

A GENERALIZATION OF A FIXED POINT THEOREM OF ĆIRIĆ

Duran Türkoğlu¹, Brian Fisher²

Abstract. We give a generalization of Ćirić's theorem for a set-valued mapping on a complete metric space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 54H25

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, complete metric space.

1. Preliminaries

First, we give some basic definitions and notations which will be used in the sequel. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $B(X)$ be the set of all nonempty, bounded subsets of X . A set-valued mapping F on X is a point to set the correspondence $x \rightarrow Fx$ such that Fx is a nonempty, bounded subset of X for each $x \in X$. We denote such a mapping by $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$. For any $x \in X$ and $A, B \in B(X)$, we have the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned}d(x, A) &= \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}, \\ \delta(A, B) &= \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.\end{aligned}$$

The function δ satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $\delta(A, B) = \delta(B, A) \geq 0$ and $\delta(A, B) = 0$ implies that $A = B$ and this set consists of only one point.

(ii) $\delta(A, B) \leq \delta(A, C) + \delta(C, B)$ for $A, B, C \in B(X)$. Also, if $A = \{a\}$ we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, B)$ and furthermore, if $B = \{b\}$, we write $\delta(A, B) = \delta(a, b) = d(a, b)$.

A sequence $\{A_n\}$ of sets in $B(X)$ is said to converge to the subset A of X if the following two conditions are satisfied:

(1) for each point a in A , there is a sequence $\{a_n\}$ such that $a_n \in A_n$ for all n and $a_n \rightarrow a$.

(2) for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that $A_n \subseteq A_\epsilon$ for all $n \geq N$, where A_ϵ is the union of all open spheres with centres in A and radius ϵ . In such a case A is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{A_n\}$ and we write $\lim A_n = A$ or $A_n \rightarrow A$.

¹Department of Mathematics, University of Kirikkale, Kirikkale, Turkey, e-mail : turkoglu@sinanoglu.kku.edu.tr

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Computer Sciences and Engineering, De Montfort University, The Gateway, Leicester, LE1 9BH, England, e-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

The mapping $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points in X converging to x , the sequence $\{Fx_n\}$ in $B(X)$ converges to Fx in $B(X)$. We say that F is a continuous mapping of X into $B(X)$ if F is continuous at each point x in X .

The usual definition of a fixed point x of a set-valued mapping F is that $x \in Fx$.

2. Main Results

Proposition 2.1. Let F be a mapping of a metric space (X, d) into $B(X)$ satisfying the inequality

$$(1) \quad \delta(Fx, Fy) \leq a \max\{d(x, y), \delta(x, Fx), \delta(y, Fy), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Fy) + d(y, Fx)]\} + \\ + b \max\{\delta x, Fx\}, \delta(y, Fy)\} + c[d(x, Fy) + d(y, Fx)]$$

for all x, y in X , where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ with $a + b + 2c = 1$, then

$$(2) \quad \inf\{\delta(x, Fx) : x \in X\} = 0.$$

Proof. Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by $x_{n-1} \in Fx_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then by (1)

$$\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \leq \delta(Fx_n, Fx_{n-1}) \\ \leq a \max\{d(x_n, x_{n-1}), \delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}), \\ \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Fx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Fx_n)]\} \\ + b \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})\} + c[d(x_n, Fx_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Fx_n)].$$

Since

$$d(x_n, Fx_{n-1}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \\ \leq \delta(x_n, Fx_n) + \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})$$

we have

$$\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \leq \delta(Fx_n, Fx_{n-1}) \\ \leq a \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}), \frac{1}{2}[\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})]\} \\ + b \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})\} + c[\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})].$$

If $\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) > \delta(x_n, Fx_n)$ for some n , then we have

$$\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \leq (a + b)\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) + 2c\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \\ = \delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}),$$

a contradiction. Thus $\delta(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1}) \leq \delta(x_n, Fx_n)$ and so

$$(3) \quad \delta(x_n, Fx_n) \leq \delta(x_0, Fx_0)$$

for all positive integers n .

Using (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) &\leq \delta(Fx_n, Fx_{n+2}) \\ &\leq a \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+2}), \delta(x_n, Fx_n), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Fx_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, Fx_n)]\} + \\ &\quad b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c[d(x_n, Fx_{n+2}) + d(x_{n+2}, Fx_n)] \\ &\leq a \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_{n+1}), \delta(x_n, Fx_n), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Fx_{n+2}) + \delta(x_n, Fx_n)]\} + \\ &\quad b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c[d(x_n, Fx_n) + d(x_n, Fx_n)] \end{aligned}$$

since by (3)

$$d(x_{n+2}, Fx_n) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+1}) \leq \delta(x_n, Fx_n)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Fx_{n+2}) + \delta(x_n, Fx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) + \delta(x_n, Fx_n) \\ &\leq 2\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}). \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) &\leq 2a\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + 2c\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c\delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) \\ &= (1+a)\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c\delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, Fx_{n+2}) + \delta(x_n, Fx_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \\ &\quad + \delta(x_{n+2}, Fx_{n+2}) + \delta(x_n, Fx_n) \\ &\leq 4\delta(x_n, Fx_n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4) \quad \delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) \leq (1+a)/(1-c)\delta(x_n, Fx_n) \leq (2-b)\delta(x_n, Fx_n).$$

Using (1), (3) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(x_{n+2}, Fx_{n+2}) &\leq \delta F(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \\ &\leq a \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_n), \frac{1}{2}\delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2})\} + \\ &\quad + b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c\delta(x_{n+1}, Fx_{n+2}) \\ &\leq a\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c(2-b)\delta(x_n, Fx_n) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(5) \quad \delta(x_{n+2}, Fx_{n+2}) \leq (1-bc)\delta(x_n, Fx_n).$$

It is easily shown by induction that (5) implies that

$$(6) \quad \delta(x_n, Fx_n) \leq (1-bc)^{n/2}\delta(x_0, Fx_0).$$

Since by hypothesis $0 < bc < 1$, we conclude from (6) that (2) holds. This completes the proof.

Definition 2.1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. If $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ is a mapping, then F is asymptotically regular if for each $x \in X$ there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ with $x_{n-1} \in Fx_n$ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) such that $d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Because of the definition of x_n in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we observe that by (6)

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq \delta(x_n, Fx_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

so that we have

Proposition 2.2. Let $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ be a mapping satisfying (1), where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ and $a + b + 2c = 1$. Then F is asymptotically regular at any point in X .

Note that if F satisfies (1), where a, b, c are non-negative real numbers such that $a + b + 2c = 1$, then F may be discontinuous at some points but we have the following result.

Proposition 2.3. If F satisfies (1), where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$, $c > 0$ and $a + b + 2c = 1$ and if F has a fixed point such that $Fp = \{p\}$, then F is continuous at p .

Proof. Suppose $x_n \rightarrow p$. Then from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Fx_n, Fp) &\leq a \max\{d(x_n, p), \delta(x_n, Fx_n), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, p) + d(p, Fx_n)]\} + \\ &\quad + b\delta(x_n, Fx_n) + c[d(x_n, p) + d(p, Fx_n)] \\ &\leq a[d(x_n, p) + \delta(Fp, Fx_n)] + (b + c)[d(x_n, p) + \delta(Fp, Fx_n)] \\ &\leq (a + b + c)d(x_n, p) + (a + b + c)\delta(Fp, Fx_n) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\delta(Fx_n, Fp) \leq (a + b + c)/(1 - a - b - c)d(x_n, p).$$

Since $a + b + c = 1 - c < 1$, we have

$$\delta(Fx_n, Fp) \leq (1 - c)/cd(x_n, p).$$

It follows that $Fx_n \rightarrow Fp$, proving that F is continuous at p .

We now prove the following fixed point theorem.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $F : X \rightarrow B(X)$ a mapping satisfying (1), where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ and $a + b + 2c = 1$. Then F has a fixed point p . Further $Fp = \{p\}$ and p is the unique fixed point of F whenever $a + 2c < 1$ and at this point F is continuous.

Proof. Let $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in X . Define $\{x_n\}$ as in Proposition 2.1. Then, by (6), since $1 - bc < 1$, we have for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$

$$d(x_m, x_n) \leq \delta(Fx_{m-1}, Fx_{n-1}) < \epsilon$$

for m, n greater than some N . It follows that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete metric space X and so has a limit p in X . Using (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(p, Fp) &\leq \delta(p, x_{n-1}) + \delta(x_{n-1}, Fp) \\ &\leq d(p, x_{n-1}) + \delta(Fx_n, Fp) \\ &\leq d(p, x_{n-1}) + a \max\{d(x_n, p), \delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(p, Fp), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, Fp) + d(p, Fx_n)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{\delta(x_n, Fx_n), \delta(p, Fp)\} + c[d(x_n, Fp) + d(p, Fx_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\delta(p, Fp) \leq a\delta(p, Fp) + b\delta(p, Fp) + c\delta(p, Fp) = (1 - c)\delta(p, Fp)$$

which implies that $\delta(p, Fp) = 0$, since $c > 0$. Thus $Fp = \{p\}$.

Now suppose that F has a second fixed point w so that w is in Fw . Then by (1)

$$\delta(Fw, Fw) \leq a\delta(Fw, Fw) + b\delta(Fw, Fw) < \delta(Fw, Fw),$$

since $a + b < 1$. It follows that $\delta(Fw, Fw) = 0$ and so Fw consists of the single point w . Then using (1) we have

$$d(p, w) = \delta(Fp, Fw) \leq (a + 2c)d(p, w).$$

It follows that $p = w$ and so is the unique fixed point of F . This completes the proof of the theorem.

In Theorem 2.1, if F is a single-valued mapping T , we obtain the following result of Ćirić [1].

Corollary 2.1. Let T be a mapping of a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq a \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Ty)\} + c[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X , where $a \geq 0$, $b > 0$, $c > 0$ and $a + b + 2c = 1$. Then T has a fixed point in X , which is unique whenever $a + 2c < 1$, and at this point T is continuous.

References

- [1] Ćirić, Lj., *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 24(1993), 145-149.

Received by the editors April 3, 1998.