NOVI SAD J. MATH. Vol. 36, No. 1, 2006, 97-102

ON AN EINSTEIN PROJECTIVE SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

Quddus Khan¹

Abstract. In this paper, we have proved that a projectively flat Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold. Also, if an Einstein-Sasakian manifold is projectively flat, then it is locally isometric with a unit sphere $S^n(1)$. It has also been proved that if in an Einsten-Sasakian manifold the relation $K(X, Y) \cdot P = 0$ holds, then it is locally isometric with a unit sphere $S^n(1)$.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53B05, 53B15

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$ Sasakian manifold, projective curvature tensor, Einstein manifold

1. Introduction

Let (M^n, g) be a contact Riemannian manifold with a contact form η , the associated vector field ξ , a (1-1) tensor field ϕ and the associated Riemannian metric g. If ξ is a killing vector field, then M^n is called a K-contact Riemannian manifold ([1], [2]). A K-contact Riemannian manifold is called a Sasakian manifold [2] if

(1)
$$(D_X\phi)(Y) = g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X$$

holds, where D denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to g. We deal with a type of Sasakian manifold in which

$$K(X,Y).P = 0$$

where P is the projective curvature tensor (see [5]) defined by

(3)
$$P(X,Y)Z = K(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-1}[Ric(Y,Z)X - Ric(X,Z)Y],$$

K is the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ric is the Ricci tensor of type (0,2)and K(X,Y) is considered as derivation of the tensor algebra at each point of the manifold for tangent vectors X, Y. In this connection we mention the works of K. Sekigawa [3] and Z.L. Szabo [4] who studied Riemannian manifolds satisfying the conditions similar to it. It is easy to see that K(X,Y).K = 0implies K(X,Y).P = 0. So it is meaningful to undertake the study of manifolds satisfying the condition (2).

 $^{^1 \}rm Department$ of Mathematics, Shibli National P.G. College, Azamgarh (U.P.), India, e-mail: dr_quddus_khan@rediffmail.com

Let R and r denote the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) and the scalar curvature of M^n respectively. It is known that in a Sasakian manifold M^n , besides the relation (1), the following relations also hold (see [1], [2]):

- (4) $\phi(\xi) = 0$
- (5) $\eta(\xi) = 1$
- (6) $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$

(7)
$$Ric(X,\xi) = (n-1)\eta(X)$$

(8)
$$g(K(\xi, X)Y, \xi) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

(9)
$$K(\xi, X)\xi = -X + \eta(X)\xi$$

(10)
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

(11)
$$K(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(Y)X$$

and

(12)
$$\eta(\phi X) = 0$$

for any vector fields X, Y.

The above results will be used in the next section.

2. Sasakian manifold satisfying P(X, Y)Z = 0

Let us suppose that in a Sasakian manifold

(13)
$$P(X,Y)Z = 0.$$

Then it follows from (3) that

(14)
$$K(X,Y)Z = \frac{1}{n-1} [Ric(Y,Z)X - Ric(X,Z)Y]$$

or,

(15)
$$g(K(X,Y)Z,U) = \frac{1}{n-1} [Ric(Y,Z)g(X,U) - Ric(X,Z)g(Y,U)]$$

Taking $X = U = \xi$ in (15) and then using (5), (6), (7) and (8), we get

(16)
$$g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) = \frac{1}{n-1} [Ric(Y,Z) - (n-1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)]$$

Consequently,

(17)
$$Ric(Y,Z) = kg(Y,Z),$$

where k = (n - 1). Thus we have the following result:

Theorem 1. A projectively flat Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold.

Next, we prove the following:

Theorem 2. The scalar curvature r of a projectively flat Sasakian manifold M^n is constant.

Proof. From (17), we have

$$(18) R(Y) = (n-1)Y,$$

where Ric(Y, Z) = g(R(Y), Z). Contracting (18) with respect to 'Y', we have r = n(n-1), which proves the result.

Theorem 3. A projectively flat Einstein-Sasakian manifold M^n $(n \ge 2)$ is locally isometric with a unit sphere $S^n(1)$.

Proof. Let the Riemannian manifold be Einstein, i.e.

$$Ric(X,Y) = kg(X,Y),$$

where k is a constant. Then (14) reduces to

(19)
$$K(X,Y)Z = \frac{k}{n-1}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

or,

(20)
$$g(K(X,Y)Z,V) = \frac{k}{n-1}[g(Y,Z)g(X,V) - g(X,Z)g(Y,V)].$$

Taking $X = V = \xi$ in (20) and then using (5), (6) and (8), we get

$$g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z) = \frac{k}{n-1}[g(Y,Z) - \eta(Z)\eta(Y)]$$

or,

$$[\frac{k}{n-1} - 1][g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)] = 0.$$

This shows that either k = n - 1 or $g(Y, Z) = \eta$ (Y) $\eta(Z)$. Now, if $g(Y, Z) = \eta$ (Y) η (Z), then from (10), we get $g(\phi Y, \phi Z) = 0$, which is not possible. Therefore, k = n - 1 and, putting this value of k in (19), we get the result. \Box

3. An Einstein Sasakian manifold satisfying K(X,Y).P = 0

Let the Riemannian manifold M be an Einstein manifold, then (3) gives

(21)
$$P(X,Y)Z = K(X,Y)Z - \frac{k}{n-1}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

We have,

$$\eta(P(X,Y)Z) = g(P(X,Y)Z,\xi)$$

= $g(K(X,Y)Z - \frac{k}{n-1}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],\xi)$
= $\eta(X)g(Z,Y) - \eta(Y)g(Z,X) - \frac{k}{n-1}[\eta(X)g(Z,Y) - \eta(Y)g(Z,X)]$

or,

(22)
$$\eta(P(X,Y)Z) = \left[\frac{k}{n-1} - 1\right] [\eta(Y)g(Z,X) - \eta(X)g(Z,Y)].$$

Taking $X = \xi$ in (22) and then using (5) and (6), we get

(23)
$$\eta(P(\xi, Y)Z) = \left[\frac{k}{n-1} - 1\right] [\eta(Y)\eta(Z) - g(Z, Y)].$$

Again, taking $Z = \xi$ in (22) and then using (5) and (6), we get

(24)
$$\eta(P(X,Y)\xi) = 0.$$

Now,

$$(K(X,Y)P)(U,V)W = K(X,Y)P(U,V)W - P(K(X,Y)U,V)W - P(U,K(X,Y)V)W - P(U,V)K(X,Y)W.$$

In view of (2), we get

(25)
$$K(X,Y)P(U,V)W - P(K(X,Y)U,V)W - - P(U,K(X,Y)V)W - P(U,V)K(X,Y)W = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$g(K(\xi, Y)P(U, V)W, \xi) - g(P(K(\xi, Y)U, V)W, \xi) - -g(P(U, K(\xi, Y)V)W, \xi) - g(P(U, V)K(\xi, Y)W, \xi) = 0.$$

From this it follows that

$$P(U, V, W, Y) - \eta(Y)\eta(P(U, V)W) + \eta(U)\eta(P(Y, V)W) + \eta(V)\eta(P(U, Y)W) + \eta(W)\eta(P(U, V)Y) - g(Y, U)\eta(P(\xi, V)W) - g(Y, V)\eta(P(U, \xi)W) - g(Y, W)\eta(P(U, V)\xi) = 0,$$
(26)

On an Einstein projective sasakian manifold

where g(P(U, V)W, Y) = P(U, V, W, Y). Putting Y = U in (26), we get

$$P(U, V, W, U) - \eta(U)\eta(P(U, V, W) + \eta(U)\eta(P(U, V)W) + \eta(V)\eta(P(U, U)W) + \eta(W)\eta(P(U, V)U) - g(U, U)\eta(P(\xi, V)W) - g(U, V)\eta(P(U, \xi)W) - g(U, W)\eta(P(U, V)\xi) = 0.$$
(27)

Let $\{e_i\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point. Then the sum for $1 \le i \le n$ of the relation (27) for $U = e_i$ gives

(28)
$$\eta(P(\xi, V)W) = \frac{1}{n-1} [Ric(V, W)\frac{r}{n} - g(V, W) + (\frac{k}{n(n-1)} - 1)(n-1)\eta(W)\eta(V)].$$

Using (22) and (28), it follows from (26) that

(29)
$$\begin{split} & \prime p(U,V,W,Y) + \frac{k}{n(n-1)}g(Y,U)g(V,W) - \frac{k}{n(n-1)}g(U,W)g(Y,V) + \\ & + \frac{1}{n-1}[Ric(U,W)g(Y,V) - Ric(V,W)g(Y,U)] = 0. \end{split}$$

From (23) and (28), we get

$$\begin{split} (\frac{k}{n-1}-1)[\eta(V)\eta(W) - g(W,V)] &= \frac{1}{n-1}[Ric(V,W) - \frac{r}{n}g(V,W) + \\ &+ (\frac{k}{n(n-1)}-1)(n-1)\eta(V)\eta(W)]. \end{split}$$

For r = nk, we have

(30)
$$Ric(W, V) = (n-1)g(W, V).$$

Using (30) and taking r = nk, the relation (29) reduces to

(31)
$$P(U, V, W, Y) = \left(\frac{k}{n-1} - 1\right)[g(Y, V)g(U, W) - g(Y, U)g(V, W)].$$

From (21) and (31), we get

(32)
$$\prime K(U, V, W, Y) = [g(Y, U)g(V, W) - g(Y, V)g(U, W)],$$

where $\prime K(U, V, W, Y) = g(K(U, V)W, Y)$. Thus we have the following:

Theorem 4. If in an Einstein-Sasakian manifold, the relation K(X,Y).P = 0 holds, then it is locally isometric with a unit sphere $S^n(1)$.

For a projectively symmetric Riemannian manifold we have DP = 0. Hence for such a manifold $K(X, Y) \cdot P = 0$ holds. Thus we have the following corollary of the above theorem:

Corollary 1. A projectively symmetric Sasakian manifold M^n $(n \ge 2)$ is locally isometric with a unit sphere $S^n(1)$.

101

Acknowledgement

This work is supported by the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India under SERC Fast Track Fellowship for Young Scientist Scheme No.SR/FTP/MS-17, 2001.

References

- Sasaki, S., Lecture notes on almost contact manifolds, part 1. Tohoku University, 1975.
- [2] Blair, David E., Contact manifold in a Riemannian Geometry. Lecture notes in Mathematics, 509, Springer Verlag, 1976.
- [3] Sekigawa, K., Almost Hermition manifold satisfying some curvature conditions. Kodai. Math. J. 2 (1979), 384-405.
- [4] Szabo, Z. L., Structure theorem on Riemannian space satisfying K (X,Y). K=0, The local version. J. Diff. Geom., 17 (1982) 531-582.
- Singh, H., Khan, Q., On generalized recurrent Riemannian manifolds. Publ. Math. Debrceen, Hungary, 56/1-2 (2000), 87-95.

Received by the editors December 29, 2005