Fixed point on complex partial b-metric spaces with application to a system of Urysohn type integral equations

Ismat Beg¹², Arul Joseph Gnanaprakasam³ and Gunaseelan Mani⁴

Abstract. Sufficient conditions for existence of common fixed point on complex partial b-metric spaces are obtained. Our results generalize and extend several well-known results. In the end we explore applications of our key results to solve a system of Urysohn type integral equations.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 47H10; 47H09; 45N05; 46N20; 54H25

Key words and phrases: common fixed point; complex partial metric space; complex partial b-metric space; Urysohn type integral equations

1. Introduction

Backhtin [3] and Czerwik [5], presented the concept of b-metric spaces and provided a framework to extend the results already known in the classical setting of metric spaces. Azam, Fisher, and Khan [2] gave the notion of complex valued metric spaces and proved some common fixed point theorems under the contraction condition. Rao, Swamy, and Prasad [10] introduced the definition of complex valued b-metric space, and a scheme to extend the results in this setting, as well as proving the common fixed point theorem under contraction conditions. Dhivya and Marudai [7] introduced the concept of complex partial metric space and suggested a plan to extend the results to this setting, as well as obtained common fixed point theorems under the rational contraction condition. Afterward several other researchers have introduced and studied intriguing concepts in metric spaces and their applications [[1], [4], [6], [8], [11]]. Recently Gunaseelan [9] further extended and introduced the concept of complex partial b-metric space and proved the existence of fixed point of contractive mappings. In this paper, we prove some common fixed point theorems on complex partial b-metric spaces under rational type weakly increasing mappings with application to solve a system of Urysohn type integral equations.

 $^{^1 \}rm Lahore$ School of Economics, Lahore 53200, Pakistan, e-mail: ibeg@lahoreschool.edu.pk, ORCID iD: orcid.org/0000-0002-4191-1498

²Corresponding author

 $^{^3\}text{Department}$ of Mathematics, College of Engineering and Technology, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, SRM Institute of Science and Technology, Kattankulathur 603203, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. e-mail: aruljoseph.alex@gmail.com, ORCID iD: orcid.org/0000-0001-6346-605X

⁴Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai 602105, India,

e-mail: mathsguna@yahoo.com, ORCID iD: orcid.org/0000-0002-4191-3338

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathbb{C} be the set of complex numbers and $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3 \in \mathbb{C}$. Define a partial order \leq on \mathbb{C} as follows:

 $\omega_1 \leq \omega_2$ if and only if $\mathcal{R}(\omega_1) \leq \mathcal{R}(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1) \leq \mathcal{I}(\omega_2)$.

Consequently, one can infer that $\omega_1 \preceq \omega_2$ if one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(i) $\mathcal{R}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{R}(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1) < \mathcal{I}(\omega_2),$ (ii) $\mathcal{R}(\omega_1) < \mathcal{R}(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{I}(\omega_2),$ (iii) $\mathcal{R}(\omega_1) < \mathcal{R}(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1) < \mathcal{I}(\omega_2),$ (iv) $\mathcal{R}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{R}(\omega_2), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1) = \mathcal{I}(\omega_2).$ In particular, we write $\omega_1 \precsim \omega_2$ if ω_1 $\frac{1}{7}$

In particular, we write $\omega_1 \preccurlyeq \omega_2$ if $\omega_1 \neq \omega_2$ and one of (i), (ii) and (iii) is satisfied and we write $\omega_1 \prec \omega_2$ if (iii) is satisfied. Notice that

(a) If $0 \leq \omega_1 \not\gtrsim \omega_2$, then $|\omega_1| < |\omega_2|$,

(b) If $\omega_1 \preceq \omega_2$ and $\omega_2 \prec \omega_3$ then $\omega_1 \prec \omega_3$,

(c) If $\eta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta \leq \gamma$ then $\eta \omega_1 \leq \gamma \omega_1$ for all $0 \leq \omega_1 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.1. [10] Let Υ be a non-void set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $\delta \colon \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a complex valued b-metric on Υ if for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma, \beta \in \Upsilon$ the following conditions are satisfied: (i) $0 \leq \delta(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z} = \sigma$; (ii) $\delta(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = \delta(\sigma, \mathcal{Z})$; (iii) $\delta(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \leq s[\delta(\mathcal{Z}, \beta) + \delta(\beta, \sigma)]$.

The pair (Υ, δ) is called a complex valued b-metric space. Here $\mathbb{C}^+(=\{(\beta, \sigma)|\beta, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+\})$ and $\mathbb{R}^+(=\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}|\beta \geq 0\})$ denote the set of non negative complex numbers, and the set of non negative real numbers, respectively.

Definition 2.2. [7] A complex partial metric on a non-void set Υ is a function $\wp_{cb} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{C}^+$ such that for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma, \beta \in \Upsilon$: (i) $0 \preceq \wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}) \preceq \wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$ (small self-distances) (ii) $\wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = \wp_{cb}(\sigma, \mathcal{Z})(symmetry)$ (iii) $\wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}) = \wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = \wp_{cb}(\sigma, \sigma)$ if and only if $\mathcal{Z} = \sigma(equality)$ (iv) $\wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \preceq \wp_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta) + \wp_{cb}(\beta, \sigma) - \wp_{cb}(\beta, \beta)(triangularity)$. A complex partial metric space is a pair (Υ, \wp_{cb}) such that Υ is a non-void set and \wp_{cb} is the complex partial metric on Υ .

Definition 2.3. [9] A complex partial b-metric on a non-void set Υ is a function $\delta_{cb} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{C}^+$ such that for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma, \beta \in \Upsilon$: (i) $0 \leq \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}) \leq \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$ (small self-distances) (ii) $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \mathcal{Z})$ (symmetry) (iii) $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z}) = \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sigma)$ iff $\mathcal{Z} = \sigma$ (equality) (iv) \exists a real number $s \geq 1$ and s is independent of $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma, \beta$ such that $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \leq s[\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \beta) + \delta_{cb}(\beta, \sigma) - \delta_{cb}(\beta, \beta)$ (triangularity). A complex partial b-metric space is a pair (Υ, δ_{cb}) such that Υ is a non-void set and δ_{γ} is the complex partial b-metric on Υ . The number s is called the

A complex partial b-metric space is a pair (Υ, δ_{cb}) such that Υ is a non-void set and δ_{cb} is the complex partial b-metric on Υ . The number s is called the coefficient of (Υ, δ_{cb}) .

Example 2.4. [9] Let $\Upsilon = \mathbb{R}^+$ and $\delta_{cb} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{C}^+$ be defined by $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = [max\{\mathcal{Z}, \sigma\}]^3 + |\mathcal{Z} - \sigma|^3 + i\{[max\{\mathcal{Z}, \sigma\}]^3 + |\mathcal{Z} - \sigma|^3\}$ for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$. Then (Υ, δ_{cb}) is a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s = 2^3$, but it is neither a complex valued b-metric nor a complex partial metric.

Now, we define Cauchy sequence and convergent sequence in complex partial b-metric spaces.

Definition 2.5. [9] Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient s. Then:

- (i) The sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}$ in Υ converges to $\mathcal{Z} \in \Upsilon$, if $\lim_{n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_n, \mathcal{Z}) = \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{Z})$.
- (ii) The sequence $\{Z_n\}$ is said to be Cauchy sequence in (Υ, δ_{cb}) if $\lim_{n,m\to+\infty} \delta_{cb}(Z_n, Z_m)$ exists and is finite.
- (iii) The space (Υ, δ_{cb}) is said to be a complete complex partial b-metric space if for every Cauchy sequence $\{Z_n\}$ in Υ there exists $Z \in \Upsilon$ such that $\lim_{n,m\to+\infty} \delta_{cb}(Z_n, Z_m) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \delta_{cb}(Z_n, Z) = \delta_{cb}(Z, Z).$
- (iv) A mapping $R: \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ is said to be continuous at $\mathcal{Z}_0 \in \Upsilon$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists t > 0 such that $R(B_{\delta_{cb}}(\mathcal{Z}_0, t)) \subset B_{\delta_{cb}}(R(\mathcal{Z}_0, \epsilon))$.

Definition 2.6. Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. Let (Υ, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and for all elements comparable to each other. A pair (\sqcup, \sqcap) of self-maps of Υ is said to be weakly increasing if $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} \preceq \sqcap \sqcup \mathcal{Z}$ and $\sqcap \mathcal{Z} \preceq \sqcup \sqcap \mathcal{Z}$ for all $\mathcal{Z} \in \Upsilon$. If $\sqcup = \sqcap$, then we have $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} \preceq \sqcup^2 \mathcal{Z}$ for all $\mathcal{Z} \in \Upsilon$ and in this case, we say that \sqcup is weakly increasing mapping.

Definition 2.7. Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s \geq 1$. A point $\mathcal{Z} \in \Upsilon$ is said to be common fixed point for the pair of self mappings (\sqcup, \sqcap) on Υ is such that $\mathcal{Z} = \sqcup \mathcal{Z} = \sqcap \mathcal{Z}$.

Theorem 2.8. [9] Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $\sqcup : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be a mapping satisfying:

$$\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \sigma) \preceq \mathcal{L}[\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}) + \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcup \sigma)]$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$, where $\lambda \in [0, \frac{1}{s}]$. Then \sqcup has a unique fixed point $\mathcal{Z}^* \in \Upsilon$ and $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}^*, \mathcal{Z}^*) = 0$.

3. Main Results

In this section we prove some common fixed point theorems on complex partial b-metric space for rational type weakly increasing mappings. **Theorem 3.1.** Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with the coefficient $s \geq 1$ and $\sqcap, \sqcup \colon \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be two weakly increasing mappings such that

$$\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) \preceq \frac{\Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$, $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \neq 0$ with $\Upsilon \geq 0, \Upsilon + \Lambda < 1$ or $\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) = 0$ if $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = 0$. If \sqcup or \sqcap is continuous then the pair (\sqcup, \sqcap) has a common fixed point $\beta \in \Upsilon$ and $\delta_{cb}(\beta, \beta) = 0$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{Z}_0 be an arbitrary point in Υ and define a sequence as follows:

$$\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1} = \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_{2k}$$

 $\mathcal{Z}_{2k+2} = \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Since \sqcup and \sqcap are weakly increasing,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_1 &= \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_0 \preceq \sqcap \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_0 = \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_1 = \mathcal{Z}_2 \\ \mathcal{Z}_2 &= \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_1 \preceq \sqcup \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_1 = \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_2 = \mathcal{Z}_3. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this way, we have $Z_1 \leq Z_2 \leq \ldots \leq Z_n \leq Z_{n+1} \ldots$ Assume that $\delta_{cb}(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k+1}) > 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If not, then $Z_{2k} = Z_{2k+1}$ for some k. Then for all those $k, Z_{2k} = Z_{2k+1} = \sqcup Z_{2k}$ and the proof is completed. Assume that $\delta_{cb}(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k+1}) > 0$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ As Z_{2k} and Z_{2k+1} are comparable, so we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+2}) &= \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}) \\ & \preceq \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}_{2k})\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}, \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1})}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1})} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}) \\ & \preceq \Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}, \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2k+2}) + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}) \\ \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+2}) & \preceq \frac{\lambda}{1 - \Upsilon} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k}, \mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}). \end{split}$$

Now with $\eta = \frac{\lambda}{1 - \gamma}$, we have

$$\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1},\mathcal{Z}_{2k+2}) \leq h\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2k},\mathcal{Z}_{2k+1}) \leq \ldots \leq h^{2k+1}\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_0,\mathcal{Z}_1).$$

For n > m, we get

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_m, \mathcal{Z}_n) &\leq s \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_m, \mathcal{Z}_{m+1}) + s^2 \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{m+1}, \mathcal{Z}_{m+2}) + \dots + s^n \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}, \mathcal{Z}_n) \\ &\quad - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{m+1}, \mathcal{Z}_{m+1}) - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{m+2}, \mathcal{Z}_{m+2}) - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{m+3}, \mathcal{Z}_{m+3}) \\ &\quad - \dots - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}, \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}) \\ &\leq (s\eta^m + s^2\eta^{m+1} + \dots + s^n\eta^{n-1}) \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{Z}_1) \\ &\quad = s\eta^m (1 + s\eta + \dots + s^{n-1}\eta^{n-m-1}) \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{Z}_1) \\ &\quad \leq \frac{s\eta^m}{1 - s\eta} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_0, \mathcal{Z}_1). \end{split}$$

Consequently,

$$|\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_m, \mathcal{Z}_n)| \leq \frac{(s\eta)^m}{1-\eta} |\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_1, \mathcal{Z}_0)| \to 0$$

as $m, n \to +\infty$ which implies that $\lim_{m,n\to+\infty} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_m, \mathcal{Z}_n) = 0$ such that \mathcal{Z}_n is a Cauchy sequence in Υ . Since (Υ, δ_{cb}) is complete, there exists $\beta \in \Upsilon$ such that $\mathcal{Z}_n \to \beta$ and

$$\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) = \lim_{m,n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\beta, \mathcal{Z}_n) = \lim_{m,n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_n, \mathcal{Z}_n) = 0.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that \sqcap is continuous in (Υ, δ_{cb}) . Therefore $\sqcap \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} \to \sqcap \beta$ in (Υ, δ_{cb}) . That is

$$\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box\beta) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1},\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}).$$

But

$$\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box\beta) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\Box \mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}, \Box \mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) = 0.$$

Next we will prove β is a fixed point of \Box .

$$\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta) \leq s\{\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}) + \delta_{cb}(\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1},\beta)\} - \delta_{cb}(\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1},\Box\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}).$$

As $n \to +\infty$, we obtain $|\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta)| \leq 0$. Thus, $\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta) = 0$. Hence $\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) = \delta_{cb}(\beta,\Box\beta) = \delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box\beta) = 0$ and so $\Box\beta = \beta$. Therefore $\Box\beta = \Box\beta = \beta$ and $\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) = 0$.

In the absence of the continuity condition for the mapping \Box , we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with the coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $\sqcap, \sqcup \colon \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be two weakly increasing mappings such that

$$\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) \preceq \frac{\Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$, $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \neq 0$ with $\Upsilon \geq 0, \Lambda \geq 0, \Upsilon + \Lambda < 1$ or $\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) = 0$ if $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = 0$. Suppose Υ satisfies the condition that, for every increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}$ with $\mathcal{Z}_n \to \beta$ in Υ , we necessarily have $\beta = \sup \mathcal{Z}_n$, then the pair (\sqcup, \sqcap) has a common fixed point $\beta \in \Upsilon$ and $\delta_{cb}(\beta, \beta) = 0$.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{Z}_n \leq \beta$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Following the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is enough to prove that β is a fixed point of \sqcup . Suppose β is not a fixed point, then we have $\delta_{cb}(\beta, \sqcup \beta) = \omega > 0$ for some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \omega &\preceq s[\delta_{cb}(\beta, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) + \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}, \sqcup \beta)] - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) \\ &= s[\delta_{cb}(\beta, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) + \delta_{cb}(\sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}, \sqcup \beta)] - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) \\ &\preceq s[\delta_{cb}(\beta, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}) + \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}, \sqcap \mathcal{Z}_{2n+1})\delta_{cb}(\beta, \sqcup \beta)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}, \beta)} \\ &+ \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+1}, \beta)] - \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}, \mathcal{Z}_{2n+2}). \end{split}$$

Suppose $\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) = 0$, taking limit as $n \to +\infty$, we have $\omega \preceq 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore β is a fixed point of \sqcup .

For $\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) \neq 0$, taking limit as $n \to +\infty$, we have $\omega \preceq \Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\beta, \sqcup \beta) + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta)$ and so, $|\omega| \leq (\Upsilon + \lambda)|\omega|$, since $\Upsilon + \lambda < 1$, we get a contradiction, which implies that $\beta = \sqcup \beta$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, we get $\sqcup \beta = \sqcap \beta = \beta$ and $\delta_{cb}(\beta,\beta) = 0$.

Theorem 3.3. In addition to Theorem 3.2, suppose that the set of common fixed points of \sqcup and \sqcap is totally ordered if and only if \sqcup and \sqcap have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Suppose now that the common fixed points of \sqcup and \sqcap are totally ordered. We have to prove that common fixed points of \sqcup and \sqcap are unique. Assume that on the contrary β and q are distinct common fixed points of \sqcup and \sqcap . By supposition, we replace μ by β and σ by q in Theorem 3.1, we obtain for $\delta_{cb}(\beta, q) \neq 0$,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\beta,q) &= \delta_{cb}(\sqcup\beta, \sqcap q) \\ &\preceq \gamma \frac{\delta_{cb}(\beta, \sqcup\beta)\delta_{cb}(q, \sqcap q)}{\delta_{cb}(\beta, q)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\beta, q) \\ &\preceq \lambda \delta_{cb}(\beta, q), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\beta = q$. Conversely, if \sqcup and \sqcap have only one common fixed point then the set of common fixed point of \sqcup and \sqcap being singleton is totally ordered.

Corollary 3.4. Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with the coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $\sqcap: \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be a weakly increasing mapping such that

$$\delta_{cb}(\sqcap \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) \preceq \frac{\Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$, $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \neq 0$ with $\Upsilon \geq 0, \Lambda \geq 0, \Upsilon + \Lambda < 1$ or $\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) = 0$ if $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = 0$. Suppose \sqcap is continuous or for every increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{Z}_n\}$ with $\mathcal{Z}_n \to \beta$ in Υ , we necessarily have $\beta = \sup \mathcal{Z}_n$, then \sqcap has a fixed point $\beta \in \Upsilon$ and $\delta_{cb}(\beta, \beta) = 0$. Moreover, the set of fixed points of \sqcap is totally ordered if and only if \sqcap has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 3.5. Let (Υ, δ_{cb}) be a complete complex partial b-metric space with the coefficient $s \ge 1$ and $\sqcap: \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ be a weakly increasing mapping such that

$$\delta_{cb}(\sqcap^{n} \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap^{n} \sigma) \preceq \frac{\Upsilon \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcap^{n} \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap^{n} \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$, $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \neq 0$ with $\Upsilon \geq 0, \Lambda \geq 0, \Upsilon + \Lambda < 1$ or $\delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) = 0$ if $\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) = 0$. Then \sqcap has a unique fixed point. *Proof.* By Corollary 3.4, we obtain $\beta \in \Upsilon$ such that $\Box^n \beta = \beta$. Suppose $\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta) = 0$, the proof is finished. If $\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta) \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta) &= \delta_{cb}(\Box\Box^n\beta,\Box^n\beta) = \delta_{cb}(\Box^n\Box\beta,\Box^n\beta) \\ &\preceq \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\Box^n\beta)\delta_{cb}(\beta,\Box^n\beta)}{\delta_{cb}(\Box\beta,\beta)} + \lambda \delta_{cb}(\beta,\Box\beta) \\ &\preceq (\Upsilon + \lambda)\delta_{cb}(\beta,\Box\beta), \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\Box \beta = \beta$.

Example 3.6. Let $\Upsilon = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be endowed with the order $\mathbb{Z} \leq \sigma$ if and only if $\sigma \leq \mathbb{Z}$. Then \leq is a partial order in Υ . Define the complex partial b-metric space $\delta_{cb} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{C}^+$ as follows:

(\mathcal{Z},σ)	$\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z},\sigma)$
(1,1), (2,2)	0
(1,2),(2,1),(1,3),(3,1),(2,3),(3,2),(3,3)	e^{2iy}
(1,4),(4,1),(2,4),(4,2),(3,4),(4,3),(4,4)	$9e^{2iy}$

It is easy to verify that (Υ, δ_{cb}) is a complete complex partial b-metric space with the coefficient $s \ge 1$ for $y \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$. Define $\sqcup, \sqcap : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ by $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} = 1$,

$$\sqcap(\mathcal{Z}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{Z} \in \{1, 2, 3\} \\ 2 & \text{if } \mathcal{Z} = 4. \end{cases}$$

Then, \sqcup and \sqcap are weakly increasing with respect to \preceq and continuous. Now for $\Upsilon = \lambda = \frac{1}{9}$, we consider the following cases:

- (a) If $\mathcal{Z} = 1$ and $\sigma \in \Upsilon \{4\}$, then $\sqcup(\mathcal{Z}) = \sqcap(\sigma) = 1$ and $\delta_{cb}(\sqcup(\mathcal{Z}), \sqcap(\sigma)) = 0$ and the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.
- (b) If $\mathcal{Z} = 1$, $\sigma = 4$, then $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} = 1$, $\sqcap \sigma = 2$,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) &= e^{2iy} \preceq 9 \land e^{i2y} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{(0)9e^{2iy}}{9e^{2iy}} + \land 9e^{2iy} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z})\delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \land \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma). \end{split}$$

(c) If $\mathcal{Z} = 2$, $\sigma = 4$, then $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} = 1$, $\sqcap \sigma = 2$,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) &= e^{2iy} \preceq (\Upsilon + 9 \wedge) e^{i2y} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{(e^{2iy})9 e^{2iy}}{9 e^{2iy}} + \wedge 9 e^{2iy} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \wedge \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma). \end{split}$$

(d) If $\mathcal{Z} = 3$, $\sigma = 4$, then $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} = 1$, $\sqcap \sigma = 2$,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) &= e^{2iy} \preceq (\Upsilon + 9 \land) e^{i2y} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{(e^{2iy}) 9 e^{2iy}}{9 e^{2iy}} + \land 9 e^{2iy} \\ &= \Upsilon \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}) \delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \land \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma) \end{split}$$

(e) If $\mathcal{Z} = 4$, $\sigma = 4$, then $\sqcup \mathcal{Z} = 1$, $\sqcap \sigma = 2$,

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}, \sqcap \sigma) &= e^{2iy} \preceq 9(\curlyvee + \measuredangle) e^{i2y} \\ &= \curlyvee \frac{(9e^{2iy})9e^{2iy}}{9e^{2iy}} + \measuredangle 9e^{2iy} \\ &= \curlyvee \frac{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sqcup \mathcal{Z})\delta_{cb}(\sigma, \sqcap \sigma)}{\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma)} + \measuredangle \delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z}, \sigma). \end{split}$$

Moreover, for $\Upsilon = \Lambda = \frac{1}{9}$, with $\Lambda + \Lambda = \frac{2}{9} < 1$, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Therefore, 1 is the unique common fixed point of \sqcup and \Box .

4. Application

Now we prove an existence theorem for the common solution of two Urysohn type integral equations. Consider the following system of Urysohn type integral equations.

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Z}(q) = b(q) + \int_x^y G_1(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds \\ \mathcal{Z}(q) = b(q) + \int_x^y G_2(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds, \end{cases}$$

where

(R0) $\mathcal{Z}(q)$ is an unknown variable for each $q \in [x, y], x > 0$,

(R1) b(q) is the deterministic free term defined for $q \in [x, y]$,

(R2) $G_1(q,s)$ and $G_2(q,s)$ are deterministic kernels defined for $q, s \in [x, y]$.

Let
$$\Upsilon = (C[x, y], \mathbb{R}^n), q > 0$$
 and $\delta_{cb} : \Upsilon \times \Upsilon \to \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$\delta_{cb}(\mathcal{Z},\sigma) = |\mathcal{Z} - \sigma|^2 + 2 + i(|\mathcal{Z} - \sigma|^2 + 2),$$

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$.

Obviously $(C[x, y], \mathbb{R}^n, \delta_{cb})$ is a complete complex partial b-metric space with the constant $s \geq 1$. Further let us consider a Urysohn type integral system as (4.1) under the following conditions:

(1)
$$b(q) \in \Upsilon;$$

(2) for all $q, s \in [x, y]$, we have

$$G_1(q,s,i(s)) \preceq G_2(s,k,b(s) + \int_x^y G_1(s,k,i(k))dk)$$

and

$$G_2(q, s, i(s)) \preceq G_1(q, s, b(q) + \int_x^y G_2(q, s, i(s))ds);$$

(3) $G_1, G_2: [x, y] \times [x, y] \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are continuous functions satisfying

$$|G_1(q,s,i(s)) - G_2(q,s,j(s))| \leq \sqrt{\frac{|i-j|^2}{2(y-x)} - \frac{2}{y-x}}$$

We apply Theorem 3.1 to prove the existence of a unique solution of the system (4.1).

Theorem 4.1. Let $(C[x, y], \mathbb{R}^n, \wp_{cb})$ be a complete complex partial b-metric space, then the system (4.1) under the conditions (1)-(3) has a unique common solution.

Proof. For $\mathcal{Z} \in \Upsilon$ and $q \in [x, y]$, we define the continuous mappings $\sqcup, \sqcap : \Upsilon \to \Upsilon$ by

$$\Box \mathcal{Z}(q) = b(q) + \int_{x}^{y} G_{1}(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds,$$

and

$$\Box \mathcal{Z}(s) = b(q) + \int_{x}^{y} G_{2}(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds.$$

From the condition (2), the mappings \sqcup and \sqcap are weakly increasing with respect to \preceq . Indeed, for all $q \in [x, y]$, we have

$$\begin{split} \sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q) &= b(q) + \int_x^y G_1(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds \\ &\preceq b(q) + \int_x^y G_2(s, k, b(s) + \int_x^y G_1(s, k, i(k)) dk) \\ &= b(q) + \int_x^y G_2(q, s, \sqcup \mathcal{Z}(s)) ds \\ &= \sqcap (\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q)). \end{split}$$

Therefore $\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q) \preceq \sqcap (\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q))$. Similarly, one can easily see that $\sqcap \mathcal{Z}(s) \preceq$

 $\sqcup(\sqcap \mathcal{Z}(s))$. Next we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_{cb}(\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q), \sqcap \sigma(q)) &= |\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q) - \sqcap \sigma(q)|^2 + 2 + i(|\sqcup \mathcal{Z}(q) - \sqcap \sigma(q)|^2 + 2) \\ &= \int_x^y |G_1(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) - G_2(q, s, \sigma(s))|^2 dp + 2 \\ &+ i \left(\int_x^y |G_1(q, s, \mathcal{Z}(s)) - G_2(q, s, \sigma(s))|^2 dp + 2 \right) \\ &\preceq \int_x^y \left(\frac{|i - j|^2}{2(y - x)} - \frac{2}{y - x} \right) dp + 2 \\ &+ i \left(\int_x^y \left(\frac{|i - j|^2}{2(y - x)} - \frac{2}{y - x} \right) dp + 2 \right) \\ &= \frac{|i - j|^2}{2} + i \left(\frac{|i - j|^2}{2} \right) \\ &\preceq \frac{|i - j|^2}{2} + 1 + i \left(\frac{|i - j|^2}{2} + 1 \right) \\ &= \lambda(|i - j|^2 + 2 + i(|i - j|^2 + 2)) \\ &= \lambda \delta_{cb}(i, j), \end{split}$$

. . . .

for all $\mathcal{Z}, \sigma \in \Upsilon$. Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied for $\Upsilon + \lambda (=\frac{1}{2}) < 1$ with $\Upsilon = 0$. Therefore, the system of integral equations (4.1) has a unique common solution. \square

Conclusion 5.

In this paper, we proved some common fixed point theorems on complex partial b-metric spaces for a pair of weakly increasing mapping satisfying rational type contraction condition. An illustrative example and application to Urysohn type integral equations on complex partial b-metric space is given.

6. Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the referees for their suggestions to improve the presentation of this paper.

7. Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] ALEKSIĆ, S., KADELBURG, Z., MITROVIĆ, Z. D., AND RADENOVIĆ, S. A new survey: cone metric spaces. J. Int. Math. Virtual Inst. 9 (2019), 93-121.
- [2] AZAM, A., FISHER, B., AND KHAN, M. Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32, 3 (2011), 243–253.

- [3] BAKHTIN, I. A. The contraction mapping principle in almost metric space. 26–37.
- [4] BEG, I., MANI, G., AND GNANAPRAKASAM, A. J. Fixed point of orthogonal F-Suzuki contraction mapping on O-complete b-metric spaces with applications. J. Funct. Spaces (2021), Art. ID 6692112, 12.
- [5] CZERWIK, S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostraviensis 1 (1993), 5–11.
- [6] DEEPMALA. A Study on Fixed Point Theorems for Nonlinear Contractions and its Applications. PhD thesis, Acta Mathematica et Informatica Universitatis Ostraviensis, Ph.D. Thesis, 2014.
- [7] DHIVYA, P., AND MARUDAI, M. Common fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational expression on a ordered complex partial metric space. *Cogent Math.* 4 (2017), Art. ID 1389622, 10.
- [8] GNANA BHASKAR, T., AND LAKSHMIKANTHAM, V. Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* 65, 7 (2006), 1379–1393.
- [9] GUNASEELAN, M. Generalized fixed point theorems on complex partial b-metric space. Int. J. Research and Analytical Reviews 6, 2 (2019), 621i -625i.
- [10] RAO, K., SWAMY, P., AND PRASAD, J. A common fixed point theorem in complex valued b-metric spaces. Bull. Math. and Statistics Research 1, 1 (2013), 1-8.
- [11] VUJAKOVIĆ, J., AYDI, H., RADENOVIĆ, S., AND MUKHEIMER, A. Some remarks and new results in ordered partial b-metric spaces. *Mathematics* 7, 4 (2019), 1 – 12.

Received by the editors September 6, 2020 First published online March 15, 2022