

## COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN QUASI-UNIFORMIZABLE SPACES

Tatjana Došenović<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper a common fixed point theorem in sequentially complete Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable spaces is proved. Using the additional condition for the quasi-metric  $d_\lambda$  the condition (2) of Theorem 3.92 in the paper [4] is improved. Since Menger space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , where  $\sup_{a < 1} T(a, a) = 1$  is a quasi-uniformizable space a corollaries on common fixed points in Menger spaces is obtained.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification* (2010): 54H25, 55M20, 47H10

*Key words and phrases:* t-norm, quasi-uniformizable space, common fixed point, Menger space

### 1. Introduction

D.H. Tan in [8] introduced the definition of quasi-uniformizable spaces. Using a similar method as in [4] (see also [2], [3] and [9]) we prove in this paper a common fixed point theorem for three mappings in sequentially complete Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable spaces. As a corollary we prove a common fixed point theorem in Menger spaces. Menger spaces (see [6]) are a generalization of the notion of a metric space  $(M, d)$  in which the distance  $d(p, q)$  ( $p, q \in M$ ) between  $p$  and  $q$  is replaced by a distribution function  $F_{p,q} \in \Delta^+$ .  $F_{p,q}(x)$  can be interpreted as the probability that the distance between  $p$  and  $q$  is less than  $x$ . Since then, the theory of probabilistic metric spaces has been developed in many directions ([4], [7]).

### 2. Preliminaries

D.H. Tan in [8] introduced the notion of quasi-uniformizable spaces.

**Definition 1.** Let  $S$  and  $I$  be arbitrary sets,  $g : I \rightarrow I$  and for every  $i \in I$ ,  $d_i : S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . The triplet  $(S, (d_i)_{i \in I}, g)$  is said to be a quasi-uniformizable space if for every  $x, y, z \in S$  and  $i \in I$  the following hold:

- a)  $d_i(x, y) \geq 0$ ,  $d_i(x, x) = 0$
- b)  $d_i(x, y) = d_i(y, x)$
- c)  $d_i(x, y) \leq d_{g(i)}(x, z) + d_{g(i)}(z, y)$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Technology, University of Novi Sad, Bulevar Cara Lazara 1, Novi Sad, Serbia, e-mail: tatjanad@tf.uns.ac.rs

A quasi-uniformizable space  $(S, (d_i)_{i \in I}, g)$  is Hausdorff if the relation  $d_i(x, y) = 0$ , for all  $i \in I$  implies that  $x = y$ . A Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable space  $(S, (d_i)_{i \in I}, g)$  becomes a Hausdorff topological space if the fundamental system of neighbourhoods of  $x \in S$  is given by the family  $\mathcal{U}_x = (B(x, \varepsilon, i))_{i \in I}^{\varepsilon > 0}$

$$B(x, \varepsilon, i) = \{y \mid y \in S, d_i(x, y) < \varepsilon\}, \quad i \in I, \varepsilon > 0.$$

Let  $\Delta^+$  be the set of all distribution functions  $F$  such that  $F(0) = 0$  ( $F$  is a nondecreasing, left continuous mapping from  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} F(x) = 1$ ).

The ordered pair  $(S, \mathcal{F})$  is said to be a probabilistic metric space if  $S$  is a nonempty set and  $\mathcal{F} : S \times S \rightarrow \Delta^+$  ( $\mathcal{F}(p, q)$  written by  $F_{p, q}$  for every  $(p, q) \in S \times S$ ) satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$  for every  $x > 0 \Rightarrow u = v$  ( $u, v \in S$ ).
2.  $F_{u, v} = F_{v, u}$  for every  $u, v \in S$ .
3.  $F_{u, v}(x) = 1$  and  $F_{v, w}(y) = 1 \Rightarrow F_{u, w}(x + y) = 1$  for  $u, v, w \in S$  and  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

A Menger space (see [7]) is an ordered triple  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , where  $(S, \mathcal{F})$  is a probabilistic metric space,  $T$  is a triangular norm (abbreviated t-norm), and the following inequality holds

$$F_{u, v}(x + y) \geq T(F_{u, w}(x), F_{w, v}(y)) \text{ for every } u, v, w \in S \text{ and every } x > 0, y > 0.$$

Recall that a mapping  $T : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a triangular norm (a t-norm) if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $T(a, 1) = a$  for every  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (ii)  $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$  for every  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ ,
- (iii)  $a \geq b, c \geq d \Rightarrow T(a, c) \geq T(b, d)$  ( $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$ ),
- (iv)  $T(a, T(b, c)) = T(T(a, b), c)$  ( $a, b, c \in [0, 1]$ ).

The following are the four basic t-norms:

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(x, y) &= \min(x, y) \\ T_P(x, y) &= x \cdot y \\ T_L(x, y) &= \max(x + y - 1, 0) \\ T_D(x, y) &= \begin{cases} \min(x, y) & \text{if } \max(x, y) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

As regards the pointwise ordering, we have the inequalities

$$T_D < T_L < T_P < T_M.$$

The  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -topology in  $S$  is introduced by the family of neighbourhoods  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_v(\epsilon, \lambda)\}_{(v, \epsilon, \lambda) \in S \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (0, 1)}$ , where

$$U_v(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{u; F_{u, v}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}.$$

If a t-norm  $T$  is such that  $\sup_{x < 1} T(x, x) = 1$ , then  $S$  is in the  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$ -topology a metrizable topological space.

In [1], the following class of t-norms is introduced, which is useful in the fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces.

Let  $T$  be a t-norm and  $T_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is defined in the following way:

$$T_1(x) = T(x, x), \quad T_{n+1}(x) = T(T_n(x), x) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in [0, 1]).$$

We say that t-norm  $T$  is of the  $H$ -type if  $T$  is continuous and the family  $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous at  $x = 1$ .

The family  $\{T_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is equicontinuous at  $x = 1$ , if for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\delta(\lambda) \in (0, 1)$  such that the following implication holds:

$$x > 1 - \delta(\lambda) \Rightarrow T_n(x) > 1 - \lambda, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A trivial example of t-norms of  $H$ -type is  $T = T_M$ . A nontrivial example is given in the paper [1].

Each t-norm  $T$  can be extended (by associativity) (see [5]) in a unique way to an  $n$ -ary operation taking for  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$  the values

$$\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^0 x_i = 1, \quad \mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i = T(\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i, x_n).$$

We can extend  $T$  to a countable infinitary operation taking for any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from  $[0, 1]$  the values

$$\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^\infty x_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Limit of the right side exists since the sequence  $(\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^n x_i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is nonincreasing and bounded from below.

In [4], the following lemma is given.

**Lemma 1.** *A Menger space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  such that  $\sup_{x < 1} T(x, x) = 1$  is a quasi-uniformizable space  $(S, (d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in J}, g)$ , where  $J = (0, 1)$ ,  $g : J \rightarrow J$ ,*

$$(1) \quad d_\lambda(x, y) = \sup\{s \mid F_{x,y}(s) \leq 1 - \lambda\}, \quad \lambda \in J, x, y \in S.$$

Every locally convex space  $(X, (p_i)_{i \in I})$ , where  $(p_i)_{i \in I}$  is the family of seminorms on  $X$ , is a quasi-uniformizable space, where  $g : I \rightarrow I$  is defined by  $g(i) = i$ , for every  $i \in I$ .

### 3. Common fixed point theorem

In this paper we prove a common fixed point theorem in some special class of quasi-uniformizable spaces. This theorem is a generalization of a theorem proved in [4].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a Menger space and  $T$  be a  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type. Then  $(S, (d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in J}, g)$ ,  $J = (0, 1)$  is a quasi-uniformizable space such that for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$

$$(2) \quad d_\alpha(x_1, x_n) \leq d_\beta(x_1, x_2) + d_\beta(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d_\beta(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Since  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm of  $H$ -type for a given  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\mathbf{T}_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 - \beta) > 1 - \alpha$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

In order to prove (2) we shall suppose that  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} d_\beta(x_1, x_2) &< \alpha_1 \\ d_\beta(x_2, x_3) &< \alpha_2 \\ &\dots \\ d_\beta(x_{n-1}, x_n) &< \alpha_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to prove  $d_\alpha(x_1, x_n) < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}$ .

From (3) it follows

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{x_1, x_2}(\alpha_1) &> 1 - \beta \\ F_{x_2, x_3}(\alpha_2) &> 1 - \beta \\ &\dots \\ F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\alpha_{n-1}) &> 1 - \beta \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_1, x_n}(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}) &\geq T(F_{x_1, x_2}(\alpha_1), F_{x_2, x_n}(\alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1})) \\ &\geq \underbrace{T(T(\dots T(F_{x_1, x_2}(\alpha_1), \dots (F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\alpha_{n-1}))))}_{(n-2)\text{-time}} \\ &\geq \underbrace{T(T(\dots T(1 - \beta, 1 - \beta) \dots, 1 - \beta))}_{(n-2)\text{-time}} \\ &= \mathbf{T}_{i=1}^{n-1} 1 - \beta \\ &> 1 - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

so  $d_\alpha(x_1, x_n) < \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1}$  i.e. (2) holds.  $\square$

**Definition 2.** Let  $(S, (d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in I}, f)$  be a sequentially complete Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable space. We say that the space satisfies condition (\*), if for a given  $\alpha \in I$  there exists  $\beta \in I$  such that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$

$$(*) \quad d_\alpha(x_1, x_n) \leq d_\beta(x_1, x_2) + d_\beta(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d_\beta(x_{n-1}, x_n).$$

We observe that, a Menger space  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$ , where  $T$  is a t-norm of H-type, satisfies the condition (\*).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(S, (d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in I}, f)$  be a sequentially complete Hausdorff quasi-uniformizable space, family  $(d_\lambda)_{\lambda \in I}$  satisfies the condition (2),  $f : I \rightarrow I$ ,  $L_1, L_2 : S \rightarrow S$  continuous mapping,  $L : S \rightarrow L_1S \cap L_2S$  a continuous mapping which commutes with  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , and the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) *for every  $i \in I$  there exists  $q_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$  which is a non-decreasing function, for which  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(t) < 1$ , for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and every  $i \in I$  and*

$$d_i(Lx, Ly) \leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(L_1x, L_2y)) \cdot d_{f(i)}(L_1x, L_2y) \text{ for every } x, y \in S.$$

(ii) *there exists  $x_0 \in S$  such that for every  $i \in I$*

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(Lx_0, Lx_1) \leq K_i \quad (K_i \in \mathbb{R}^+)$$

where  $O(i, f) = \{f^n(i) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , and the sequence  $(x_p)_{p \in \mathbb{N}}$  is defined by

$$L_1x_{2n-1} = Lx_{2n-2} \text{ and } L_2x_{2n} = Lx_{2n-1}, (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then there exists  $z \in S$  such that  $Lz = L_1z = L_2z$ .

If for every  $i \in I$

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(L^3x_1, L^2x_0) \leq M_i, \quad (M_i \in \mathbb{R}^+),$$

then  $Lz$  is a common fixed point for  $L, L_1$  and  $L_2$ , and  $Lz$  is the unique common fixed point for  $L, L_1, L_2$  in the set

$$\{u \mid u \in S, (\forall i \in I), (\exists V_i \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(Lz, u) \leq V_i)\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be such sequence from  $S$  that

$$L_2x_{2k} = Lx_{2k-1} \text{ and } L_1x_{2k-1} = Lx_{2k-2}, \text{ for every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will prove that  $(Lx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence which means that for every  $i \in I$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n(\varepsilon, i) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$(5) \quad d_i(Lx_n, Lx_{n+p}) < \varepsilon, \text{ for every } n \geq n(\varepsilon, i), \text{ and every } p \in \mathbb{N}$$

In order to prove (5), we will estimate

$$d_i(Lx_{2k}, Lx_{2k-1}) \text{ and } d_i(Lx_{2k+1}, Lx_{2k}), \text{ for every } i \in I \text{ and every } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From condition (i) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i(Lx_{2k}, Lx_{2k-1}) &\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(L_2x_{2k}, L_1x_{2k-1})) \cdot d_{f(i)}(L_2x_{2k}, L_1x_{2k-1}) \\
&= q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lx_{2k-1}, Lx_{2k-2})) \cdot d_{f(i)}(Lx_{2k-1}, Lx_{2k-2}) \\
&\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lx_{2k-1}, Lx_{2k-2})) \cdot q_{f(i)}(d_{f^2(i)}(L_1x_{2k-1}, L_2x_{2k-2})) \\
&\quad \cdot (d_{f^2(i)}(L_1x_{2k-1}, L_2x_{2k-2})) \\
&\dots \\
&\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lx_{2k-1}, Lx_{2k-2})) \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{2k-3} q_{f^{s+1}(i)}(d_{f^{s+2}(i)}(Lx_{2k-s-1}, Lx_{2k-s-2})) \cdot d_{f^{2k-1}(i)}(Lx_1, Lx_0)
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i(Lx_{2k+1}, Lx_{2k}) &\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lx_{2k}, Lx_{2k-1})) \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{s=0}^{2k-2} q_{f^{s+1}(i)}(d_{f^{s+2}(i)}(Lx_{2k-s-1}, Lx_{2k-s-2})) \\
&\quad \cdot d_{f^{2k}(i)}(Lx_1, Lx_0)
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $q_i(t) < 1$  for every  $i \in I$  and every  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , it follows that for every  $i \in I$  and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$d_j(Lx_n, Lx_{n-1}) \leq K_i,$$

for every  $j \in O(i, f)$  and therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i(Lx_{2k}, Lx_{2k-1}) &\leq \prod_{s=0}^{2k-2} q_{f^s(i)}(K_i) K_i \\
d_i(Lx_{2k+1}, Lx_{2k}) &\leq \prod_{s=0}^{2k-1} q_{f^s(i)}(K_i) K_i
\end{aligned}$$

where  $f^0(i) = i$  for every  $i \in I$ .

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(K_i) \leq Q_i < 1$ , for all  $i \in I$  there exists  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for some  $S_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$  ( $i \in I$ ) it follows

$$d_i(Lx_n, Lx_{n-1}) \leq S_i Q_i^n,$$

for every  $i \in I$ .

From Lemma 2, for every  $i \in I$  there exists  $j \in I$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
d_i(Lx_n, Lx_{n+p}) &\leq d_j(Lx_n, Lx_{n+1}) + d_j(Lx_{n+1}, Lx_{n+2}) + \dots \\
&\quad \dots + d_j(Lx_{n+p-1}, Lx_{n+p}) \\
&\leq S_j \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} Q_j^i.
\end{aligned}$$

From the condition  $Q_j < 1$ , ( $j \in I$ ), it follows that the sequence  $(Lx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lx_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Lx_{2n}.$$

Since  $L$  and  $L_1$  are continuous functions, we have

$$L_1z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_1Lx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} LL_1x_{2n+1} = Lz$$

and similarly  $L_2z = Lz$ .

We are going to prove that  $Lz$  is a common fixed point for the mappings  $L$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  if

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(L^3x_1, L^2x_0) \leq M_i$$

for all  $i \in I$ .

First we shall prove that for every  $i \in I$

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(L^2z, Lz) \leq M_i.$$

Since

$$d_j(L^2z, Lz) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_j(L^2Lx_{2n+1}, LLx_{2n}),$$

it is enough to prove that for every  $i \in I$

$$d_j(L^3x_{2n+1}, L^2x_{2n}) \leq M_i \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } j \in O(i, f).$$

The pairs  $L, L_1$  and  $L, L_2$  commute, and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & d_j(L^3x_{2n+1}, L^2x_{2n}) \\ & \leq q_j(d_{f(j)}(L_2(Lx_{2n}), L_1(L^2x_{2n+1})))d_{f(j)}(L_2(Lx_{2n}), L_1(L^2x_{2n+1})) \\ & = q_j(d_{f(j)}(L(L_2x_{2n}), L^2(L_1x_{2n+1})))d_{f(j)}(L(L_2x_{2n}), L^2(L_1x_{2n+1})) \\ & = q_j(d_{f(j)}(LLx_{2n-1}, L^2Lx_{2n}))d_{f(j)}(LLx_{2n-1}, L^2Lx_{2n}) \\ & = q_j(d_{f(j)}(L^2x_{2n-1}, L^3x_{2n}))d_{f(j)}(L^2x_{2n-1}, L^3x_{2n}) \\ & \leq d_{f(j)}(L^2x_{2n-1}, L^3x_{2n}) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \leq d_{f(j)}(L^3x_1, L^2x_0) \\ & \leq M_i \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  since  $f^{2n}(j) \in O(i, f)$ .

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(L^2z, Lz) & \leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(L_1Lz, L_2z)) \cdot d_{f(i)}(L_1Lz, L_2z) \\ & = q_i(d_{f(i)}(L^2z, Lz)) \cdot d_{f(i)}(L^2z, Lz) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & \leq q_i(M_i)q_{f(i)}(M_i) \dots q_{f^n(i)}(M_i)M_i \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i \in I$ .

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(M_i) < 1$  it follows that  $d_i(L^2z, Lz) = 0$  for every  $i \in I$ , which implies that  $Lz = L^2z$ .

Hence, from  $Lz = L_1z = L_2z$  it follows that

$$L^2z = LL_1z = L_1Lz = L_2Lz = Lz$$

which means that  $Lz$  is a common fixed point for the mappings  $L$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ .

Suppose that  $y = Ly = L_1y = L_2y$  and for every  $i \in I$

$$\sup_{j \in O(i, f)} d_j(Lz, y) \leq V_i \quad (V_i \in \mathbb{R}^+).$$

We prove that  $y = Lz$ . For every  $i \in I$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_i(Lz, y) &= d_i(L(Lz), Ly) \\ &\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(L_1(Lz), L_2y)) \cdot d_{f(i)}(L_1(Lz), L_2y) \\ &= q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lz, y)) \cdot d_{f(i)}(Lz, y) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq q_i(d_{f(i)}(Lz, y)) \cdots q_{f^n(i)}(d_{f^{n+1}(i)}(Lz, y)) \cdot d_{f^{n+1}(i)}(Lz, y) \\ &\leq q_i(V_i)q_{f(i)}(V_i) \cdots q_{f^n(i)}(V_i)V_i \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(i)}(V_i) < 1$  it follows that  $d_i(Lz, y) = 0$  for every  $i \in I$ , which means that  $y = Lz$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{F}, T)$  be a complete Menger space and  $t$ -norm  $T$  is of  $H$ -type,  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$ ,  $L_1, L_2 : S \rightarrow S$  continuous mappings,  $L : S \rightarrow L_1S \cap L_2S$  a continuous mapping which commutes with  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , and the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) *for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a right continuous, non-decreasing mapping*

$$q_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1) \text{ for which } \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{f^n(\lambda)}(t) < 1 \text{ for every } t \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

*and for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , every  $r > 0$  and every  $x, y \in S$*

$$(6) \quad F_{L_1x, L_2y}(r) > 1 - f(\lambda) \Rightarrow F_{Lx, Ly}(q_\lambda(r)r) > 1 - \lambda.$$

(b) *there exists  $x_0 \in S$  such that for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , there exists  $K_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$F_{Lx_0, Lx_1}(K_\lambda) > 1 - f^n(\lambda)$$

*where the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is defined by*

$$L_1x_{2n-1} = Lx_{2n-2} \text{ and } L_2x_{2n} = Lx_{2n-1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

*Then, there exists  $z \in S$  such that*

$$Lz = L_1z = L_2z.$$



If, in addition, for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $M_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$F_{L^3x_1, L^2x_0}(M_\lambda) > 1 - f^n(\lambda),$$

then,  $Lz$  is a common fixed point for the mapping  $L$ ,  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ , which is the unique common fixed point in the set

$$\{u \mid u \in S, (\forall \lambda \in (0, 1)) (\exists P_\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (F_{Lz, u}(P_\lambda) > 1 - f^n(\lambda))\}.$$

*Proof.* We have only to prove that condition (6) implies that for every  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and every  $x, y \in S$

$$d_\lambda(Lx, Ly) \leq q_\lambda(d_{f(\lambda)}(L_1x, L_2y)) \cdot d_{f(\lambda)}(L_1x, L_2y) \quad (7)$$

Suppose that  $d_{f(\lambda)}(L_1x, L_2y) < r$ . Then,  $F_{L_1x, L_2y}(r) > 1 - f(\lambda)$  and by (6) we obtain that  $F_{Lx, Ly}(q_\lambda(r)r) > 1 - \lambda$ . Hence,  $d_\lambda(Lx, Ly) < q_\lambda(r)r$  and since  $q_\lambda$  is right-continuous, the inequality (7) holds.

From Lemma 2 it follows that for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  there exists  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  such that for every  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$

$$d_\alpha(x_1, x_n) \leq d_\beta(x_1, x_2) + d_\beta(x_2, x_3) + \dots + d_\beta(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$

and all conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied.  $\square$

## Acknowledgment

The work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Serbia under grants 174009.

## References

- [1] Hadžić O., A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces. *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd T* 20 (1979), 107–112.
- [2] Hadžić O., On a common fixed point in quasi-uniformizable spaces. *Review of Research Faculty of Science-University of Novi Sad*, Vol. 13 (1983), 31–39.
- [3] Hadžić O., On Common Fixed Points in Uniformizable Spaces. *Anal. Num. Appr.*, 12, 1(1983), 45–54.
- [4] Hadžić O., Pap E., *Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces. Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [5] Klement E.P., Mesiar R., Pap E., *Triangular Norms*, Trends in Logic 8. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [6] Menger K., Statistical metric. *Proc. Nat. Acad. U.S.A.*, 28 (1942), 535–537.
- [7] Schweizer B., Sklar A., *Probabilistic metric spaces*. New York: Elsevier North-Holland, 1983.
- [8] Tan D.H., On the contraction principle in uniformizable spaces, *Acta Mathematica Vietnamica*, Tom 5 (1980), 88–99.
- [9] Žikić T., Existence of fixed point in fuzzy structures, PhD Thesis 2002., University of N. Sad, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics.

*Received by the editors December 18, 2010*