NOVI SAD J. MATH. Vol. 39, No. 1, 2009, 47-56

## ON A SUBCLASS OF UNIFORMLY CONVEX FUNCTIONS INVOLVING CHO-SRIVASTAVA OPERATOR

### G. Murugusundaramoorthy<sup>1</sup>, S. Sivasubramanian<sup>2</sup>, R. K. Raina<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract.** The authors introduce a new subclass  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  of functions which are analytic in the open disc  $\Delta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Various results studied include the coefficient estimates and distortion bounds, radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity and integral means inequalities for functions belonging to the above class. Relevances of the main results are also briefly indicated.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 30C45

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  Analytic function, Starlike function, Convex function, Uniformly convex function, Convolution product, Cho-Srivastava operator

## 1. Introduction and Motivations

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions of the form

(1) 
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let S be a subclass of A consisting of univalent functions in  $\Delta$ . By  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$ , respectively, we mean the classes of analytic functions that satisfy the analytic conditions

$$\Re\left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right) > \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad \Re\left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right) > \beta \quad (z \in \Delta)$$

for  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . In particular,  $S^* = S^*(0)$  and  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(0)$ , respectively, are the well-known standard classes of starlike and convex functions. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the subclass of S of functions of the form

(2) 
$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_n \ge 0),$$

 $<sup>^1{\</sup>rm School}$  of Science and Humanities, VIT University, Vellore-632 014, India, e-mail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, University College of Engineering, Tindivanam, Anna University-Chennai, Saram-604 307, India, e-mail: sivasaisastha@rediffmail.com

 $<sup>^{3}10/11,</sup>$ Ganpati Vihar, Opposite Sector 5, Udaipur 313002, Rajasthan, India, e-mail: <br/>rkraina\_7@hotmail.com

which are analytic in the open unit disc  $\Delta$ , introduced and studied in [10]. Analogously to the subclasses  $S^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{K}(\beta)$  of S, respectively, the subclasses of  $\mathcal{T}$  denoted by  $\mathcal{T}^*(\beta)$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\beta)$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , have also been investigated in [10]. For functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  given by (1) and  $g \in \mathcal{A}$  given by  $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , we define the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g by

(3) 
$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \quad (z \in \Delta).$$

Also, for functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , we recall the multiplier transformation  $I(\lambda, k)$  introduced by Cho and Srivastava [3] which is defined by

(4) 
$$I(\lambda,k)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Psi_n a_n z^n \quad (\lambda \ge 0; \ k \in \mathbb{Z}),$$

where

(5) 
$$\Psi_n := \left(\frac{n+\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^k$$

and, obviously it follows that

(6) 
$$z \left( I(\lambda, k) f(z) \right)' = (1+\lambda)I(\lambda, k+1) f(z) - \lambda I(\lambda, k) f(z)$$

In the special case when  $\lambda = 1$ , the operators I(1, k) were studied earlier by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [15]. It may be observed that the operators  $I(\lambda, k)$ are closely related to the multiplier transformations studied by Flett [4] and also to the differential and integral operators investigated by Sălăgean [8]. For comprehensive details of various convolution operators which are related to the multiplier transformations of Flett [4], one may refer to the paper of Li and Srivastava [5] (as well as the references cited by therein). For the purpose of this paper, we now define a unified class of analytic functions which is based on the Cho-Srivastava operator (1.4).

**Definition 1.** For  $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ , and for all  $z \in \Delta$ , we let the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  consist of functions  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  which satisfy the condition

(7) 
$$\Re\left(\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - \beta\right) > \alpha \left|\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} - 1\right|,$$

where

(8) 
$$F(z) := F_1(z) + F_2(z) + F_3(z),$$

and

(9) 
$$F_1(z) := \gamma \delta (1+\lambda)^2 I(\lambda, k+2) f(z),$$
  
(10)  $F_1(z) = (1+\lambda)^2 I(\lambda, k+2) f(z),$ 

(10) 
$$F_2(z) := \{\gamma - \delta - \gamma \delta(1+2\lambda)\} (1+\lambda) I(\lambda, k+1) f(z),$$

(11) 
$$F_3(z) := \{1 - (\lambda + 1)(\gamma - \delta - \gamma \delta \lambda)\} I(\lambda, k) f(z),$$

and  $I(\lambda, k)f(z)$  is the Cho-Srivastava operator defined by (4).

The function class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  unifies many well known classes of analytic univalent functions. To illustrate, we observe that the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, 0, 0)$  was studied by Kamali and Kadioglu [7] and the class  $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, 0, \gamma, 0, 0)$  was studied by Altintas in [1]. Also, many other classes including  $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{UH}(0, \beta, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  were investigated by Srivastava *et al.* [14]. We further note that the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, 0, \gamma, 0, 0)$  is the known class of  $\alpha$ -uniformly convex functions of order  $\beta$  studied by Aqlan *et al.* [2] (also see [13]).

In the present paper we obtain a characterization property giving coefficients estimates, distortion theorem and covering theorem, extreme points and radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity and integral means inequalities for functions belonging to the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ .

#### 2. Coefficient estimates and Distortion bounds

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  be given by (2), then  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  if and only if

(12) 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n a_n \leq 1-\beta,$$

where  $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . The result is sharp for the function

(13) 
$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\{n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\} \{(n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1\}} z^n (n \ge 2).$$

*Proof.* Following [2], we assert that  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  if and only if the condition (7) is satisfied and this is equivalent to

(14) 
$$\Re\left\{\frac{zF'(z)(1+\alpha e^{i\theta})-F(z)\alpha e^{i\theta}}{F(z)}\right\} > \beta \qquad (-\pi \leq \theta < \pi).$$

By putting  $G(z) = zF'(z)(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - F(z)\alpha e^{i\theta}$ , (14) is equivalent to

$$|G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| > |G(z) - (1 + \beta)F(z)| \ (0 \le \beta < 1),$$

where F(z) is as defined in (8). Simple computations readily give

$$|G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| \ge (2 - \beta)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) + 1 \right\} \right] (n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \Psi_n a_n |z|^n$$

and

$$|G(z) - (1+\beta)F(z)| \leq \beta |z| +$$
  
+ 
$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n a_n |z|^n.$$

It follows that

$$|G(z) + (1 - \beta)F(z)| - |G(z) - (1 + \beta)F(z)| \ge 2(1 - \beta)|z| - 2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right\} \left\{ (n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n a_n |z|^n \ge 0,$$

which implies that  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ .

On the other hand, for all  $-\pi \leq \theta < \pi$ , we assume that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)}(1+\alpha e^{i\theta})-\alpha e^{i\theta}\right\}>\beta.$$

Choosing the values of z on the positive real axis such that  $0 \leq |z| = r < 1$ , and using the fact that  $\Re\{-e^{i\theta}\} \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$ , the above inequality can be written as

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(1-\beta) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n a_n r^{n-1}}\right\} \ge 0,$$

which on letting  $r \to 1^-$  yields the desired inequality (2.1).

**Theorem 2.** If  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then

$$(1\mathbf{\tilde{a}}_{h} \leq \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_{n}} \ (n \geq 2),$$

where  $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Equality in (15) holds for the function

$$(1\mathfrak{G}(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\left\{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\right\}\left\{(n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1\right\}\right]\Psi_n} z^n.$$

It may be observed that for  $\lambda = k = \delta = \gamma - 1 = \alpha = 0$ , Theorem 1 corresponds to the following results due to Silverman [10].

**Corollary 1.** ([10]) If  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\beta)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n(n-\beta)a_n \leq 1-\beta.$$

**Corollary 2.** ([10]) If  $f \in \mathcal{K}(\beta)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{T}^*\left(\frac{2}{3-\beta}\right)$ . The result is sharp for the extremal function

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{2(2 - \beta)}z^2.$$

50

**Theorem 3.** If  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{T}^*(\eta)$ , where

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\left\{2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right\}\left\{2\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta + 1\right\}\right]\Psi_2 - (1 - \beta)}$$

This result is sharp with the extremal function given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\left\{2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right\}\left\{2\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta + 1\right\}\right]\Psi_2}z^2.$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show that (12) implies

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-\eta)a_n \leq 1-\eta.$$

In view of (2.4), we find that

(17)  
$$\frac{n-\eta}{1-\eta} \leq \frac{\left[\left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n}{1-\beta} \quad (n \geq 2).$$

For  $n \geq 2$ , (17) is equivalent to

$$\eta \leq 1 - \frac{(n-1)(1-\beta)}{\left[\left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n - (1-\beta)} = \Phi(n),$$

and since  $\Phi(n) \leq \Phi(2) (n \geq 2)$ , therefore, (17) holds true for any  $0 \leq \delta \leq \gamma \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $\alpha \geq 0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

The following results give the growth and distortion bounds for the class of functions  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  which can be established by adopting the well known methods of derivation and we omit the proof details.

**Theorem 4.** If  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then  $(z = re^{i\theta} \in \Delta)$ :

(18) 
$$r - B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda)r^2 \leq |f(z)| \leq r + B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda)r^2,$$

where

(19) 
$$B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda) := \frac{1-\beta}{\left[\left\{2(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\right\}\left\{2\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta + 1\right\}\right]\Psi_2}$$

and  $\Psi_2$  is given by (5)

**Theorem 5.** If  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then (|z| = r < 1):

(20)  $1 - B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda)r \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda)r$ , where  $B(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda)$  is given by (2.8).

The equality in Theorems 4 and 5 hold for the function given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[\left\{2(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\right\}\left\{2\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta + 1\right\}\right]\Psi_2}z^2$$

#### 3. Radii of close-to-convexity, starlikeness and convexity

The following results giving the radii of convexity, starlikeness and convexity for a function f to belong to the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  can be established by following similar lines of proof as given in [13] and [14]. We merely state here these results and omit their proof details.

**Theorem 6.** Let the function  $f \in \mathcal{T}$  be in the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then f(z) is close-to-convex of order  $\rho$   $(0 \leq \rho < 1)$  in  $|z| < r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ , where

$$r_1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho) = \\ = \inf_n \left[ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n}{n(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

for  $n \geq 2$  with  $\Psi_n$  defined as in (5). The result is sharp for the function f(z) given by (13).

**Theorem 7.** Let the function f(z) defined by (2) be in the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then f(z) is starlike of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) in  $|z| < r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ , where

$$r_2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho) =$$

$$= \inf_n \left[ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[ \{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n}{(n-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

for  $n \geq 2$  with  $\Psi_n$  defined as in (5). The result is sharp for the function f(z) given by (13).

**Theorem 8.** Let the function f(z) defined by (2) be in the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ , then f(z) is convex of order  $\rho$  ( $0 \leq \rho < 1$ ) in  $|z| < r_3(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho)$ , where

$$r_{3}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \rho) =$$

$$= \inf_{n} \left[ \frac{(1-\rho) \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_{n}}{n(n-\rho)(1-\beta)} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$$

for  $n \geq 2$  with  $\Psi_n$  defined as in (5). The result is sharp for the function f(z) given by (13).

# 4. Extreme points of the class $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$

**Theorem 9.** Let  $f_1(z) = z$  and

(21) 
$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[ \{n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta)\} \{(n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1\} \right] \Psi_n} z^n,$$

52

for  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Psi_n$  be as defined in (5). Then  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  if and only if it can be represented in the form

(22) 
$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z) \quad (\mu_n \ge 0), \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1.$$

*Proof.* Suppose f(z) is expressible in the form (22). Then

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \left\{ \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[ \left\{ n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \right\} \left\{ (n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n} \right\} z^n.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n \frac{\left[ \{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n(1-\beta)}{(1-\beta) \left[ \{n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta)\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n}$$
$$= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n = 1 - \mu_1 \leq 1,$$

which implies that  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . Conversely, suppose  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . Using (15), we may write

$$\mu_n = \frac{\left[ \{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \} \{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \} \right] \Psi_n}{1 - \beta} a_n \quad (n \ge 2)$$

and  $\mu_1 = 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_n$ . This gives  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z)$ , where  $f_n(z)$  is given by (21).

**Corollary 3.** The extreme points of  $f \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  are the functions  $f_1(z) = z$  and

$$f_n(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{\left[ \{ n(\alpha + 1) - (\alpha + \beta) \} \left\{ (n - 1)(n\gamma\delta + \gamma - \delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n} z^n \quad (n \ge 2).$$

**Theorem 10.** The class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  is a convex set.

*Proof.* Suppose the functions

(23) 
$$f_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (a_{n,j} \ge 0; \quad j = 1, 2)$$

be in the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . It sufficient to show that the function g(z) defined by

$$g(z) = \mu f_1(z) + (1 - \mu) f_2(z) \quad (0 \le \mu \le 1)$$

is in the class  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . Since

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [\mu a_{n,1} + (1-\mu)a_{n,2}]z^n,$$

and applying Theorem 1, we get

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[ \{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \} \left\{ ((n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n[\mu a_{n,1} + (1-\mu)a_{n,2}] \\ \leq \mu(1-\beta) + (1-\mu)(1-\beta) \leq 1-\beta,$$

which asserts that  $g \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  is convex.  $\Box$ 

## 5. Integral Means Inequalities

**Lemma 1.** ([6]) If the functions f and g are analytic in  $\Delta$  with  $g \prec f$ , then for  $\eta > 0$ , and 0 < r < 1:

(24) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| g(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta.$$

In [10], Silverman found that the function  $f_2(z) = z - \frac{z^2}{2}$  is often extremal over the family  $\mathcal{T}$ . He applied this function to obtain the following integral means inequality (which was conjectured in [11] and settled in [12]):

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| f_2(re^{i\theta}) \right|^{\eta} d\theta$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\eta > 0$  and 0 < r < 1. In [12], he also proved his conjecture for the subclasses  $T^*(\beta)$  and  $C(\beta)$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

In this section, we obtain integral means inequalities for the functions in the family  $\mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$ . By assigning appropriate values to the parameters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k$ , we can deduce various integral means inequalities for various known as well as new subclasses. We prove the following result.

**Theorem 11.** Suppose  $f(z) \in \mathcal{UH}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \lambda, k)$  and  $\eta > 0$ . If  $f_2(z)$  is defined by

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1-\beta}{\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\delta,\lambda,\gamma,k,2)} z^2,$$

where

$$\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\delta,\lambda,\gamma,k,2) = (2-\beta) \left[ \left\{ 2(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (2\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_2$$

54

$$\Psi_2 := \left(\frac{2+\lambda}{1+\lambda}\right)^k,$$

then for  $z = re^{i\theta}$  (0 < r < 1):

(26) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} |f(z)|^{\eta} d\theta \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} |f_{2}(z)|^{\eta} d\theta.$$

Proof. For

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^n,$$

(26) is equivalent to proving that

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} \right|^{\eta} d\theta \le \int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| 1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)}{\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\delta,\lambda,\gamma,k,2)} z \right|^{\eta} d\theta.$$

By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that

$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} \prec 1 - \frac{1 - \gamma}{\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda, \gamma, k, 2)} z.$$

Setting

(27) 
$$1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n| z^{n-1} = 1 - \frac{1-\gamma}{\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda, \gamma, k, 2)} w(z),$$

and using (12), we obtain

$$w(z)| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda, \gamma, k, n)}{1 - \gamma} |a_n| z^{n-1} \right|$$
$$\leq |z| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \lambda, \gamma, k, n)}{1 - \gamma} |a_n|$$
$$\leq |z|,$$

where

$$\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\delta,\lambda,\gamma,k,n) = \left[ \left\{ n(\alpha+1) - (\alpha+\beta) \right\} \left\{ (n-1)(n\gamma\delta+\gamma-\delta) + 1 \right\} \right] \Psi_n$$

and  $\Psi_n$  is given by (5). This completes the proof of Theorem 11.

Finally, we conclude this paper by remarking that by suitably specializing the values of the parameters  $\lambda, \ k, \delta, \gamma, and \ \alpha$  in the various results mentioned in this paper, we would be led to some interesting results including those which were obtained in [7], [9], [10] and [12].

#### References

- Altintas, O., On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients. Math. Japon. 36 (3) (1991), 1–7.
- [2] Aqlan, E., Jahangiri, J.M., Kulkarni, S. R., Classes of k-uniformly convex and starlike functions. Tamkang J. Math. 35 (3) (2004), 1–7.
- [3] Cho, N. E., Srivastava, H. M., Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations. Math. Comput. Modelling. 37 no. 1-2 (2003), 39–49.
- [4] Flett, T. M., The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 38 (1972), 746–765.
- [5] Li, J.-L., Srivastava, H.M., Some inclusion properties of the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha}(\beta)$ . Integral Transform. Spec. Funct., 8 no. 1-2 (1999), 57–64.
- [6] Littlewood, J. E., On inequalities in theory of functions. Proc. London Math. Soc. 23 (1925), 481–519.
- [7] Kamali, M., Kadioglu, E., On a new subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients. Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena. 48 (2000), 31–44.
- [8] Sălăgean, G. Ş., Subclasses of univalent functions in Complex Analysis. Fifth Romanian-Finnish Seminar, Part 1 (Bucharest, 1981), 362–372, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer, Berlin.
- [9] Shanmugam, T.N., Sivasubramanian, S., Kamali, M., On the unified class of k-uniformly convex functions associated with Sălăgean derivative. J. Approx. Theory and Appl. 1(2) (2005), 141–155.
- [10] Silverman, H., Univalent functions with negative coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1975), 109–116.
- [11] Silverman, H., A survey with open problems on univalent functions whose coefficients are negative. Rocky Mt. J. Math. 21 (1991), 1099–1125.
- [12] Silverman, H., Integral means for univalent functions with negative coefficients. Houston J. Math., 23 (1997), 169–174.
- [13] Srivastava, H.M., Owa, S., Chatterjea, S.K., A note on certain classes of starlike functions. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ Padova. 77 (1987), 115–124.
- [14] Srivastava, H.M., Saigo. M., Owa. S., A class of distortion theorems involving certain operator of fractional calculus. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 131 (1988), 412–420.
- [15] Uralegaddi, B. A., Somanatha, C., Certain classes of univalent functions, in Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory. (Eds. H.M.Srivastava and S.Owa), pp. 371–374, Singapore: World Scientific, 1992.

Received by the editors June 30, 2008