

ORTHOGONALITY OF OPERATORS ON $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ ¹

Kallol Paul² and Debmalya Sain³

Abstract. We study the orthogonality of two linear operators S, T on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ in the sense of Birkhoff-James [4]. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for S to be orthogonal to T in the sense of Birkhoff-James with certain conditions on S . We give a sufficient condition for the existence of two operators S, T on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ with $S \perp_B T$ such that there does not exist any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$, $Sx \perp_B Tx$ and $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$. We find a sufficient condition on S so that if $S \perp_B T$ then there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $Sx \perp_B Tx$ and $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$. We also obtain the relations between the orthogonality of vectors in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and the orthogonality of operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$, both in the sense of Birkhoff-James.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): Primary 47A30, Secondary 47A99.

Key words and phrases: Orthogonality, norm of linear operator.

1. Introduction

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space. For any two elements x, y in X , x is said to be orthogonal to y in the sense of Birkhoff-James[4], written as $x \perp_B y$ iff $\|x\| \leq \|x + \lambda y\|$ for all $\lambda \in K (= \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C})$. In [5, 6, 7] James studied many important properties related to the notion of orthogonality. Let $B(X, X)$ denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators from $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ to $(X, \|\cdot\|)$. For any two elements S, T in $B(X, X)$, S is orthogonal to T in the sense of Birkhoff-James, written as $S \perp_B T$, iff $\|S\| \leq \|S + \lambda T\|$ for all $\lambda \in K$.

In a finite dimensional Hilbert space X , Bhatia and Šemrl[3] and Paul et. al. [12] independently proved that $S \perp_B T$ iff there exists $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|Sx\| = \|S\|$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. Bhatia and Šemrl in their paper conjectured that if X is a finite dimensional normed linear space and $S \perp_B T$ then there exists $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|Sx\| = \|S\|$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. Li and Schneider [9] and Paul and Das [11] gave examples of normed spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ in which there exist operators $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ such that $S \perp_B T$ but there exists no $x \in X$, $\|x\| = 1$ such that $\|Sx\| = \|S\|$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$, which shows that the conjecture of Bhatia and Šemrl is not true. The notion of orthogonality has been studied by many mathematicians over the time, a few of them are Alonso and Soriano [1], Benítez et. al. [2], Kapoor and Prasad [8] and Partington [10].

¹The research of first author is partially supported by PURSE-DST, Govt. of India and research of second author is supported by UGC, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, India
e-mail: kalloldada@gmail.com

³ Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Kolkata 700032, India
e-mail: saindebmalya@gmail.com

In this paper we study the orthogonality of operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ in the sense of Birkhoff-James. We find a necessary and sufficient condition for an operator S on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ to be orthogonal to T in the sense of Birkhoff-James with certain conditions on S . If $S \perp_B T$ then it is not necessarily true that there exists $x, \|x\|_\infty = 1$ with $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. We find a sufficient condition on S and T so that $S \perp_B T$, but there exists no such $x, \|x\|_\infty = 1$ for which $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. We also find a sufficient condition on S and T so that $S \perp_B T$ implies that there exists $x, \|x\|_\infty = 1$ for which $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

2. Main Results

We first find a necessary and sufficient condition for two linear operators S and T on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ to be orthogonal in the sense of Birkhoff-James.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $S = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and $T = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ are two linear operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that $a_{i_0j} \neq 0$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and*

$$|a_{i_01}| + |a_{i_02}| + \dots + |a_{i_0n}| > |a_{i1}| + |a_{i2}| + \dots + |a_{in}| \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{i_0\}.$$

Then

$$\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty, \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

iff $(\text{sgn } a_{i_01})b_{i_01} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{i_0n})b_{i_0n} = 0$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sgn } (a_{ij}) &= +1, \text{ if } a_{ij} > 0 \\ &= -1, \text{ if } a_{ij} < 0 \\ &= 0, \text{ if } a_{ij} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(\text{sgn } a_{i_01})b_{i_01} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{i_0n})b_{i_0n} = 0$.

Now, $S + \lambda T = (a_{ij} + \lambda b_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|S + \lambda T\|_\infty \\ &\geq |a_{i_01} + \lambda b_{i_01}| + \dots + |a_{i_0n} + \lambda b_{i_0n}| \\ &= |(\text{sgn } a_{i_01})(a_{i_01} + \lambda b_{i_01})| + \dots + |(\text{sgn } a_{i_0n})(a_{i_0n} + \lambda b_{i_0n})| \\ &= ||a_{i_01}| + \lambda(\text{sgn } a_{i_01})b_{i_01}| + \dots + ||a_{i_0n}| + \lambda(\text{sgn } a_{i_0n})b_{i_0n}| \\ &\geq (|a_{i_01}| + \dots + |a_{i_0n}|) + \lambda((\text{sgn } a_{i_01})b_{i_01} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{i_0n})b_{i_0n}) \\ &= |a_{i_01}| + \dots + |a_{i_0n}| \\ &= \|S\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Conversely, let $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and without loss of generality we assume that $i_0 = 1$ i.e.,

$$|a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}| > |a_{i1}| + \dots + |a_{in}| \quad \text{for all } i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}.$$

Thus S attains its norm at the point $((\text{sgn } a_{11}), \dots, (\text{sgn } a_{1n}))$ and

$$\|S\|_\infty = |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|.$$

We choose λ such that

$$(1) \quad |\lambda| < \frac{(|a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|) - \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} (|a_{i1}| + \dots + |a_{in}|)}{2 \max_{2 \leq i \leq n} (|b_{i1}| + \dots + |b_{in}|)}$$

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\|x\|_\infty = 1$. Then

$$(S + \lambda T)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (a_{1j} + \lambda b_{1j})x_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{nj} + \lambda b_{nj})x_j \right)$$

Therefore, for any λ satisfying (1), any $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{j=1}^n (a_{ij} + \lambda b_{ij})x_j \right| \\ & \leq |a_{i1} + \lambda b_{i1}||x_1| + \dots + |a_{in} + \lambda b_{in}||x_n| \\ & \leq |a_{i1} + \lambda b_{i1}| + \dots + |a_{in} + \lambda b_{in}| \\ & \leq (|a_{i1}| + \dots + |a_{in}|) + |\lambda|(|b_{i1}| + |b_{i2}| + \dots + |b_{in}|) \\ & < |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}| \\ & = \|S\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, when λ satisfies (1), there exists $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)\|_\infty = 1$ such that

$$|(a_{11} + \lambda b_{11})x_1 + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})x_n| \geq |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|.$$

We claim that, $(\text{sgn } a_{11})b_{11} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} = 0$. If not, then choose λ such that λ satisfies (1), λ is of opposite sign of

$$(\text{sgn } a_{11})b_{11} + (\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} \text{ and } |\lambda| < \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq j \leq n, b_{1j} \neq 0} \frac{|a_{1j}|}{|b_{1j}|}$$

and $|\lambda\{(\text{sgn } a_{11})b_{11} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n}\}| < \frac{1}{2}(|a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|)$. Then from

$$|(a_{11} + \lambda b_{11})x_1 + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})x_n| \geq |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|,$$

we have

$$|(a_{11} + \lambda b_{11})(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})(\text{sgn } a_{1n})| \geq |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|,$$

since a_{1j} and $a_{1j} + \lambda b_{1j}$ has the same sign for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ due to this particular choice of λ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow |a_{11}(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + a_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n}) + \lambda\{b_{11}(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + b_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n})\}| \\ & \geq |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|, \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly a contradiction as λ is of opposite sign of $b_{11}(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + b_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n})$ and

$$|\lambda\{b_{11}(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + b_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n})\}| < \frac{1}{2}(|a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|).$$

Therefore we must have

$$(\text{sgn } a_{11})b_{11} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Example 2.2. Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2 & -5 \\ 2 & 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = (b_{ij})_{3 \times 3}.$$

Then for S to be orthogonal to T in the sense of Birkhoff-James we must have $b_{11} - b_{12} - b_{13} = 0$. In particular, we may choose

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -2 & -2 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

But if we choose

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -2 & -2 \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} \\ b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} \end{pmatrix},$$

then S is not orthogonal to T in the sense of Birkhoff-James.

Our main objective is to study if $S \perp_B T$ then whether there exists x , with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$, for which $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. In general, this is not true. We give below an example in which $S \perp_B T$ but there exists no x , with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$, for which $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Example 2.3. Let

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $\|S\|_\infty = 5$ and S attains its norm at the points $\pm(1, 1)$ and $\pm(1, -1)$. It is easy to check that $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, but there exists no $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|S\|_\infty = \|Sx\|_\infty \leq \|(S + \lambda T)x\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We next give a sufficient condition for the existence of two operators

$$S, T : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$

such that $S \perp_B T$ but there does not exist any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $S = (a_{ij})_{n \times n} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ with $|a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}| = |a_{21}| + \dots + |a_{2n}| > |a_{i1}| + \dots + |a_{in}|$ for all $i \in \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ and with $a_{11}, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1,n-1} > 0, a_{1n} < 0$ and $a_{21}, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n} > 0$.

Let $T = (b_{ij})_{n \times n} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ where $b_{11} > 0$ and $b_{2n} < 0$ and $b_{ij} = 0$ for all other i, j 's. Then $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and there does not exist $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Proof. Clearly

$$\|S\|_\infty = a_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} - a_{1n} = a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2,n-1} + a_{2n}$$

and S attains its norm only at $\pm(1, 1, \dots, -1), \pm(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1)$.

Now,

$$S + \lambda T = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} + \lambda b_{11} & a_{12} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{2n} + \lambda b_{2n} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty \\ & \geq \max\{|a_{11} + \lambda b_{11}| + |a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|, |a_{21}| + |a_{22}| + \dots + |a_{2n} + \lambda b_{2n}|\} \\ & = \max\{|a_{11} + \lambda b_{11}| + a_{12} + \dots - a_{1n}, a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + |a_{2n} + \lambda b_{2n}|\} \\ & \geq a_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} - a_{1n} \quad (= a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2n}) \\ & = \|S\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

Now, $(S + \lambda T)(1, 1, \dots, 1, -1) = (a_{11} + \lambda b_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} - a_{1n}, a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2,n-1} - a_{2n} - \lambda b_{2n}, \dots, a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots + a_{n,n-1} - a_{nn})$. Therefore $\|(S + \lambda T)(\pm(1, 1, \dots, 1, -1))\|_\infty < a_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} - a_{1n} (= a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2n} = \|S\|_\infty)$, when λ is -ve and sufficiently small. Also $(S + \lambda T)(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1) = (a_{11} + \lambda b_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1n}, a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2n} + \lambda b_{2n}, \dots, a_{n1} + a_{n2} + \dots + a_{nn})$. Therefore, $\|(S + \lambda T)(\pm(1, 1, \dots, 1, 1))\|_\infty < a_{11} + a_{12} + \dots + a_{1,n-1} - a_{1n} (= a_{21} + a_{22} + \dots + a_{2n} = \|S\|_\infty)$, when λ is +ve and sufficiently small. Hence $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and there does not exist $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|S\|_\infty = \|Sx\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$. \square

Note. This result negates the following conjecture of Bhatia and Šemrl [3] :

“Given any finite dimensional normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ if

$$S, T : (X, \|\cdot\|) \rightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$$

are two linear operators with $S \perp_B T$ then there exists $x \in X$ with $\|x\| = 1$ such that $Sx \perp_B Tx$ and $\|S\| = \|Sx\|$.”

In the next example we show that even if

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$

is such that $|a| + |b| = |c| + |d|$ then it may happen so that for any

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$

which is orthogonal to S in the sense of Birkhoff-James, i.e. $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|S\|_\infty = \|Sx\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Example 2.5. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} : (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^2, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$

be such that $\|S\|_\infty \leq \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, $\|S\|_\infty = 5$ and S attains its norm at the points $\pm(1, -1)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (S + \lambda T)(x_1, x_2) &= ((1 + \lambda\alpha)x_1 + (-4 + \lambda\beta)x_2, (-2 + \lambda\gamma)x_1 + (3 + \lambda\delta)x_2) \\ &= (x_1 - 4x_2 + \lambda(\alpha x_1 + \beta x_2), -2x_1 + 3x_2 + \lambda(\gamma x_1 + \delta x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(S + \lambda T)(1, -1) = (5 + \lambda(\alpha - \beta), -5 + \lambda(\gamma - \delta))$. Clearly, if $\alpha - \beta$ and $\gamma - \delta$ are not of the opposite sign then $5 = \|S\|_\infty = \|S(1, -1)\|_\infty \leq \|(S + \lambda T)(1, -1)\|_\infty$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If possible, suppose that $\alpha - \beta > 0$ and $\gamma - \delta < 0$.

Now, $\|S + \lambda T\|_\infty = \max\{|1 + \lambda\alpha| + |-4 + \lambda\beta|, |-2 + \lambda\gamma| + |3 + \lambda\delta|\}$. Then, choosing λ to be sufficiently small and -ve, $\|S + \lambda T\| < 5 = \|S\|_\infty$, a contradiction. Therefore it is not possible to have $\alpha - \beta > 0$ and $\gamma - \delta < 0$. Similarly, it is not possible to have $\alpha - \beta < 0$ and $\gamma - \delta > 0$. This proves our claim.

We next find a sufficient condition on S so that if $S \perp_B T$, then there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $S = (a_{ij})_{n \times n} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ be such that there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$|a_{i_0 1}| + \dots + |a_{i_0 n}| > |a_{i_1 1}| + \dots + |a_{i_1 n}| \quad \forall i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} - \{i_0\}.$$

Let $T = (b_{ij})_{n \times n} : (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is such that $S \perp_B T$. Then there exists $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume $i_0 = 1$.

If $a_{1j} \neq 0$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then, from Theorem 2.1 we have,

$$(2) \quad (\operatorname{sgn} a_{11})b_{11} + \dots + (\operatorname{sgn} a_{1n})b_{1n} = 0$$

Then, S attains its norm at the point $x = (\text{sgn } a_{11}, \dots, \text{sgn } a_{1n})$ i.e. $\|S\|_\infty = \|Sx\|_\infty = |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|$.

Now, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S + \lambda T)x\|_\infty &\geq |(a_{11} + \lambda b_{11})(\text{sgn } a_{11}) + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})(\text{sgn } a_{1n})| \\ &= |a_{11}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|, && \text{by (2)} \\ &= \|Sx\|_\infty \end{aligned}$$

So $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Now we consider the case $a_{11} = 0$ and $a_{1j} \neq 0 \ \forall j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$. In that case, $\|S\|_\infty = |a_{12}| + |a_{13}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|$ and S attains its norm at $x = (x_1, \text{sgn } a_{12}, \dots, \text{sgn } a_{1n})$ where $-1 \leq x_1 \leq 1$. If $(\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} = 0$, then we are done as before. Let $(\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} \neq 0$.

Choose, $x_1 = -\frac{b_{12}(\text{sgn } a_{12}) + \dots + b_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n})}{b_{11}}$. Now, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S + \lambda T)x\|_\infty &\geq |\lambda b_{11}x_1 + (a_{12} + \lambda b_{12})(\text{sgn } a_{12}) + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})(\text{sgn } a_{1n})| \\ &= |a_{12}(\text{sgn } a_{12}) + \dots + a_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n}) + \\ &\quad \lambda(b_{11}x_1 + b_{12}(\text{sgn } a_{12}) + \dots + b_{1n}(\text{sgn } a_{1n}))| \\ &= |a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}| \\ &= \|Sx\|_\infty \\ &= \|S\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we can show that $-1 \leq x_1 \leq 1$ then we have

$$x = (x_1, (\text{sgn } a_{12}), \dots, (\text{sgn } a_{1n})) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

such that $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ and $\|S\|_\infty = \|Sx\|_\infty \leq \|(S + \lambda T)x\|_\infty \ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, all we have to show is that

$$|b_{11}| \geq |(\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n}|$$

If possible, suppose that

$$|b_{11}| < |(\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n}|$$

Now, two cases may arise:

Case I: $(\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n} > 0$.

Choose λ such that λ satisfies (1), λ is -ve and λ is sufficiently small so that $a_{1j} + \lambda b_{1j}$ and a_{1j} have the same sign for all $j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty &= |\lambda b_{11}| + |a_{12} + \lambda b_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n}| \\ &= -\lambda |b_{11}| + (a_{12} + \lambda b_{12})(\text{sgn } a_{12}) + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})(\text{sgn } a_{1n}) \\ &= (|a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|) + \lambda(-|b_{11}| + (\text{sgn } a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (\text{sgn } a_{1n})b_{1n}) \\ &< |a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|, && \text{due to this particular choosing of } \lambda \\ &= \|S\|_\infty, && \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Case II: $(sgn a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (sgn a_{1n})b_{1n} < 0$.

Choose λ such that λ satisfies (1), λ is +ve, λ is sufficiently small so that $a_{1j} + \lambda b_{1j}$ and a_{1j} have the same sign $\forall j \in \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S + \lambda T\|_\infty &= |\lambda b_{11}| + |a_{12} + \lambda b_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n}| \\ &= \lambda |b_{11}| + (a_{12} + \lambda b_{12})(sgn a_{12}) + \dots + (a_{1n} + \lambda b_{1n})(sgn a_{1n}) \\ &= (|a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|) + \lambda(|b_{11}| + (sgn a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (sgn a_{1n})b_{1n}) \\ &< |a_{12}| + \dots + |a_{1n}|, \quad \text{due to this particular choosing of } \lambda \\ &= \|S\|_\infty, \quad \text{a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in any case, we must have $|b_{11}| \geq |(sgn a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (sgn a_{1n})b_{1n}|$ and so $x = (-\frac{(sgn a_{12})b_{12} + \dots + (sgn a_{1n})b_{1n}}{b_{11}}, sgn a_{12}, \dots, sgn a_{1n}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ and $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$, $Sx \perp_B Tx$. Similarly, if $a_{1j} = 0$ for $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ then we can find $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Example 2.7. Let $S, T : (\mathbb{R}^3 \| \|\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3 \| \|\infty)$ be given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 & -2 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, $S \perp_B T$, and there exists $x = (1, 1, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Example 2.8. Let $S, T : (\mathbb{R}^3 \| \|\infty) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^3 \| \|\infty)$ be given by

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $S \perp_B T$ and there exists $x = (0, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $\|x\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|Sx\|_\infty = \|S\|_\infty$ and $Sx \perp_B Tx$.

Remark 2.9. We have obtained the relations between the orthogonality of vectors in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \| \|\infty)$ and the orthogonality of operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \| \|\infty)$, both in the sense of Birkhoff-James. In the same way we can explore the relations between the orthogonality of vectors in $(\mathbb{R}^n, \| \|_1)$ and the orthogonality of operators on $(\mathbb{R}^n, \| \|_1)$, both in the sense of Birkhoff-James.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Professor T. K. Mukherjee for his invaluable suggestion while preparing this paper.

References

- [1] Alonso, J., Soriano, M.L., On height orthogonality in normed linear spaces. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics, 29 (1999), 1167-1183.
- [2] Benítez, C., Fernández, M., Soriano, M.L., Orthogonality of matrices. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 422 (2007), 155-163.
- [3] Bhatia, R., Šemrl, P., Orthogonality of matrices and distance problem. Linear Alg. Appl., 287 (1999), 77-85.
- [4] Birkhoff, G., Orthogonality in linear metric spaces. Duke Math. J., 1 (1935), 169-172.
- [5] James, R.C., Inner products in normed linear spaces. Bull. Amer. Math Soc., 53 (1947a), 559-566.
- [6] James, R.C., Orthogonality in normed linear spaces. Duke Math. J., 12 (1945), 291-302.
- [7] James, R.C., Orthogonality and linear functionals in normed linear spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 61 (1947b), 265-292.
- [8] Kapoor, O.P., Prasad, J., Orthogonality and characterizations of inner product spaces. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 19 (1978), 403-416.
- [9] Li, C.K., Schneider, H., Orthogonality of matrices. Linear Alg. Appl., 47 (2002), 115-122.
- [10] Partington, J.R., Orthogonality in normed spaces. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 33 (1986), 449-455.
- [11] Paul, K., Das, G., On an example related to a conjecture of Rajendra Bhatia and Peter Šemrl. South East Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, 6 (2008), 119-120.
- [12] Paul, K., Hossein, Sk.M., Das, K.C., Orthogonality on $B(H,H)$ and Minimal-norm Operator. Journal of Analysis and Applications, 6 (2008), 169-178.

Received by the editors April 26, 2012