

# Luzin and Sierpiński sets

Marcin Michalski and Szymon Żeberski  
Wrocław University of Technology

SetTop, Novi Sad, 18-21.08.2014

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and  $\mathcal{B}$  a family of Borel sets. We say that  $\mathcal{I}$ :

- ▶ is translation invariant, if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $x + I \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- ▶ is scale invariant, if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $xI \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- ▶ has Borel base if  $(\forall I \in \mathcal{I})(\exists B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I})(I \subseteq B)$ ,
- ▶ has Steinhaus property if  $\text{Int}(A - B) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$

Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ideal of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}$  ( $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and  $\mathcal{B}$  a family of Borel sets. We say that  $\mathcal{I}$ :

- ▶ is translation invariant, if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $x + I \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- ▶ is scale invariant, if for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  we have  $xI \in \mathcal{I}$ ,
- ▶ has Borel base if  $(\forall I \in \mathcal{I})(\exists B \in \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{I})(I \subseteq B)$ ,
- ▶ has Steinhaus property if  $\text{Int}(A - B) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $A, B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$

## Example

Meager sets  $\mathcal{M}$  and null sets  $\mathcal{N}$  have these properties.

## Definition

$A$  is

- ▶  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A \notin \sigma(\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{I})$ ,
- ▶ completely  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable if  $A \cap B$  is  $\mathcal{I}$ -nonmeasurable for every  $B \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \mathcal{I}$ ,
- ▶  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set if  $|A| = \mathfrak{c}$  and for every  $I \in \mathcal{I}$  a set  $A \cap I$  is countable,
- ▶ strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set if  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin and its intersection with every Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set is uncountable.

## Definition

$A$  is:

- ▶ a Luzin set if  $|L| = \mathfrak{c}$  and every intersection of  $L$  and a meager set is countable,
- ▶ a strong Luzin set if  $A$  is a Luzin set and every intersection of  $A$  and a  $\mathcal{M}$ -positive Borel set is uncountable,
- ▶ a Sierpiński set if  $|S| = \mathfrak{c}$  and every intersection of  $S$  and a null set is countable,
- ▶ a strong Sierpiński set if  $A$  is a Sierpiński set and every intersection of  $A$  and a  $\mathcal{N}$ -positive Borel set is uncountable,
- ▶ a Bernstein set if for each perfect set  $P$  we have  $A \cap P \neq \emptyset$  and  $A^c \cap P \neq \emptyset$ .

## Fact

Let  $B$  be a Borel  $\mathcal{I}$ -positive set and let  $D$  be a countable dense set. Then  $B + D$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -residual set.

## Corolary

Let  $L$  be a  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set. Then  $L + \mathbb{Q}$  is a strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Fact (CH)

There exists a partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  into  $\mathfrak{c}$  many strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets.

## Theorem (CH)

There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that each horizontal slice  $A^y$  is a strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set and each vertical slice  $A_x$  is a cocountable set. Such a set is  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable. Moreover, in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{M}$ -nonmeasurable, and in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ ,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable.

## Theorem (CH)

There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that each horizontal slice  $A^y$  is a strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set and each vertical slice  $A_x$  is a cocountable set. Such a set is  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable. Moreover, in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{M}$ ,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{M}$ -nonmeasurable, and in the case  $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ ,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable.

## Theorem (CH)

There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that each vertical slice  $A_x$  is cocountable and  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable.



## Theorem (CH)

There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that each horizontal slice  $A^y$  is a strong Luzin set and each vertical slice  $A_x$  is strong Sierpiński set. Moreover,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{M}$ - and  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable.

## Theorem (CH)

There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that each horizontal slice  $A^y$  is a strong Luzin set and each vertical slice  $A_x$  is strong Sierpiński set. Moreover,  $A$  is completely  $\mathcal{M}$ - and  $\mathcal{N}$ -nonmeasurable.

## Proof

Let  $\{L_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  and  $\{S_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  into strong Luzin sets and strong Sierpiński sets respectively. Let us set:

$$A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} (L_\alpha \times S_\alpha).$$

□

## Theorem

- ▶ Assume that a Luzin set exists. Then there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Luzin set.

## Theorem

- ▶ Assume that a Luzin set exists. Then there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Luzin set.
- ▶ (CH) There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Luzin set and  $A$  is a Hamel basis.

## Theorem

- ▶ Assume that a Luzin set exists. Then there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Luzin set.
- ▶ (CH) There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Luzin set and  $A$  is a Hamel basis.
- ▶ (CH) There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each homeomorphism  $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  on its image a set  $h(\mathbb{R}) \cap A$  is a strong Luzin set and  $A$  is a Hamel basis.

## Theorem (CH)

There exist a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for every increasing continuous function  $f$   $A \cap f$  is a strong Luzin set and for each decreasing locally absolutely continuous function  $g$   $A \cap g$  is a strong Sierpinski set and  $A$  is a Hamel basis.

## Theorem

- ▶ Assume that a Sierpiński set exists. Then there exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  a set  $A \cap l$  is a strong Sierpiński set.
- ▶ (CH) There exists a set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  such that for each straight line  $l$  on the plane a set  $l \cap A$  is a strong Sierpiński set and  $A$  is a Hamel basis.

## Fact

- ▶ Let  $L$  be an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set. Then there exists a linearly independent  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.
- ▶ Let  $L$  be an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set. Then there exists a linearly independent strong  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Problem

Does the existence of an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set imply the existence of an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set which is a Hamel base?



## Fact (CH)

There is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem

It is consistent that  $2^\omega = \omega_2$  and there is a Luzin set which is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Fact (CH)

There is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem

It is consistent that  $2^\omega = \omega_2$  and there is a Luzin set which is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Proof.

Let us work in a model  $V'$  obtained from a model  $V$  of  $CH$  by adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals  $\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ . Set

$$L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}).$$

□

## Fact (CH)

There is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L$  is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem

It is consistent that  $2^\omega = \omega_2$  and there is a Luzin set which is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## Proof.

Let us work in a model  $V'$  obtained from a model  $V$  of  $CH$  by adding  $\omega_2$  Cohen reals  $\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}$ . Set

$$L = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\{c_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_2\}).$$

□

## Problem

Does the existence of a Luzin set imply the existence of a Luzin set which is a linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}$ ?

### Theorem (CH)

For each  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  there exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $X$  such that  $\{x + L : x \in X\}$  is a partition of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### Theorem (CH)

There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L + L$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

### Theorem (CH)

There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L + L = \mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem (CH)

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $\bigoplus^n L$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set and  $\bigoplus^{n+1} L = \mathbb{R}$ .

## Theorem (CH)

There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $\text{span}(L)$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set.

## Corolary (CH)

1. There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $\bigoplus^{n+1} L$  is an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
2. There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L + L = L$ ,
3. There exists an  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin set  $L$  such that  $\langle \bigoplus^{n+1} L : n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$  is a ascending sequence of  $\mathcal{I}$ -Luzin sets.

## Theorem (CH)

- ▶ There exists a Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L + L$  is a Bernstein set.
- ▶ There exists a Sierpiński set  $S$  such that  $S + S$  is a Bernstein set.

## Theorem (CH)

- ▶ There exists a Luzin set  $L$  such that  $L + L$  is a Bernstein set.
- ▶ There exists a Sierpiński set  $S$  such that  $S + S$  is a Bernstein set.

### Proof.

$\text{Perf} = \{P_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B} = \{M_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ .

We choose sequences  $\{I_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ ,  $\{I'_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  and  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  such that for each  $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ :

1.  $I_\xi, I'_\xi \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} M_\alpha$ ,
2.  $(\bigcup_{\alpha \leq \xi} \{I_\alpha, I'_\alpha\} + \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \xi} \{I_\alpha, I'_\alpha\}) \cap \{p_\alpha : \alpha < \xi\} = \emptyset$ ,
3.  $I_\xi + I'_\xi \in P_\xi$ ,
4.  $p_\xi \in P_\xi$ .



Proof...

Let us denote:

$$M_1 = \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} M_\alpha,$$

$$M_2 = \bigcup_{\alpha < \xi} M_\alpha \cup (\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \xi} - \{l_\alpha, l'_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \xi}) \cup \frac{1}{2}\{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \xi},$$

$$P = P_\xi,$$

Does there exist  $l' \in M_2^c$  such that a set  $M_1^c \cap (P - l')$  has cardinality  $\mathfrak{c}$ ?

## Proof...

We extend our universe  $V$  (via generic extension) to  $V'$  such that  $V' \models \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \omega_2$ .

We will work in  $V'$ . Let us now fix a set  $A \subseteq P$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$ . Notice that for every  $a \in A$  a set  $\{I : a - I \in M_1^c\} = -M_1^c + a$  is comeager. Since  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) > \omega_1$

$$\bigcap_{a \in A} \{I : a - I \in M_1^c\} \cap M_2^c \neq \emptyset.$$

## Proof...

We extend our universe  $V$  (via generic extension) to  $V'$  such that  $V' \models \text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) \geq \omega_2$ .

We will work in  $V'$ . Let us now fix a set  $A \subseteq P$  of cardinality  $\omega_1$ . Notice that for every  $a \in A$  a set  $\{I : a - I \in M_1^c\} = -M_1^c + a$  is comeager. Since  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) > \omega_1$

$$\bigcap_{a \in A} \{I : a - I \in M_1^c\} \cap M_2^c \neq \emptyset.$$

It shows that  $V' \models \exists I' \in M_2^c \ |M_1^c \cap (P - I')| \geq \omega_1$ .

So,  $V'$  models the following sentence:

$$(\exists I')_{\mathbb{R}} (\exists T)_{\text{Perf}} (\forall x)_{\mathbb{R}} (I' \in M_2^c \wedge (x \in T \rightarrow x \in M_1^c \wedge x + I' \in P))$$

By Shoenfield absoluteness theorem it is also true in  $V$ . □

## Theorem

There are no Luzin set  $L$  and Sierpiński set  $S$  such that  $L + S$  is a Bernstein set.

## Theorem

There are no Luzin set  $L$  and Sierpiński set  $S$  such that  $L + S$  is a Bernstein set.

Follows from

Babinkostova L., Sheepers M. Products and selection principles, Topology Proceedings, Vol. 31 (2007), 431-443.

## Lemma

Let  $A$  be a null set. We can find a perfect set  $P$  such that for every  $n$

$$A + \underbrace{P + P + \cdots + P}_n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

## Lemma

Let  $A$  be a null set. We can find a perfect set  $P$  such that for every  $n$

$$A + \underbrace{P + P + \cdots + P}_n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

## Proof of lemma

We can assume that  $A$  is Borel. Let  $V$  be our universe. We enlarge it (via forcing) to  $V'$  satisfying  $V' \models \text{add}(\mathcal{N}) = \omega_3$ .

## Proof of lemma...

Let us work now in  $V'$ . Take  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of cardinality  $\omega_2$ . Then  $A + X \in \mathcal{N}$ , so we can find a null Borel set  $B$ , such that  $A + X \subseteq B$ . Notice that  $\{x : x + A \subseteq B\}$  is a coanalytic set of cardinality  $\omega_2$ , hence, it contains a perfect set  $P_0$ .



## Proof of lemma...

Let us work now in  $V'$ . Take  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of cardinality  $\omega_2$ . Then  $A + X \in \mathcal{N}$ , so we can find a null Borel set  $B$ , such that  $A + X \subseteq B$ . Notice that  $\{x : x + A \subseteq B\}$  is a coanalytic set of cardinality  $\omega_2$ , hence, it contains a perfect set  $P_0$ .

Now, set  $A_1 = A_0 + P_0$ . We want to find a perfect set  $P_1 \subseteq P_0$  such that  $A_1 + P_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, we require that the first splitting node in  $P_0$  is still a splitting node in  $P_1$ .

## Proof of lemma...

Let us work now in  $V'$ . Take  $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  of cardinality  $\omega_2$ . Then  $A + X \in \mathcal{N}$ , so we can find a null Borel set  $B$ , such that  $A + X \subseteq B$ . Notice that  $\{x : x + A \subseteq B\}$  is a coanalytic set of cardinality  $\omega_2$ , hence, it contains a perfect set  $P_0$ .

Now, set  $A_1 = A_0 + P_0$ . We want to find a perfect set  $P_1 \subseteq P_0$  such that  $A_1 + P_1 \in \mathcal{N}$ . Moreover, we require that the first splitting node in  $P_0$  is still a splitting node in  $P_1$ .

We proceed by a simple induction on  $n$ -th step finding for a null set  $A_n$  and a perfect set  $P_n$  a perfect set  $P_{n+1} \subseteq P_n$  such that  $A_{n+1} = A_n + P_{n+1}$  is null and all splitting nodes from first  $n + 1$  levels in  $P_n$  remains splitting nodes in  $P_{n+1}$ .

## Proof of lemma...

We get a sequence of perfect sets  $(P_n, n \in \omega)$  such that  $P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} P_n$  is a perfect set. Moreover, we can find a null  $G_\delta$   $B$  such that  $B \supseteq \bigcup_{n \in \omega} A_n$ . Notice that

$$V' \models (\exists P \in Perf)(\exists B)(\forall n)(\forall x)(\forall a)(\forall b)(B \text{ is null } G_\delta \wedge$$

$$(a \in A \wedge b \notin B \wedge x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in P \rightarrow a + x_0 + \dots + x_n \neq b)),$$

where  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  are naturally coded by  $x$  e.g. by the formula  $x_i(k) = x(kn + i)$ .

Above formula is  $\Sigma_2^1$ . □



Marcin Michalski, Szymon Żeberski, “Luzin and Sierpiński sets, some nonmeasurable subsets of the plane”,  
[arXiv.org/abs/1406.3062](https://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3062)