

QUATERNIONIC MAPS BETWEEN A HYPER-KÄHLER MANIFOLD AND A 3-ALMOST CONTACT MANIFOLD

Dorel Fetcu¹

Abstract. We prove that any quaternionic map between a hyper-Kähler manifold and a 3-almost contact manifold with a certain property is a harmonic map and we give some results about the stability of such a map and about the stability of a quaternionic map between hyper-Kähler manifolds.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 58E20, 53C26, 53D15

Key words and phrases: Quaternionic map, harmonic map, hyper-Kähler manifold, 3-almost contact manifold

1. Preliminaries

Let us recall that a hyper almost complex manifold is a manifold endowed with three almost complex structures, J_α , $\alpha = \overline{1, 3}$, satisfying the quaternionic identities

$$(1.1) \quad J_\gamma = J_\alpha J_\beta = -J_\beta J_\alpha,$$

for any even permutation $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. If these three almost complex structures are Kähler then the manifold is called a hyper-Kähler manifold.

For any real numbers a, b, c with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$, one obtains a covariant complex structure $aJ_1 + bJ_2 + cJ_3$. As in [3], we shall refer this \mathbb{S}^2 -family of complex structures as the hyper-Kähler \mathbb{S}^2 . Therefore, $SO(3)$ acts naturally on the covariant complex structures. Every $SO(3)$ matrix preserves the identities 1.1. A hyper-Kähler manifold is of dimension $4n$.

In order to introduce the 3-almost contact manifolds and the hyperframed manifolds let us recall some basic notions and properties of the framed φ -manifolds.

Let M be an m -dimensional smooth manifold endowed with a tensor field φ of type $(1, 1)$, satisfying the algebraic condition

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi^3 + \varphi = 0.$$

The geometric structure on M defined by φ is called a φ -structure of rank r if the rank r of φ is constant on M and, in this case, M is called a φ -manifold. It follows easily that r is an even number.

¹Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Iassy, Carol I Blvd., n. 11, 700506, Iassy, Romania, e-mail: dfetcu@math.tuiasi.ro

If M is a φ -manifold and if there are $m - r$ vector fields ξ_i and $m - r$ differential 1-forms η_i satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad \varphi^2 = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} \eta_i \otimes \xi_i, \quad \eta_i(\xi_j) = \delta_j^i,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, m - r$, M is said to be globally framed or to have a framed φ -structure. In this case M is called a globally framed φ -manifold or, simply, a framed φ -manifold. From (1.3), by some algebraic computations, one obtains,

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi\xi_i = 0, \quad \eta_i \circ \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi^3 + \varphi = 0.$$

If $m = 2n + 1$ and $\text{rank } \varphi = 2n$ one obtains an almost contact structure on M .

Let M be an m -dimensional globally framed φ -manifold with structure tensors (φ, ξ_i, η_i) with $\text{rank } \varphi = r$, and consider the manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$. We denote a vector field on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$ by $(X, \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i})$ where X is tangent to M , $\{t^1, \dots, t^{m-r}\}$ are the usual coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{m-r} , and $\{f_1, \dots, f_{m-r}\}$ are functions on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$. Define an almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}^{m-r}$ by

$$J(X, \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i}) = (\varphi X - \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} f_i \xi_i, \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} \eta_i(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial t^i}).$$

It is easy to check that $J^2 = -I$. If J is integrable we say that the framed φ -structure is normal. A framed φ -structure is normal if the tensor field S of type (1,2) defined by

$$(1.5) \quad S = N_\varphi + \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} d\eta_i \otimes \xi_i,$$

vanishes, (see [7]), where $N_\varphi(X, Y) = [\varphi X, \varphi Y] - \varphi[\varphi X, Y] - \varphi[X, \varphi Y] + \varphi^2[X, Y]$, for $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, is the Nijenhuis tensor field of φ .

If g is a (semi-)Riemannian metric on M such that

$$(1.6) \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^{m-r} \eta_i(X) \eta_i(Y),$$

then we say that $(\varphi, \xi_i, \eta_i, g)$ is a metric framed φ -structure and M is called a metric framed φ -manifold. The metric g is called an associated (semi-)Riemannian metric.

The fundamental 2-form Ω of the considered metric framed φ -manifold M , is defined just like in the case of the almost Hermitian and almost contact metric manifold, by $\Omega = g(X, \varphi Y)$, for any $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

The framed φ -manifold M with structure tensors (φ, ξ_i, η_i) is called a \mathcal{C} -manifold if it is normal, $d\Omega = 0$ and $d\eta_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m - r$, (see [2]).

If on an almost contact manifold (M, φ, ξ, η) it is defined an associated Riemannian metric g then $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called an almost contact metric manifold. If on an almost contact metric manifold $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ we have $\Omega = d\eta$, where Ω is the fundamental 2-form on M , then we say that $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a contact metric manifold. If for an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) which is normal we have $d\eta = 0$ and $d\Omega = 0$, then $(N, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called a cosymplectic manifold.

In [1] the following result is proved.

Theorem 1.1. *An almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is cosymplectic if and only if φ is parallel.*

In the same way one obtains

Theorem 1.2. *If $(M, \varphi, \xi_i, \eta_i, g)$ is a \mathcal{C} -manifold then φ is parallel.*

In 1969 in [13] and in 1970 in [11], the authors defined the almost contact 3-structure (or the coquaternionic structure) on an odd dimensional manifold M , as follows.

If the manifold M admits three almost contact structure $(\varphi_\alpha, \xi^\alpha, \eta^\alpha)$, $\alpha = \overline{1, 3}$, satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\gamma &= \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta - \eta^\beta \otimes \xi^\alpha = -\varphi_\beta \varphi_\alpha + \eta^\alpha \otimes \xi^\beta, \\ \xi^\gamma &= \varphi_\alpha \xi^\beta = -\varphi_\beta \xi^\alpha, \quad \eta^\gamma = \eta^\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta = -\eta^\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for any even permutation $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, then the manifold is said to have an almost contact 3-structure.

It is proved (see [11]) that there exists an associated metric to each of this three structures. If all structures are cosymplectic, then we call the manifold M a 3-cosymplectic manifold.

As a generalization of the notion of hyper almost complex manifold and the notion of 3-almost contact manifold we defined in [4] and [6] the hyperframed manifolds as follows.

If a differentiable manifold M admits three framed φ_α -structures, $(\varphi_\alpha, \xi_a^\alpha, \eta_a^\alpha)$, such that $\dim M - \text{rank } \varphi_\alpha = n$, for any $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying the following, for any even permutation (α, β, γ) of $(1, 2, 3)$,

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_\gamma &= \varphi_\alpha \varphi_\beta - \sum_{a=1}^n \eta_a^\beta \otimes \xi_a^\alpha = -\varphi_\beta \varphi_\alpha + \sum_{a=1}^n \eta_a^\alpha \otimes \xi_a^\beta, \\ \xi_a^\gamma &= \varphi_\alpha \xi_a^\beta = -\varphi_\beta \xi_a^\alpha, \quad \eta_a^\gamma = \eta_a^\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta = -\eta_a^\beta \circ \varphi_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

then the manifold is said to be a hyperframed manifold. A hyperframed manifold is of dimension $4m + 3n$.

Obviously a 3-almost contact manifold is a hyperframed manifold.

Note that for any real numbers p, q, r with $p^2 + q^2 + r^2 = 1$ we obtain a framed φ -structure $(p\varphi_1 + q\varphi_2 + r\varphi_3, p\xi_a^1 + q\xi_a^2 + r\xi_a^3, p\eta_a^1 + q\eta_a^2 + r\eta_a^3)$, and that

every $SO(3)$ matrix preserve 1.7 and 1.8. We shall refer this \mathbb{S}^2 -family of almost contact structures as the 3-almost contact \mathbb{S}^2 .

In [6], we prove that there exists a Riemannian metric associated to all three framed φ_α -structures. If the framed φ_α -structures are \mathcal{C} -structures we call the manifold M a hyper \mathcal{C} -manifold.

2. Quaternionic maps

Definition 2.1. Let (M, J, g) be an almost Kähler manifold and let $(N, \varphi, \xi_\alpha, \eta_\alpha, h)$ be a metric framed φ -manifold. A smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ is called a $\pm(J, \varphi)$ -holomorphic map if $dfJ = \pm\varphi df$, where $df : TM \rightarrow TN$ denotes the induced tangent map of f .

Definition 2.2. Let (M, J_α, g) be a hyper almost Kähler manifold and let $(N, \varphi_\alpha, \xi^\alpha, \eta^\alpha, h)$ be a metric 3-almost contact manifold. We call a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$ a quaternionic map if

$$(2.1) \quad A^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_\beta df J_\alpha = df,$$

where $A^{\alpha\beta}$ are the entries of a matrix A in $SO(3)$.

It is easy to verify that any $\pm(J, \varphi)$ -holomorphic map with respect to an almost complex structure $aJ_1 + bJ_2 + cJ_3$, with $a^2 + b^2 + c^2 = 1$ and an almost contact structure $(p\varphi_1 + q\varphi_2 + r\varphi_3, p\xi^1 + q\xi^2 + r\xi^3, p\eta^1 + q\eta^2 + r\eta^3)$, with $p^2 + q^2 + r^2 = 1$, is a quaternionic map.

Since $SO(3)$ preserves the identities 1.1 and 1.7, we can choose the complex structures J_α for M and the almost contact structures $(\varphi_\beta, \xi^\beta, \eta^\beta)$ for N such that $A^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ in 2.1. In the sequel, we shall assume that $A^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$.

In the following let us consider two manifolds M and N as in Definition 2.2, and suppose that M is compact. As in [3] (for the case of the maps between hyper-Kähler manifolds), for a smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$, consider the energy functional

$$E(f) = \frac{1}{2} \|df\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{ij} h_{mn} \partial_i f^m \partial_j f^n * 1,$$

where $*1$ is the volume element of M , the functional

$$E_T(f) = \int_M \sum_\alpha \langle J_\alpha, f^* \varphi_\alpha \rangle * 1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \sum_\alpha (J_\alpha)^{pq} (\varphi_\alpha)_{mk} \partial_p f^m \partial_q f^k * 1,$$

and

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M (|df - \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha df J_\alpha|^2 + \langle \sum_\alpha \eta^\alpha \circ df \circ J_\alpha, \sum_\alpha \eta^\alpha \circ df \circ J_\alpha \rangle) * 1.$$

Remark 2.1. Since for a quaternionic map one obtains easily that $\sum_\alpha \eta^\alpha \circ df \circ J_\alpha = 0$, it follows that f is a quaternionic map if and only if $I(f) = 0$.

Remark 2.2. Note that, if J is an almost Kähler structure on (M, g) , with the fundamental 2-form ω , and if (φ, ξ, η, h) is a metric almost contact structure on N such that the fundamental 2-form Ω on N is closed, then any $\pm(J, \varphi)$ -holomorphic map between M and N is a minimum of the energy integral in its homotopy class, since

$$(2.2) \quad E(f) + \int_M \langle J, f^* \varphi \rangle * 1 = \frac{1}{4} \int_M (|df - \varphi df J|^2 + \langle \eta \circ df, \eta \circ df \rangle) * 1,$$

where we use the fact that $\int_M \langle J, f^* \varphi \rangle * 1 = \int_M \langle \omega, f^* \Omega \rangle * 1$ which is a homotopy invariant, (see [5]).

Theorem 2.3. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between two manifolds M and N as above. Then*

$$(2.3) \quad E(f) + E_T(f) = \frac{1}{4} I(f).$$

If the fundamental 2-forms on N corresponding to the three almost contact structures are closed and if f is a quaternionic map, then f is a minimum of the energy in its homotopy class.

Proof. After a straightforward computation one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} I(f) = E(f) + 2E_T(f) + \frac{1}{2} \int_M (\langle \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}, \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha} \rangle + \\ + \langle \sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha} \circ df \circ J_{\alpha}, \sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha} \circ df \circ J_{\alpha} \rangle) * 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{e_i, J_1 e_i, J_2 e_i, J_3 e_i\}$ be an orthonormal local framed field on M adapted to the hyper almost Kähler structure. One obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}, \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha} \rangle * 1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m [h(\sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}(e_i), \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}(e_i)) + \\ + \sum_{\beta} h(\sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}(J_{\beta} e_i), \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}(J_{\beta} e_i))] * 1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\dim M = 4m$. Using the definition of the hyper almost Kähler structure and the definition of the almost contact 3-structure, it follows easily

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha}, \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_{\alpha} df J_{\alpha} \rangle * 1 = 3E(f) + 2E_T(f) - \\ - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \{ [\sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(df J_{\alpha}(e_i))] [\sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(df J_{\alpha}(e_i))] + \\ + \sum_{\beta} [\sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(df J_{\alpha}(J_{\beta} e_i))] [\sum_{\alpha} \eta^{\alpha}(df J_{\alpha}(J_{\beta} e_i))] \} * 1, \end{aligned}$$

Hence $E(f) + E_T(f) = \frac{1}{4}I(f)$. For a pair of structures $(J^\alpha, \varphi^\beta)$, E_T is a homotopy invariant, (see [5]). If f is a quaternionic map, that means $I(f) = 0$, then f is a minimum of the energy in its homotopy class.

Defining the quaternionic maps between a hyper almost Kähler manifold and a hyperframed manifold in the same way as the quaternionic maps with the target manifold a 3-almost contact manifold, one obtains the following

Theorem 2.4. *Let (M, J_α, g) be a compact hyper almost Kähler manifold and let $(N, \varphi_\alpha, \xi_\alpha^\alpha, \eta_\alpha^\alpha, h)$, $r = \overline{1, r}$, be a metric hyperframed manifold. Then, for any smooth map $f : M \rightarrow N$, we have $E(f) + E_T(f) = \frac{1}{4}I(f)$, where $E(f)$ and $E_T(f)$ are defined as above and*

$$I(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M (|df - \sum_{\alpha} \varphi_\alpha df J_\alpha|^2 + \sum_{a=1}^r \langle \sum_{\alpha} \eta_a^\alpha \circ df \circ J_\alpha, \sum_{\alpha} \eta_a^\alpha \circ df \circ J_\alpha \rangle) * 1.$$

If the fundamental 2-forms on N corresponding to the three framed φ_α -structures are closed and if f is a quaternionic map, then f is a minimum of the energy in its homotopy class.

Remark 2.5. Note that a map f defined as in the previous theorem is quaternionic if and only if $I(f) = 0$.

Just like in [3], where the target manifold is a hyper-Kähler manifold, a criterion which detects when a quaternionic map is a (J, φ) -holomorphic map with respect to a structure in the hyper-Kähler \mathbb{S}^2 and a structure in the 3-almost contact \mathbb{S}^2 , can be obtained.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a quaternionic map between a hyper-Kähler manifold and a 3-almost contact manifold. Let A be a 3×3 -matrix whose (α, β) -entries are $-\int_M \langle J_\alpha, f^* \varphi_\beta \rangle * 1$ for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

$$(\text{tr } A)^2 \geq \max\{\text{eigenvalues of } AA^t\}$$

and the equality holds if and only if f is a (J, φ) -holomorphic map with respect to a structure in the hyper-Kähler \mathbb{S}^2 and a structure in the 3-almost contact \mathbb{S}^2 .

Proof. Set $J = X^\alpha J_\alpha$ with $|X| = 1$ and $\varphi = Y^\beta \varphi_\beta$, $\eta = \sum_{\beta} Y^\beta \eta^\beta$, $\xi = \sum_{\beta} Y^\beta \xi^\beta$, with $|Y| = 1$. Then, from 2.2 one obtains

$$E(f) = XAY^t + \frac{1}{4} \int_M (|df - \varphi df J|^2 + \langle \eta \circ df \circ J, \eta \circ df \circ J \rangle) * 1.$$

Since f is quaternionic, from 2.3, we have $E(f) = \text{tr } A$. It follows that $\text{tr } A \geq XA^tY$, for any unit vectors X, Y . The equality holds if and only if f is holomorphic with respect J and φ .

All eigenvalues of AA^t are nonnegative. Let $4\lambda^2$ be an eigenvalue of AA^t with $\lambda \geq 0$. Then there is a unit vector Y_λ in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $AA^tY_\lambda^t = 4\lambda^2Y_\lambda^t$. Hence

$$Y_\lambda(A^tAY_\lambda^t) = Y_\lambda(AA^tY_\lambda^t) = 4\lambda^2Y_\lambdaY_\lambda^t.$$

We have $|AY_\lambda^t| = 2\lambda$. Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ and we choose $X_\lambda^t = \frac{1}{2\lambda}AY_\lambda^t$. It follows $X_\lambdaAY_\lambda^t = 2\lambda$. Then $\text{tr } A \geq 2\lambda$. Hence $(\text{tr } A)^2 \geq \max\{\text{eigenvalues of } AA^t\}$. If all the eigenvalues of AA^t are 0 that is trivially true.

For the second part of the theorem let us consider the Lagrange multiplier

$$F(X, Y) = XAY^t - \lambda(|X|^2 - 1) - \mu(|Y|^2 - 1).$$

If XAY^t attains its maximum at two unit vector fields $V, W \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then $F_X = 0, F_Y = 0$ in $X = V, Y = W$. One obtains $AW^t = 2\lambda V^t, VA = 2\mu W$. Then $2\lambda = 2\lambda|V|^2 = VAW^t = 2\mu|W|^2 = 2\mu$. This implies $A^tAW^t = 2\lambda A^tV^t = 4\lambda\mu W^t = 4\lambda^2W^t$. That is $4\lambda^2$ is an eigenvalue of A^tA . If f is (J, φ) -holomorphic with respect to a complex structure in the hyper-Kähler \mathbb{S}^2 , on M and an almost contact structure in the 3-almost contact \mathbb{S}^2 , on N , then XAY^t attains its maximum $\text{tr } A$. On the other hand, $\text{tr } A = XAY^t = 2\lambda$ and then $4\lambda^2$ is an eigenvalue of A^tA .

Conversely, if $\text{tr } A = \max\{\text{eigenvalues of } AA^t\}$, we take $2\lambda = \text{tr } A$ and it follows that $4\lambda^2$ is an eigenvalue of A^tA . Suppose $|Y| = 1$ and $A^tAY^t = 4\lambda^2Y^t$. It follows that $YA^tAY^t = 4\lambda^2$ and then $|AY^t|^2 = 4\lambda^2$. Taking $X^t = \frac{1}{2\lambda}AY^t$ one obtains $XAY^t = 2\lambda = \text{tr } A$. Hence f is a (J, φ) -holomorphic map with respect to a complex structure in the hyper-Kähler \mathbb{S}^2 , on M and an almost contact structure in the 3-almost contact \mathbb{S}^2 , on N . Note that if A is the zero matrix, then the quaternionic map is a constant.

3. The stability of the quaternionic maps

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between two Riemannian manifolds (M, g) and (N, h) . We should recall some notions and results related to the induced bundle over M of TN , as they are presented in [14].

Let $f^{-1}(TN)$ be the induced bundle over M of TN defined as follows, denote by $\pi : TN \rightarrow N$ the projection. Then

$$f^{-1}TN = \{(x, u) \in M \times TN, \pi(u) = f(x), x \in M\} = \bigcup_{x \in M} T_{f(x)}N.$$

The set of all C^∞ -sections of $f^{-1}TN$, denoted by $\Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$ is

$$\Gamma(f^{-1}TN) = \{V : M \rightarrow TN, C^\infty\text{-map}, V(x) \in T_{f(x)}N, x \in M\}.$$

Denote by ∇^M, ∇^N , the Levi-Civita connections on (M, g) and (N, h) respectively. Then, for a smooth map f between (M, g) and (N, h) , we define the induced connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ on the induced bundle $f^{-1}TN$ as follows, for $X \in \chi(M), V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$, define $\tilde{\nabla}_X V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$ by $\tilde{\nabla}_X V = \nabla_{dfX}^N V$.

Then the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the metric h are compatible, that is, for $V_1, V_2 \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN), X \in \chi(M)$ we have $X(h(V_1, V_2)) = h(\tilde{\nabla}_X V_1, V_2) + h(V_1, \tilde{\nabla}_X V_2)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a quaternionic map between two hyper-Kähler manifolds, (M, J_α, g) and $(N, \mathcal{J}_\alpha, h)$. If M is compact, then*

$$\int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 = \frac{1}{4} \int_M h(DV, DV) * 1 \geq 0,$$

where $V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$, and J_f is the Jacobi operator of f defined by

$$J_f V = - \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} - \tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}) V - \sum_{i=1}^m R^N(V, df e_i) df e_i, V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN),$$

where R^N denote the curvature tensor on N . For each $V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$, DV is an element of $\Gamma(f^{-1}TN \otimes T^*M)$ defined by

$$DV(X) = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha X} V - \tilde{\nabla}_X V, X \in \chi(M),$$

Then

- 1) f is weakly stable, that is, each eigenvalue of J_f is nonnegative.
- 2) $\ker J_f = \{V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN), DV = 0\}$.

Proof. Let $\{e_i, J_1 e_i, J_2 e_i, J_3 e_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be an orthonormal local frame field on M adapted to the hyper-Kähler structure on M .

According to the definition of DV , one obtains, for any $V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad h(DV, DV) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{h(DV(e_i), DV(e_i)) + \sum_{\alpha} h(DV(J_\alpha e_i), DV(J_\alpha e_i))\} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{h(\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha} h(\sum_{\beta} \mathcal{J}_\beta \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta J_\alpha e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V, \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{J}_\beta \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta J_\alpha e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V)\} = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \{4h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) + 4 \sum_{\alpha} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V) - 8h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V) + \\ &\quad + 8 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} h(\mathcal{J}_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_\beta \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta e_i} V)\}, \end{aligned}$$

since the two manifolds are hyper-Kähler.

Next we shall prove that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad R^N(V, df e_i) df e_i + \sum_{\alpha} R^N(V, df J_\alpha e_i) df J_\alpha e_i = \\ = - \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha R^N(df e_i, df J_\alpha e_i) V + \mathcal{J}_3 R^N(df J_2 e_i, df J_1 e_i) V + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \mathcal{J}_2 R^N(df J_1 e_i, df J_3 e_i)V + \mathcal{J}_1 R^N(df J_3 e_i, df J_2 e_i)V.$$

Since f is a quaternionic map one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} & R^N(V, df e_i)df e_i + \sum_{\alpha} R^N(V, df J_{\alpha} e_i)df J_{\alpha} e_i = \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(V, df e_i)df J_{\alpha} e_i + \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{J}_{\beta} R^N(V, df J_{\alpha} e_i)df J_{\beta} J_{\alpha} e_i = \\ &= - \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(df e_i, V)df J_{\alpha} e_i + \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(df J_{\alpha} e_i, V)df e_i + A = \\ &= - \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(df e_i, df J_{\alpha} e_i)V + A, \end{aligned}$$

where A is the sum of the last three terms in the right side of 3.2 and where we used the formulas, for $X, Y, Z \in \chi(N)$

$$R^N(X, Y)Z + R^N(Y, X)Z = 0, \quad R^N(X, Y)Z + R^N(Y, Z)X + R^N(Z, X)Y = 0.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 &= \int_M \sum_{i=1}^m \{h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) + \sum_{\alpha} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V) - \\ &- h(R^N(V, df e_i)df e_i, V) - \sum_{\alpha} h(R^N(V, df J_{\alpha} e_i)df J_{\alpha} e_i, V)\} * 1, \text{ (see[14])}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad & \int_M [h(J_f V, V) - \frac{1}{4}h(DV, DV)] * 1 = \\ &= \int_M \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ h\left(\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(df e_i, df J_{\alpha} e_i)V, V\right) - \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - h(A, V) + 2h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} h(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\beta} e_i} V) \right\} * 1. \right. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad & -h(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N(df e_i, df J_{\alpha} e_i)V, V) = h(R^N(df e_i, df J_{\alpha} e_i)V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) = \\ &= h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V - \tilde{\nabla}_{[e_i, J_{\alpha} e_i]} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) = \\ &= e_i(h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V)) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) - \\ &- J_{\alpha} e_i(h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V)) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) + \\ &+ h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_{\alpha} e_i} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V), \end{aligned}$$

for any $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$, since $\tilde{\nabla}$ and h are compatible, where ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connection on M . In the same way we can compute $h(A, V)$. If we denote

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ h \left(\sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} R^N (df e_i, df J_{\alpha} e_i) V, V \right) - h(A, V) \right\} = B,$$

we obtain $B = B_1 + B_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 = & \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} [-e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) + J_{\alpha} e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{e_i} J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) - \right. \\ & - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_{\alpha} e_i} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V)] + J_2 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_3 V) - J_1 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_3 V) - \\ & - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_2 e_i} J_1 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_3 V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_1 e_i} J_2 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_3 V) + J_1 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_2 V) - \\ & - J_3 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_2 V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_1 e_i} J_3 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_2 V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_3 e_i} J_1 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_2 V) + \\ & + J_3 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_1 V) - J_2 e_i h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_1 V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_3 e_i} J_2 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_1 V) + \\ & \left. + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{\nabla_{J_2 e_i} J_3 e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_1 V) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 = & \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{\alpha} [h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V)] - \right. \\ & - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} \mathcal{J}_3 V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} \mathcal{J}_3 V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} \mathcal{J}_2 V) + \\ & + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} \mathcal{J}_2 V) - h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} \mathcal{J}_1 V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} \mathcal{J}_1 V) \left. \right\} = \\ = & 2 \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{\alpha} h(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} \mathcal{J}_3 V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V) + \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} \mathcal{J}_2 V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V) + h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} \mathcal{J}_1 V, \tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V) \right] \right\} = \\ = & -2 \sum_{i=1}^m [h(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V, \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V) - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} h(\mathcal{J}_{\alpha} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} e_i} V, \mathcal{J}_{\beta} \tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\beta} e_i} V)], \end{aligned}$$

since the two manifolds are hyper-Kähler.

Consider the vector field $X \in \chi(M)$ defined by 1

$$g(X, Y) = - \sum_{\alpha} h(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_{\alpha} Y} V, \mathcal{J}_{\alpha} V),$$

for any $Y \in \chi(M)$. By a straightforward computation one obtains $\operatorname{div} X = B_1$. From the expressions of B_1 and B_2 by using the Green's formula, it follows that

$$\int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 = \frac{1}{4} \int_M h(DV, DV) * 1.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a quaternionic map between a hyper-Kähler manifold, (M, J_α, g) and a 3-cosymplectic manifold $(N, \varphi_\alpha, \xi^\alpha, \eta^\alpha, h)$. If M is compact, then*

$$\int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 = \frac{1}{4} \int_M h(DV, DV) * 1 + \frac{1}{12} \int_M \sum_\alpha \text{tr} (\eta^\alpha \otimes \eta^\alpha)(DV, DV) * 1,$$

where, for any $V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN)$, $DV(X) = \sum_\alpha \varphi_\alpha \tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha X} V - \tilde{\nabla}_X V$, $X \in \chi(M)$, and

$$(\eta^\alpha \otimes \eta^\alpha)(DV, DV)(X, Y) = \eta^\alpha(DV(X))\eta^\alpha(DV(Y)).$$

Then

- 1) f is weakly stable, that is, each eigenvalue of J_f is nonnegative.
- 2) $\ker J_f = \{V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN), DV = 0\}$.

Proof. After a similar computation with that in Theorem 3.1, based on the definitions of the hyper-Kähler structure and of the 3-cosymplectic structure, on the definitions of the Levi-Civita connections on the domain and the target manifolds and on Theorem 1.1, one obtains that in the expression of $\int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 - \frac{1}{4} \int_M h(DV, DV) * 1$ the following new terms arise

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \int_M \frac{1}{4} \left[\sum_\alpha \sum_\beta \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta e_i} V) \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta e_i} V) + \sum_\alpha \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V) \right] * 1 + \right. \\ & \left. + \int_M \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V) \eta_\beta(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta e_i} V) - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \eta_\alpha(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\beta e_i} V) \eta_\beta(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_\alpha e_i} V) \right] * 1 - \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \int_M \frac{1}{2} [\eta_1(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V)(\eta_3(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V) - \eta_2(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V)) + \right. \\ & \left. + \eta_2(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V)(\eta_1(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_3 e_i} V) - \eta_3(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V)) + \eta_3(\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} V)(\eta_2(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_1 e_i} V) - \eta_1(\tilde{\nabla}_{J_2 e_i} V))] * 1. \right. \end{aligned}$$

After a straightforward computation, one obtains that this is

$$\frac{1}{12} \int_M \sum_\alpha \text{tr} (\eta^\alpha \otimes \eta^\alpha)(DV, DV) * 1.$$

In the same way one obtains

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a quaternionic map between a hyper-Kähler manifold, (M, J_α, g) and a hyper \mathcal{C} -manifold $(N, \varphi_\alpha, \xi_a^\alpha, \eta_a^\alpha, h)$, with $a = \overline{1, r}$. If M is compact, then*

$$\int_M h(J_f V, V) * 1 = \frac{1}{4} \int_M h(DV, DV) * 1 + \frac{1}{12} \int_M \sum_{a=1}^r \sum_\alpha \text{tr} (\eta_a^\alpha \otimes \eta_a^\alpha)(DV, DV) * 1.$$

Then

- 1) f is weakly stable, that is, each eigenvalue of J_f is nonnegative.
- 2) $\ker J_f = \{V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}TN), DV = 0\}$.

4. Quaternionic maps between a hyper-Kähler manifold with dimension 4 and a 3-cosymplectic manifold with dimension 3

Let M be a differential manifold which is of real dimension 4. Then the only hyper-Kähler structure on M is defined by the tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$ whose matrix expressions take the following form, (see [9])

$$(4.1) \quad J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Concerning the 3-cosymplectic structures which can be defined on a 3-dimensional manifold we can state the following.

Let N be a 3-dimensional manifold. Let us consider on N the almost contact structures given in local coordinates by

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta^1 = dx^1, \quad \xi^1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^1},$$

$$\varphi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta^2 = dx^2, \quad \xi^2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^2},$$

$$\varphi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta^3 = dx^3, \quad \xi^3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^3}.$$

It can be easily verified that this structures define an almost contact 3-structure on N . Moreover, let us consider the induced almost complex structures on $N \times \mathbb{R}$, defined by $J_i = (X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\varphi_i X - f \xi^i, \eta^i(X) \frac{d}{dt})$, $i = \overline{1, 3}$, where $X \in \chi(N)$ and $f \in C^\infty(N \times \mathbb{R})$. It is proved in [1] that these tensor fields define three almost contact structures on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. After a straightforward computation one obtains that J_i actually are the tensor fields which define the unique hyper-Kähler structure on $N \times \mathbb{R}$. It follows that the almost contact structures defined on N are normal structures and then on N we obtained a 3-cosymplectic structure, (see [1]).

In the following let us consider a new 3-cosymplectic structure on N , $(\psi_i, \zeta^i, \theta^i)$, $i = \overline{1, 3}$, such that the fundamental 2-forms, ω_i , $i = \overline{1, 3}$, corresponding to each almost contact structure, are closed. Then, taking the induced almost complex

structures on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ defined as above, and the metric G on $N \times \mathbb{R}$, defined by $G = g + dt dt$, where g is the Riemannian metric associated to all almost contact structures on N , which gives the fundamental 2-forms ω_i on N , one obtains for the corresponding fundamental 2-forms, Ω_i , $i = \overline{1, 3}$, on $N \times \mathbb{R}$, that $\Omega_i = \omega_i - \theta^i \wedge dt$. Hence, if the 1-forms θ^i are closed and the 2-forms ω_i are also closed, then $d\Omega_i = 0$. But, using a Hitchin's lemma one obtains that the induced almost complex structures on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ are normal and then they define a hyper-Kähler structure on $N \times \mathbb{R}$, (see [8], [10]). Since this structure is unique and since the induced Kähler structures on $N \times \mathbb{R}$ are uniquely determined by the cosymplectic structures on N , it follows that the structure defined by 4.2 is the only 3-cosymplectic structure on N .

Let $f : M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map between a 4-dimensional hyper-Kähler manifold and a 3-dimensional 3-cosymplectic manifold. Then, using 2.1, with $A^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, 4.1 and 4.2, one obtains that f is quaternionic map if and only if

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} f_1^1 + f_2^2 + f_3^3 = 0 \\ f_1^2 - f_2^1 - f_4^3 = 0 \\ f_1^3 + f_4^2 - f_3^1 = 0 \\ -f_4^1 + f_2^3 - f_3^2 = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $f_i^a = \frac{\partial f^a}{\partial x^i}$, $a = \overline{1, 3}$, $i = \overline{1, 4}$.

Example. Let M and N be \mathbb{R}^4 and \mathbb{R}^3 , respectively, endowed with the hyper-Kähler and the 3-cosymplectic structures and let $f : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth map, given by

$$f(x^1, x^2, x^3, x^4) = (-2x^1, x^2, x^3).$$

It is easy to see from 4.3 that f is a quaternionic map. The matrix of df is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the rank of this matrix is 3 and the rank of a $\pm(J, \varphi)$ -holomorphic map must be even, it follows that f is a quaternionic map which is non- $\pm(J, \varphi)$ -holomorphic with respect to any almost complex structure on \mathbb{R}^4 and to any almost contact structure on \mathbb{R}^3 .

Using Theorem 3.2, after a straightforward computation, one obtains, for a vector field $V \in \Gamma(f^{-1}T\mathbb{R}^3)$, that $V \in \text{Ker } J_f$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} V_3^2 - V_2^3 = 0 \\ V_3^1 + 2V_1^3 = 0 \\ V_2^1 + 2V_1^2 = 0 \\ 2V_1^1 + V_2^2 + V_3^3 = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $V = V^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and $V_i^j = \frac{\partial V^j}{\partial x^i}$, $i, j = \overline{1, 4}$, V^i being smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^4 .

References

- [1] Blair, D. E., Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds. pp. x, 260, Birkhäuser Boston, Progress in Mathematics, Volume 203, 2002.
- [2] Blair, D. E., Geometry of manifolds with structural group $\mathcal{U}(n) \times \mathcal{O}(s)$. J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970), 155-167.
- [3] Chen, J., Li, J., Quaternionic maps between hyperkähler manifolds. J. Diff. Geom. 55 (2000), 355-384.
- [4] Fetcu, D., Harmonic maps between framed φ -manifolds. An. USAMV Iași, Tom 46, Vol. 2 (2003), Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Mathematics Applied in Biology and Biophysics, May 30-31, 2003, 129-146.
- [5] Fetcu, D., Maps between almost Kähler manifolds and framed φ -manifolds. Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 9(2)(2004), 13-24.
- [6] Fetcu, D., Some properties of the hyper-framed manifolds. An. USAMV Iași, Tom 47, Vol. 2 (2004), Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Mathematics Applied in Biology and Biophysics, May 28-29, 2004, 151-163.
- [7] Goldberg, S. I., Yano, K., On normal globally framed f -manifold. Tôhoku Math. J. 22 (1970), 362-370.
- [8] Hitchin, N. J., The self-duality on a Riemann surface. Proc. London Math. Soc. 55 (1987), 59-126.
- [9] Itoh, M., Quaternion structure on the moduli space of Yang-Mills connections. Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 581-593.
- [10] Kashiwada, T., A note on Hitchin's lemma. Tensor (N.S.) 60 (1998), 323-326.
- [11] Kuo, Y.-Y., On almost contact 3-structure. Tôhoku Math. J. 22(1970), 325-332.
- [12] Oproiu, V., Some remarks on the almost complex connections. Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl. 16 (1971), 383-393.
- [13] Udriste, C., Structures presque coquaternioniennes. Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R.S. Roumanie 13 (1969), 487-507.
- [14] Urakawa, H., Calculus of Variation and Harmonic Maps. pp. viii, 251, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 1993.
- [15] Xin, Y.L., Geometry of Harmonic Maps. pp. x, 241, Birkhäuser Boston, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 1996.

Received by the editors March 24, 2005