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#### Abstract

We establish some inequalities for invariant submanifolds involving totally real sectional curvature and the scalar curvature in a quaternion space form. The equality cases are also discussed.
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## 1. Introduction

Perhaps one of the most significant aspects of submanifold theory is that which deals with the relations between the main extrinsic invariants and the main intrinsic invariants of a submanifold. B.Y. Chen [3] introduced a series of invariants on a Kaehler manifold and established several general inequalities involving these invariants for Kaehler submanifolds in complex space forms. In [5] authors established similar inequalities for invariant submanifolds in locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds. In the present paper, we study invariant submanifolds in a quaternion space form.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $\tilde{M}$ be a $4 m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with metric tensor $g$. Then $\tilde{M}$ is said to be a quaternion Kaehlerian manifold, if there exists a 3dimensional vector bundle $E$ consisting of tensors of type $(1,1)$ with local basis of almost Hermitian structures $J_{1}, J_{2}$ and $J_{3}$ such that [I]

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{1}^{2}=-I, J_{2}^{2}=-I, J_{3}^{2}=-I \\
& J_{1} J_{2}=-J_{2} J_{1}=J_{3}, J_{2} J_{3}=-J_{3} J_{2}=J_{1}, J_{3} J_{1}=-J_{1} J_{3}=J_{2} \tag{a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity tensor field of type $(1,1)$ on $\tilde{M}$.
(b) for any local cross-section $J$ of $E$ and any vector $X$ tangent to $\tilde{M}, \tilde{\nabla}_{X} J$ is also a local cross-section of $E$, where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection on $\tilde{M}$.

The condition (b) is equivalent to the following condition:

[^0](c) there exist the local 1-forms $p, q$ and $r$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{X} J_{1}=r(X) J_{2}-q(X) J_{3}, \\
& \tilde{\nabla}_{X} J_{2}=-r(X) J_{1}+p(X) J_{3} \\
& \tilde{\nabla}_{X} J_{3}=q(X) J_{1}-p(X) J_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Now, let $X$ be a unit vector tangent to the quaternion manifold $\tilde{M}$, then $X, J_{1} X, J_{2} X$ and $J_{3} X$ form an orthonormal frame. We denote by $Q(X)$ the 4-plane spanned by them and call $Q(X)$ the quaternion section determined by $X$. For any orthonormal vectors $X, Y$ tangent to $\tilde{M}$, the plane $X \wedge Y$ spanned by $X, Y$ is said to be totally real if $Q(X)$ and $Q(Y)$ are orthogonal. Any plane in a quaternion section is called a quaternion plane. The sectional curvature of a quaternion plane is called a quaternion sectional curvature. A quaternion manifold is called a quaternion space form if its quaternion sectional curvatures are equal to a constant.

Let $\tilde{M}(c)$ be a $4 m$-dimensional quaternion space form of constant quaternion sectional curvature $c$. The curvature tensor of $\tilde{M}(c)$ has the following expression [4]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \frac{c}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y  \tag{2.1}\\
& +g\left(J_{1} Y, Z\right) J_{1} X-g\left(J_{1} X, Z\right) J_{1} Y+2 g\left(X, J_{1} Y\right) J_{1} Z \\
& +g\left(J_{2} Y, Z\right) J_{2} X-g\left(J_{2} X, Z\right) J_{2} Y+2 g\left(X, J_{2} Y\right) J_{2} Z \\
& \left.+g\left(J_{3} Y, Z\right) J_{3} X-g\left(J_{3} X, Z\right) J_{3} Y+2 g\left(X, J_{3} Y\right) J_{3} Z\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $\tilde{M}$. The equation (Z.I) can be written as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \frac{c}{4}\{g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[g\left(J_{i} Y, Z\right) J_{i} X-g\left(J_{i} X, Z\right) J_{i} Y+2 g\left(X, J_{i} Y\right) J_{i} Z\right]\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $\tilde{M}$.
Let $M$ be an n-dimensional submanifold of a 4 m -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. For each $\pi \subset T_{p} M, p \in M$, we denote $K(\pi)$ the sectional curvature of the plane section $\pi$. Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_{p} M$. Then, the scalar curvature $\tau$ of $M$ is defined by [3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\sum_{i<j} K\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \quad i, j=1, \ldots \ldots, n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)$ is the sectional curvature of the section spanned by $e_{i}$ and $e_{j}$.
A plane section $\pi \subset T_{p} M$ is called totally real if $J_{i} \pi, i=1,2,3$ is perpendicular to $\pi$. For each real number $k$ we define an invariant $\delta_{k}^{r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}^{r}(p)=\tau(p)-k \inf K^{r}(p), \quad p \in M \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\inf K^{r}(p)=\inf _{\pi^{r}}\left\{K\left(\pi^{r}\right)\right\}$ and $\pi^{r}$ runs over all totally real plane sections in $T_{p} M$ [3].

The first Chen invariant can be introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{M}(p)=\tau(p)-(\inf K)(p) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be a subspace of $T_{p} M$ of $\operatorname{dim} l \geq 2$ and $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots . ., e_{l}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis of $L$. Then, the scalar curvature $\tau(L)$ of the $l$-plane section $L$, by [7], is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(L)=\sum_{\alpha<\beta} K\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right), \quad \alpha, \beta=1, \ldots ., l . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots . ., e_{n}\right\}$ of the tangent space $T_{p} M$, denote $\tau_{1, \ldots \ldots, l}$ the scalar curvature of the $l$-plane section spanned by $e_{1}, \ldots ., e_{l}$. The scalar curvature $\tau(p)$ of $M$ at $p$ is nothing but the scalar curvature of the tangent space of $M$ at $p$ and if $L$ is a 2 -plane section, $\tau(L)$ is nothing but the sectional curvature $K(L)$ of $L$.

Now, for an integer $k \geq 0$, denote by $S(n, k)$ the finite set which consists of $k$-tuples $\left(n_{1}, \ldots . ., n_{k}\right)$ of integers $\geq 2$ satisfying $n_{1}<n$ and $n_{1}+\ldots . .+n_{k} \leq n$. Let denote by $S(n)$ the set of $k$-tuples with $k \geq 0$ for fixed $n$. For each $k$-tuples $\left(n_{1}, \ldots ., n_{k}\right) \in S(n)$, a Riemannian invariant is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(n_{1}, \ldots ., n_{k}\right)=\tau(p)-S\left(n_{1}, \ldots ., n_{k}\right)(p), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(n_{1}, \ldots ., n_{k}\right)=\inf \left\{\tau\left(L_{1}\right)+\ldots .+\tau\left(L_{k}\right)\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L_{1}, \ldots ., L_{k}$ run over all $k$ mutually orthogonal subspaces of $T_{p} M$ such that $\operatorname{dim} L_{j}=n_{j}, j=1, \ldots . ., k$.

This invariant is different from ours $\delta_{k}^{r}(p)$ and it was studied in $[8]$ for certain submanifolds of quaternionic space forms.

For a submanifold $M$ in a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$, we denote by $g$ the metric tensor of $\tilde{M}(c)$ as well as that induced on $M$. Let $\nabla$ be the induced covariant differentiation on $M$. The Gauss and Weingarten formulae for $M$ are given respectively by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$ and any vector field $V$ normal to $M$, where $h, A_{V}$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ are the second fundamental form, the shape operator in the direction of $V$ and the normal connection, respectively. The second fundamental form and the shape operator are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(h(X, Y), V)=g\left(A_{V} X, Y\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the second fundamental form $h$, we define the covariant differentiation $\tilde{\nabla}$ with respect to the connection in $T M \oplus T^{\perp} M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)=\nabla_{X}^{\perp} h(Y, Z)-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $M$.
The Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations of $M$ are given by [ $Z]$

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y, Z, W)= & \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W)+g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z))  \tag{2.13}\\
& -g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W)) \\
(R(X, Y), Z)^{\perp}= & \left(\tilde{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)-\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{Y} h\right)(X, Z),  \tag{2.14}\\
\tilde{R}(X, Y, V, \eta)= & R^{\perp}(X, Y, V, \eta)-g\left(\left[A_{V}, A_{\eta}\right] X, Y\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y, Z, W$ tangent to $M$ and $V, \eta$ normal to $M$, where $R$ and are $R^{\perp}$ are the curvature tensors with respect to $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ respectively.

The mean curvature vector $H(p)$ at $p$ of $M$ is defined by [6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ denotes the dimension of $M$. If, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X, Y)=\lambda g(X, Y) H \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ tangent to $M$, then $M$ is called totally umbilical submanifold. In particular, if $h=0$ identically, $M$ is called a totally geodesic submanifold.

Also, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right), i, j \in\{1, \ldots . ., n\}, r \in\{n+1, \ldots . ., 4 m\}, \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

A submanifold $M$ is said to be an invariant submanifold of a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ if $J_{i}\left(T_{p} M\right) \subseteq T_{p} M, \quad i=1,2,3, p \in M$.

We assume that $\operatorname{dim} M=n$, where $n=4 d$.

## 3. Totally real sectional curvature for invariant submanifolds

Let $M(n \geq 2)$ be an invariant submanifold of a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. We choose an orthonormal basis

$$
\left\{e_{1}, \ldots . ., e_{d}, \bar{e}_{1}=J_{i} e_{1}, \ldots . ., \bar{e}_{d}=J_{i} e_{d}\right\}, i=1,2,3
$$

for $T_{p} M$ and an orthonormal basis

$$
\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \alpha_{(m-d)}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}=J_{i} \alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \bar{\alpha}_{(m-d)}=J_{i} \alpha_{(m-d)}\right\}, i=1,2,3
$$

for $T_{p}^{\perp} M$. Then with respect to such an orthonormal frame, the complex structure $J_{i}, i=1,2,3$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{1} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -I_{d} & 0 & 0 \\
I_{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{d} \\
0 & 0 & I_{d} & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
J_{2} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -I_{d} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{d} \\
I_{d} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & I_{d} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),  \tag{3.1}\\
J_{3} & =\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -I_{d} \\
0 & 0 & -I_{d} & 0 \\
0 & I_{d} & 0 & 0 \\
I_{d} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{d}$ denotes an identity matrix of degree $d$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M(n \geq 2)$ be an invariant submanifold in a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
K(X, Y)+K\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)= & \frac{1}{4}\left\{H\left(X+J_{i} Y\right)+H\left(X-J_{i} Y\right)\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
& +H(X+Y)+H(X-Y)-H(X)-H(Y)\}, \\
& i=1,2,3
\end{align*}
$$

for all orthonormal vectors $X$ and $Y$ with $g\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)=0, i=1,2,3$.
Proof. For each point $p \in M$ and any orthonormal unit tangent vectors $X$ and $Y$, with $g\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)=0, i=1,2,3$, from equation ( $\Sigma .2$ ) and the Gauss equation ([2.13), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
K(X, Y)+K\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)=\frac{c}{2}-2\|h(X, Y)\|^{2}  \tag{3.3}\\
H(X)+H(Y)=2 c-2\|h(X, X)\|^{2}-2\|h(Y, Y)\|^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Lemma follows from (3.3) and (3.4).
Theorem 3.2. Let $M(n \geq 2)$ be an invariant submanifold in a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf K^{r}(p) \leq \frac{c}{4}, \quad p \in M \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (3.5) holds at $p \in M$ if and only if $p$ is a totally geodesic point.

Proof. For each non-zero tangent vector $X$ to $M$ we denote by $H(X)$ the holomorphic sectional curvature of $X$ i.e., $H(X)$ is the sectional curvature of the plane section spanned by $X$ and $J_{i} X, i=1,2,3$.

Let $D^{\prime} M$ denote the unit sphere bundle of $M$ consisting of all unit tangent vectors on $M$. For each $p \in M$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\prime}(p)=\left\{X \in T_{p} M \mid g(X, X)=1\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{p}=\left\{(X, Y) \mid X, Y \in D^{\prime}(p), g(X, Y)=g\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)=0, i=1,2,3\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $U_{p}$ is a closed subset of $D^{\prime}(p) \times D^{\prime}(p)$. It can be easily seen that if $\{X, Y\}$ spans a totally real plane section, then $\left\{X+J_{i} Y, X-J_{i} Y\right\}$ for $i=1,2,3$ and $\{X+Y, X-Y\}$ also span totally real plane sections.

Now, define a function $H^{\prime}: U_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\prime}(X, Y)=H(X)+H(Y), \quad(X, Y) \in U_{p} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \in U_{p}$, such that $H^{\prime}(X, Y)$ attains an absolute maximum value.

From (B.2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})+K\left(\bar{X}, J_{i} \bar{Y}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} H^{\prime}(X, Y), \quad i=1,2,3 . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for each unit tangent vector $X \in D^{\prime}(p)$, it is easy to see that every holomorphic sectional curvature $H(X)$ of a submanifold $M$ in a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(X) \leq c \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (B..Y) and (B.IC), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(\bar{X}, \bar{Y})+K\left(\bar{X}, J_{i} \bar{Y}\right) \leq \frac{c}{2}, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (3.5).
Now, if the equality case in (3.5) holds identically on $M$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(X, Y)+K\left(X, J_{i} Y\right) \geq 2 \inf K^{r}=\frac{c}{2} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, from (3.3) and (3.22), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X, Y)=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in D^{\prime}(p)$ with $g(X, Y)=g\left(X, J_{i} Y\right)=0, \quad i=1,2,3$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(X+J_{i} Y, X-J_{i} Y\right)=0, \quad h(X+Y, X-Y)=0, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

this implies that $h(X, X)=0$.

Since every tangent vector must lie in a totally real plane section of $T_{p} M$, we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(X, Y)=0, \text { for all } X, Y \in T_{p} M \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently the equality of (5.5) implies that $p$ must be a totally geodesic point.

The converse is straightforward.
Theorem 3.3. Let $M$ be an n-dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) invariant submanifold in a 4 -dimensional quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$. Then
(i) for each $k \in(-\infty, 4], \delta_{k}^{r}(p)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}^{r}(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-k \frac{c}{4}, \quad p \in M \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}^{r}(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-k \frac{c}{4}, \quad p \in M \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for some $k \in(-\infty, 4)$ if and only if $p$ is a totally geodesic point.
(iii) The invariant submanifold $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{4}^{r}(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-c, \quad p \in M, \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if there exists an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots . ., e_{d}, \bar{e}_{1}=J_{i} e_{1}, \ldots ., \bar{e}_{d}=\right.$ $\left.J_{i} e_{d}\right\}, i=1,2,3$ for $T_{p} M$ and an orthonormal basis $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \alpha_{(m-d)}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}=\right.$ $\left.J_{i} \alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \bar{\alpha}_{(m-d)}=J_{i} \alpha_{(m-d)}\right\}$,
$i=1,2,3$ for $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that the shape operator of $M$ takes the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\alpha_{r}} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & -A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\bar{\alpha}_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & 0 \\
A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
h_{11}^{r} & h_{12}^{r} & 0 \\
h_{12}^{r} & -h_{11}^{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{h}_{11}^{r} & \bar{h}_{12}^{r} & 0 \\
\bar{h}_{12} & -\bar{h}_{11}^{r} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $r \in\{n+1, \ldots . .4 m\}$.
Proof. Since an invariant submanifold $M(n \geq 2)$ of a quaternion space form $\tilde{M}(c)$ is minimal, from the Gauss equation ([2.] ]) and ( $\overline{2.2})$ ), the scalar curvature $\tau$ and the second fundamental form $h$ at $p$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \tau(p)=\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-\|h\|^{2}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the equality holding if and only if $p$ is a totally geodesic point.
Now, we suppose that $\pi \subset T_{p} M$ is a given totally real plane section. We choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{d}, \bar{e}_{1}=J_{i} e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, \bar{e}_{d}=J_{i} e_{d}\right\}, \quad i=1,2,3$ for $T_{p} M$ and an orthonormal basis $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots \ldots, \alpha_{(m-d)}, \bar{\alpha}_{1}=J_{i} \alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \bar{\alpha}_{(m-d)}=\right.$ $\left.J_{i} \alpha_{(m-d)}\right\}, \quad i=1,2,3$ for $T_{p}^{\perp} M$ such that $\pi=\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$.

With respect to such a basis, we have
$A_{\alpha_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\ A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & -A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\bar{\alpha}_{r}}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & 0 \\ A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime} & A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), r \in\{n+1, \ldots ., 4 m\}$,
where $A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime}$ and $A_{\alpha_{r}}^{\prime \prime}$ are $d \times d$ matrices. By (3.21) and (3.23), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -2 \tau(p)+\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4} \\
& \geq 4 \sum_{r=n+1}^{4 m}\left\{\left(h_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(h_{22}^{r}\right)^{2}+2\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\bar{h}_{11}^{r}\right)^{2}+\left(\bar{h}_{22}^{r}\right)^{2}+2\left(\bar{h}_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& \geq-8 \sum_{r=n+1}^{4 m}\left\{h_{11}^{r} h_{22}^{r}-\left(h_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}+\bar{h}_{11}^{r} \bar{h}_{22}^{r}-\left(\bar{h}_{12}^{r}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =-8\left\{g\left(h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right), h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)\right)-\left\|h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
& =-8\left(K(\pi)-\frac{c}{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(p)-4 K(\pi) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-c \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality holding if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{11}^{r}+h_{22}^{r}=0, h_{1 j}^{r}=h_{2 j}^{r}=h_{i j}^{r}=0, r \in\{n+1, \ldots ., 4 m\}, i, j \in\{3, \ldots . ., n\} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the inequality (3.24) holds for any totally real plane section, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{4}^{r}(p)=\tau(p)-4 \inf K^{r}(p) \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-c . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$, from ( $[22]$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \tau(p) \leq \frac{\lambda}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}, \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with ( 3.266 ), proves that the inequality (3.16) is satisfied when $k \in(0,4)$. In fact, (3.22) and (3.26]) are special cases of $k=0$ and $k=4$
respectively. The inequality (B.T6) with $k \in(-\infty, 0)$ follows from (3.5) and (3.22).

Now, if the equality (3.17) holds at $p$ for some $k \in(-\infty, 4)$, then we have three cases:
A. $k=0$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{0}^{r}(p)=\tau(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3.22) implies that $p$ is a totally geodesic point.
B. $k \in(0,4)$, then applying ( $\mathbf{3 2 6}$ ) and the definition of $\delta_{k}^{r}(p)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{k}^{r}(p) & =\tau(p)-k \inf K^{r}(p) \\
& =\left(1-\frac{k}{4}\right) \delta_{0}^{r}(p)+\frac{k}{4} \delta_{4}^{r}(p)  \tag{3.29}\\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-k \frac{c}{4}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies, in particular that

$$
\tau(p)=\delta_{0}^{r}(p)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4} .
$$

Therefore, $p$ is a totally geodesic point.
C. $k \in(-\infty, 0)$, then (B.2Z) together with definition of $\delta_{k}^{r}(p)$ and (B.5) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{k}^{r}(p) & =\tau(p)-k \inf K^{r}(p) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-k \frac{c}{4} . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, this gives $\delta_{0}^{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}$. Hence, $p$ must be a totally geodesic point. Conversely, if $p$ is a totally geodesic point, then applying (3.5) and ( 3.22 ), we have (3.L7).

Now, we assume $M$ is an invariant submanifold of $\tilde{M}(c)$ which satisfies ( 3.18 ). Then, the inequality ( 3.244 ) becomes equality which yields (3.2.4). From this we conclude that the shape operators of $M$ at $p$ takes the form as in (3.19) with respect to some orthonormal basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{e_{1}, \ldots \ldots, e_{d}, J_{i} e_{1}, \ldots ., J_{i} e_{d}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots ., \alpha_{(m-d)}, J_{i} \alpha_{1}, \ldots ., J_{i} \alpha_{(m-d)}\right\}, i=1,2,3 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $T_{p} \tilde{M}(c)$.
Conversely, suppose that the shape operator of $M$ at $p$ takes the form as in ( 3.1 I ) with respect to an orthonormal basis (3.31), then the inequality (3.24) becomes an equality, which, together with (3.16), gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-c \geq \delta_{4}^{r}(p) \geq \tau(p)-4 K(\pi)=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}-n+12 d\right) \frac{c}{4}-c, \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives (3.18).
Hence, the proof of the theorem is completed.
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