *Moore-Penrose inverse* presented in Theorem 1.2, we find a sufficient condition for the equivalence of the *determinantal inverse* and the *Moore-Penrose inverse*.

Lemma 4.1. *If $r = r_\epsilon(A)$ and the matrix A satisfies the condition*

$$(2) \quad \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & \dots & i_r \\ j_1 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix} = K \cdot \epsilon^{(i_1+\dots+i_r)+(j_1+\dots+j_r)}, \quad K \in C$$

for all combinations $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_r \leq n, \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq m \end{pmatrix}$

then $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1} = A^+$.

Proof. For the chosen integers $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ it is trivial to verify that $N_r(A) = K \cdot \det_r^\epsilon(A)$ and $A_{ji}^{(+,r)} = K \cdot A_{ji}^{(\epsilon,r)}$. \square

The class of matrices satisfying conditions (2) is nonempty.

Example 4.1. The following matrices satisfy condition (2):

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc} A & \epsilon^{1+2}(A+C) & C \\ \epsilon^{2+1}D & \frac{K+D(A+C)}{A} & \epsilon^{2+3} \frac{K+CD}{A} \end{array} \right), \quad A, B, C, D \in C, \quad \epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}.$$

Problem 4.1. Find a complex matrix A which does not satisfy relation (2), but $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1} = A^+$.

According to Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 3.2 we describe an algorithm which allows detection of the type of *determinantal inverse* $A_{(\epsilon,r_\epsilon(A))}^{-1}$.

Algorithm 1.

Case 1. If $p = r_\epsilon(A) = \min\{m, n\}$, then apply rules 1.1 and 1.2.

Rule 1.1 If A satisfies condition (2), then $A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1} = A^+$.

Rule 1.2 If condition (2) does not hold for A , then

- a) For $m \leq n$, if $(A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1}A)^* = A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1}A$, then $A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1} = A^+$;
 else $A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1}$ is a right inverse of A ;
- b) For $n \leq m$, if $(AA_{\epsilon}^{-1})^* = AA_{\epsilon}^{-1}$, then $A_{(\epsilon,p)}^{-1} = A^+$,
 else A_{ϵ}^{-1} is a left inverse of A .

Case 2. If $r_{\epsilon}(A) = \text{rank}(A) = r < \min\{m, n\}$ then:

Rule 2.1 If A satisfies condition (2), then $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A .

Rule 2.2 If condition (2) does not hold, compute a full-rank factorization $A = PQ$ and select one of the following two rules.

Rule 2.3 If both P and Q satisfy condition (2), then $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1} = A^+$.

Rule 2.4 If P or Q satisfies condition (2), then

- a) $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1}$ satisfies conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), if $m \leq n$;
- b) $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1}$ satisfies conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4), if $m \geq n$.

Rule 2.5 If neither P nor Q satisfies (2), use Corollary 3.1.

Case 3. If $r_{\epsilon}(A) < \text{rank}(A)$ then $A_{(\epsilon,r)}^{-1} \notin A\{1, 2\}$.

Example 4.2. Matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 2 \\ -1 & -4 & -3 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies condition (2), so that $A_{(S,2)}^{-1} = A^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{-7}{15} & \frac{-1}{5} \\ \frac{-2}{15} & \frac{-1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 4.3. The rank-deficient matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies condition (2). According to rule 2.1, $A_{(\epsilon,2)}^{-1}$ is the Moore-Penrose inverse of A
 $A^{(+,2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{-1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 4.4. Consider $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & 3 \\ 3 & 14 & 11 \\ 2 & 10 & 8 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. We have $\text{rank}(A) = 2$, and

$\det_2^S(A) = 54 \neq 0$. A full-rank factorization of A is $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 3 & 11 \\ 2 & 8 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $Q =$

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The matrix Q satisfies (2), so that $Q_{(\epsilon,2)}^{-1} = Q^+$. Also, $P_{(\epsilon,2)}^{-1} \neq$

P^+ , so that $A_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{47}{54} & \frac{5}{18} & \frac{-14}{27} & \frac{-25}{27} \\ \frac{8}{27} & \frac{1}{9} & \frac{-4}{27} & \frac{-8}{27} \\ \frac{-31}{54} & \frac{-1}{6} & \frac{10}{27} & \frac{17}{27} \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies conditions (1.1),

(1.2) and (1.4).

Example 4.5. Full-rank factorization of $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ is $P = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Using $P_\epsilon^{-1} \neq P^+$ and $Q_\epsilon^{-1} \neq Q^+$ it is easy to see that

$A_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{6} & \frac{5}{12} & \frac{-1}{6} & \frac{-3}{4} \\ \frac{-1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & \frac{-1}{3} \\ \frac{-1}{3} & \frac{-1}{12} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{5}{12} \end{pmatrix} \in A\{1,2\}$.

Example 4.6. Consider matrices of the form $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 3 & -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, If

we use Stojaković's definition, it is easy to verify that $r_\epsilon(A) = 2 < \text{rank}(A)$.

Moreover, $X = A_{(S,2)}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{5}{8} & \frac{5}{4} & \frac{5}{8} \\ \frac{5}{8} & \frac{5}{4} & \frac{5}{8} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{8} & \frac{-1}{8} \end{pmatrix}$, and $AXA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{-9}{8} & \frac{9}{8} & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{-7}{8} & \frac{7}{8} & 1 \\ 2 & \frac{31}{8} & \frac{-31}{8} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \neq$

A , $XAX = X$.

References

- [1] Arghiriade, E., Dragomir, A., Une nouvelle definition de l'inverse generalisée d'une matrice, Lincei - Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. XXXV (1963), 158-165.

- [2] Ben-Israel, A., Generalized inverses of matrices: a perspective of the work of Penrose, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 100 (1986), 407-425.
- [3] Gabriel, R., Extinderea Complementilor Algebrici Generalizati la Matrici Oarecare, *Studii si cercetari matematice*, 17 -Nr. 10 (1965), 1566-1581.
- [4] Gabriel, R., Das verallgemeinerte Inverse einer Matrix, deren Elemente einem beliebigen Körper angehören, *J. Rewie ansew Math.* 234 (1967), 107-122.
- [5] Joshi, V.N., A Determinant for Rectangular Matrices, *Bull. Australl. Math. Soc.* 21 (1980), 137-146.
- [6] Moore, E.H., On the reciprocal of the general algebraic matrix (Abstract), *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 26 (1920), 394-395.
- [7] Penrose, R., A generalized inverse for matrices, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 51 (1955), 406-413.
- [8] Radić, M., Some contributions to the inversion of rectangular matrices, *Glasnik matematički* 1(21) No. 1 (1966), 23-37.
- [9] Radić, M., A definition of the determinant of a rectangular matrix, *Glasnik matematički* 1(21) No. 1 (1966), 17-22.
- [10] Radić, M., Inverzija pravokutnih matrica, *Doktorska disertacija*, 1964.
- [11] Stojaković, M., Determinante nekvadratnih matrica, *Vesnik DMNRS*, 1-2 (1952), 9-21.

Received by the editors May 10, 1994.