

THE CUBIC SPLINE DIFFERENCE SCHEME ON NON-UNIFORM MESH

Katarina Surla

Institute of Mathematics,
University of Novi Sad

Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad
Yugoslavia

Abstract

The linear singularly perturbed boundary value problem of the second order is considered. The spline difference schemes applied on such problem give the system of the linear equations with the tridiagonal matrix of L-form. For small values of the parameter the matrix loses L-form and the system becomes unstable. At the same time the truncation error goes to infinity when small parameter goes to zero. For obtaining uniform stability and simple structure of the matrix a fitting factor of the polynomial form is introduced. Schishkin mesh is used in order to obtain uniform convergence.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 65L10

Key words and phrases: Spline collocation, difference scheme, singular perturbation problem, uniform convergence, fitting factor

1. Difference Scheme

Let us consider the following singularly perturbed problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} Ly = -\varepsilon^2 y'' + p(x)y = f(x), & x \in I = (0, 1), \\ y(0) = \alpha_0, & y(1) = \alpha_1, \end{cases}$$

where $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $\varepsilon_0 \ll 1$, is a small perturbation parameter. The functions p and f are given and we assume

$$p, f \in C^2(I), \quad p(x) \geq \beta^2 > 0.$$

It is known that the problem (1) has a unique solution y , which in general displays boundary layers at $x=0$ and $x=1$. Under the above assumptions the exact solution has the form ([1]):

$$(2) \quad y(x) = v(x) + g(x),$$

where

$$|g^{(j)}(x)| \leq M, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

$$|v^{(j)}(x)| = M\varepsilon^{-j} \left(e^{-x \frac{b}{\varepsilon}} + e^{(x-1) \frac{b}{\varepsilon}} \right), \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

$p(x) \geq \beta^2 > 0$, $b = \min(\beta, 1)$. In [4] a difference scheme via cubic spline on a non-uniform mesh Δ ,

$$\Delta : 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = 1$$

is derived. The scheme has the form:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} Ru_j = Qf_j, & j = 1(1)n - 1, \\ u_0 = \alpha_0, & u_n = \alpha_1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$Ru_j = r_j^- u_{j-1} + r_j^c u_j + r_j^+ u_{j+1}$$

$$Qf_j = q_j^- f_{j-1} + q_j^c f_j + q_j^+ f_{j+1}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} r_j^- = -\left(1 - \frac{h_{j-1}^2 p_{j-1}}{6\varepsilon^2}\right) \frac{1}{h_{j-1}}, & r_j^+ = -\left(1 - \frac{h_j^2 p_{j+1}}{6\varepsilon^2}\right) \frac{1}{h_j}, \\ r_j^c = \left(1 + \frac{h_{j-1}^2 p_j}{3\varepsilon^2}\right) \frac{1}{h_j} + \left(1 + \frac{h_j^2 p_j}{3\varepsilon^2}\right) \frac{1}{h_j}, \\ q_j^- = \frac{h_{j-1}}{6\varepsilon^2}, & q_j^+ = \frac{h_j}{6\varepsilon^2}, & q_j^c = \frac{h_j + h_{j-1}}{3\varepsilon^2}, \end{cases}$$

$h_j = x_j - x_{j+1}$ and $q_j = q(x_j)$. The corresponding matrix has the L -form

when $h_{j-1}^2 p_{j-1} \leq 6\varepsilon^2$ and $h_j^2 p_{j+1} \leq 6\varepsilon^2$. This is a consequence of the maximum principle which the problem (1) satisfies. For small ε these inequalities require forbidden small step of the mesh. For the classical difference scheme the situation is different. The scheme has the form (3) ([6]) where

$$(5) \quad r_j^- = \frac{1}{h_{j-1} \bar{h}_{j-1}}, \quad r_j^+ = \frac{1}{h_j \bar{h}_{j-1}}, \quad r_j^c = -\frac{2}{h_j h_{j-1}},$$

$$q_j^- = 0, \quad q_j^+ = 0, \quad q_j^c = 1.$$

and the matrix has L -form independently of ε . But the truncation error for both spline and central difference scheme becomes infinity when ε goes to zero. In [6] the central difference scheme (3) (5) is considered and the Shishkin mesh is used in order to avoid the mentioned difficulties. The error estimate of the form $O(n^{-2} \ln^2 n)$ is proved. In this paper we apply the Shishkin mesh on a spline approximation for the same purpose. The stability of the scheme is achieved by introducing the fitting factor near the second derivative (artificial viscosity). In [8] a spline difference scheme with fitting factor is derived. The fitting factor has the exponential form which provides the uniform convergence. The scheme has the form (3) with

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} r_j^- = -(1 - \frac{h_{j-1}^2 p_{j-1}}{6\sigma_{j-1}}) \frac{1}{h_{j-1}}, & r_j^+ = -(1 - \frac{h_j^2 p_{j+1}}{6\sigma_{j+1}}) \frac{1}{h_j}, \\ r_j^c = (1 + \frac{h_{j-1}^2 p_j}{3\sigma_j}) \frac{1}{h_j} + (1 + \frac{h_j^2 p_j}{3\sigma_j}) \frac{1}{h_j}, \\ q_j^- = \frac{h_{j-1}}{6\sigma_{j-1}}, & q_j^+ = \frac{h_j}{6\sigma_{j+1}}, & q_j^c = \frac{h_j + h_{j-1}}{3\sigma_j} \end{cases}$$

We want to obtain the second order of the convergence which is the property of spline collocation and L -form of the matrix. Because of that by analysis of truncation error we can see that σ_j should be determined so that $r^- \geq 0$, $r^+ \geq 0$ and $\sigma_j - \varepsilon = O(h_j^2)$ for small ε . Thus, $\sigma_j = h_j^2 p_j / (6\rho_j)$, where $\rho_j = 1 + O(h_j^2)$ and $\rho_j \geq 1$ satisfies the requirements. The constants in the asymptotic equalities must be independent of ε and h_j . In [8] ρ_j is determined so that the truncation error for boundary layer functions vanishes. Here, we use the simpler fitting factor since the mesh has the special structure which made it possible for us to avoid difficulties connected with boundary layer

functions. Our choice is:

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_j = h_j^2 p_j / 6, & 0 \leq x_j \leq 1/2, \\ \sigma_j = h_{j-1}^2 p_j / 6, & 1/2 \leq x_j \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Throughout the paper M denotes any positive constant that may take different values in different formulas, but that are always independent of ε and discretization mesh.

2. Construction of the mesh

In the literature, several types of special graded meshes have been introduced for singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problems (see [3], [2], [5]). The mesh from [5] is piecewise equidistant and consequently much simpler than the other meshes. We shall use that mesh. Given a positive integer n divisible by 4. We divide the interval $[0, 1]$ into the three subintervals

$$[0, \delta], \quad [\delta, 1 - \delta], \quad [1 - \delta, 1].$$

We use equidistant meshes on each of these subintervals, with $1+n/4$ points in each of $[0, \delta]$ and $[1-\delta, 1]$, and $1+n/2$ points in $[\delta, 1-\delta]$. Let $b = \min\{\beta, 1\}$ and $\delta = \min\{1/4, 4b^{-1}\varepsilon \ln n\}$. Let

$$i_0 = n/4, x_{i_0} = \delta, x_{n-i_0} = 1 - \delta,$$

and

$$h_i = x_{i+1} - x_i = 4\delta n^{-1}; \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0, n - i_0 + 1, \dots, n$$

and $h_i = 2(1 - 2\delta)n^{-1}$ otherwise.

We assume that $\delta = 4b^{-1}\varepsilon \ln n$ since in the oposite case the method can be analysed using standard techniques. Thus we have that

$$h_i = 16b^{-1}\varepsilon n^{-1} \ln n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0, n - i_0 + 1, \dots, n$$

and

$$h_i = 2(1 - 2\delta)n^{-1}, \quad n^{-1} \leq h_i \leq 2n^{-1}, \quad i = i_0 + 1, \dots, n - i_0.$$

3. Convergence results

We solve problem (1) by using scheme (3),(6),(7) on the mesh specified in the previous section. Then $r_{n-i_0+1}^- < 0$ and $r_{i_0-1}^+ < 0$. The others r_j^- and r_j^+ are equal to zero. In that way the system (3),(6),(7) reduces to the following simple form:

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_0 = \alpha_0, \\ u_i = \frac{1}{r_i^c} Q f_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0 - 2, \\ r_{i_0-1}^c u_{i_0-1} + r_{i_0-1}^+ u_{i_0} = Q f_{i_0-1}, \\ u_i = \frac{1}{r_i^c} Q f_i, \quad i = i_0, \dots, n - i_0, \\ r_{n-i_0+1}^- u_{n-i_0} + r_{n-i_0+1}^c u_{n-i_0+1} = Q f_{n-i_0+1}, \\ u_i = \frac{1}{r_i^c} Q f_i, \quad i = n - i_0 + 2, \dots, n - 1, \\ u_n = \alpha_1. \end{array} \right.$$

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. Let $p, f \in C^2(I)$, $p(x) \geq \beta^2 > 0$. Let u_j be the solution of the system (8). Then

$$|y(x_i) - u_i| \leq M n^{-2} l n^2.$$

Proof. The solution $y(x) \in C^4(I)$. The estimate of differences $e_j = y_j - u_j$ we will obtain via the expression

$$(9) \quad Re_j = \tau_j(y) = \tau_j(g) + \tau_j(v).$$

The truncation error $\tau_i(y) = Ry_i - Q(Ly)_i$ has the form:

$$\tau_i(y) = \phi_{2,i+1}/h_i - \phi_{2,i}/h_{i-1} + \phi_{1,i}$$

where

$$\phi_{2,i} = \psi_{0,i} + h_{i-1}^2 \left(\frac{\eta_{i-1}}{3\sigma_{i-1}} + \frac{\eta_i}{6\sigma_i} - \psi_{2,i}/6 \right),$$

$$\phi_{1,i} = \psi_{1,i} + \frac{h_{i-1}}{2} \left(\frac{\eta_{i-1}}{\sigma_{i-1}} + \frac{\eta_i}{\sigma_i} - \psi_{2,i} \right),$$

$$\psi_{k,i} = y^{(4)}(\theta_{k,i}) h_{i-1}^{(4-k)} / (4-k)!, \quad x_i \leq \theta_{k,i} \leq x_{i+1},$$

where $\eta_i = y_i''(\sigma_i - \varepsilon^2)$. According to the exact solution and the estimate $|\eta_i| \leq M h_i^2$ we have

$$|\tau_i(g)| \leq M(\varepsilon n^{-1} \ln n + n^{-1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1.$$

Further, using $|\eta_i| \leq M h_i^2 \varepsilon^{-2}$ we have

$$|\tau_i(v)| \leq M n^{-1} \ln n / \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, i_0 - 1,$$

$$|\tau_i(v)| \leq M n^{-1} \ln n / \varepsilon, \quad i = n - i_0 + 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

$$|\tau_i(v)| \leq M n^{-1}, \quad i = i_0 + 1, \dots, n - i_0 - 1.$$

Since $|r_i^c| = \left| \frac{3f_{i-1}h_i + h_i^2 + 2h_{i-1}^2}{h_i^2 h_{i-1}} \right| \leq M/h_{i-1}$ from the second group of equations (8) we obtain that theorem holds for $i = 1, \dots, i_0 - 2$.

For index $i = i_0$ we have

$$\tau_i(v) = r_i^c v_i - q_i^- F_i - q_i^c F_i - q_i^+ F_i,$$

where $F_i = -\varepsilon^2 v_i'' + p_i v_i$. The corresponding estimate is

$$|\tau_i(v)| \leq M \frac{e^{-x_{i_0} b / \varepsilon}}{h_{i-1}} \leq M \frac{n^{-2}}{h_{i-1}}.$$

From the expression of r_i^c and fourth group of equations in (8) we obtain state of the theorem. After that, from the third group of equations (8) we get estimate for $i = i_0 - 1$. Finally, we proved the state for the first half of the interval I . The proof for the second half of the interval is the same.

4. Numerical results

In this section we present some numerical experiments using the schemes described in previous theorem. Our example is taken from [1].

Example 1.

k	n							
	16	32	64	128	256	512	1024	
3	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.11(-4)	2.32(-4)	7.29(-5)	2.34(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.58	1.62	1.67	Ord
4	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.32(-4)	7.32(-5)	2.4(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.59	1.61	1.66	Ord
5	1.72(-2)	6.23(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.32(-4)	7.54(-4)	2.50(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.59	1.62	1.62	Ord
6	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.32(-4)	7.53(-5)	2.63(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.55	1.59	1.60	1.61	Ord
7	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.32(-5)	7.54(-5)	2.84(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.59	1.61	1.62	Ord
8	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.17(-4)	2.31(-5)	7.53(-5)	3.19(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.55	1.59	1.59	1.61	Ord
9	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.20(-4)	2.31(-5)	7.54(-5)	3.36(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.55	1.57	1.64	1.62	Ord
10	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.15(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.43(-4)	8.37(-5)	4.27(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.60	1.54	1.54	Ord
11	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.15(-3)	7.10(-4)	2.48(-4)	7.54(-5)	5.56(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.60	1.52	1.71	Ord
12	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.13(-3)	7.24(-4)	2.52(-4)	1.03(-4)	6.87(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.56	1.52	1.28	Ord
13	1.72(-2)	6.24(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.45(-4)	2.61(-4)	1.00(-4)	9.59(-5)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.52	1.51	1.38	Ord
14	1.72(-2)	6.30(-3)	2.18(-3)	7.24(-4)	2.96(-4)	1.27(-4)	1.31(-4)	E_n
			1.45	1.53	1.59	1.29	1.22	Ord
15	1.72(-2)	6.33(-3)	2.20(-3)	7.45(-4)	3.22(-4)	1.63(-4)	1.67(-4)	E_n
			1.44	1.52	1.56	1.21	.98	Ord
16	1.72(-2)	6.23(-3)	2.14(-3)	8.39(-4)	2.48(-4)	2.86(-4)	2.78(-4)	E_n
			1.46	1.54	1.35	1.75	error	Ord
17	1.72(-2)	6.33(-3)	2.14(-3)	7.33(-4)	4.57(-4)	3.64(-4)	4.21(-4)	E_n

Table 1. Scheme (8).

$$-\epsilon^2 y'' + y + \cos^2 \pi x + 2\epsilon \pi^2 \cos 2\pi x = 0, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

$$u(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = 0.$$

The exact solution has the form

$$y(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{\epsilon}} + e^{\frac{x-1}{\epsilon}}}{1 + e^{-\frac{1}{\epsilon}}} - \cos^2 \pi x.$$

We denote by E_n the maximum of $|y(x_j) - u_j|, j = 0(1)n$. Here $[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}]^T$ is corresponding numerical solution to the system (8)(7). Also, we define in the usual way the order of convergence Ord for two successive values of n with respective errors E_n and E_{2n} :

$$Ord = \frac{\log E_n - \log E_{2n}}{\log n_2 - \log n},$$

where $n_2 = 2n$. Different values of $\varepsilon^2 = 2^{-11} * 2^{-k}$ and n are considered.

References

- [1] Doolan, E.P., Miller, J.J.H., Schilders, W.H.A., Uniform Numerical Methods for Problems with Initial and Boundary Layers, Dublin, Boole Press 1980.
- [2] Gartland, Jr. E.C., Graded-mesh difference schemes for singularly perturbed two-point boundary value problems, Math. Comp. 51 (1988), 631-657.
- [3] Herceg, D., Uniform fourth order difference scheme for singular perturbation problem, Numer. Math. 56 (1990), 675-693.
- [4] Il'in, A. M., O spleinovyh resheniah obyknovenyh differencial'nyh uravnenii, Zh. Vychisl. Mat. i mat. Fiz. 18 (1978), 237-248.
- [5] Shishkin, G.I., Greed approximation of singularly perturbed parabolic equations with internal layers, Sov. J. Numer. anal. Math. Modeling 3 (1988) 393-407.
- [6] Sun, G., Stynes, M., A uniformly convergent Method for a Singularly Perturbed Semilinear Reaction-Diffusion Problem with Nonunique Solutions. Preprint University College, Cork 11 (1993), 1-24.
- [7] Surla, K., A collocation by spline in tension, Approx. Theory and Its Appl. 6:2 (1990), 101-110.
- [8] Surla, K., Jerković, V., Spline difference scheme on non-uniform mesh, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 19,2 (1989) 149-160.

- [9] Surla, K., Herceg, D., Cvetković, Lj., A family of exponentially spline difference schemes. Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod. Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 19,2 (1991) 12–23.
- [10] Surla, K., Stojanović, M., A solving singularly perturbed boundary value problem by spline in tension, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 24 (1988), 355–363.
- [11] Surla, K., Uzelac, Z., An optimal uniformly convergent OCI difference scheme for a singular perturbation problem, Intern. J. Comput. Math. 34 (1990), No 3+4, 239–250.

Received by the editors June 5, 1997.