NOVI SAD J. MATH. Vol. 35, No. 1, 2005, 113-121

DIFFERENT STRUCTURES IN Osc^kM AND ITS SUBSPACES

Jovanka Nikić¹

Abstract. The theory of $Osc^k M$ was introduced by R. Miron and Gh. Atanasiu in [3], [4]. R. Miron in [5], [6] gave the comprehensive theory of higher order geometry and its application. In [1] the subspaces of Miron's $Osc^k M$ was introduced and in [2] special adapted basis was constructed. Using the above results we examine different structures in the subspaces of $Osc^k M$.²

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53B40, 53C60, 53C15, 53C55, 58A20, 58D10

Key words and phrases: Subspaces of $Osc^kM,$ special adapted basis, the J structure.

1. Special adapted basis in $T(Osc^kM)$ and $T^*(Osc^kM)$

Here $Osc^k M$ will be defined as a C^{∞} manifold in which the transformations of the form (1.1) are allowed. It is formed as a tangent space of higher order of the base manifold M.

Let $E = Osc^k M$ be a (k+1)n-dimensional C^{∞} manifold. In some local chart (U, φ) some point $u \in E$ has the coordinates

$$(x^a, y^{1a}, y^{2a}, \dots, y^{ka}) = (y^{0a}, y^{1a}, y^{2a}, \dots, y^{ka}) = (y^{Aa}),$$

where $x^a = y^{0a}$ and

$$a, b, c, d, e, \ldots = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad A, B, C, D, \ldots = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k.$$

The following abbreviations:

$$\partial_{Aa} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{Aa}}, \quad A = 1, 2, \dots, k, \quad \partial_a = \partial_{0a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{0a}}$$

will be used.

If in some other chart (U', φ') the point $u \in E$ has the coordinates $(x^{a'}, y^{1a'}, y^{2a'}, \ldots, y^{ka'})$, then in $U \cap U'$ the allowable coordinate transformations

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Faculty}$ of Technical Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia and Montenegro, e-mail: ni-kic@uns.ns.ac.yu

 $^{^2{\}rm This}$ research was partly supported by the Ministry Science and Environmental protection of the Republic of Serbia, Grant number 1262.

are given by:

(1)
$$\begin{aligned} x^{a'} &= x^{a'}(x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n), \\ y^{1a'} &= (\partial_a x^{a'})y^{1a} = (\partial_{0a} y^{0a'})y^{1a}, \\ y^{2a'} &= (\partial_{0a} y^{1a'})y^{1a} + (\partial_{1a} y^{1a'})y^{2a}, \dots, \\ y^{ka'} &= (\partial_{0a} y^{(k-1)a})y^{1a} + (\partial_{1a} y^{(k-1)a})y^{2a} + \dots + (\partial_{(k-1)a} y^{(k-1)a})y^{ka}. \end{aligned}$$

The natural basis \overline{B} of T(E) is

(2)
$$\bar{B} = \{\partial_{0a}, \partial_{1a}, \dots, \partial_{ka}\}$$

The natural basis \bar{B}^* of $T^*(E)$ is

(3)
$$\bar{B}^* = \{ dy^{0a}, dy^{1a}, \dots, dy^{ka} \}.$$

The special adapted basis

(4)
$$B^* = \{\delta y^{0a}, \delta y^{1a}, \delta y^{2a}, \dots, \delta y^{ka}\}$$

of $T^*(E)$ is given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad \delta y^{0a} &= dx^{a} = dy^{0a} \\ \delta y^{1a} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} dy^{1a} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{1a} dy^{0b}, \\ \delta y^{2a} &= \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} dy^{2a} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{1a} dy^{1b} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{2a} dy^{0b}, \\ \delta y^{3a} &= \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} dy^{3a} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{1a} dy^{2b} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{2a} dy^{1b} + \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{3a} dy^{0b}, \\ \delta y^{4a} &= \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{pmatrix} dy^{4a} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{1a} dy^{3b} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{2a} dy^{2b} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{3a} dy^{1b} + \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{4a} dy^{0b}, \dots, \\ \delta y^{ka} &= \begin{pmatrix} k \\ k \end{pmatrix} dy^{ka} + \begin{pmatrix} k \\ k-1 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{1a} dy^{(k-1)b} + \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} k \\ k-2 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{(k-2)a} dy^{(k-2)b} + \dots + \begin{pmatrix} k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} M_{0b}^{ka} dy^{0b}. \end{aligned}$$

 $\{\delta y^{0a}, \delta y^{1a}, \ldots, \delta y^{ka}\}$ form the adapted basis B^* of $T^*(E)$. In the special adapted bases B^* (1.5) and B (1.7) the J structure has a simpler form.

Theorem 1.1. The necessary and sufficient conditions that δy^{Aa} are transformed as d-tensor field, *i.e.*

$$\delta y^{Aa'} = \frac{\partial x^{a'}}{\partial x^a} \delta y^{Aa}, \quad A = 0, 1, \dots, k$$

114

 $are \ the \ following \ equations$

The special adapted basis $B = \{\delta_{0a}, \delta_{1a}, \dots, \delta_{ka}\}$ of T(E) is given by [2]

(7)
$$\delta_{0a} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_{0a} - \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0 \end{pmatrix} N_{0a}^{1b} \partial_{1b} - \begin{pmatrix} 2\\0 \end{pmatrix} N_{0a}^{2b} \partial_{2b} - \\ - \begin{pmatrix} 3\\0 \end{pmatrix} N_{0a}^{3b} \partial_{3b} - \dots - \begin{pmatrix} k\\0 \end{pmatrix} N_{0a}^{kb} \partial_{kb},$$

(8)
$$\delta_{1a} = {\binom{1}{1}} \partial_{1a} - {\binom{2}{1}} N_{0a}^{1b} \partial_{2b} - \\ - {\binom{3}{1}} N_{0a}^{2b} \partial_{3b} - \dots - {\binom{k}{1}} N_{0a}^{(k-1)b} \partial_{kb}, \dots,$$

(9)
$$\delta_{ka} = \binom{k}{k} \partial_{ka}.$$

Theorem 1.2. The elements of the natural basis of T(E): $\{\partial_{0a}, \partial_{1a}, \ldots, \partial_{ka}\}$ and special adapted basis B: $\{\delta_{0a}, \delta_{1a}, \ldots, \delta_{ka}\}$ of T(E) and the coefficients M_{0a}^{Bb} of B^* are connected by

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcrcrcrcrc} \partial_{0a} & = & \delta_{0a} & + & M_{0a}^{1b}\delta_{1b} & + & M_{0a}^{2b}\delta_{2b} & + \dots + & M_{0a}^{kb}\delta_{kb}, \\ \partial_{1a} & = & & \delta_{1a} & + & \binom{2}{1}M_{0a}^{1b}\delta_{2b} & + \dots + & \binom{k}{1}M_{0a}^{(k-1)b}\delta_{kb}, \\ (10) & \partial_{2a} & = & & & \delta_{2a} & + \dots + & \binom{k}{2}M_{0a}^{(k-2)b}\delta_{kb}, \\ & & \vdots & & \\ \partial_{ka} & = & & & \delta_{ka}. \end{array}$$

There are also the conditions when the special adapted bases B and B^* are dual to each other, further when the elements of B and B^* are transforming as tensors.

The proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 can be found in [2].

2. The *J* structure

Definition 2.1. The k-tangent structure J is an $\mathcal{F}(E)$ -linear mapping

$$J: \chi(E) \to \chi(E)$$

defined by

(1)
$$J\partial_{0i} = \partial_{1i}, \ J\partial_{1i} = 2\partial_{2i}, \dots, J\partial_{\alpha i} = (\alpha + 1)\partial_{(\alpha + 1)i}, \dots, \ J\partial_{(k-1)i} = k\partial_{ki},$$

 $J\partial_{ki} = 0.$

Its representation in the basis $\overline{B} = \{\partial_{0i}, \partial_{1i}, \dots, \partial_{ki}\}$ is

(2)
$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & k & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The k-structure J determined by Definition 2.1 is the same as J used in [5], [6], but there it is represented in different basis of the tangent space.

For the k-tangent structure J the relation

$$J^{k+1} = 0$$

is valid. In the natural bases \bar{B} and \bar{B}^* of T(E) and $T^*(E)$ it can be written in the form

(4)
$$J = [\partial_{0a}\partial_{1a}\dots\partial_{ka}] \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & k & 0 \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} dy^{0a} \\ dy^{1a} \\ dy^{2a} \\ \vdots \\ dy^{ka} \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$\partial_{1a} \otimes dy^{0a} + 2\partial_{2a} \otimes dy^{1a} + 3\partial_{3a} \otimes dy^{2a} + \dots + k\partial_{ka} \otimes dy^{(k-1)a}$$

Theorem 2.1. The k-tangent structure J defined by Definition (2.1) the elements of the basis $B = \{\delta_{0a}, \delta_{1a}, \ldots, \delta_{ka}\}$ determined by (1.7) transform in the following way

(5)
$$J\delta_{0a} = \delta_{1a}, J\delta_{1a} = 2\delta_{2a}, J\delta_{Aa} = (A+1)\delta_{(A+1)a}, \dots$$
$$J\delta_{(k-1)a} = k\partial_{ka}, J\delta_{ka} = 0.$$

Different structures in $Osc^k M$ and its subspaces

Theorem 2.2. The k-tangent structure J given by (2.1) satisfies the relations

(6)
$$dy^{0b}J = 0, dy^{1b}J = dy^{0b}, dy^{2b}J = 2dy^{1b}, \dots, dy^{kb}J = kdy^{(k-1)b}.$$

Theorem 2.3. For the k-tangent structure J given by (2.1) we have

(7)
$$\delta y^{0b}J = 0, \delta y^{1b}J = \delta y^{0b}, \delta y^{2b}J = 2\delta y^{1b}, \dots, \delta y^{kb}J = k\delta y^{(k-1)b}$$

where $\{\delta y^{0b}, \delta y^{1b}, \ldots, \delta y^{kb}\}$ is the special adapted basis B^* of T(E) determined by (1.5).

Theorem 2.4. The structure J in the adapted basis $B = \{\delta_{0a}, \delta_{1a}, \ldots, \delta_{ka}\}$ and

 $B^* = \{\delta y^{0a}, \delta y^{1a}, \dots, \delta y^{ka}\}$ is given by

(8)
$$J = \delta_{1a} \otimes \delta y^{0a} + 2\delta_{2a} \otimes \delta y^{1a} + 3\delta_{3a} \otimes \delta y^{2a} + \dots k \delta_{ka} \otimes \delta y^{(k-1)a}.$$

The proof of Theorems 2.1-2.4 can be found in [2].

3. f(2t+1,-1)-structure in Osc^kM and the structure on the hypersurface

In the special adapted basis $B = \{\delta_{0a}, \delta_{1a}, \ldots, \delta_{ka}\}$ of T(E), the vectors $\{\delta_{0a}\}$ span the *n*-dimensional space $T_H(E)$, and the vectors $\{\delta_{1a}, \delta_{2a}, \ldots, \delta_{ka}\}$ the $k \cdot n$ -dimensional $T_V(E)$ and,

$$T(E) = T_H(E) + T_V(E).$$

On the space $T(E) \otimes T(E)$, a metric tensor G is defined such that T(E) can be decomposed into two orthogonal parts $T_H(E)$ and $T_V(E)$, where

$$G = g_{0a\ 0b} \delta y^{0a} \otimes \delta y^{0b} + g_{Aa\ Bb} \delta y^{Aa} \otimes \delta y^{Bb}, \ A = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Definition 3.1. Let $E = Osc^k M$ be an m = (k+1)n-dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^{∞} , and let there be given a tensor field $f \neq 0$ of the type (1,1) and of class C^{∞} such that

(1)
$$f^{2t+1} - f = 0, \ f^{2i+1} - f \neq 0 \ for \ 1 \le i < t,$$

where t is a fixed integer greater than 1. Let rank f = r be constant. We call such a structure an f(2t + 1, -1)-structure or an f-structure of the rank r and of degree 2t + 1.

Theorem 3.1. For a tensor field $f, f \neq 0$ satisfying (2.1), the operators

(2)
$$\mathbf{m} = I - f^{2t}, \ \mathbf{l} = f^{2t}$$

are the complementary projection operators where I denotes the identity operator applied to the tangent space at a point of the manifold.

Proof. We have

$$l + m = I$$
, $l^2 = l$, $m^2 = m$, $ml = lm = 0$

by virtue of (3.1), which proves the theorem.

Let L and M be the complementary distributions corresponding to the operators **l** and **m**, respectively. If rank f = r is constant and dim L = r, then $\dim M = m - r.$

Theorem 3.2. For f satisfying (3.1) and \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{m} , defined by (3.2), we have

(3)
(a)
$$\mathbf{l}f = f\mathbf{l} = f,$$

(b) $\mathbf{m}f = f\mathbf{m} = 0,$
(c) $f^{2t}\mathbf{m} = 0,$
(d) $(\mathbf{m} + f^t)^2 = I.$

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that there is a projection operator \mathbf{m} on E and that there exists a tensor field f such that (3.3b) and (3.3d) are satisfied, then fsatisfies (3.1).

Proposition 3.1. Let an f-structure of the rank r and degree 2t + 1 be given on E, then $f^{2t}\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}$ and $f^{2t}\mathbf{m} = 0$, i.e. f^t acts on L as an almost product structure operator and on M as a null operator.

We shall assume that E is a $Osc^k M$ space of dimension m = (k+1)n, and that rank $f = r = k \cdot n$. Then dim $L = k \cdot n$, dim M = n and $M = T_H(E), L =$ $T_V(E).$

If we denote by h the projection morphism of T(E) to $T_H(E)$, we can construct the mapping α which is defined in [10] by

$$\alpha(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2} [\overline{h}(\mathbf{l}X,\mathbf{l}Y)] + \overline{h}(\mathbf{m}X,\mathbf{m}Y)], \ \forall X,Y \in T(E),$$

where $\overline{h} = Gh$, is a pseudo-Riemannian structure on T(E), such that $\alpha(X, Y) =$ $0, \forall X \in M,$

 $Y \in L$.

If we put $g(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2t} [\alpha(X,Y) + \alpha(fX,fY) + \dots + \alpha(f^{2t-1}X,f^{2t-1}Y)]$, it is easy to see that $g(X,Y) = 0, \forall X \in M, Y \in L$.

Also, using (3.2) and Theorem 3.2 we get $g(fX, fY) = \frac{1}{2t}[\alpha(fX, fY) + \alpha(f^2X, f^2Y) + \cdots + \alpha(X, Y)] = g(X, Y)$. Thus f is an isometry with respect to g.

In [9] and [10] an adapted frame form f(2t+1, -1)-structure is chosen and matrices of tensors g_{ij} and f_i^j are given with respect to this adapted frame. According to the results in [9] and [10] we have for the $E = Osc^k M$ the following theorem

Theorem 3.4. A necessary and sufficient condition for a space E of dimension (k+1)n to admit a tensor field $f \neq 0$ of type (1,1) and of rank $k \cdot n$, such that $f^{2 \cdot 2^k + 1} - f = 0$, is that

118

Different structures in $Osc^k M$ and its subspaces

 $i) \ r=k\cdot 2p=k\cdot n, \qquad \quad ii) \ 2p=s\cdot 2^k=s\cdot t, \ s\in N, \ t=2^k,$

iii) the group of the tangent bundle of the manifold be reduced to the group

$$\overline{S}_{\left(\frac{2p}{2^k}\right)} \times \overline{S}_{\left(\frac{2p}{2^{k-1}}\right)} \times \ldots \times \overline{S}_{\left(\frac{2p}{4}\right)} \times U_p \times O_{2p} \times O_{m-r}.$$

Theorem 3.5. Denote $-f^k$ by ϕ . The structure ϕ satisfies the condition $\phi^3 - \phi = 0$, *i.e.* ϕ is an $\phi(3, -1)$ -structure.

Theorem 3.6. The structure ϕ is an almost paracontact Riemannian structure if rank f = m - 1.

Proof. Let

 ${\cal M}$ is an 1-dimensional distribution.

Multiplying the corresponding matrices, it is clear that $\mathbf{m} = \xi \otimes \mu$,

$$\phi^2 = I - \mathbf{m} = I - \xi \otimes \mu, \quad \phi \xi = 0, \quad \mu \phi = 0, \quad \mu(\xi) = 1, \quad \mu(X) = g(\xi, X)$$

and $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$, which prove the Theorem.

Theorem 3.7. Let E be a $Osc^k M$ manifold with $\phi(3, -1)$ -structure of rank $r = n \cdot k$ and let \mathcal{N}^{m-1} be a hypersurface in E. If the dimension of $T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})_u \cap f(T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1}))_u$ is constant, say s, for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^{m-1}$, then \mathcal{N}^{m-1} possesses a natural F(3, -1)-structure of rank s.

Proof. Let C be a transversal defined on \mathcal{N}^{m-1} , i.e. $C \in T(E)_u$ but $C \notin T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})_u$ for all $u \in \mathcal{N}^{m-1}$. Let B be a differential of the imbedding of \mathcal{N}^{m-1} in E. Then B is a map of $T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})$ into $T_R(E)$, where $T_R(E)$ denotes the restriction of T(E), the tangent bundle of E to \mathcal{N}^{m-1} . Then we can find a locally 1-form C^* defined on \mathcal{N}^{m-1} such that:

$$B^{-1}B = I$$
, $BB^{-1} = I - C^* \otimes C$, $C^*B = B^{-1}C = 0$, $C^*(C) = 1$.

Let F be defined locally on $T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})$ by $F = B^{-1}\phi B$. Then:

$$F^{2}X = B^{-1}\phi BB^{-1}\phi BX = B^{-1}\phi(I - C^{*} \otimes C)\phi(BX)$$

= $B^{-1}\phi^{2}(BX) - C^{*}\phi(BX)B^{-1}\phi C.$

119

If C is in distribution E, then $\phi C = 0$; so we have that

$$(F^{3} - F)X = B^{-1}\phi BB^{-1}\phi^{2}BX - B^{-1}\phi BX = B^{-1}\phi(I - C^{*} \otimes C)\phi^{2}BX - B^{-1}\phi BX = B^{-1}((\phi^{3} - \phi)BX) = 0$$

for all X. On the other hand, suppose that C is in distribution L. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (F^3 - F)X &= (B^{-1}\phi B)B^{-1}\phi^2(BX) \\ &- (B^{-1}\phi b)C^*(\phi BX)B^{-1}\phi C - B^{-1}\phi BX \\ &= B^{-1}(\phi^3 - \phi)BX - C^*(\phi^2 BX)B^{-1}\phi C \\ &- C^*(\phi BX)B^{-1}\phi^2 C + C^*(\phi BX)C^*(\phi C)B^{-1}\phi C = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\phi^2 C = C$ on L and $C^* B = B^{-1}C = 0$, and since we can choose C^* so that $C^*(\phi C) = 0$. Also $C^*(\phi^2 B X) = C^*(BX + (\phi^2 - 1)BX) = 0$.

Theorem 3.8. If (ϕ, ξ, μ) is an almost paracontact structure on E, then \mathcal{N}^{m-1} possesses a natural F(3, -1)-structure if ξ is tangent to \mathcal{N}^{m-1} . The hypersurface \mathcal{N}^{m-1} possesses a natural almost product structure if ξ is not tangent to \mathcal{N}^{m-1} .

Proof. When ξ is not tangent to \mathcal{N}^{m-1} , ξ can be chosen for a pseudonormal. Then we have from Theorem 3.7 that $T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1}) \cap f(T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})) = T(\mathcal{N}^{m-1})$, and rank $F = \dim \mathcal{N}^{m-1} = m - 1$. The almost paracontact structure F has a maximal rank, i.e. F is an almost product structure.

References

- Čomić, I., Grujić, G., Stojanov, J., The subspaces of Miron's Osc^k M. Presented on Workshop of Finsler Geometry and its Applications, Debrecen 2003
- [2] Čomić, I., Liouville vector fields and k-sprays expressed in special adapted basis of Miron's Osc^k M. Presented on the Sixth International Workshop on Differential Geometry and its Applications Clui-Napoca, Romania September 2003
- [3] Miron, R., Atanasiu, Gh., Differential Geometry of the k-Osculator Bundle. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., Tom XLI, No. 3-4 (1996) 205–236.
- [4] Miron, R., Atanasiu, Gh., Higher Order Lagrange Spaces. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl. Tom XLI, No. 3-4 (1996) 251-263.
- [5] Miron, R., The geometry of higher order Lagrange spaces. Applicationes to mechanich and physics, Kluwer Acad. Publ. 1996.
- [6] Miron, R., The Geometry of Hamilton and Lagrange Spaces. Kluwer Acad. Publ. 2000.
- [7] Munteanu, Gh., Metric almost tangent structure of second order. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Mat. Roumanie 34(1) (1990), 49-54.

- [8] Nikić, J., Subspaces of differentiable manifolds admitting f(2k+1, -1)-structure. Colloquia mathematica societatis Janos Bolyai, 46. topics in Differential geom. Debrecen (1984), 941-957.
- [9] Nikić, J., Čomić, I., $f(2 \cdot 2^k + 1, -1)$ -structure in (k+1)-Lagrangian Space. Review of Research Faculty of Science, Mathematics Series, 24, 2(1994), 165-173.
- [10] Nikić, J., On a structure defined by a tensor field f of the type (1, 1) satisfying $f^{2 \cdot 2k+1} f = 0$, Review of Research Faculty of Science University of Novi Sad, Volume 12(1982), 369-377.

Received by the editors june 29, 2004