

## A REPRESENTATION OF THE MINIMAL P-NORM SOLUTION

Predrag S. Stanimirović<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** Using the determinantal representation and conditions for the existence of the Drazin inverse from the paper of Stanimirović and Djordjević (Linear Algebra Appl. **311** (2000), 131-151), we introduce a determinantal representation of the minimal  $P$ -norm solution of a given linear system. More precisely, we represent elements of the minimal  $P$ -norm solution  $A^D b$  as fractions of two expressions involving minors of the order  $\text{rank}(A^k)$ ,  $k = \text{ind}(A)$ , taken from the matrix  $A$  and its rank invariant powers  $A^l$ ,  $l \geq k$ .

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):* 15A09

*Key words and phrases:* Drazin inverse; determinantal representation; minimal  $P$ -norm solution

### 1. Introduction

The set of all  $m \times n$  matrices of rank  $r$  whose elements are taken from an integral domain  $\mathbb{I}$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{I}_r^{m \times n}$ . By  $\text{Tr}(A)$ ,  $\text{ind}(A)$  and  $|A|$  we denote the trace, index and the determinant of a square matrix  $A$ , respectively.

For any matrix  $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  consider the following equations in  $G$ :

$$(1) \quad AGA = A, \quad (2) \quad GAG = G, \quad (3) \quad (AG)^* = AG, \quad (4) \quad (GA)^* = GA$$

where the superscript  $*$  denotes the conjugate and transpose matrix. Also, in the case  $m = n$ , consider the following equations:

$$(5) \quad AG = GA \quad (1^k) \quad A^{k+1}G = A^k$$

for a positive integer  $k = \text{ind}(A) = \min\{p : \text{rank}(A^{p+1}) = \text{rank}(A^p)\}$ .

For a sequence  $\mathcal{S}$  of the elements from the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , the set of matrices obeying the equations represented in  $\mathcal{S}$  is denoted by  $A\{\mathcal{S}\}$ . A matrix from  $A\{\mathcal{S}\}$  is called an  $\mathcal{S}$ -inverse of  $A$  and denoted by  $A^{(\mathcal{S})}$ . If  $G$  satisfies the system of equations (1)-(2), it is said to be a reflexive  $g$ -inverse of  $A$ , whereas the Moore-Penrose inverse  $G = A^\dagger$  of  $A$  satisfies the set of the equations (1)-(4). A matrix  $G = A^D$  is said to be the Drazin inverse of  $A$  if  $(1^k)$  (2) and (5) are satisfied.

---

<sup>1</sup>University of Niš, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Ćirila i Metodija 2,  
P.O. Box 91, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia  
email: pecko@archimed.filfak.ni.ac.yu, pecko@filfak.filfak.ni.ac.yu

The group inverse  $A^\#$  is the unique  $\{1, 2, 5\}$  inverse of  $A$ , and it exists if and only if  $\text{ind}(A) = 1$ .

We use the following notation from [3]. Let  $A$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$ ; let  $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p\}$  and  $\beta = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p\}$  be subsets of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , respectively, of the order  $1 \leq p \leq \min\{m, n\}$ . Then  $|A_\beta^\alpha|$  denotes the minor of  $A$  determined by the rows indexed by  $\alpha$  and the columns indexed by  $\beta$ . Also,  $A_\beta^\alpha$  denotes the submatrix of  $A$  determined by the rows indexed by  $\alpha$  and the columns indexed by  $\beta$ .

For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , denote the collection of strictly increasing sequences of  $k$  integers chosen from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{k,n} = \{\alpha : \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k), 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_k \leq n\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_r = \mathcal{Q}_{r,m} \times \mathcal{Q}_{r,n}$ . For fixed  $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{k,m}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{k,n}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}(\alpha) &= \mathcal{I}_r(\alpha) = \{I : I \in \mathcal{Q}_{r,m}, I \supseteq \alpha\}, \\ \mathcal{J}(\beta) &= \mathcal{J}_r(\beta) = \{J : J \in \mathcal{Q}_{r,n}, J \supseteq \beta\}, \\ \mathcal{N}(\alpha, \beta) &= \mathcal{N}_r(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{I}(\alpha) \times \mathcal{J}(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

If  $A$  is a square matrix, then the coefficient of  $|A_\beta^\alpha|$  in the Laplace expansion of  $|A|$  is denoted by  $\frac{\partial}{\partial |A_\beta^\alpha|} |A|$ . For the special case  $\alpha = \{i\}$ ,  $\beta = \{j\}$ , we get the cofactor of  $a_{ij}$ :  $\frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ij}} |A|$ .

We use  $C_p(A)$  to denote the  $p$ th compound matrix of  $A$  with rows indexed by  $p$ -element subsets of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ , columns indexed by  $p$ -element subsets of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , and the  $(\alpha, \beta)$  entry defined by  $|A_\beta^\alpha|$ , for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,m}$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,n}$ .

By  $A(i \rightarrow z)$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  is denoted the matrix obtained from  $A$  replacing its column  $i$  by the vector  $z$ .

Also, we use the following extension of the presented notation from [6]:

$$\mathcal{N}_k = \mathcal{Q}_{k,m} \times \mathcal{Q}_{k,n}, \text{ where } k \leq \text{rank}(A);$$

for fixed  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{k,n}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p \leq \text{rank}(A)$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_p(\alpha) &= \{I : I \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,m}, I \supseteq \alpha\}, \quad \mathcal{J}_p(\beta) = \{J : J \in \mathcal{Q}_{p,n}, J \supseteq \beta\}, \\ \mathcal{N}_p(\alpha, \beta) &= \mathcal{I}_p(\alpha) \times \mathcal{J}_p(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for an arbitrary  $n$ -dimensional vector  $b$  with the components  $b_1, \dots, b_n$  we denote by  ${}_\alpha b$  the vector whose components are  $b_{\alpha_1}, \dots, b_{\alpha_p}$ .

It is known that the vector  $x = A^D b$  is the unique solution of the following problem: for a given  $A$  and a given vector  $b \in \mathcal{R}(A^k)$ , find a vector  $x \in \mathcal{R}(A^k)$  satisfying  $Ax = b$ ,  $\text{ind}(A) = k$  (see for example [7], [8]).

The motivation for this paper is as follows. In [2] Berg derived an explicit determinantal representation of the best-approximate solution of the system of

linear equations  $Ax = b$  over the field of complex numbers. Using this representation, Berg also proved that the best-approximate solution is a convex combination of all uniquely solvable  $r \times r$  partial subsystems, where  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ . An equivalent determinantal representation for the least-squares solution of an overdetermined linear system is derived in [1]. Using this formula, Ben-Tal proved that the least-squares solution lies in the convex hull of the solutions of the square subsystems of the original system. Also, a determinantal representation of the weighted best-approximate solution of a linear system over an integral domain is derived in [4]. Recently, in [5] it is proved that an arbitrary solution  $A^{(1,2)}b$  of a linear system  $Ax = b$  can be represented as a linear combination of the solutions  $x^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  of all uniquely solvable  $r \times r$  subsystems  $A_{\beta}^{\alpha}x = \alpha b$  of the starting system, where  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ . We now answer the following question: Are analogous representation and characterization valid for the minimal  $P$ -norm solution?

We introduce a determinantal formula for the minimal  $P$ -norm solution of a given singular linear system  $Ax = b$ . This representation is obtained by representing elements of the vector  $A^D b$  in terms of minors of the order  $r_k = \text{rank}(A^k)$ ,  $k = \text{ind}(A)$ , selected from matrices  $A$  and  $A^l$ ,  $l \geq k$ . Such an approach, as far as we know, has not been employed before. The determinantal representation of various solutions of the linear system, using only  $r \times r$  minors with  $r = \text{rank}(A)$ , are investigated in [1], [2], [4] and [5].

## 2. A representation of the minimal $P$ -norm solution

**Theorem 2.1.** *Consider an arbitrary matrix  $A \in \mathbb{I}^{n \times n}$  satisfying  $\text{ind}(A) = k$  and a linear system  $Ax = b$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{R}(A^k)$ . Assume that  $l \geq k$  is an arbitrary integer. If full-rank factorizations  $A = PQ$  and  $A^l = P_{A^l}Q_{A^l}$  are allowed, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $A^D b \neq 0$ .
- (ii)  $Q_{A^l} A P_{A^l}$  is invertible matrix in  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $Q_{A^l} A P_{A^l} b \neq 0$ .
- (iii)  $Q_{A^l} P_{A^l}$  is invertible matrix in  $\mathbb{I}$  and  $Q_{A^l} P_{A^l} b \neq 0$ .
- (iv)  $u = \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^l)_{\gamma}^{\delta}| |A_{\delta}^{\gamma}| b = \text{Tr}(C_{r_k}(A^{l+1}))b \neq 0$ .

Moreover, in each of these cases, the non-zero minimal  $P$ -norm solution of this system possesses the following determinantal representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A^D b)_i &= \left( \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^l)_{\gamma}^{\delta}| |A_{\delta}^{\gamma}| \right)^{-1} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}, i \in \beta} |(A^l)_{\alpha}^{\beta}| |A_{\beta}^{\alpha}(i \rightarrow \alpha b)| \\
 (2.1) \quad &= (\text{Tr}(C_{r_k}(A^{l+1})))^{-1} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}, i \in \beta} |(A^l)_{\alpha}^{\beta}| |A_{\beta}^{\alpha}(i \rightarrow \alpha b)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $i=1, \dots, n$ , where and  $r_k = \text{rank}(A^k)$ .

Also, each component  $x_i^D = (A^D b)_i$  of the non-zero minimal  $P$ -norm solution can be represented as the convex linear combination of the solutions  $x_i^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  of all uniquely solvable  $r_k \times r_k$  subsystems  $A_\beta^\alpha x = {}_\alpha b$  of the starting system  $Ax = b$ :

$$(2.2) \quad x_i^D = (A^D b)_i = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}, i \in \beta} p_\alpha q_\beta x_i^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad p_\alpha, q_\beta \in \mathbb{I},$$

where the coefficients  $p_\alpha$  and  $q_\beta$  satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{r_k, n}} p_\alpha = 1, \quad \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{r_k, n}} q_\beta = 1.$$

*Proof.* The equivalence of the conditions (i)–(iv) follows from the known conditions for the existence of the Drazin inverse from [6] and the following: the non-zero minimal  $P$ -norm solution of the system  $Ax = b$  exists if and only if there exists the nonzero Drazin inverse  $A^D$  of  $A$  and  $A^D b \neq 0$ .

Using the determinantal representation of the Drazin inverse from [6], one can verify the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (A^D b)_i &= \sum_{k=1}^n (A^D)_{ij} b_j \\ &= \left( \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^k)^\delta_\gamma| |A^\gamma_\delta| \right)^{-1} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k} (j, i)} |(A^k)^\beta_\alpha| \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ji}} |A^\alpha_\beta| \cdot b_j \\ &= \left( \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^k)^\delta_\gamma| |A^\gamma_\delta| \right)^{-1} \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}, i \in \beta} |(A^k)^\beta_\alpha| |A^\alpha_\beta(i \rightarrow {}_\alpha b)| \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we verify the representation (2.1).

If  $|A^\alpha_\beta|$  is an invertible element in  $\mathbb{I}$ , then the canonical embedding of the solution of the system  $A^\alpha_\beta x = {}_\alpha b$  into the  $r_k$ -dimensional space, denoted by  $x_i^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ , is equal to

$$x_i^{(\alpha, \beta)} = (|A^\alpha_\beta|)^{-1} |A^\alpha_\beta(i \rightarrow {}_\alpha b)|.$$

In the singular case we define  $x^{(\alpha, \beta)}$  to be the zero vector.

Using the full-rank factorizations  $A^k = P_{A^k} Q_{A^k}$  and  $A = PQ$  we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^k)^\delta_\gamma| |A^\gamma_\delta| = \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(P_{A^k})^\delta| |(Q_{A^k})_\gamma| |P^\gamma| |Q_\delta|.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & |(A^l)_\alpha^\beta| \quad |A_\beta^\alpha(i \rightarrow_\alpha b)| \\
 & = |(P_{A^l})^\beta| |(Q_{A^l})_\alpha| |P^\alpha| |Q_\beta| (|A_\beta^\alpha|)^{-1} |A_\beta^\alpha(i \rightarrow_\alpha b)| \\
 & = |(P_{A^l})^\beta| |(Q_{A^l})_\alpha| |P^\alpha| |Q_\beta| \cdot x_i^{(\alpha, \beta)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (2.1), (2.4) and (2.5), we get (2.2) for the case

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_\alpha & = \left( \sum_{\gamma \in Q_{r_k, n}} |(Q_{A^l})_\gamma| |P^\gamma| \right)^{-1} |(Q_{A^l})_\alpha| |P^\alpha|, \\
 q_\beta & = \left( \sum_{\delta \in Q_{r_k, n}} |(P_{A^l})^\delta| |Q_\delta| \right)^{-1} |(P_{A^l})^\beta| |Q_\beta|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (2.3) can simply be verified.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *The determinantal representation of an arbitrary element of the minimal  $P$ -norm solution of a given singular linear system  $Ax = b$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{n \times n}$ , possesses the form*

$$(2.6) \quad (A^D b)_i = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}(j, i)} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta} |A_\beta^\alpha(i \rightarrow_\alpha b)|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where the matrix  $\Lambda = (\lambda_{\alpha, \beta})$  satisfies the following conditions

$$(2.7) \quad \text{rank}(\Lambda) = 1, \quad \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} \lambda_{\alpha, \beta} |A_\beta^\alpha| = 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $l \geq k = \text{ind}(A)$  is an arbitrary integer and let  $A^l = P_{A^l} Q_{A^l}$  be a rank factorization of  $A^l$ . Consider the matrix  $\Lambda$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Lambda & = (|Q_{A^l} A P_{A^l}|)^{-1} C_{r_k} ((A^l)^T) \\
 & = \left( \sum_{(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{N}_{r_k}} |(A^l)_{\gamma}^\delta| |A_\delta^\gamma| \right)^{-1} C_{r_k} ((A^l)^T) \\
 & = (\text{Tr}(C_{r_k}(A^{l+1})))^{-1} C_{r_k} ((A^l)^T).
 \end{aligned}$$

In this case  $\lambda_{\alpha, \beta} = (\text{Tr}(C_{r_k}(A^{l+1})))^{-1} |A_\beta^\alpha|$ , and the representation (2.6) follows immediately from Theorem 2.1. The conditions (2.7) can be easily verified.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix of rank  $r$  over  $\mathbb{I}$ , and let  $A = PQ$  be a full-rank factorization of  $A$ . If  $\text{ind}(A) = 1$ , then the minimal  $P$ -norm solution  $A\#b$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}(A\#b)_i &= u^{-2} \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{N}_r} |A_\alpha^\beta| |A_\beta^\alpha(i \rightarrow \alpha b)| \\ &= \sum_{(\alpha,\beta) \in \mathcal{N}_r} p_\alpha q_\beta x_i^{(\alpha,\beta)},\end{aligned}$$

where  $u = \text{Tr}(C_r(A))$ , the coefficients  $p_\alpha, q_\beta \in \mathbb{I}$  satisfy

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Q}_{r,n}} p_\alpha = 1, \quad \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{r,n}} q_\beta = 1$$

and  $x_i^{(\alpha,\beta)}$  is defined by

$$x_i^{(\alpha,\beta)} = \begin{cases} (|A_\alpha^\beta|)^{-1} |A_\beta^\alpha(i \rightarrow \alpha b)|, & |A_\alpha^\beta| \text{ is invertible,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 2.1.** The determinantal representation of the vector  $A\#b$  can also be derived as a partial case from the determinantal representation of the vector  $A^{(1,2)}b$ , introduced in [5].

## References

- [1] Ben-Tal, A., A geometric property of the least squares solution of linear equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 139 (1990), 165-170.
- [2] Berg, L., Three results in connection with inverse matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 84 (1986), 63-77.
- [3] Miao, J., Reflexive generalized inverses and their minors, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 35 (1993), 153-163.
- [4] Prasad, K.M., Bapat, R.B., The generalized Moore-Penrose inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 165 (1992), 59-69.
- [5] Stanimirović, P., Determinantal representation of  $\{i, j, k\}$  inverses and solution of linear systems, *Mathematica Slovaca* 49 (1999), 273-286.
- [6] Stanimirović, P.S., Djordjević, D.S., Full-rank and determinantal representation of the Drazin inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 311 (2000), 131-151.
- [7] Wang, Guo-rong, A Cramer rule for finding the solution of a class of singular equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 115 (1989), 27-34.
- [8] Wei, Y., Index splitting for the Drazin inverse and the singular linear system, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 95 (1998), 115-124.

Received by the editors October 3, 2000.