

SOME SPACES OF LACUNARY CONVERGENT SEQUENCES DEFINED BY ORLICZ FUNCTIONS

T. A. Chishti¹

Abstract. We introduce the sequence spaces $[\hat{w}(M)]$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ of strong almost convergence and lacunary strong almost convergence respectively defined by the Orlicz function M . We establish certain inclusion relations and show that the above spaces are same for any bounded sequences.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 46A45, 40CO5

Key words and phrases: Sequence spaces, Lacunary sequences, almost convergence, Orlicz function

1. Definitions and notations

A sequence $x \in l_\infty$, the space of bounded sequences $x = (x_k)$, is said to be almost convergent [5] to s if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{km}(x) = s$$

uniformly in m , where

$$t_{km}(x) = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k x_{m+i}.$$

Recently, Das and Sahoo [2] introduced the following sequence spaces using the concept of almost convergence.

$$\hat{w} = \left\{ x : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n t_{km}(x-s) = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s \right\},$$

$$[\hat{w}] = \left\{ x : \lim_n \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n |t_{km}(x-s)| = 0, \text{ uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s \right\}.$$

By a *lacunary sequence* $\theta = (k_r)$, $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $k_0 = 0$, we shall mean an increasing sequence of non-negative integers $h_r = (k_r - k_{r-1}) \rightarrow \infty$, ($r \rightarrow \infty$). The intervals determined by θ are denoted by $I_r = (k_{r-1} - k_r]$ and the ratio $\frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}$ will be denoted by q_r .

¹Centre of Distance Education, (Mathematics Section), University of Kashmir, Hazratbal, Srinagar - 190006, India, e-mail: chishtita@yahoo.co.in

A sequence x is said to be *lacunary* $[\hat{w}]$ -convergent to the value s (cf. [1]) if

$$\limsup_r \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} |t_{km}(x - s)| = 0.$$

By $[\hat{w}]_\theta$, we denote the set of all lacunary $[\hat{w}]$ -convergent sequences and we write $[\hat{w}]_\theta - \lim x = s$, for $x \in [\hat{w}]_\theta$.

An *Orlicz function* is a function $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$. If convexity of the Orlicz function M is replaced by $M(x + y) \leq M(x) + M(y)$, then this function is called a modulus function defined and discussed by Ruckle [7] and Maddox [6].

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [4] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$l_M = \left\{ x = w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space l_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an *Orlicz sequence space*, where w is the space of all real or complex sequences $x = x_k$. An Orlicz function M can always be represented (see Krasnoselskii and Rutitsky [3]) in the integral form $M(x) = \int_0^x q(t)dt$, where q , known as the kernel of M , is right differentiable for $t \geq 0$, $q(0) = 0$, $q(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, q is non-decreasing, $q(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The space l_M that is closely related to the space l_p is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(x) = x^p$, $x \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

In the present paper we introduce and examine the following sequence spaces defined by an Orlicz function.

For some $\rho > 0$,

$$[\hat{w}(M)] = \left\{ x : \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n M\left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0, (n \rightarrow \infty), \right. \\ \left. \text{uniformly in } m, \text{ for some } s \right\},$$

$$[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta = \left\{ x : \sup_m \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k \in I_r} M\left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho}\right) \rightarrow 0, (r \rightarrow \infty), \text{ for some } s \right\},$$

where M is an Orlicz function.

If $M(x) = x$, then $[\hat{w}(M)] = [\hat{w}]$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta = [\hat{w}]_\theta$.

2.

In this section we prove some inclusion relations.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\liminf q_r > 1$. Then $[\hat{w}(M)] \subset [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ and $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x$.*

Proof. Let $\liminf q_r > 1$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $q_r > 1 + \delta$ and hence

$$\frac{h_r}{k_r} = 1 - \frac{k_{r-1}}{k_r} > 1 - \frac{1}{1 + \delta} = \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) &\geq \frac{1}{k_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and if $x \in [\hat{w}(M)]$ with $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = s$, then it follows that $x \in [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ with $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x = s$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\theta = (k_r)$ be a lacunary sequence with $\limsup q_r < \infty$. Then $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \subset [\hat{w}(M)]$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x = [\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x$.*

Proof. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ with $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x = s$. Then for $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists j_0 such that for every $j \geq j_0$ and all m ,

$$g_{jm} = \frac{1}{h_j} \sum_{i \in I_j} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon,$$

that is, we can find some positive constant C , such that

$$(1) \quad g_{jm} < C$$

for all j and m . $\limsup q_r < \infty$ (given) implies that there exists some positive number K such that

$$(2) \quad q_r < K \text{ for all } r \geq 1.$$

Therefore, for $k_{r-1} < n \leq k_r$, we have by (1) and (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=0}^r \sum_{i \in I_j} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{j_0} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^r \right] \sum_{i \in I_j} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \left(\sup_{l \leq p \leq j_0} g_{pm} \right) k_{j_0} + \varepsilon (k_r - k_{j_0}) \frac{1}{k_{r-1}} \\
&\leq C \frac{k_{j_0}}{k_{r-1}} + \varepsilon K.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $k_{r-1} \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get $x \in [\hat{w}(M)]$ with $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = s$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 2.3. *Let $1 < \liminf q_r \leq \limsup q_r < \infty$. Then $[\hat{w}(M)] = [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$.*

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let $x \in [\hat{w}(M)] \cap [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$. Then $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x$ is unique for any lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(M)] \cap [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ and $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = s$, $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x = s'$. Suppose $s \neq s'$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s')|}{\rho} \right), \text{ for each } m \\
&\leq \limsup_r \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) + 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exists r_0 , such that, for $r > r_0$,

$$\frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{i \in I_r} M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) > \frac{1}{2} M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right).$$

Since $[\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x = s$, it follows that $0 \geq \limsup(\frac{h_r}{k_r}) M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right) \geq \liminf(\frac{h_r}{k_r}) M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right) \geq 0$ and so, $\lim q_r = 1$. Hence by Theorem 2.2, $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \subset [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta - \lim x = s' = s = [\hat{w}(M)] - \lim x$.

Further,

$$\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) + \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n M \left(\frac{|t_{im}(x-s')|}{\rho} \right) \geq M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right) \geq 0$$

and taking the limit on both sides as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $M \left(\frac{|s-s'|}{\rho} \right) = 0$ i.e., $s = s'$ for any Orlicz function M .

This completes the proof. \square

3.

In this section, we show that for any bounded sequences, the sequence spaces $[\hat{w}(M)]$ and $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta$ are the same.

Before we prove our main result, we prove a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose for a given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists n_0 and m_0 , such that*

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq n_0, m \geq m_0 \text{ Then, } x \in [\hat{w}(M)].$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose n'_0, m_0 such that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for $n \geq n'_0, m \geq m_0$.

It is enough to show that there exists n''_0 such that for $n \geq n''_0, 0 \leq m \leq m_0$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon.$$

Since m_0 is fixed, put $\sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_0-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) = B$.

Now, let $0 \leq m \leq m_0$ and $n > m_0$, then

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{m_0-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=m_0}^{m+k-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m_0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=m}^{m+k-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{B}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=m_0}^{m_0+(k+m-m_0)-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m_0}^{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=m}^{m+k-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $k-m_0 > n'_0$. Then, for $0 \leq m \leq m_0$, we have $k+m-m_0 \geq n'_0$. Then from (3)

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{m_0} \sum_{k=0}^{m_0-1} \left| \frac{1}{k+m-m_0} \sum_{j=m_0}^{m_0+(k+m-m_0)-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

From (4) and (5),

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{km}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \leq \frac{B}{n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} < \varepsilon;$$

for a sufficiently large n . Hence the result. \square

Theorem 3.1. For every lacunary sequence $\theta = (k_r)$, we have $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \cap l_\infty = [\hat{w}(M)]$.

Proof. Let $x \in [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \cap l_\infty$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exist r_0 and q_0 , such that

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{h_r} \sum_{k=0}^{h_r-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{kq}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

for $r \geq r_0$ and $q \geq q_0$, $q = k_{r-1} + 1 + i$, $i \geq 0$.

Now, let $n \geq h_r$, m be an integer greater than or equal to 1. Then,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{kq}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left| \sum_{j=q+\mu h_r}^{q+(\mu+1)h_r-1} M \left(\frac{(x_j-s)}{\rho} \right) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=q+m h_r}^{q+k-1} M \left(\frac{(x_j-s)}{\rho} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\mu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=\mu h_r}^{(\mu+1)h_r-1} \frac{1}{k} \left| \sum_{j=q}^{q+k-1} M \left(\frac{(x_j-s)}{\rho} \right) \right| \\ &+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=m h_r}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=q}^{q+k-1} M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since $x \in l_\infty$, for all j , $M \left(\frac{|(x_j-s)|}{\rho} \right) < B$.

So from (6) and (7), we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{kq}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n} m h_r \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{B h_r}{n}.$$

For $\frac{h_r}{n} \leq 1$, $\frac{B h_r}{n}$ can be made less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ by taking n sufficiently large and since $\frac{m h_r}{n} \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M \left(\frac{|t_{kq}(x-s)|}{\rho} \right) < \varepsilon$$

for $r \geq r_0$, $q \geq q_0$. Hence by Lemma 3.1, $[\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \cap l_\infty \subset [\hat{w}(M)]$. It is trivial that $[\hat{w}(M)] \subset [\hat{w}(M)]_\theta \cap l_\infty$. Hence the result. \square

References

- [1] Basarir, M., On some new sequence spaces. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma, (5) 1 (1992), 339-347.
- [2] Das, G., Sahoo, A. K., On some sequence spaces. J. Math. Anly. Appl. 164 (1992), 381-398.
- [3] Krasnoselskii, M. A., Rutitsky, Y. B., Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces. Groningen, Netherland, 1961.
- [4] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L., On Orlicz Sequence Spaces. Israel J. Math 10 (1971), 345-355.
- [5] Lorentz, G. G., A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences. Acta Math 80 (1948), 167-190.
- [6] Maddox, I. J., Sequence spaces defined by a modulus. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 100 (1986), 161-166.
- [7] Ruckle, W. H., FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded. Canad. J. Math. 25 (1973), 973-978.

Received by the editors June 24, 2004