

ON A SPECIAL TYPE OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD ADMITTING A TYPE OF SEMI-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

Ajit Barman¹

Abstract. The objective of the present paper is to study a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a special Riemannian manifold.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 53C25

Key words and phrases: Semi-symmetric metric connection, almost pseudo symmetric manifold, closed 1-form, irrotational vector field, geodesic, almost pseudo Ricci symmetric manifold, proper concircular vector field

1. Introduction

H. A. Hayden [12] introduced semi-symmetric linear connection on a Riemannian manifold and this was further developed by K. Yano [16], M. Prvanović [13], M. C. Chaki and A. Konar [5], J. A. Schouten [14], U. C. De and S. C Biswas [9], U. C. De ([6], [7]), U. C. De and B. K. De [8], T. Q. Binh [2] and many others.

Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold of class C^∞ endowed with the Riemannian metric g and D be the Levi-Civita connection on (M^n, g) .

A linear connection ∇ defined on (M^n, g) is said to be semi-symmetric [11] if its torsion tensor T is of the form

$$(1.1) \quad T(X, Y) = \pi(Y)X - \pi(X)Y$$

where π is a 1-form and ρ is a vector field given by

$$(1.2) \quad \pi(X) = g(X, \rho),$$

for all vector fields $X \in \chi(M^n)$, $\chi(M^n)$ is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M^n .

A semi-symmetric connection ∇ is called a semi-symmetric metric connection [12] if it further satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \nabla g = 0.$$

A relation between the semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ and the Levi-Civita connection D on (M^n, g) has been obtained by K. Yano [16], which is given by

$$(1.4) \quad \nabla_X Y = D_X Y + \pi(Y)X - g(X, Y)\rho.$$

¹Department of Mathematics, Kabi-Nazrul Mahavidyalaya, P.O.-Sonamura-799181, P.S.-Sonamura, Dist.- Sepahijala, Tripura, India, e-mail: ajitbarmanaw@yahoo.in

We also have

$$(1.5) \quad (\nabla_X \pi)Y = (D_X \pi)Y - \pi(X)\pi(Y) + \pi(\rho)g(X, Y).$$

Further, a relation between the curvature tensor R of the semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ and the curvature tensor K of the Levi-Civita connection D is given by [16]

$$(1.6) \quad R(X, Y)Z = K(X, Y)Z + \alpha(X, Z)Y - \alpha(Y, Z)X + g(X, Z)QY - g(Y, Z)QX,$$

where α is a tensor field of type (0,2) and Q is a tensor field of type (1,1) given by

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha(Y, Z) = g(QY, Z) = (D_Y \pi)(Z) - \pi(Y)\pi(Z) + \frac{1}{2}\pi(\rho)g(Y, Z).$$

From (1.6) and (1.7), we obtain

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = \tilde{K}(X, Y, Z, W) - \alpha(Y, Z)g(X, W) + \\ \alpha(X, Z)g(Y, W) - g(Y, Z)\alpha(X, W) + \\ g(X, Z)\alpha(Y, W), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.9) \quad \tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, W) = g(R(X, Y)Z, W), \quad \tilde{K}(X, Y, Z, W) = g(K(X, Y)Z, W).$$

In 1967, R. N. Sen and M. C. Chaki [15] studied certain curvature restrictions on a certain kind of conformally flat space of class one and they obtained the following expression of the covariant derivative of the curvature tensor:

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} K_{ijk,l}^h = 2\lambda_l K_{ijk}^h + \lambda_i K_{ljk}^h + \lambda_j K_{ilk}^h + \\ \lambda_k K_{ijl}^h + \lambda^h K_{lijk}, \end{aligned}$$

where K_{ijk}^h are the components of the curvature tensor K ,

$$K_{ijkl} = g_{hl}K_{ijk}^h,$$

λ_i is a non-zero covariant vector and " , " denotes covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g_{ij} .

Later in 1987, M. C. Chaki [4] called a manifold whose curvature tensor satisfies (1.10), as a pseudo symmetric manifold. In the index-free notation this can be stated as follows: A non-flat Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$ is said to be a pseudo symmetric manifold [4] if its curvature tensor K satisfies the condition

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_X K)(Y, Z)W = 2A(X)K(Y, Z)W + A(Y)K(X, Z)W + \\ A(Z)K(Y, X)W + A(W)K(Y, Z)X + \\ g(K(Y, Z)W, X)U, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a non-zero 1-form and U is a vector field defined by

$$(1.12) \quad A(X) = g(X, U), \quad \text{for all } X,$$

and D denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g . The 1-form A is called the associated 1-form of the manifold. If $A = 0$, then the manifold reduces to a symmetric manifold in the sense of E. Cartan [3]. An n -dimensional pseudo symmetric manifold is denoted by $(PS)_n$.

In a recent paper, U. C. De and A. K. Gazi [10] introduced a type of non-flat Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , $n \geq 2$ whose curvature tensor K of type (1,3) satisfies the condition

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_X K)(Y, Z)W &= [A(X) + B(X)]K(Y, Z)W + A(Y)K(X, Z)W + \\ &A(Z)K(Y, X)W + A(W)K(Y, Z)X + \\ &g(K(Y, Z)W, X)U, \end{aligned}$$

where A , U and D have the meaning already mentioned and B is a non-zero 1-form and V is a vector field defined by

$$(1.14) \quad B(X) = g(X, V), \quad \text{for all } X.$$

Such a manifold was called an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold and it was denoted by $(APS)_n$.

If $B = A$, then from the definitions it follows that $(APS)_n$ reduces to a $(PS)_n$. In the same paper, the authors constructed two non-trivial examples of $(APS)_n$.

A non-flat Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) , ($n > 3$), is called an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold [5] if its Ricci tensor \tilde{S} of type (0, 2) is not identically zero and satisfies the condition

$$(1.15) \quad (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = [A(X) + B(X)]\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + A(Y)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + A(Z)\tilde{S}(Y, X),$$

where A and B are two 1-forms and D denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g . In such a case A and B are called the associated 1-form and an n -dimensional manifold of this kind is denoted by $A(PRS)_n$.

In 1981, M. C. Chaki and A. Konar [5] studied a Riemannian manifold which admits a type of semi-symmetric metric connection whose curvature tensor R vanishes and torsion tensor T is recurrent with respect to ∇ , that is,

$$(1.16) \quad R(X, Y)Z = 0,$$

and

$$(1.17) \quad (\nabla_X T)(Y, Z) = \pi(X)T(Y, Z),$$

where π is a non-zero 1-form.

In the present paper we prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes and torsion tensor T is recurrent, then

- (i) the 1-form π is closed,
- (ii) the vector field ρ is irrotational,
- (iii) the integral curves of the vector field ρ are geodesic provided ρ is a unit vector field,
- (iv) the manifold is an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold provided the 1-form A and 1-form π are equal.

Theorem 2.2. If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold of dimension ($n > 3$) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes, the torsion tensor T is recurrent and 1-form π and 1-form A are equal, then the vector field ρ is a proper concircular vector field.

Theorem 2.3. If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes, the torsion tensor T is recurrent and 1-form π and 1-form A are equal, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Adati.

2. Proof of the main result

Theorem 2.1. *If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes and torsion tensor T is recurrent, then*

- (i) *the 1-form π is closed,*
- (ii) *the vector field ρ is irrotational,*
- (iii) *the integral curves of the vector field ρ are geodesic provided ρ is a unit vector field,*
- (iv) *the manifold is an almost pseudo-Ricci symmetric manifold provided the 1-form A and 1-form π are equal.*

Proof. From (1.1), we have

$$(2.1) \quad (C_1^1 T)(Y) = (n-1)\pi(Y),$$

where C_1^1 denotes the operator of contraction.

From (2.1), it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad (\nabla_X C_1^1 T)(Y) = (n-1)(\nabla_X \pi)(Y).$$

From (1.17), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X C_1^1 T)(Y) = \pi(X)(C_1^1 T)(Y).$$

From (2.1) and (2.3), we get

$$(2.4) \quad (\nabla_X C_1^1 T)(Y) = (n-1)\pi(X)\pi(Y).$$

From (2.2) and (2.4), we have

$$(2.5) \quad (\nabla_X \pi)(Y) = \pi(X)\pi(Y).$$

Combining (1.5) and (2.5), it follows that

$$(2.6) \quad (D_X \pi)(Y) = 2\pi(X)\pi(Y) - \pi(\rho)g(X, Y).$$

Therefore,

$$(2.7) \quad (D_X \pi)(Y) - (D_Y \pi)(X) = 0,$$

which implies that π is closed.

From (2.7), we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad g(Y, \nabla_X \rho) = g(X, \nabla_Y \rho),$$

which implies that the vector field corresponding to the 1-form π of the semi-symmetric metric connection is irrotational.

From (2.8) it follows that if ρ is a unit vector field, then

$$\nabla_\rho \rho = 0,$$

which implies that the integral curves of the vector field ρ are geodesic.

Substituting (2.6) in (1.7), we get

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha(X, Y) = \pi(X)\pi(Y) - \frac{1}{2}\pi(\rho)g(X, Y).$$

So,

$$(2.10) \quad QX = \pi(X)\rho - \frac{1}{2}\pi(\rho)X.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= K(X, Y)Z + \pi(X)[\pi(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\rho] - \\ &\quad \pi(Y)[\pi(Z)X - g(X, Z)\rho] + \\ &\quad \pi(\rho)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R = 0$ by hypothesis, we have

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} K(X, Y)Z &= \pi(X)[g(Y, Z)\rho - \pi(Z)Y] + \\ &\quad \pi(Y)[\pi(Z)X - g(X, Z)\rho] - \\ &\quad \pi(\rho)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]. \end{aligned}$$

Contracting X , it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{S}(Y, Z) = -(n-2)\pi(\rho)g(Y, Z) + (n-2)\pi(Y)\pi(Z).$$

In (2.13) we put $Y = Z = e_i$, where $\{e_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold M^n and then summing over i , we obtain

$$(2.14) \quad \tilde{r} = -(n-1)(n-2)\pi(\rho).$$

Putting $Z = \rho$ in (2.13), we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \tilde{S}(Y, \rho) = 0.$$

Again from (2.12), we get

$$(2.16) \quad K(X, Y)\rho = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{K}(X, Y, \rho, Z) = 0.$$

That is,

$$(2.17) \quad \tilde{K}(X, Y, Z, \rho) = 0.$$

or,

$$(2.18) \quad \pi(K(X, Y)Z) = 0.$$

Suppose the 1-form A and 1-form π are equal, that is,

$$(2.19) \quad A(X) = \pi(X).$$

From (1.13), we obtain by contraction

$$(2.20) \quad \begin{aligned} (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) &= [A(X) + B(X)]\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + A(Y)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + \\ &A(Z)\tilde{S}(Y, X) + A(K(X, Y)Z) + A(K(X, Z)Y). \end{aligned}$$

From (2.18) and (2.19), it follows that $A(K(X, Y)Z) = 0$.
Therefore (2.20) becomes

$$(2.21) \quad (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = [A(X) + B(X)]\tilde{S}(Y, Z) + A(Y)\tilde{S}(X, Z) + A(Z)\tilde{S}(Y, X).$$

Hence the manifold under consideration is an almost pseudo Ricci-symmetric manifold.

This completes the proof. \square

It is known that [16] if a Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor vanishes, then the manifold is conformally flat.

Hence we can state the following corollary:

Corollary 2.1. *If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold of dimension $(n > 3)$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes and torsion tensor T is recurrent, then the almost pseudo-symmetric manifold is conformally flat.*

Theorem 2.2. *If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold of dimension $(n > 3)$ admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes, the torsion tensor T is recurrent and 1-form π and 1-form A are equal, then the vector field ρ is a proper concircular vector field.*

Proof. Let \tilde{L} denotes the symmetric endomorphism of the tangent space at each point of almost pseudo-symmetric manifold corresponding to the Ricci tensor, that is,

$$(2.22) \quad \tilde{S}(X, Y) = g(\tilde{L}X, Y),$$

for every vector fields X and Y.

Then from (2.13), we get

$$(2.23) \quad \tilde{L}(X) = -(n-2)\pi(\rho)X + (n-2)\pi(X)\rho.$$

Now,

$$(2.24) \quad (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, Z) = D_X \tilde{S}(Y, Z) - \tilde{S}(D_X Y, Z) - \tilde{S}(Y, D_X Z).$$

Putting $Z = \rho$ and combining relations (2.15) and (2.24), we get

$$(2.25) \quad (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, \rho) = D_X \tilde{S}(Y, \rho) - \tilde{S}(D_X Y, \rho) - \tilde{S}(Y, D_X \rho) = -\tilde{S}(Y, D_X \rho).$$

Now putting $Z = \rho$ and $A = \pi$ in (2.21) and substituting (2.15), we obtain

$$(2.26) \quad (D_X \tilde{S})(Y, \rho) = \pi(\rho)\tilde{S}(Y, X).$$

Combining (2.25) and (2.26), it follows that

$$(2.27) \quad -\tilde{S}(Y, D_X \rho) = \pi(\rho)\tilde{S}(Y, X).$$

Combining relations (2.22) in (2.27), we get

$$(2.28) \quad -\tilde{L}(D_X \rho) = \pi(\rho)\tilde{L}(X).$$

Now from (2.23) and (2.28), we have

$$(2.29) \quad \pi(\rho)(D_X \rho) - \pi(D_X \rho)\rho = -[\pi(\rho)]^2 X + \pi(\rho)\pi(X)\rho.$$

Or,

$$(2.30) \quad D_X \rho = -\pi(\rho)X + \omega(X)\rho,$$

where

$$\omega(X) = \pi(X) + \frac{\pi(D_X \rho)}{\pi(\rho)},$$

from which it follows that π is closed. Hence ω is closed.

From (2.30), we conclude that ρ is a proper concircular vector field [15].

Hence the proof of Theorem is completed. \square

Theorem 2.3. *If an almost pseudo-symmetric manifold admits a semi-symmetric metric connection ∇ whose curvature tensor R vanishes, the torsion tensor T is recurrent and 1-form π and 1-form A are equal, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Adati.*

Proof. It is known that [16] if conformally flat manifold $(M^n, g)(n > 3)$ admits a proper concircular vector field, then the manifold is a subprojective manifold in the sense of Adati [1].

Since almost pseudo-symmetric manifold under consideration is conformally flat and admits a proper concircular vector field ρ . \square

Acknowledgement

The author is thankful to the referee for his valuable suggestions in the improvement of the paper.

References

- [1] Adati, T., On subprojective spaces - III. *Tohoku Math. J.* 3 (1951), 343-358.
- [2] Binh, T. Q., On semi-symmetric connection. *Periodica Math. Hungarica* 21(2) (1990), 101-107.
- [3] Cartan, E., Sur une classe remarquable d'espaces de Riemannian. *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 54 (1926), 214-264.
- [4] Chaki, M. C., On pseudo symmetric manifolds. *Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii, "AL. I. CUZA" DIN IASI* 33 (1987), 53-58.
- [5] Chaki, M. C., Konar, A., On a type of semi-symmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold. *J. Pure Math., Calcutta University* (1981), 77-80.
- [6] De, U. C., On a type of semi-symmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 21(4) (1990), 334-338.
- [7] De, U. C., On a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. *Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii, "AL. I. CUZA" DIN IASI, Math. Tomul XXXVIII, Fasc. 1* (1991), 105-108.
- [8] De, U. C., De, B. K., On a type of semi-symmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold. *Ganita* 47(2) (1996), 11-14.
- [9] De, U. C., Biswas, S. C., On a type of semi-symmetric metric connection on a Riemannian manifold. *Pub. De L' Institut Math., N. S., Tome 61(75)* (1997), 90-96.
- [10] De, U. C., Gazi, A. K., On almost pseudo symmetric manifolds. *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sec. Math.* 51 (2008), 53-68.
- [11] Friedmann, A., Schouten, J. A., *Über die Geometrie der halbsymmetrischen Übertragung.* *Math. Zeitschr.* 21 (1924), 211-223.
- [12] Hayden, H. A., Subspaces of space with torsion. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 34 (1932), 27-50.
- [13] Prvanović, M., On pseudo metric semi-symmetric connections, *Pub. De L' Institut Math., Nouvelle serie* 18(32) (1975), 157-164.
- [14] Schouten, J. A., *Ricci-Calculus.* 2nd Edition, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1954.
- [15] Sen, R. N., Chaki, M. C., On curvature restrictions of a certain kind of conformally flat Riemannian space of class one. *Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India* 33 Part A (1967), 100-102.
- [16] Yano, K., On semi-symmetric connection. *Revue Roumaine de Math. Pures et Appliques* 15 (1970), 1570-1586.

Received by the editors December 27, 2011