Young measure theory for steady problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

Elhoussine A zroul^{[1](#page-0-0)} and Farah Balaadich^{[2](#page-0-1)[3](#page-0-2)}

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence of weak solutions for Dirichlet boundary-value problems given in the following quasilinear elliptic system

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\text{div}\,\sigma(x,u,Du) + b(x,u,Du) &= f(x,u,Du) \text{ in } \Omega, \\
u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.\n\end{cases}
$$

We prove the needed result, relying on the theory of Young measures, Galerkin's approximation and weak monotonicity assumptions on σ , in reflexive Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 35J57; 35D30; 46E30

Key words and phrases: Orlicz–Sobolev spaces; Quasilinear elliptic systems; Young measures

1. Introduction

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n with $n \geq 2$. In this paper we are interested in establishing an existence result for the following elliptic problem:

(1.1)
$$
\begin{cases}\n-\text{div }\sigma(x, u, Du) + b(x, u, Du) &= f(x, u, Du) \text{ in } \Omega, \\
u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,\n\end{cases}
$$

where $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}^*$) is a vector-valued function and Du its gradient and belongs to $\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ which stands for the real vector space of $m \times n$ matrices equipped with the inner product $A : B = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{ij} B_{ij}$. The functions $\sigma: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}, b: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times$ $\mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ will be assumed to satisfy some conditions.

Consider first b independent of its third variable and $b(x, s) = 0$ $(s \in \mathbb{R}^m)$ and the framework of Sobolev spaces. In [\[36\]](#page-15-0), Zhang Ke-Wei proved the existence of solutions by introducing the notions of "quasimonotone" mappings and "semiconvex" functions. Pucci and Servadei [\[33\]](#page-15-1) established several regularity results for weak solutions by using the Moser iteration scheme and the translation method due to Nirenberg. See also [\[32\]](#page-15-2) for related topic. The

¹University of Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz, B.P. 1796, Fez, Morocco,

e-mail:<elhoussine.azroul@gmail.com>

²Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, Morocco,

e-mail: balaadich.edp@gmail.com

³Corresponding author

existence of positive solutions was studied in [\[25\]](#page-15-3) relying on the method of sub-supersolution, nonlinear regularity theory and strong maximum principle.

In the setting of a Sobolev space with weight, Azroul et al. [\[2\]](#page-13-0) studied the corresponding quasilinear elliptic problem and proved the existence of weak solutions. When the exponent p which defines the growth and coercivity conditions is dependent on x, i.e. $p = p(x)$, the existence of solutions has been proved in [\[16\]](#page-14-0) in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents (always $b \equiv 0$).

In the same case and in Orlicz spaces, Youngqiang et al. [\[34\]](#page-15-4) proved the existence of weak solutions for the concerned elliptic partial differential systems. An existence theorem for weak solutions in general Orlicz-Sobolev spaces has been proved by Dong in [\[20\]](#page-14-1). When the function f is independent of u and Du , we have proved in [\[3\]](#page-13-1) the existence of weak solutions to the system $-\text{div}\sigma(x, u, Du) = f$, by using the theory of Young measures and weak monotonicity assumptions on σ . By the same theory and where f depends on u and Du , the result of existence was established in [\[5\]](#page-14-2). For more results where the theory of Young measures has been applied, we refer the reader to [\[11,](#page-14-3) [4,](#page-14-4) [12,](#page-14-5) [14,](#page-14-6) [27\]](#page-15-5) for an elliptic case and [\[7,](#page-14-7) [8,](#page-14-8) [13,](#page-14-9) [9\]](#page-14-10) for evolutionary problems.

Now, consider the case where $b(x, s) \neq 0$. Dong and Fang [\[21\]](#page-14-11) studied the existence of weak solutions for (1.1) in the case of differential equations, $\sigma(x, s, \xi) = a_1(x, \xi)$ and in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, with b independent of its third variable. When f is independent of s and ξ , Benkirane and Elmahi [\[17\]](#page-14-12) established the existence result under the condition that the N-function M, which defines the functional space, satisfies the Δ_2 -condition near infinity. Without this condition, Aharouch et al. [\[1\]](#page-13-2) proved existence result for the associated unilateral problem. See also [\[22,](#page-14-13) [23,](#page-15-6) [26,](#page-15-7) [6\]](#page-14-14) for related topics.

Our purpose, in this study, is to prove the existence result for (1.1) in the setting of the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, where M is an N-function that satisfies the Δ_2 -condition near infinity (see the next section). Asuming the lower order term $b(x, s, \xi)$ to satisfy the sign condition $b(x, s, \xi) \cdot s \geq 0$, we extended our previous results [\[5,](#page-14-2) [3,](#page-13-1) [10\]](#page-14-15) by using again the theory of Young measures to achieve the needed result.

Finally, this work is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall some well-known preliminaries, properties of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and Young measures. Section 3 is devoted to specify the assumptions on $\sigma(.)$, $b(.)$ and $f(.)$. In Section 4, we state the existence theorem and its proof.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we start by recalling some definitions and properties about Orlicz-Sobolev spaces (see e.g. [\[19,](#page-14-16) [29\]](#page-15-8) and references therein).

Let $M : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be an N-function, i.e. M is continuous, convex, with $M(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $M(t)/t \to 0$ as $t \to 0$ and $M(t)/t \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Equivalently, M admits the representation

$$
M(t) = \int_0^t a(s)ds,
$$

where $a : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is nondecreasing, right continuous, with $a(0) = 0$, $a(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$ and $a(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. The conjugate to M is defined by

$$
\overline{M}(t) = \int_0^t \overline{a}(s)ds
$$

and is an N-function, where $\overline{a}(t) = \sup_{a(s) \leq t} s$. The N-function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition near infinity if for some $\epsilon > 0$ and $t_0 > 0$,

(2.1)
$$
M(2t) \le \epsilon M(t), \qquad \forall t \ge t_0.
$$

For two N-functions P and M , we say that P grows essentially less rapidly than M if $\lim_{t\to\infty} P(t)/M(kt) = 0$ for all $k > 0$, and we write $P \ll M$. Moreover, if $P \ll M$ then there exists $t_0 > 0$ such that

(2.2)
$$
P(t) \le M(\gamma^* t) \qquad \forall t \ge t_0,
$$

where γ^* is the constant of Poincaré's inequality (see Eq. [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0)).

Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^n . The module of a vector-valued function u : $\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is given by $\rho_M(u) = \int_{\Omega} M(|u|) dx$. The classes $W^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ and $W¹E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ consist of all functions in the Orlicz spaces

$$
L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = \{ u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m \text{ measurable} / \int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{u(x)}{\beta}\right) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \beta > 0 \}
$$

or $E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, such that $Du \in L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ or $Du \in E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ (resp.). The Orlicz spaces $L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ are endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
||u||_M = \inf\{\beta > 0/\int_{\Omega} M\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\beta}\right)dx \le 1\}.
$$

Moreover, the classes $W^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $W^1 E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ are endowed with the norm

$$
||u||_{1,M} = ||u||_M + ||Du||_M.
$$

They are Banach spaces under this norm. The space $E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ is the closure of all measurable, simple functions in $L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Let $W_0^1 E_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ be the (norm) closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ in $W^1E_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$. The equality $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) = W_0^1 E_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ holds if M satisfies Eq. [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1). Moreover, if $M \in \Delta_2$ -condition near infinity, then there exists $\gamma^* > 0$ such that for all $u \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$

(2.3)
$$
\int_{\Omega} M(\gamma^*|u|)dx \leq \int_{\Omega} M(|Du|)dx,
$$

where $\gamma^* = 1/\text{diam}(\Omega)$ and $\text{diam}(\Omega)$ is the diameter of Ω (see [\[32\]](#page-15-2)).

For convenience of the readers not familiar with the concept of Young measures, we give here an overview which will be needed in the sequel (see e.g. [\[15,](#page-14-17) [24,](#page-15-9) [28\]](#page-15-10)). By $C_0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ we denote the closure of the space of continuous

functions on \mathbb{R}^m with compact support with respect to the $\|.\|_{\infty}$ -norm. Its dual can be identified with $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of signed Radon measures with finite mass. The related duality pairing is given for $\nu : \Omega \to \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, by

$$
\langle \nu, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(\lambda) d\nu(\lambda).
$$

Lemma 2.1. [\[24\]](#page-15-9) Let $\{z_j\}_{j\geq 1}$ be a bounded sequence in $L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{z_k\}_k \subset \{z_j\}_j$ and a Borel probability measure ν_x on \mathbb{R}^m for almost every $x \in \Omega$, such that for almost each $g \in C(\mathbb{R}^m)$ we have

$$
g(z_k) \rightharpoonup^* \overline{g} \quad weakly \ in \ L^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m),
$$

where $\overline{g}(x) = \langle \nu_x, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(\lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and $\nu = {\nu_x}_{x \in \Omega}$ is any family of Young measures associated with the subsequence $\{z_k\}_k$.

Remark 2.2. (1) In [\[15\]](#page-14-17), it is shown that for any Carathéodory function g : $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\{z_k\}_k$ generates a Young measure ν_x , we have

$$
g(x, z_k) \rightarrow \langle \nu_x, g(x, .) \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} g(x, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$

weakly in $L^1(\Omega')$ for all measurable $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, provided that the negative part $g^-(x, z_k)$ is equiintegrable.

(2) The above properties remain true if we replace z_k by Dv_k for $v_k : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lemma 2.3 ([\[28\]](#page-15-10)). (i) If $|\Omega| < \infty$ then

$$
z_k \to z
$$
 in measure $\Leftrightarrow \nu_x = \delta_{z(x)}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

(ii) Moreover, if v_k generates the Young measure $\delta_{v(x)}$, then (z_k, v_k) generates the Young measure $\nu_x \otimes \delta_{v(x)}$.

Lemma 2.4 ([\[18\]](#page-14-18)). Let $g : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function and z_k : $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ a sequence of measurable functions such that $z_k \rightarrow z$ in measure and such that Dz_k generates the Young measure ν_x , with $||\nu_x||_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{M}^{m\times n})}=1$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. Then

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} g(x, z_k, Dz_k) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} g(x, z, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx
$$

provided that the negative part $g^-(x, z_k, Dz_k)$ is equiintegrable.

We conclude this section by recalling the following lemma:

Lemma 2.5 ([\[5\]](#page-14-2)). If the sequence (Dz_k) is bounded in $L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{M}^{m\times n})$, then the Young measure ν_x generated by Dz_k satisfies:

- (i) ν_x is a probability measure, i.e. $\|\nu_x\|_{\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{M}^{m\times n})}=1$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$.
- (ii) The weak L^1 -limit of Dz_k is given by $\langle \nu_x, id \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\nu_x(\lambda)$.
- (iii) ν_x satisfies $\langle \nu_x, id \rangle = Dz(x)$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

3. Main assumptions

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n $(n \geq 2)$ and let M and P be two N-functions such that $P \ll M$, and M , $\overline{M} \in \Delta_2$. Our assumptions are the following:

 $(H0)(Continuity) \sigma : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}, b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}^m$ are Carathéodory functions, i.e. measurable w.r.t first variable and continuous w.r.t other variables.

(H1)(Growth, coercivity and sign condition) There exist $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in E_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$, $d_4(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$, $\gamma_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, ..., 6)$ and $\gamma_0 > 0$ $(\gamma_5$ and γ_6 are small) such that for all $(s, A) \in \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$

$$
|\sigma(x, s, A)| \le d_1(x) + \gamma_1 \overline{M}^{-1} P(|s|) + \gamma_2 \overline{M}^{-1} M(|A|),
$$

\n
$$
|b(x, s, A)| \le d_2(x) + \gamma_3 \overline{M}^{-1} P(|s|) + \gamma_4 \overline{M}^{-1} M(|A|),
$$

\n
$$
|f(x, s, A)| \le d_3(x) + \gamma_5 \overline{M}^{-1} P(|s|) + \gamma_6 \overline{M}^{-1} M(|A|),
$$

\n
$$
\sigma(x, s, A) : A \ge \gamma_0 M(|A|) - d_4(x),
$$

\n
$$
b(x, s, A) \cdot s \ge 0.
$$

 $(H2)$ (Monotonicity) σ satisfies one of the following conditions:

(a) For a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $A \mapsto \sigma(x, u, A)$ is a C^1 -function and is monotone, i.e.

$$
(\sigma(x, u, A) - \sigma(x, u, B)) : (A - B) \ge 0
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, all $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$.

- (b) There exists a function (potential) $W: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\sigma(x, u, A) = \frac{\partial W}{\partial A}(x, u, A) =: D_A W(x, u, A)$, and $A \mapsto W(x, u, A)$ is convex and C^1 .
- (c) σ is strictly monotone, i.e. $\sigma(x, u, \cdot)$ is monotone and

$$
(\sigma(x, u, A) - \sigma(x, u, B)) : (A - B) = 0 \Longrightarrow A = B.
$$

(d) σ is strictly *M*-quasimonotone, i.e.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m\times n}} \left(\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, \overline{\lambda}) \right) : (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) d\nu_x(\lambda) > 0
$$

for $\overline{\lambda} = \langle \nu_x, id \rangle$ and $\nu = {\nu_x}_{x \in \Omega}$ is any family of Young measures generated by a sequence in $L_M(\Omega)$ and not a Dirac measure for almost every $x \in \Omega$.

Remark 3.1. 1) As in [\[30\]](#page-15-11), P is introduced instead of M in $(H1)$ only to guarantee the boundedness in $L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$ of $\overline{M}^{-1}P(|u_k|)$ and whenever u_k is

bounded in $L_M(\Omega)$, one usually takes $P = M$ in the term $\overline{M}^{-1}P(|u_k|)$. 2) γ_5 and γ_6 (in (H1)) are small means that their values ensures that

$$
\gamma_0 - \frac{2\gamma_5}{\gamma^*} - \frac{2\gamma_6}{\gamma^*} - \frac{1}{\theta\gamma^*} > 0,
$$

where $\theta = \sup \{ \theta_1 > 0; \, \rho_{\overline{M}}(\theta_1 d_3) < \infty \}$ and γ^* is the smallest constant defined in the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0).

4. Existence result

Let Ω be a bounded open set of \mathbb{R}^n and let M and P be two N-functions such that $P \ll M$ and satisfies the Δ_2 -condition [\(2.1\)](#page-2-1). Let us define first the weak solution for problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3). A function $u \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$ is said to be a weak solution for [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) if

$$
\int_{\Omega} (\sigma(x, u, Du) : D\varphi + b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi dx
$$

holds for all $\varphi \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

The main theorem of existence result reads as follows:

Theorem 4.1. If σ , b and f satisfy the conditions (H0)-(H2), then problem [\(1.1\)](#page-0-3) has a weak solution $u \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$.

Proof. The proof is devided into 3 steps. In Step 1, we introduce the approximating solution by the Galerkin method and some a priori estimates . Step 2 is devoted to prove an inequality of div-curl type which permits to pass to the limit in the approximating equations in Step 3.

Step 1:

Let us define the operator

$$
T: W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m) \longrightarrow W^{-1} L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)
$$

$$
u \mapsto (\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} (\sigma(x, u, Du) : D\varphi + b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi dx).
$$

For arbitrary $u \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, $T(u)$ is trivially linear. Let us take $\alpha =$ $\max{\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \frac{1}{\alpha_1}\}}$, where $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that $\rho_{\overline{M}}(\alpha_1 d_1) < \infty$. By the virtue of [\(2.2\)](#page-2-2), we deduce the existence of $t_0 > 0$ such that $P(|u|) \leq M(\gamma^*|u|)$ when $|u| > t_0$. The condition (H1) and the equation [\(2.3\)](#page-2-0) implies

$$
\rho_{\overline{M}}\left(\frac{1}{3\alpha}\sigma(x,u,Du)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\Omega} \overline{M}\left(\frac{\alpha_1}{3\alpha\alpha_1}d_1(x) + \frac{\gamma_1}{3\alpha}\overline{M}^{-1}P(|u|) + \frac{\gamma_2}{3\alpha}\overline{M}^{-1}M(|Du|)\right)dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\Omega} \left(\overline{M}\left(\alpha_1 d_1(x)\right) + P(|u|) + M(|Du|)\right)dx
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\Omega} \left(\overline{M}\left(\alpha_1 d_1(x)\right) + 2M(|Du|)\right)dx < \infty.
$$

Similarly, we take $\beta = \max\{\gamma_3, \gamma_4, \frac{1}{\beta_1}\}\$ and $\theta = \max\{\gamma_5, \gamma_6, \frac{1}{\theta_1}\}\$ (resp.) such that $\rho_{\overline{M}}(\beta_1 d_2) < \infty$ and $\rho_{\overline{M}}(\theta_1 d_3) < \infty$ (resp.), then

(4.2)
$$
\rho_{\overline{M}}\left(\frac{1}{3\beta}b(x,u,Du)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\Omega}\left(\overline{M}\left(\beta_1d_2(x)\right) + 2M(|Du|)\right)dx < \infty
$$

and

(4.3)
$$
\rho_{\overline{M}}\left(\frac{1}{3\theta}f(x,u,Du)\right) \leq \frac{1}{3}\int_{\Omega}\left(\overline{M}\left(\theta_1d_3(x)\right) + 2M(|Du|)\right)dx < \infty.
$$

Consequently, $\sigma(., u, Du), b(., u, Du), f(., u, Du) \in L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$. By using the Hölder inequality and the above inequalities, it follows that

$$
\left| \langle T(u), \varphi \rangle \right| \leq c \| D\varphi \|_M,
$$

for a positive constant c . Hence T is well defined and bounded.

Now, let $V = \text{span}\{w_1, ..., w_r\}$ be a finite subspace of $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^m)$, where $(w_i)_{i=1,\dots,r}$ is a basis of V. For simplicity, we denote the restriction $T|_V$ as T. We claim that T is continuous. Let $(u_k = a_k^i w_i)$ be a sequence in V such that $u_k \to u$ in V (with conventional summation). Then $u_k \to u$ and $Du_k \to Du$ almost everywhere. The continuity property in (H0) implies for $\varphi \in V$ that $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi \to \sigma(x, u, Du) : D\varphi, b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \to b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi$ and $f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \to f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi$ almost everywhere for $k \to \infty$. Since $u_k \to u$ strongly in V , then

$$
\int_{\Omega} M(2|u_k - u|) dx \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} M(2|Du_k - Du|) dx \to 0.
$$

Therefore, there is a subsequence (still denoted $(u_k)_k$) and $l_1, l_2 \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $M(2|u_k - u|) \leq l_1$ and $M(2|Du_k - Du|) \leq l_2$. By the virtue of the convexity of M , we then get

$$
M(|u_k|) = M(|u_k - u + u|) \le \frac{1}{2}M(2|u_k - u|) + \frac{1}{2}M(2|u|)
$$

$$
\le \frac{l_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}M(2|u|).
$$

In the same way, we have $M(|Du_k|) \leq \frac{l_2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}M(2|Du|)$. Hence $||u_k||_M$ and $||Du_k||_M$ are bounded. By the equations [\(4.1\)](#page-5-0)-[\(4.3\)](#page-6-0) and the boundedness of $||u_k||_M$ and $||Du_k||_M$, we get that $(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi), (b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi)$ and $(f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi)$ are equiintegrable over a measurable subset Ω' of Ω . The Vitali theorem yields that T is continuous.

Now, let us take $\varphi = u$ in the definition of T, this implies by the coercivity

and sign condition that

$$
\langle T(u), u \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \Big(\sigma(x, u, Du) : Du + b(x, u, Du) \cdot u \Big) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot u dx
$$

\n
$$
\geq \gamma_0 \int_{\Omega} M(|Du|) dx - \int_{\Omega} d_4(x) dx
$$

\n
$$
- \int_{\Omega} \Big(d_3(x)|u| + \gamma_5 \overline{M}^{-1} P(|u|) |u| + \gamma_6 \overline{M}^{-1} M(|Du|) |u| \Big) dx
$$

\n
$$
\geq \gamma_0 \int_{\Omega} M(|Du|) dx - \int_{\Omega} d_4(x) dx - \frac{1}{\theta \gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} M(\theta d_3(x)) dx
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{1}{\theta \gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} M(\gamma^* |u|) dx - \frac{\gamma_5}{\gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} P(|u|) dx - \frac{\gamma_5}{\gamma^*} M(\gamma^* |u|) dx
$$

\n
$$
- \frac{\gamma_6}{\gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} M(|Du|) dx - \frac{\gamma_6}{\gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} M(\gamma^* |u|) dx
$$

\n
$$
\geq \underbrace{(\gamma_0 - \frac{2\gamma_5}{\gamma^*} - \frac{2\gamma_6}{\gamma^*} - \frac{1}{\theta \gamma^*})}_{>0} \int_{\Omega} M(|Du|) dx
$$

\n
$$
- \int_{\Omega} d_4(x) dx - \frac{1}{\theta \gamma^*} \int_{\Omega} M(\theta d_3(x)) dx.
$$

Hence T is coercive in the following sense: $\langle T(u), u \rangle \longrightarrow +\infty$ as $||u||_{1,M} \rightarrow +\infty$. Therefore T is surjective. Thanks to [\[31\]](#page-15-12), there exists a Galerkin solution u_k of (1.1) in $V = \text{span}\{w_1, ..., w_r\}$, that is

(4.4)
$$
\langle T(u_k), \varphi \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in V.
$$

Step 2:

As $\langle T(u), u \rangle \to +\infty$ when $||u||_{1,M} \to +\infty$, we can deduce the existence of $R > 0$ for which $\langle T(u), u \rangle > 1$ whenever $||u||_{1,M} > R$. Hence, for the sequence of Galerkin approximations $u_k \in V$ which satisfy Eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-7-0), we get

(4.5)
$$
||u_k||_{1,M} \leq R \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

Since Du_k is bounded in $L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{M}^{m\times n})$, it follows by Lemma [2.1](#page-3-0) the existence of a Young measure ν_x associated to Du_k in $L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{M}^{m\times n})$ such that ν_x satisfies the properties of Lemma [2.5.](#page-3-1)

Let us fix k and consider u_k , the sequence defined above such that $V_k = \text{span}\{w_1, \ldots, w_r\}.$ We shall prove the following lemma, namely div-curl inequality, which will be the key ingredient to pass to the limit in the approximating equations.

Lemma 4.2. The Young measure ν_x satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\int_{\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m\times n}}\big(\sigma(x,u,\lambda)-\sigma(x,u,Du)\big) : (\lambda-Du)d\nu_x(\lambda)dx \leq 0.
$$

Proof. Consider the sequence

$$
\sigma_k := (\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (Du_k - Du)
$$

= $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Du) - \sigma(x, u, Du) : (Du_k - Du)$
= $\sigma_{k,1} + \sigma_{k,2}.$

Since by equation [\(4.1\)](#page-5-0), $\sigma(.,u,Du) \in L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega)$, it follows then by the weak convergence defined in Lemma [2.5](#page-3-1) that

(4.6)
\n
$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{k,2} dx = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, Du) : (Du_k - Du) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du) dv_x(\lambda) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, Du) : \left(\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\nu_x(\lambda)}_{=:Du(x)} - Du \right) dx = 0.
$$

On the one hand, since $(u_k)_k$ is bounded in $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ then $u_k \to u$ in $L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ (for a proper subsequence). Consequently,

$$
\int_{\Omega} M(|u_k - u|) dx \ge \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_k - u| \ge \epsilon\}} M(|u_k - u|) dx
$$

$$
\ge c \int_{\{x \in \Omega : |u_k - u| \ge \epsilon\}} |u_k - u| dx
$$

$$
\ge c \epsilon \Big| \{x \in \Omega : |u_k - u| \ge \epsilon\} \Big|,
$$

where c is the constant of the embedding $L_M \subset L^1$ and ϵ is some positive constant. Therefore $u_k \to u$ in measure in Ω for $k \to \infty$. Now, from Step 1, since $(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : D\varphi)$ is equiintegrable, then $(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du)$ is equiintegrable. To get the equiintegrability of $(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k)$, we choose $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ to be measurable and by the coercivity condition in (H1) and the boundedness of $(u_k)_k$, we get

$$
\int_{\Omega'} |\min(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k, 0)| dx \leq \gamma_0 \int_{\Omega'} M(|Du|) dx + \int_{\Omega'} |d_4(x)| dx < \infty.
$$

Therefore $(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : Du_k)$ is equiintegrable. Thanks to Lemma [2.4,](#page-3-2)

$$
I := \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_k dx = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma_{k,1} dx
$$

$$
\geq \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : (\lambda - Du) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx.
$$

To get the needed inequality, it is sufficient to show that $I \leq 0$. To do this, we use Mazur's theorem (see e.g. [\[35,](#page-15-13) Theorem 2, page 120]) to deduce the existence of $v_k \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ such that $v_k \to u$ in $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, where v_k

is a convex linear combination of $\{u_1, \ldots, u_k\}$, thus $v_k \in V_k$. Take $\varphi = u_k - v_k$ in Eq. [\(4.4\)](#page-7-0). By the boundedness of $(u_k)_k$ in $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ and Eq. [\(4.3\)](#page-6-0), it follows that

(4.7)
$$
\left| \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - v_k) dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} M(|u_k - v_k|) dx,
$$

where c is a constant depend on θ . Since

 $||u_k - v_k||_M < ||u_k - u||_M + ||v_k - u||_M \to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,$

then the right hand side of [\(4.7\)](#page-9-0) tends to zero for $k \to \infty$. By a similar argument, we deduce

$$
\left| \int_{\Omega} b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - v_k) dx \right| \leq c \int_{\Omega} M(|u_k - v_k|) dx \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \to \infty.
$$

Consequently, the term

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Dv_k) dx
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - v_k) dx - \int_{\Omega} b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot (u_k - v_k) dx
$$

tends to zero as $k \to \infty$. This implies that

$$
I = \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Du) dx
$$

\n
$$
= \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Du_k - Du_k) dx + \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Dv_k - Du) dx \right)
$$

\n
$$
= \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) : (Dv_k - Du) dx
$$

\n
$$
\leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} c |||\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)|| ||\frac{u_k - u}{u}||_{1,M} = 0
$$

and the desired inequality follows.

Step 3:

As a consequence of Lemma [4.2](#page-7-1) and monotonicity of σ (see [\[5,](#page-14-2) Lemma 9]), we have

(4.8)
$$
(\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (\lambda - Du) = 0 \text{ on } \text{supp } \nu_x.
$$

Now, we have all ingredients to pass to the limit in the Galerkin equations and prove Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1) by considering the cases (a)-(d) listed in (H2).

 \Box

Case (a): In this case, we claim that

$$
\sigma(x, u, \lambda) : A = \sigma(x, u, Du) : A + (\nabla \sigma(x, u, Du)A) : (Du - \lambda)
$$

holds on supp ν_x , for $A \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ and where ∇ is the derivative of σ with respect to its third variable. By the monotonicity of σ , it follows for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $A \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ that

$$
(\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, Du + \tau A)) : (\lambda - Du - \tau A) \ge 0,
$$

which implies by Eq. [\(4.8\)](#page-9-1)

$$
-\sigma(x, u, \lambda) : \tau A
$$

\n
$$
\geq -\sigma(x, u, \lambda) : (\lambda - Du) + \sigma(x, u, Du + \tau A) : (\lambda - Du - \tau A)
$$

\n
$$
= -\sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du) + \sigma(x, u, Du + \tau A) : (\lambda - Du - \tau A).
$$

Using the fact that $\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau A) = \sigma(x, u, Du) + \nabla \sigma(x, u, Du) \tau A + o(\tau)$ and deduce that

$$
-\sigma(x, u, \lambda) : \tau A \ge \tau \Big(\big(\nabla \sigma(x, u, Du) A \big) : (\lambda - Du) - \sigma(x, u, Du) : A \Big) + o(\tau).
$$

Since τ is arbitrary in R, then our claim follows. By the equiintegrability of $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)$, it follows by Remark [2.2](#page-3-3) that its weak L^1 -limit is given by

$$
\overline{\sigma} := \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$

\n
$$
= \int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} (\sigma(x, u, Du) + (\nabla \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (Du - \lambda)) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$

\n
$$
= \sigma(x, u, Du) \underbrace{\int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} d\nu_x(\lambda) + (\nabla \sigma(x, u, Du))^t (\underbrace{\int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} (Du - \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda))}_{=:1}}_{=:1}
$$

\n
$$
= \sigma(x, u, Du).
$$

Since $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)$ is bounded in $L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ reflexive, then $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)$ is weakly convergent in $L_{\overline{M}}(\Omega; \mathbb{M}^{m \times n})$ and its weak $L_{\overline{M}}$ -limit is also $\sigma(x, u, Du)$. Therefore, for arbitrary $\varphi \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} (\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : D\varphi dx \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty.
$$

Case (b): We show that $\text{supp } \nu_x \subset K_x$, where

$$
K_x = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n} : W(x, u, \lambda) = W(x, u, Du) + \sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du) \right\}.
$$

Let $\lambda \in \text{supp } \nu_x$, then by Eq. [\(4.8\)](#page-9-1)

$$
(1 - \tau) (\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (\lambda - Du) = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in [0, 1].
$$

This equation together with the monotonicity of σ implies

(4.9)
$$
0 \le (1 - \tau) (\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) - \sigma(x, u, \lambda)) : (Du - \lambda)
$$

$$
= (1 - \tau) (\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (Du - \lambda).
$$

Using again the monotonicity of σ yields

$$
(\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : \tau(\lambda - Du) \ge 0,
$$

which implies since $\tau \in [0, 1]$ that

(4.10)
$$
(\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (1 - \tau)(\lambda - Du) \ge 0.
$$

From (4.9) and (4.10) it follows that

$$
(1 - \tau)(\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) - \sigma(x, u, Du)) : (\lambda - Du) = 0 \quad \forall \tau \in [0, 1],
$$

i.e.

$$
\sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) : (\lambda - Du) = \sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du),
$$

whenever $\lambda \in \text{supp } \nu_x$. Integrate the above equality over [0, 1] and use the fact that $\sigma := D_A W$, it results that

$$
W(x, u, \lambda) = W(x, u, Du) + \int_0^1 \sigma(x, u, Du + \tau(\lambda - Du)) : (\lambda - Du)d\tau
$$

= $W(x, u, Du) + \sigma(x, u, Du) : (\lambda - Du).$

Therefore $\lambda \in K_x$. The convexity of W implies for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ that

$$
\underbrace{W(x, u, \lambda)}_{=:F(\lambda)} \geq \underbrace{W(x, u, Du) + \sigma(x, u, Du)}_{=:G(\lambda)} : (\lambda - Du).
$$

Since $\lambda \mapsto F(\lambda)$ is a C¹-function, then for $A \in \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$
\frac{F(\lambda + \tau A) - F(\lambda)}{\tau} \ge \frac{G(\lambda + \tau A) - G(\lambda)}{\tau} \quad \text{for } \tau > 0,
$$

$$
\frac{F(\lambda + \tau A) - F(\lambda)}{\tau} \le \frac{G(\lambda + \tau A) - G(\lambda)}{\tau} \quad \text{for } \tau < 0.
$$

Therefore $D_{\lambda}F(\lambda) = D_{\lambda}G(\lambda)$, i.e.

(4.11)
$$
\sigma(x, u, \lambda) = \sigma(x, u, Du) \quad \forall \lambda \in K_x \supset \operatorname{supp} \nu_x.
$$

Hence

(4.12)
\n
$$
\overline{\sigma} = \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda) = \int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$
\n
$$
\stackrel{(4.11)}{=} \int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} \sigma(x, u, Du) d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$
\n
$$
= \sigma(x, u, Du).
$$

Consider the Carathéodory function $g(x, s, \lambda) = |\sigma(x, s, \lambda) - \overline{\sigma}(x)|$. The equiintegrability of $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)$ implies that $g_k(x) := g(x, u_k, Du_k)$ is equiintegrable, and its weak L^1 -limit is given as

$$
\overline{g}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} g(x, s, \lambda) d\delta_{u(x)}(s) \otimes d\nu_x(\lambda)
$$

=
$$
\int_{\text{supp } \nu_x} |\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \overline{\sigma}(x)| d\nu_x(\lambda) = 0 \quad \text{(by (4.11) and (4.12))}.
$$

The weak L^1 -limit of g_k is in fact strong since $g_k \geq 0$. Hence

$$
g_k \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } L^1(\Omega).
$$

Case (c): The strict monotonicity of σ together with Eq. [\(4.8\)](#page-9-1) implies that $\nu_x = \delta_{Du(x)}$ for almost every $x \in \Omega$. By the virtue of Lemma [2.3,](#page-3-4) it follows that $Du_k \to Du$ in measure for $k \to \infty$. In Step 2 we have $u_k \to u$ in measure. Hence, after extraction of a suitable subsequence, if necessary,

 $u_k \to u$ and $Du_k \to Du$ almost everywhere for $k \to \infty$.

The continuity of σ yields

$$
\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) \to \sigma(x, u, Du) \quad \text{almost everywhere for } k \to \infty.
$$

The Vitali convergence theorem implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \big(\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k) - \sigma(x, u, Du) \big) : D\varphi dx \longrightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,
$$

since $\sigma(x, u_k, Du_k)$ is equiintegrable.

Case (d): We suppose by contradiction that ν_x is not a Dirac measure on a set $x \in \Omega' \subset \Omega$ of positive Lebesgue measure. We have by the strict monotone of σ and $\overline{\lambda} = \langle \nu_x, id \rangle = Du(x)$ that

$$
0 < \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \left(\sigma(x, u, \lambda) - \sigma(x, u, \overline{\lambda}) \right) : (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx
$$

=
$$
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx,
$$

where we have used

$$
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \overline{\lambda}) : (\lambda - \overline{\lambda}) d\nu_x(\lambda) dx
$$

$$
= \int_{\Omega} \sigma(x, u, \overline{\lambda}) : \left(\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\nu_x(\lambda) - \overline{\lambda} \right) dx = 0.
$$

Hence

$$
\int_{\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m\times n}}\sigma(x,u,\lambda):\lambda d\nu_x(\lambda)dx>\int_{\Omega}\int_{\mathbb{M}^{m\times n}}\sigma(x,t,\lambda):\overline{\lambda}d\nu_x(\lambda)dx.
$$

By the virtue of Lemma [4.2,](#page-7-1) we get together with the above inequality that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, t, \lambda) : \overline{\lambda} d\nu_x(\lambda) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, u, \lambda) : \lambda d\nu_x(\lambda) dx
$$

$$
> \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \sigma(x, t, \lambda) : \overline{\lambda} d\nu_x(\lambda) dx
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence ν_x is a Dirac measure and we can write ν_x = $\delta_{h(x)}$. Therefore

$$
h(x) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\delta_{h(x)}(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^{m \times n}} \lambda d\nu_x(\lambda) = Du(x).
$$

Consequently, $\nu_x = \delta_{Du(x)}$. The remainder of the proof is similar then to that in case (c).

To conclude and complete the proof of Theorem [4.1,](#page-5-1) it remains to pass to the limit on $b(x, u_k, Du_k)$ and $f(x, u_k, Du_k)$. We have $u_k \to u$ and $Du_k \to du$ in measure (see Step 2) for $k \to \infty$. Then $u_k \to u$ and $Du_k \to Du$ almost everywhere (for a proper subsequence). The continuity of the functions b and f implies for arbitrary $\varphi \in W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$ that

$$
b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \to b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi
$$
 and $f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \to f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi$

almost everywhere. Since, by [\(4.2\)](#page-6-1) and [\(4.3\)](#page-6-0), $b(x, u_k, Du_k)$ and $f(x, u_k, Du_k)$ are equiintegrable, it follows that $b(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \rightarrow b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi$ and $f(x, u_k, Du_k) \cdot \varphi \to f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ by the Vitali convergence theorem.

Now, we take a test function $\varphi \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$ in [\(4.4\)](#page-7-0) and pass to the limit $k \to \infty$. The resulting equation is

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left(\sigma(x, u, Du) : D\varphi + b(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi \right) dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, Du) \cdot \varphi dx
$$

for arbitrary $\varphi \in \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i$. By density of the linear span of these functions in $W_0^1 L_M(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^m)$, this proves that u is in fact a weak solution. The proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-5-1) is complete. \Box

References

- [1] Aharouch, L., Benkirane, A., and Rhoudaf, M. Strongly nonlinear elliptic variational unilateral problems in Orlicz space. Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2006), Art. ID 46867, 20.
- [2] Akdim, Y., Azroul, E., and Rhoudaf, M. On the solvability of degenerated quasilinear elliptic problems. In Proceedings of the 2004-Fez Conference on Differential Equations and Mechanics (2004), vol. 11 of Electron. J. Differ. Equ. Conf., Texas State Univ.–San Marcos, Dept. Math., San Marcos, TX, pp. 11–21.
- [3] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Existence of weak solutions for quasilinear elliptic systems in orlicz spaces. Appl. Anal. (2019), 1–14.
- [4] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Existence of solutions for generalized $p(x)$ -Laplacian systems. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 69, 3 (2020), 1005–1015.
- [5] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Quasilinear elliptic systems with nonstandard growth and weak monotonicity. Ric. Mat. 69, 1 (2020), 35–51.
- [6] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Quasilinear elliptic systems with right-hand side in divergence form. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50, 6 (2020), 1935–1949.
- [7] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Strongly quasilinear parabolic systems in divergence form with weak monotonicity. Khayyam J. Math. 6, 1 (2020), 57–72.
- [8] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Young measure theory for unsteady problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 69, 3 (2020), 1265–1278.
- [9] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. Existence of solutions for some quasilinear parabolic systems in orlicz spaces. São Paulo J. Math. Sci. (2021).
- [10] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. On strongly quasilinear elliptic systems with weak monotonicity. *J. Appl. Anal. 27*, 1 (2021), 153-162.
- [11] Azroul, E., and Balaadich, F. A weak solution to quasilinear elliptic problems with perturbed gradient. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) 70, 1 (2021), 151–166.
- [12] Balaadich, F., and Azroul, E. Quasilinear elliptic systems in perturbed form. Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 10, 2 (2019), 255–266.
- [13] BALAADICH, F., AND AZROUL, E. Existence and uniqueness results for quasilinear parabolic systems in Orlicz spaces. J. Dyn. Control Syst. 26, 3 (2020), 407–421.
- [14] Balaadich, F., and Azroul, E. Existence of solutions to the a-laplace system via young measures. Z. Anal. Anwend. 40, 3 (2021), 261–276.
- [15] BALL, J. M. A version of the fundamental theorem for Young measures. In PDEs and continuum models of phase transitions (Nice, 1988), vol. 344 of Lecture Notes in Phys. Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 207–215.
- [16] Benboubker, M. B., Azroul, E., and Barbara, A. Quasilinear elliptic problems with nonstandard growth. Electron. J. Differential Equations (2011), No. 62, 16.
- [17] Benkirane, A., and Elmahi, A. An existence theorem for a strongly nonlinear elliptic problem in Orlicz spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 36, 1, Ser. A: Theory Methods (1999), 11–24.
- [18] DOLZMANN, G., HUNGERBÜHLER, N., AND MÜLLER, S. Non-linear elliptic systems with measure-valued right hand side. Math. Z. 226, 4 (1997), 545–574.
- [19] Donaldson, T. Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. J. Differential Equations 10 (1971), 507–528.
- [20] Dong, G. An existence theorem for weak solutions for a class of elliptic partial differential systems in general Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 69, 7 (2008), 2049–2057.
- [21] Dong, G., and Fang, X. Differential equations of divergence form in separable Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Bound. Value Probl. (2016), Paper No. 106, 19.
- [22] Elmahi, A., and Meskine, D. Existence of solutions for elliptic equations having natural growth terms in Orlicz spaces. Abstr. Appl. Anal., 12 (2004), 1031–1045.
- [23] Elmahi, A., and Meskine, D. Non-linear elliptic problems having natural growth and L^1 data in Orlicz spaces. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 184, 2 (2005), 161–184.
- [24] Evans, L. C. Weak convergence methods for nonlinear partial differential equations, vol. 74 of CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [25] Faria, L. F. O., Miyagaki, O. H., Motreanu, D., and Tanaka, M. Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with Leray-Lions operator and dependence on the gradient. Nonlinear Anal. 96 (2014), 154–166.
- [26] Gossez, J.-P., and Mustonen, V. Variational inequalities in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 11, 3 (1987), 379–392.
- [27] GWIAZDA, P., AND SWIERCZEWSKA GWIAZDA, A. On steady non-Newtonian fluids with growth conditions in generalized Orlicz spaces. Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal. 32, 1 (2008), 103–113.
- [28] HUNGERBÜHLER, N. A refinement of Ball's theorem on Young measures. New York J. Math. 3 (1997), 48-53.
- [29] KUFNER, A., JOHN, O., AND FUČÍK, S. Function spaces. Monographs and Textbooks on Mechanics of Solids and Fluids, Mechanics: Analysis. Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden; Academia, Prague, 1977.
- [30] Landes, R. Quasilinear elliptic operators and weak solutions of the Euler equation. Manuscripta Math. 27, 1 (1979), 47–72.
- [31] LANDES, R. On Galerkin's method in the existence theory of quasilinear elliptic equations. J. Functional Analysis 39, 2 (1980), 123–148.
- [32] LIEBERMAN, G. M. The natural generalization of the natural conditions of ladyzhenskaya and ural'tseva for elliptic equations. Comm. Partial Differential Equations 16, 2-3 (1991), 311–361.
- [33] Pucci, P., and Servadei, R. Regularity of weak solutions of homogeneous or inhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic equations. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 57, 7 (2008), 3329–3363.
- [34] Yongqiang, F., Dong, Z., and Yan, Y. On the existence of weak solutions for a class of elliptic partial differential systems. Nonlinear Anal. 48, 7, Ser. A: Theory Methods (2002), 961–977.
- [35] YOSIDA, K. Functional analysis, sixth ed., vol. 123 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.
- [36] Zhang, K.-W. On the Dirichlet problem for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems of partial differential equations in divergence form. In Partial differential equations (Tianjin, 1986), vol. 1306 of Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin, 1988, pp. 262–277.

Received by the editors February 22, 2021 First published online July 15, 2021