On σ-countably tight spaces

István Juhász

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences

SETTOP 2016

June 23, 2016

6TH EUROPEAN SET THEORY CONFERENCE

JULY 3 – 7, 2017

MTA RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST

www.renyi.hu

Introduction

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

PROBLEM

Are σ-CT (or *fin*-CT) homogeneous compacta of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$?

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

PROBLEM

Are σ-CT (or *fin*-CT) homogeneous compacta of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$?

EXAMPLES. 1) Set $\sigma_i = \{x \in \{0,1\}^\kappa : |\{\alpha < \kappa : x(\alpha) \neq i\}| < \omega\}$.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

PROBLEM

Are σ-CT (or *fin*-CT) homogeneous compacta of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$?

EXAMPLES. 1) Set $\sigma_i = \{x \in \{0,1\}^\kappa : |\{\alpha < \kappa : x(\alpha) \neq i\}| < \omega\}$. Then $\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1$ is a σ-compact subgroup of $\mathbb{C}_\kappa = \{0,1\}^\kappa$, both σ_0 and σ_1 are Frèchet, hence CT, but $t(\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1) = |\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1| = \kappa$.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

PROBLEM

Are σ-CT (or *fin*-CT) homogeneous compacta of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$?

EXAMPLES. 1) Set $\sigma_i = \{x \in \{0,1\}^\kappa : |\{\alpha < \kappa : x(\alpha) \neq i\}| < \omega\}$. Then $\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1$ is a σ-compact subgroup of $\mathbb{C}_\kappa = \{0,1\}^\kappa$, both σ_0 and σ_1 are Frèchet, hence CT, but $t(\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1) = |\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1| = \kappa$.

2) The compactum $\omega_1 + 1$ is 2-CT with $t(X) > \omega$.

Introduction

This is joint work with Jan van Mill.

DEFINITION. A space is σ-countably tight (= σ-CT), resp. *fin*-CT, if it is covered by countably many, resp. finitely many CT subspaces.

THEOREM (R. de la Vega)

Every CT homogeneous compactum has cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$.

compactum = infinite compact T_2 space

PROBLEM

Are σ-CT (or *fin*-CT) homogeneous compacta of cardinality $\mathfrak{c}$?

EXAMPLES. 1) Set $\sigma_i = \{x \in \{0,1\}^\kappa : |\{\alpha < \kappa : x(\alpha) \neq i\}| < \omega\}$.
Then $\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1$ is a σ-compact subgroup of $\mathbb{C}_\kappa = \{0,1\}^\kappa$, both σ_0 and σ_1 are Frèchet, hence CT, but $t(\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1) = |\sigma_0 \cup \sigma_1| = \kappa$.

2) The compactum $\omega_1 + 1$ is 2-CT with $t(X) > \omega$.

The results

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces,

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous.

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.

If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.

If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs:

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.

If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs: In both cases, it suffices to show

$$w(X) \le \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } \pi\chi(X) = \omega$$

The results

THEOREM 1.
Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^{ω} is homogeneous. Then $|X| \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.
If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs: In both cases, it suffices to show

$$w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi\chi(X) = \omega$$

by:

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.

If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs: In both cases, it suffices to show

$$w(X) \le \mathfrak{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi\chi(X) = \omega$$

by:

THEOREM (van Mill)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum then $|X| \le w(X)^{\pi\chi(X)}$.

The results

THEOREM 1.

Assume that the compactum X is the union of countably many dense CT subspaces, moreover X^ω is homogeneous. Then $|X| \le \mathfrak{c}$.

THEOREM 2.

If X is a *fin*-CT homogeneous compactum then $|X| = \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs: In both cases, it suffices to show

$$w(X) \le \mathfrak{c} \ \text{ and } \ \pi\chi(X) = \omega$$

by:

THEOREM (van Mill)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum then $|X| \le w(X)^{\pi\chi(X)}$.

On the proofs 1.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$,

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \le \omega$.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \le \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \le \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \le \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta but they are much harder to prove for σ-CT compacta.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta but they are much harder to prove for σ-CT compacta.

THEOREM (Pytkeev)

$L(X_\delta) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ for any CT compactum X.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta but they are much harder to prove for σ-CT compacta.

THEOREM (Pytkeev)

$L(X_\delta) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ for any CT compactum X.

The result of de la Vega then easily follows from van Mill's.

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \leq \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta but they are much harder to prove for σ-CT compacta.

THEOREM (Pytkeev)

$L(X_\delta) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ for any CT compactum X.

The result of de la Vega then easily follows from van Mill's.

We do not know if Pytkeev's thm holds for σ- or *fin*-CT spaces :-(

On the proofs 1.

THEOREM 3.

(i) No closed subspace of a σ-CT compactum X maps onto $\mathbb{C}_{\omega_1}$, hence there is $x \in X$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) \le \omega$. So, $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ if X is homogeneous.

(ii) Every σ-CT compactum X has a non-empty subseparable G_δ subset H i.e. such that $H \subset \overline{A}$ for some $A \in [X]^\omega$. Clearly, $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

These facts are well-known for CT compacta but they are much harder to prove for σ-CT compacta.

THEOREM (Pytkeev)

$L(X_\delta) \le \mathfrak{c}$ for any CT compactum X.

The result of de la Vega then easily follows from van Mill's.

We do not know if Pytkeev's thm holds for σ- or *fin*-CT spaces :-(

On the proofs 2.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega$

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega$

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\le \mathfrak{c}$

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\le \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow X^\omega$, hence X can be covered by them;

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\le \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow X^\omega$, hence X can be covered by them;

– we have a countable cover $\mathcal{Y}$ of X by dense CT subsets.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\leq \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow X^\omega$, hence X can be covered by them;

– we have a countable cover $\mathcal{Y}$ of X by dense CT subsets.

– So, by THM 4., $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \le \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\le \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow X^\omega$, hence X can be covered by them;

– we have a countable cover $\mathcal{Y}$ of X by dense CT subsets.

– So, by THM 4., $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$. Now apply van Mill.

On the proofs 2.

THEOREM 4.

Let X be a compactum with two covers $\mathcal{Y}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ such that

(1) $|\mathcal{Y}| \leq \mathfrak{c}$, moreover every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT and dense in X;

(2) every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ is a G_δ of weight $w(H) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Then $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

Pf of THM 1. :

– There is $x \in X^\omega$ with $\pi\chi(x, X) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X^\omega) = \omega \Rightarrow \pi\chi(X) = \omega$;

– X, hence X^ω has a G_δ of weight $\leq \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow X^\omega$, hence X can be covered by them;

– we have a countable cover $\mathcal{Y}$ of X by dense CT subsets.

– So, by THM 4., $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$. Now apply van Mill.

On the proofs 3.

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\leq \mathfrak{c}$;

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\leq \mathfrak{c}$;

– $X = \cup \mathcal{Y}$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| < \omega$ and every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT;

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\le \mathfrak{c}$;

– $X = \cup\mathcal{Y}$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| < \omega$ and every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT;

– there are $\overline{U}$ regular closed and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\overline{U} \subset \cup\mathcal{Z}$ and $Z \cap \overline{U}$ is dense in $\overline{U}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$;

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\le \mathfrak{c}$;

– $X = \cup\mathcal{Y}$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| < \omega$ and every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT;

– there are $\overline{U}$ regular closed and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\overline{U} \subset \cup\mathcal{Z}$ and $Z \cap \overline{U}$ is dense in $\overline{U}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$;

– hence $w(\overline{U}) \le \mathfrak{c}$ by THM 4. ;

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\le \mathfrak{c}$;

– $X = \cup \mathcal{Y}$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| < \omega$ and every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT;

– there are $\overline{U}$ regular closed and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\overline{U} \subset \cup \mathcal{Z}$ and $Z \cap \overline{U}$ is dense in $\overline{U}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$;

– hence $w(\overline{U}) \le \mathfrak{c}$ by THM 4. ;

– hence $w(X) \le \mathfrak{c}$ using compactness and homogeneity.

On the proofs 3.

Pf of THM 2. :

– $\pi\chi(X) = \omega$ as X is homogeneous;

– X has a cover $\mathcal{H}$ by G_δ sets of weight $\leq \mathfrak{c}$;

– $X = \cup \mathcal{Y}$ where $|\mathcal{Y}| < \omega$ and every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is CT;

– there are $\overline{U}$ regular closed and $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\overline{U} \subset \cup \mathcal{Z}$ and $Z \cap \overline{U}$ is dense in $\overline{U}$ for every $Z \in \mathcal{Z}$;

– hence $w(\overline{U}) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ by THM 4. ;

– hence $w(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}$ using compactness and homogeneity.

On σ-CT products

On σ-CT products

What can we say if X^ω is (also) σ-CT?

On σ-CT products

What can we say if X^ω is (also) σ-CT?

THEOREM 5.

If the product $X = \prod\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is σ-CT then all but finitely many of its factors X_i are CT.

On σ-CT products

What can we say if X^ω is (also) σ-CT?

THEOREM 5.

If the product $X = \prod\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is σ-CT then all but finitely many of its factors X_i are CT.

So, if X^ω is σ-CT then X is CT.

On σ-CT products

What can we say if X^ω is (also) σ-CT?

THEOREM 5.

If the product $X = \prod\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is σ-CT then all but finitely many of its factors X_i are CT.

So, if X^ω is σ-CT then X is CT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

On σ-CT products

What can we say if X^ω is (also) σ-CT?

THEOREM 5.

If the product $X = \prod\{X_i : i \in I\}$ is σ-CT then all but finitely many of its factors X_i are CT.

So, if X^ω is σ-CT then X is CT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION !

MANY THANKS TO THE ORGANIZERS!

6TH EUROPEAN SET THEORY CONFERENCE

JULY 3 – 7, 2017

MTA RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, BUDAPEST

www.renyi.hu