Partition Relations for Linear Orders without the Axiom of Choice

03E02, 03E60, 05C63

Thilo Weinert

Department of Mathematics,
Ben-Gurion-University of the Negev,
Israël
Joint work with Philipp Lücke and Philipp Schlicht

3rd SetTop Conference, Fruška Gora,
Tuesday, 21st of June 2016, 12:30-12:55

Folklore ([933Si])

Assume the Axiom of Choice. Then $L \not\to (\omega^*, \omega)^2$ *for any linear order* L.

Theorem ([965Kr, Theorem 8] and [971E, Theorem 5])

Assume the Axiom of Choice. Then

$$L \not\rightarrow (4, \omega^* + \omega)^3 \text{ and}$$
$$L \not\rightarrow (4, \omega + \omega^*)^3 \text{ for any linear orders } L.$$

Theorem ([971E, Theorem 5])

Assume the Axiom of Choice. Then $L \not\rightarrow (5, \omega^* + \omega \vee \omega + \omega^*)^3$ *for all linear orders* L.

Question

Assume the Axiom of Choice. Is there a linear order L *with* $L \rightarrow (4, \omega + \omega^* \vee \omega^* + \omega)^3$?

Theorem ([976Pr])

The axiom of determinacy of games of reals $\mathrm{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$ *implies that* $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}_{2}$.

Theorem ([977Ma, 5.1 Metatheorem])

It is consistent from an inaccessible cardinal that $\omega \to (\omega)^{\omega}_{2}$.

Theorem (Donald Martin, [003Ka, Theorem 18.12], [004JM, 990Ja, 981K])

The axiom of determinacy AD *implies that* $\omega_1 \to (\omega_1)^{\omega_1}_{2}$.

Observation

$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex} \rangle \not\to (\omega^*, \omega)^3$ *for all ordinals* α*.*

Theorem ([981Bl])

For every continuous *colouring* χ *with* $\mathrm{dom}(\chi) = [{}^{\omega}2]^n$ *there is a perfect* $P \subset {}^{\omega}2$ *on which the value of* χ *at an n-tuple is decided by its splitting type.*

Folklore ([967My, 978Ta])

Every relation on the reals with the property of Baire is continuous on a perfect set.

Observation

$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex} \rangle \not\to (\omega^*, \omega)^3$ for all ordinals α.

Theorem ([981Bl])

For every Baire colouring χ with $\mathrm{dom}(\chi) = [{}^{\omega}2]^n$ there is a perfect $P \subset {}^{\omega}2$ on which the value of χ at an n-tuple is decided by its splitting type.

Folklore ([967My, 978Ta])

Every relation on the reals with the property of Baire is continuous on a perfect set.

Theorem

Suppose that all sets of reals have the property of Baire. Then $\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle \to (\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle)^2_n$ *for all n.*

Theorem

Suppose that all sets of reals have the property of Baire. Then $\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle \to (\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle, 1 + \omega^* \vee \omega + 1)^3$.

Summary

Assume that all sets of reals have the property of Baire. Then

$$\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle \to (\omega + 1)^4_2,$$
$$\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle \to (5, 1 + \omega^* + \omega + 1 \vee \omega + 1 + \omega^*)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\omega}2, <_{lex} \rangle \to (6, 1 + \omega^* + \omega + 1 \vee m + \omega^* \vee \omega + n)^4.$$



Figure: Combs, Candelabra and Bouquets

- $\vec{p}$ is a *cactus* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a comb of the same chirality and a branch.
- $\vec{p}$ is a *grape* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a comb of the opposite chirality and a branch.
- $\vec{p}$ is an *olivillo* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a bouquet of the same chirality and a branch.
- $\vec{p}$ is a *rose* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a bouquet of the opposite chirality and a branch.
- $\vec{p}$ is a *mistletoe* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a candelabrum and a branch.
- $\vec{p}$ is a *lilac* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a triple of the same chirality and a pair.
- $\vec{p}$ is a *guinea flower* if and only if s_p divides $\vec{p}$ in a a triple of the opposite chirality and a pair.



Figure: Seven Pentapetalae, cf. [991HP, 009B&, 010M&, 015St]

Theorem (Lücke & Schlicht)

It is consistent that $\langle {}^{\omega_1}2, <_{lex}\rangle \to (\langle {}^{\omega_1}2, <_{lex}\rangle)^2$.

Theorem

Let κ *be an infinite initial ordinal and* $\alpha < \kappa^+$. *Then* $\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (2 + \kappa^* \vee \omega, \omega^* \vee \kappa + 2)^m$ *for all* $m \geqslant 3$.

Summary

If α *is an ordinal, then the following statements hold.*

$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (5, \omega^* + \omega)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (5, \omega + \omega^*)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (7, \omega^* + \omega \vee \omega + \omega^*)^4.$$



Figure: The functions $\Delta, \delta, \ell, h, \gamma_h$ and β_h

Lemma

For all ordinals α every sextuple within $\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex} \rangle$ contains a cactus, lilac, sinistral bouquet, dextral olivillo or dextral grape (and, by symmetry, a cactus, lilac, dextral bouquet, sinistral olivillo or sinistral grape).

Lemma

Suppose that α is an infinite ordinal and $h : \alpha \hookrightarrow \#\alpha$ is an injection. For every $X \in [{}^{\alpha}2]^{\omega^*\omega}$, at least one of the following conditions hold.

1. *There is a candelabrum $\vec{x} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3\}_{<_{lex}} \in [X]^4$ with $\beta_h(x_1, x_2) < \min(\beta_h(x_0, x_1), \beta_h(x_2, x_3))$.*
2. *There is a sinistral comb $\vec{x} = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_4\}_{<_{lex}} \in [X]^4$ with $\beta_h(x_1, x_2) < \beta_h(x_0, x_1) < \beta_h(x_2, x_3)$.*


ε δ γ
$b(\delta) < b(\varepsilon) < b(\gamma)$
ε δ γ
$b(\varepsilon) < b(\gamma) < b(\delta)$
ε δ γ
$b(\varepsilon) \notin b(\gamma) \setminus b(\delta)$
ε δ γ
$b(\gamma) \notin b(\delta) \setminus b(\epsilon)$
ε δ γ
$b(\gamma) < b(\delta) < b(\varepsilon)$
ε δ γ
$b(\gamma) < \min(b(\delta), b(\varepsilon))$
ε δ γ
$b(\gamma) > \min(b(\delta), b(\varepsilon))$
ε δ γ
$b(\delta) \notin b(\varepsilon) \setminus b(\gamma)$
δ ε γ
$b(\gamma) < \min(b(\delta), b(\varepsilon))$
δ ε γ
$b(\gamma) > \min(b(\delta), b(\varepsilon))$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite initial ordinal and $\alpha < \kappa^+$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee \eta, 5)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee (\kappa 2)^* \vee \kappa 2 \vee \kappa+2+\kappa^*, 5)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \kappa+\omega \vee \omega^*+\kappa^*, 6)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega+\omega^* \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2, 6)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\kappa^*+\kappa \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa 2 \vee \omega\omega^*, 6)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+\omega, 7)^4\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\kappa^*+\kappa \vee \kappa+2 \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \eta, 7)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \omega+\omega^* \vee (\kappa 2)^* \vee \kappa 2, 8)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\kappa^*+\omega \vee \omega^*+\kappa \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee \omega\omega^* \vee \omega^*\omega, 8)^4,\\
\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle &\not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \omega+\omega^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee 2+\kappa^*, 9)^4.
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem

If κ is an infinite initial ordinal and $\alpha < \kappa^+$, then

$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee \eta, 5)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee (\kappa 2)^* \vee \kappa 2 \vee \kappa+2+\kappa^*, 5)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \kappa+\omega \vee \omega^*+\kappa^*, 6)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega+\omega^* \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2, 6)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\kappa^*+\kappa \vee (\kappa 2)^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee \omega^*\omega, 6)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \omega^*+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2, 7)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\kappa^*+\kappa \vee \kappa+2 \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \eta, 7)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \omega+\omega^* \vee (\kappa 2)^* \vee \kappa 2, 8)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\kappa^*+\omega \vee \omega^*+\kappa \vee 2+\kappa^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee \omega\omega^* \vee \omega^*\omega, 8)^4,$$
$$\langle {}^{\alpha}2, <_{lex}\rangle \not\to (\omega^*+\omega \vee \omega+\omega^* \vee \kappa+2 \vee 2+\kappa^*, 9)^4.$$

Question

Which partition relations of the form

$$\langle {}^{\kappa}2, <_{lex} \rangle \rightarrow \left(\bigvee_{\nu<\lambda} K_\nu, \bigvee_{\nu<\mu} L_\nu \right)^n$$

for $n \geqslant 3$ *are (jointly) consistent with* ZF $(+\,\mathsf{DC}_\kappa)$*, and which of the relations for* $\kappa = \omega_1$ *are provable in the theories* $\mathsf{ZF} + \mathsf{AD} + [V = L(\mathbb{R})]$ *and* $\mathsf{ZF} + \mathsf{DC} + \mathsf{AD}_{\mathbb{R}}$*?*

Conjecture (W.)

Assume the Axiom of Determinacy. Then $\langle {}^{\omega_1}2, <_{lex}\rangle \rightarrow (6, \omega + \omega^* \vee \omega^* + \omega)^4$.

Thank you!

Richard Peter Stanley.
Catalan Numbers.
Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2015.

Michael J Moore, Pamela S Soltis, Charles D Bell, J Gordon Burleigh and Douglas E Soltis.
Phylogenetic analysis of 83 plastid genes further resolves the early diversification of eudicots.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(10):4623–4628, 2010.

Birgitta Bremer, Kåre Bremer, Mark Chase, Mike Fay, James Reveal, Douglas E Soltis, Pamela S Soltis and Peter Stevens.
An update of the angiosperm phylogeny group classification for the orders and families of flowering plants: Apg iii.
Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society, 2009.

Stephen Craig Jackson and Russell May.
The strong partition relation on ω_1 revisited.
MLQ Math. Log. Q., 50(1):33–40, 2004, doi:10.1002/malq.200310073, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/malq.200310073.

Akihiro Kanamori.
The higher infinite.
Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2003.
Large cardinals in set theory from their beginnings.

Peter John Hilton and Jean Pedersen.
Catalan numbers, their generalization, and their uses.
Math. Intelligencer, 13(2):64–75, 1991, doi:10.1007/BF03024089, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF03024089.

Stephen Craig Jackson.
A new proof of the strong partition relation on ω_1.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 320(2):737–745, 1990, doi:10.2307/2001700, http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2001700.

Andreas Raphael Blass.
A partition theorem for perfect sets.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 82(2):271–277, 1981, doi:10.2307/2043323.

Alexander Sotirios Kechris, Eugene Meyer Kleinberg, Yiannis Nicholas Moschovakis and William Hugh Woodin.
The axiom of determinacy, strong partition properties and nonsingular measures.
In *Cabal Seminar 77–79 (Proc. Caltech-UCLA Logic Sem., 1977–79)*, volume 839 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 75–99. Springer, Berlin, 1981, doi:10.1007/BFb0090236.

Alan D. Taylor.
Partitions of pairs of reals.
Fund. Math., 99(1):51–59, 1978, http://matwbn.icm.edu.pl/tresc.php?wyd=1&tom=99.

Adrian Richard David Mathias.
Happy families.
Ann. Math. Logic, 12(1):59–111, 1977.

Karel Libor Prikry.
Determinateness and partitions.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 54:303–306, 1976, http://www.jstor.org/stable/2040805.

Paul Erdős, Eric Charles Milner and Richard Rado.
Partition relations for η_α-sets.
J. London Math. Soc. (2), 3:193–204, 1971, http://www.renyi.hu/~p_erdos/1971-16.pdf.

Jan Mycielski.
Algebraic independence and measure.
Fund. Math., 61:165–169, 1967.

Arthur H. Kruse.
A note on the partition calculus of P. Erdős and R. Rado.
J. London Math. Soc., 40:137–148, 1965, http://jlms.oxfordjournals.org/content/s1-40/1/137.full.pdf.

Waclaw Franciszek Sierpiński.
Sur un problème de la théorie des relations.
Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2), 2(3):285–287, 1933, http://www.numdam.org/item?id=ASNSP_1933_2_2_3_285_0.