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#### Abstract

We study the antieigenvectors of the generalized eigenvalue problem $A f=\lambda B f$ by using the concept of stationary vectors and then obtain an operator inequality complementary to Schwarz's inequality in Hilbert space.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ and $B$ be two bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space Gustafson [6] and H. Krein [10] have studied the concept of antieigenvalue for the eigenvalue problem $A f=\lambda f$ which is denoted as $\mu_{1}(A)$ and is defined as follows :

$$
\mu_{1}(A)=\min \left\{R e \frac{(A f, f)}{\|f\|\|A f\|}: f \in H, f \neq 0\right\} .
$$

Gustafson calls $\mu_{1}(A)$ the first antieigenvalue of $A$ and $f$ the corresponding antieigenvector. Davis [3] and Mirman [11 have also studied $\mu_{1}(A)$. In (2) we studied the structure of the antieigenvectors of a strictly accretive operator and in 9 we calculated the bounds for total antieigenvalue of a normal operator. Extending the idea of Krein [10] and Gustafson [6] we here define the antieigenvalue for the generalized eigenvalue problem $A f=\lambda B f$ assuming

$$
\mu_{1}(A, B)=\min \left\{\operatorname{Re} \frac{(A f, B f)}{\|B f\|\|A f\|}: f \in H, A f \neq 0, B f \neq 0\right\}
$$

that $\inf \{\operatorname{Re}(A f, B f) /(\|A f\|\|B f\|)\}$ is attained at a vector $f$ if the space is infinite dimensional. We call $\mu_{1}(A, B)$ the generalized antieigenvalue and $f$ the generalized antieigenvector.
To study the generalized antieigenvectors we use the concept of stationary vector studied by Das in [1], the definition of which is given below:

[^0]
## Definition 1. Stationary vector.

Let $\phi(f)$ be a functional of a unit vector $f \in H$. Then $\phi(f)$ is said to have a stationary value at $f$ if the function $w_{g}(t)$ of a real variable $t$, defined as

$$
w_{g}(t)=\phi\left(\frac{f+t g}{\|f+t g\|}\right)
$$

has a stationary value at $t=0$ for any arbitrary but fixed vector $g \in H$. The vector $f$ is then called a stationary vector.

From now onwards, $(A, B)$ will denote the generalized eigenvalue problem $A f=$ $\lambda B f$. So $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ denotes the generalized eigenvalue problem $A^{*} f=\lambda B^{*} f$.

## 2. Structure of generalized antieigenvectors

We write

$$
\Phi(f)=R e \frac{(A f, B f)}{\|B f\|\|A f\|} ; f \in H, A f \neq 0, B f \neq 0
$$

and find the necessary and sufficient condition for a unit vector $f$ to be a stationary vector of $\Phi(f)$.
For this we define

$$
w_{g}(t)=\frac{\left(\frac{\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right)}{2}(f+t g), f+t g\right)^{2}}{\|A(f+t g)\|^{2}\|B(f+t g)\|^{2}}
$$

where $g$ is an arbitrary but fixed vector of $H$.
If $f$ is a stationary vector then we have $w_{g}^{\prime}(0)=0$ and so we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2} \cdot 2\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, f\right) \cdot\left\{\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, g\right)\right. \\
\left.+\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} g, f\right)\right\}-\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, f\right)^{2} \\
\left\{\|A f\|^{2}((B f, B g)+(B g, B f))+\|B f\|^{2}((A f, A g)+(A g, A f))\right\}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

As $g$ is arbitrary we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2} 2\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2}\right) f- \\
\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, f\right)\left\{\|A f\|^{2} B^{*} B f+\|B f\|^{2} A^{*} A f\right\}=0 . \\
\Rightarrow\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2}\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right) f- \\
\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, f\right)\left\{\|A f\|^{2} B^{*} B f+\|B f\|^{2} A^{*} A f\right\}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

This is the necessary and sufficient condition for $\Phi(f)$ to be stationary at a vector $f$.
We then prove the following theorem :

Theorem 1. Suppose $A^{*} B=B^{*} A$ and $f$ be a generalized antieigenvector of $(A, B)$. Then $B f$ can be expressed as a linear combination of two generalized eigenvectors of $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$.
If further $B$ is invertible then $f$ can be expressed as the linear combination of two generalized eigenvectors of $(A, B)$.

Proof. As $f$ is a generalized antieigenvector, in particular, a stationary vector of $\Phi(f)$, we have the necessary and sufficient condition for $f$ to be a stationary vector of $\Phi(f)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2}\left(A^{*} B+B^{*} A\right) f- \\
\left(\frac{A^{*} B+B^{*} A}{2} f, f\right)\left\{\|A f\|^{2} B^{*} B f+\|B f\|^{2} A^{*} A f\right\}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

As $A^{*} B=B^{*} A$ we get

$$
\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2} 2 A^{*} B f-\left(A^{*} B f, f\right)\left\{\|A f\|^{2} B^{*} B f+\|B f\|^{2} A^{*} A f\right\}=0
$$

Let $A f=\lambda B f+h$ where $(B f, h)=0$, then $\|A f\|^{2}-\frac{|(A f, B f)|^{2}}{(B f, B f)}=\|h\|^{2}$.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{*} A f-\frac{\|A f\|^{2}}{\left(A^{*} B f, f\right)} A^{*} B f=\frac{\|A f\|^{2}}{\left(A^{*} B f, f\right)} A^{*} B f-\frac{\|A f\|^{2}}{\|B f\|^{2}} B^{*} B f \\
\Rightarrow \quad & A^{*} A f-\frac{\|A f\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} A^{*} B f \pm \frac{\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} A^{*} B f= \\
& \pm \frac{\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} A^{*} B f+\frac{\|A f\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} A^{*} B f-\frac{\|A f\|^{2}}{\|B f\|^{2}} B^{*} B f \\
\Rightarrow \quad & A^{*}\left[A f-\frac{\|A f\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f \pm \frac{\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f\right]= \\
& \frac{\|A f\| \pm\|h\|}{\Phi(f)\|B f\|} B^{*}\left[A f-\frac{\|A f\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f \pm \frac{\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
g_{1}=A f-\frac{\|A f\|-\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f, \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{\|A f\|+\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)}
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=A f-\frac{\|A f\|+\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{\|A f\|-\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)}
$$

Then $A^{*} g_{1}=\lambda_{1} B^{*} g_{1}$ and $A^{*} g_{2}=\lambda_{2} B^{*} g_{2}$ so that $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$ are two eigenvectors of the equation $A^{*} f=\lambda B^{*} f$ with eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}$ respectively.
Then

$$
B f=\frac{\|B f\| \Phi(f)}{2\|h\|}\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) .
$$

If $B$ is invertible then for any $g \in H$ we have

$$
\left(A^{*}-\lambda B^{*}\right) g=0 \Leftrightarrow(A-\lambda B) B^{-1} g=0 .
$$

So

$$
A\left(B^{-1} g_{1}\right)=\lambda_{1} B\left(B^{-1} g_{1}\right), \quad A\left(B^{-1} g_{2}\right)=\lambda_{2} B\left(B^{-1} g_{2}\right)
$$

and

$$
f=\frac{\|B f\| \Phi(f)}{2\|h\|}\left(B^{-1} g_{1}-B^{-1} g_{2}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 3. An inequality complementary to Schwarz's inequality

Here we develop an inequality complementary to Schwarz's inequality in Hilbert space. With Schwarz's inequality we always have

$$
\forall f \in H(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \geq|(A f, B f)|^{2}
$$

We reverse the sign of inequality and then improve it under some restrictions on $A$ and $B$. Assuming $A$ and $B$ to be positive and permutable Greub and Rheinboldt [5] proved that if $0<m_{1} I \leq A \leq M_{1} I$ and $0<m_{2} I \leq B \leq M_{2} I$ then for all $f \in H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq \frac{\left(M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 M_{1} M_{2} m_{1} m_{2}}(A f, B f)^{2} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the same assumtions Diaz J.B. and Metcalf F.T. 4 improved on the inequality to prove that for all $f \in H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1} M_{1}(B f, B f)+m_{2} M_{2}(A f, A f) \leq\left(M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)(A f, B f) \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

Greub and Rheinboldt [5] also proved the generalized Kantorovich inequality which states that if C is a positive operator with $0<m I \leq C \leq M I$ then for all $f \in H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(C f, f)\left(C^{-1} f, f\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}(f, f)^{2} \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

and they also proved that inequalities (I) and (III) are equivalent. Instead of assuming $A$ and $B$ to be positive and permutable we only assume here that $A^{*} B$ is positive and prove that for all $f \in H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}(A f, B f)^{2} \tag{IV}
\end{equation*}
$$

where m and M are the least and greatest generalized eigenvalues of $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$. We then show that inequalities (III) and (IV) are equivalent. We first prove the following theorem :

Theorem 2. Suppose $m$ and $M$ are the least and greatest generalized eigenvalues of $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$.
Then

$$
\forall f \in H 4 m M(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq(M+m)^{2}(A f, B f)^{2}
$$

Proof. If $f$ is a generalized antieigenvector then we have by previous theorem $A^{*} g_{1}=\lambda_{1} B^{*} g_{1}$ and $A^{*} g_{2}=\lambda_{2} B^{*} g_{2}$ where

$$
g_{1}=A f-\frac{\|A f\|-\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f, \quad \lambda_{1}=\frac{\|A f\|+\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)}
$$

and

$$
g_{2}=A f-\frac{\|A f\|+\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} B f, \quad \lambda_{2}=\frac{\|A f\|-\|h\|}{\|B f\| \Phi(f)} .
$$

So

$$
\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=\frac{2\|A f\|}{\Phi(f)\|B f\|} \text { and } \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}=\frac{(A f, B f)}{\Phi(f)\|B f\|^{2}}
$$

Also

$$
\frac{2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}=\frac{(A f, B f)}{\|A f\|\|B f\|}=\Phi(f) .
$$

Let

$$
u=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}, \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(u) & =\frac{2 \sqrt{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}}+\sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}}}} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sqrt{u}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a decreasing function of u so that $F(u)$ attains its minimum at the maximum value of $u$. Hence if m and M are the least and the greatest generalized eigenvalues of $\left(A^{*}, B^{*}\right)$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\min _{A f, B f \neq 0} \frac{(A f, B f)}{\|A f\|\|B f\|} & =\frac{2 \sqrt{m M}}{m+M} \\
\Rightarrow \frac{(A f, B f)^{2}}{\|A f\|^{2}\|B f\|^{2}} & \geq \frac{4 m M}{(m+M)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f \in H$ is such that $A f \neq 0, B f \neq 0$.
Thus we get

$$
\forall f \in H 4 m M(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq(M+m)^{2}(A f, B f)^{2}
$$

This completes the proof.
Now we show that inequalities (III) and (IV) are equivalent.
Inequality (III) clearly follows from (IV) by taking $A=C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B=C^{\frac{-1}{2}}$. For
the other part we have $A^{*} B>0$ and so $B$ is invertible. Let $C=A B^{-1}$. Then $C^{*}=\left(B^{-1}\right)^{*} A^{*}=\left(B^{-1}\right)^{*}\left(A^{*} B\right) B^{-1}$ so that $\mathrm{C}>0$.
As $m$ and $M$ are the least and greatest eigenvalues of $\left(B^{-1}\right)^{*} A^{*}=C^{*}=C$ so we get by inequality (III)

$$
(C f, f)\left(C^{-1} f, f\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}(f, f)^{2} \forall f \in H
$$

Substituting $g=C^{\frac{1}{2}} h$ we get

$$
\forall h \in H\left(C C^{\frac{1}{2}} h, C^{\frac{1}{2}} h\right)\left(C^{-1} C^{\frac{1}{2}} h, C^{\frac{1}{2}} h\right) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}\left(C^{\frac{1}{2}} h, C^{\frac{1}{2}} h\right)^{2}
$$

So we get

$$
\forall h \in H(C h, C h)(h, h) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}(C h, h)^{2}
$$

Again substituting $h=B g$ we get

$$
\forall g \in H(A g, A g)(B g, B g) \leq \frac{(M+m)^{2}}{4 m M}(A g, B g)^{2}
$$

Thus the inequalities (III) and (IV) are equivalent.
Also the inequality (I) can be deduced easily from inequality (IV), for if $A, B$ are selfadjoint with $A B=B A, 0<m_{1} I \leq A \leq M_{1} I, 0<m_{2} I \leq B \leq M_{2} I$ then $\frac{m_{1}}{M_{2}}$ and $\frac{M_{1}}{m_{2}}$ are the least and greatest real eigenvalues of $A \bar{f}=\bar{\lambda} B f$ so that

$$
\min _{A f, B f \neq 0} \frac{(A f, B f)}{\|A f\|\|B f\|}=\frac{2 \sqrt{m_{1} m_{2} M_{1} M_{2}}}{m_{1} m_{2}+M_{1} M_{2}} .
$$

Thus we get

$$
\forall f \in H(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq \frac{\left(M_{1} M_{2}+m_{1} m_{2}\right)^{2}}{4 M_{1} M_{2} m_{1} m_{2}}(A f, B f)^{2}
$$

The inequality (II) by Diaz J.B. and Metcalf F.T. stated earlier is better than our inequality but with more restrictions on operators $A$ and $B$.
We finally give an easy example of two operators $A$ and $B$ for which inequality (IV) holds but inequality (I) is not applicable.

Example 1. Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
1 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $A \neq A^{*}$ and $B \neq B^{*}$. Also $A B \neq B A$. But $A^{*} B>0$ so that inequality (IV) holds to give

$$
\forall f \in H(A f, A f)(B f, B f) \leq 2(A f, B f)^{2}
$$

Clearly, inequality (I) is not applicable.

From this example we can conclude that inequality (IV) is applicable to a larger class of operators than inequality (I).

I thank a referee for pointing out the additional references [7, 8, 12], the first for history and background on antieigenvalue theory, the second and third also treating the generalized eigenvalue problem, each with somewhat different perspective.
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