# TAYLOR-TYPE EXPANSION OF THE *k*-TH DERIVATIVE OF THE DIRAC DELTA IN $u(x_1, ..., x_n) - t$ .

#### Manuel A. Aguirre<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** We obtain an expansion of Taylor style of the distribution  $\delta^{(k)}(u(x_1, ..., x_n) - t)$  where  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  without critical points and t is a real number. In particular, we obtain the expansion of the distribution  $\delta^{(k)}(P + m^2)$ (see ([3]), ([4]) and ([5])), where m is a positive real number and  $P = P(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + ... x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - ... - x_{p+q}^2$ , p + q = n dimension of the space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 46F10, 46F12 Key words and phrases: Theory of distributions

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\phi_t$  denote a distribution of one variable t. Let  $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that (n-1)-dimensional manifold  $u(x_1, \dots x_n) = 0$  has no critical point.

By  $\phi_{u(x)}$  Leray(c.f. [2], p. 102) designates the distribution defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

(1) 
$$\langle \phi_{u(x)}, \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle \phi_t, \psi(t) \rangle$$
 ([2], page 102)

where

(2) 
$$\psi(t) = \int_{u(x)=t} \varphi(x) w_u(x, dx)$$

and  $\varphi \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and  $w_u$  is a (n-1)-dimensional exterior differential form on u defined as

(3) 
$$du \wedge w_u = dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n.$$

By assumption, in the neighborhood of any point of the surface we can introduce a local coordinate system  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  such that one of the coordinates, say  $u_j$  is  $u(x_1, ..., x_n)$  and such that the transformation from  $x_i$  to  $u_i, i = 1, 2, ...$  is given by infinitely differentiable function with the positive Jacobian  $D\binom{x}{u}$  ([1], p. 220).

If, in particular, in the neighborhood of the given point

(4) 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} > 0,$$

<sup>1</sup>Núcleo Consolidado de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, NUCOMPA, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, UNCentro, Pinto 399, C.P. 7000 ,ARGENTINA, e-mail:maguire@exa.edu.ar

we may take the  $u_1$  coordinate to be

(5)  
$$u_{1} = u(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots x_{n}) - t$$
$$u_{2} = x_{2}$$
$$\vdots \qquad \vdots$$
$$u_{n} = x_{n}$$

then

(6) 
$$w_u = D\binom{x}{u} du_2 ... du_n$$

where

(7) 
$$D\binom{x}{u} = \left[D\binom{u}{x}^{-1}\right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}}.$$

Therefore, from (6) and (7) we have

(8) 
$$w_u = w_u(u, du) = \frac{du_2...du_n}{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}}$$

From (5) and taking into account(4), it follows that there exists such a function  $\alpha = \alpha(u_2, ... u_n) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

(9) 
$$x_1 = \alpha(u_2, \dots u_n)$$

Therefore, from (2) and considering the formulae (5), (6) and (7) we have,

(10) 
$$\psi(t) = \int_{u_1=o} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, ... u_n) w_u(u, du)$$

where

(11) 
$$\varphi_1(u_1, u_2, ..., u_n) = \varphi(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

and  $w_u(u,du)$  is defined by(8).

On the other hand from [1], p. 230, formula 6, we have,

(12) 
$$\left\langle \delta^{(k)}(G(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \right\rangle = (-1)^k \int_{G(x)=0} w_k(\varphi)$$

k = 0, 1, 2, ..., where  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), G(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$  is such an infinite differentiable function that

(13) 
$$grad G = \left(\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_n}\right) \neq 0,$$

(14) 
$$w_k(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u_1^k} \left\{ D\binom{x}{u} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right\} du_2 \dots du_n,$$

Taylor-type expansion of the k-th derivative of ...

(15) 
$$w_o = \varphi. w_s$$

$$u_1 = G(x_1, x_2, \dots x_n)$$
$$u_2 = x_2$$

(16) 
$$\begin{array}{ccc} u_2 & & & u_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_n & = & & x_n, \end{array}$$

 $\varphi_1$  is defined by equation(11),  $w = w_u$  is the differential form defined by (6) and

(17) 
$$D\binom{x}{u} = \left[D\binom{u}{x}^{-1}\right]^{-1} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1}}$$

with

(18) 
$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_1} > 0$$

Otherwise, from [1], p. 211, formula 8,  $\delta^{(k)}(G(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n))$  can be written as,

(19) 
$$\left\langle \delta^{(k)}(G(x),\varphi\right\rangle = (-1)^k \int_{G=0} f_{u_1}^{(k)}(0,u_2,...u_n) du_2...du_n = 0$$

$$(-1)^k \int_{G=0} \left[ \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u_1^k} f_{u_1}^{(k)}(0, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{u_1=0} du_2 \dots du_n$$

where

(20) 
$$f(u_1, u_2, ..., u_n) = \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, ..., u_n) D\binom{x}{u}$$

 $\varphi_1$  is defined by equation (11) and  $D\binom{x}{y}$  by (17).

From (2) and (4), taking into account (15), we have

(21) 
$$\psi(0) = \int_{u(x)=0} \varphi w = \langle \delta(u(x), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

In this paper we obtain an expansion of Taylor style of the distribution  $\delta^{(k)}(u(x_1, ..., x_n) - t)$  where  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whithout critical point, t is a real number and  $\delta^{(k)}(u(x_1, ..., x_n) - t)$  is defined by (19).

# 2. The expansion of $\delta^{(k)}(u(x_1,...x_n)-t)$

We begin by showing a lemma of expansion of  $\psi(t)$  defined by (2).

**Lemma 1** Let  $\psi(t)$  be the function defined by (2). Then the following expansion for  $\psi(t)$  in powers of t is valid:

(22) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu=o}^{\infty} a_{\nu}(\varphi) t^{\nu}$$

for every  $\varphi \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where,

(23) 
$$a_{\nu}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\nu!} \int_{G=0} w_{\nu}(\varphi),$$

(24) 
$$G = G(x_1, ..., x_n) = u(x_1, ..., x_n),$$

 $w_{\nu}(\varphi)$  is defined by (14).

*Proof.* From(10) and (4) we have

(25) 
$$\psi(t) = \int_{u_1=o} \varphi_1(u(x) - t, u_2, \dots u_n) w_u(u, du)$$

where

$$(26) u_1 = u(x) - t$$

On the other hand,  $\varphi_1(u(x)-t,u_2,...u_n)$  has the following Taylor series expansion in the neighborhood of t=0

(27) 
$$\varphi_1(u(x) - t, u_2, ..., u_n) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \left\{ \left[ \frac{d^{\nu}}{dt^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u(x) - t, u_2, ..., u_n) \right]_{t=o} \right\} t^{\nu}.$$

Considering that  $\varphi_1 \in C_o^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and the convergence uniform of the series (27), from (25) and (27) we have

(28) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \left\{ \int_{u_1=o} \left[ \frac{d^{\nu}}{dt^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u(x) - t, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{t=o} w_u(u, du) \right\} t^{\nu}.$$

On the other hand, taking into account (26), we obtain

(29) 
$$\left[\frac{d^{\nu}}{dt^{\nu}}\varphi_1(u(x)-t,u_2,...u_n)\right]_{t=o} = \left[\frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}}\varphi_1(u_1,u_2,...u_n)\right]_{u_1=u(x)}(-1)^{\nu},$$

therefore, from (29) we have

(30) 
$$\int_{u_1=o} \left[ \frac{d^{\nu}}{dt^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u(x) - t, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{t=o} w_u(u, du) = \int_{u_1=o} \left[ (-1)^{\nu} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{u_1=u(x)} w_u(u, du).$$

Considering that the form  $w_u$  does not depend on the choice of  $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n$  coordinate system (see[1], p. 222), then using (26), if t = o is  $u_1 = u(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ , thus, from (30) we obtain

(31) 
$$\int_{u_1=o} \left[ \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{u_1=u(x)} w_u(u, du) = \int_{u(x)=o} \left[ \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{u_1=o} w_u(u, du).$$

Taylor-type expansion of the k-th derivative of ...

Substituting (30) in (28) and taking into account (31) we arrive at

(32) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \left\{ \int_{u(x)=o} \left[ \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right]_{u_1=o} w_u(u, du) \right\} t^{\nu}.$$

On the other hand, considering the invariance from w(u, du) on the hypersuface S given by the equation  $G(x_1, ..., x_n) = 0$  ([1], p. 222), the following property is valid:

(33) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \end{bmatrix}_{u_1 = u(x) = o} . w_u(u, du) = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left\{ \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) . w_u(u, du) \right\} \end{bmatrix}_{u_1 = u(x) = o}$$

In fact, from (8) we have

(34) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} w_u(u, du) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^{-1} \right\} du_2 ... du_n = \\ (-1) \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right) \right\} . \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right)^{-2} du_2 ... du_n$$

On the other hand, considering (9) we obtain

(35) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} u(\alpha, u_2, \dots u_n) \right) = \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial u_1} + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial u_1} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \alpha^2} \cdot \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u_1}$$

From (9),

(36) 
$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial u_1} = 0,$$

thus, from (35) and (36) we have

(37) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u(\alpha, u_2, \dots u_n) \right) = 0.$$

From (34) and considering (37) we arrive at

(38) 
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} w_u(u, du) = 0.$$

Therefore, from(38) we conclude that the property (33) is valid. Now, using the property (33), we have,

(39) 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \left\{ \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \right\} \end{bmatrix}_{u_1 = u(x) = o} \cdot w_u(u, du) = \\ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \left\{ \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) \cdot w_u(u, du) \right\} \end{bmatrix}_{u_1 = u(x) = o}$$

 $\nu=0,1,2,\ldots$ 

Substituting (39) in (32) we obtain

(40) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{1}{\nu!} \int_{u(x)=o} \left\{ \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_1^{\nu}} \left[ \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) w_u(u, du) \right] \right\}_{u_1=o} t^{\nu}.$$

From (40) and considering (8), (11),(19) and (20), we have

(41) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \left\langle \delta^{(\nu)}(u(x)), \varphi(x) \right\rangle . t^{\nu}.$$

Otherwise, from (41) and (12) we have

(42) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{1}{\nu!} \left( \int_{u(x)=o} w_{\nu}(\varphi) \right) t^{\nu}.$$

where  $w_{\nu}(\varphi)$  is defined by(14).

Finally, from (42) we conclude the Lemma 1, formula (22).

We observe from (41) that we have obtained a series expansion of  $\delta(u(x)-t)$ . In fact, from (2) and (21) we obtain

(43)  
$$\psi(t) = \int_{u(x)=t} \varphi w = \int_{u(x)-t=o} \varphi(x) w(x.dx) = \delta(u(x) - t), \varphi(x)$$

and from (41) we have

(44) 
$$\psi(t) = \left\langle \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \delta^{(\nu)}(u(x)) t^{\nu}, \varphi(x) \right\rangle.$$

Therefore, from (43) and (44) we obtain the following formula:

(45) 
$$\delta(u(x) - t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} \frac{(-1)^{\nu}}{\nu!} \delta^{(\nu)}(u(x)) t^{\nu}.$$

On the other hand, a series expansion of  $\delta^{(k)}(u(x) - t)$  can be considered as a generalization from the formula (45) which we will study in the following theorem:

**Theorem 2** Let  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that (n-1)-dimensional manifold  $u(x_1, ..., x_n) - t = 0$  has no critical point, then the following formula is valid,

(46) 
$$\delta^{(k)}(u(x) - t) = \sum_{q \ge o} \frac{(-1)^q}{q!} \delta^{(k+q)}(u(x)) t^q.$$

where t is a real number and  $\delta^{(\nu)}(G(x_1,...x_n))$  is defined by (12) or(19).

Taylor-type expansion of the k-th derivative of ...

*Proof.* From (40) we have,

(47) 
$$\psi(t) = \sum_{\nu \ge o} L_{\nu} \frac{t^{\nu}}{\nu!}$$

where,

(48) 
$$L_{\nu} = \int_{u(x)=o} \frac{\partial^{\nu}}{\partial u_{1}^{\nu}} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u_{1}, u_{2}, ...u_{n}) w_{u}(u, du) \right\}.$$

Considering that the series (27) exibits uniform convergence, from (28) and (48) we have,

$$\frac{d^{k}\psi(t)}{dt^{k}} = \sum_{\nu \ge k} L_{\nu} \frac{t^{\nu-k}}{(\nu-k)!} = \sum_{q \ge o} L_{q+k} \frac{t^{q}}{q!} =$$

$$(49) \qquad \sum_{q \ge o} \left[ \int_{u(x)=o} \frac{\partial^{q+k}}{\partial u_{1}^{q+k}} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u_{1}, u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . w_{u}(u, du) \right\} \right] \frac{t^{q}}{q!} =$$

$$\sum_{q \ge o} \left\{ \int_{u(x)=o} \left[ \frac{\partial^{q+k}}{\partial u_{1}^{q+k}} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u_{1}, u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . D\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \right\} \right] du_{2} ... du_{n} \right\} \frac{t^{q}}{q!}.$$

From (49) and taking into account (14) and (15) we obtain

(50) 
$$\frac{\frac{d^k\psi(t)}{dt^k}}{\sum_{q\geq o}(-1)^{q+k}\left\langle\delta^{(k+q)}(u(x)),\varphi\right\rangle\frac{t^q}{q!}} \sum_{q\geq o}\left(\int_{u(x)=o}w_{q+k}(\varphi)\right)\frac{t^q}{q!} = \sum_{q\geq o}(-1)^{q+k}\left\langle\delta^{(k+q)}(u(x)),\varphi\right\rangle\frac{t^q}{q!}.$$

Now, from (12) and (14) we have

(51) 
$$\left\langle (-1)^k \delta^{(k)}(u(x) - t), \varphi \right\rangle = \int_{u_1 = o} w_k(\varphi),$$

where,

(52) 
$$w_k(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u_1^k} \left\{ \varphi_1(u_1, u_2, \dots u_n) . D\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \right\} du_2 \dots du_n.$$

From (51), (52) and considering (29) we obtain

$$\langle (-1)^{k} \delta^{(k)}(u(x) - t), \varphi \rangle =$$

$$\int_{u_{1}=o} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u_{1}^{k}} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u_{1}, u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . D\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \right\} du_{2} ... du_{n} =$$

$$\int_{u_{1}=o} \frac{\partial^{k}}{\partial u_{1}^{k}} \varphi_{1}(u(x) - t), u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . w_{u}(u, du) =$$

$$\int_{u_{1}=o} (-1)^{k} \frac{d^{k}}{dt^{k}} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u(x) - t), u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . w_{u}(u, du) \right\} =$$

$$\frac{d^{k}}{dt^{k}} \left\{ \int_{u_{1}=o} (-1)^{k} \left\{ \varphi_{1}(u(x) - t), u_{2}, ...u_{n}) . w_{u}(u, du) \right\} \right\} =$$

$$\frac{d^{k}}{dt^{k}} \left\{ \int_{u_{1}=t} \varphi(x) w(x, dx) \right\} = \frac{d^{k} \psi(t)}{dt^{k}}.$$

By (50) and (53) we conclude the proof of the theorem. In particular, putting

(54) 
$$u(x) - t = P(x) + m^2$$

in (46), where m is a positive real number,

(55) 
$$P = P(x) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2,$$

p + q = n (dimension of the space), we obtain the following

(56) 
$$\delta^{(k)}(m^2 + P) = \sum_{q \ge o} \frac{(m^2)^q}{q!} \delta^{(k+q)}(P)$$

The formula (56) appears in [3] (formula (77)) under conditions n being odd, in [4], (formulae (38) and (39)) for two cases: a) p and q being even and b) pand qbeing odd. Finally, the formula (56) appears in [5] independently of p, qand n, where p + q = n is the dimension of the space.

### References

- Gelfand, I. M., Shilov, G. E., Generalized Functions, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York 1964.
- [2] Leray, J., Hyperbolic Differential Equations, The Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New jersey, 1957.
- [3] Manuel Aguirre T., The expansion and Fourier's transform of  $\delta^{(k-1)}(m^2 + P)$ , Integral Transforma and Special Functions, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 113-134, 1995.
- [4] Manuel Aguirre T., The expansion of  $\delta^{(k-1)}(m^2 + P)$ , Integral Transform and Special Functions, Vol. 8, No. 1-2, pp. 139-148, 1999.
- [5] Manuel Aguirre T., The expansion in series (of Taylor types) of (k-1) derivative of Dirac's delta in  $m^2 + P$ , to appear.

Received by the editors September 20, 2000