

Killing P-points made simple

David Chodounský

Institute of Mathematics CAS

joint work with Osvaldo Guzmán and Jonathan Verner

P-points

Definition

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is a *P-point* if for every $\{U_i \mid i \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \setminus U_i$ is finite for each $i \in \omega$.

P-points do exist if

- (1956) CH (W. Rudin)
- (1970) MA (Booth)
- (1976) $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$ (Ketonen)
- (2004) $\diamond(\mathfrak{t})$ (Moore–Hrušák–Džamonja)

P-points

Definition

An ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω is a *P-point* if for every $\{U_i \mid i \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \setminus U_i$ is finite for each $i \in \omega$.

P-points do exist if

(1956) CH (W. Rudin)

(1970) MA (Booth)

(1976) $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$ (Ketonen)

(2004) $\diamond(\mathfrak{t})$ (Moore–Hrušák–Džamonja)

Non-existence

(1977) There is a generic extension with no P-points (Shelah).

Killing P-points

Shelah's Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a P-point.

$\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{U})^\omega$ forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point
(in any further bounding extension).

Killing P-points

Shelah's Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a P-point.

$\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{U})^\omega$ forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point
(in any further bounding extension).

The conditions of the *Silver forcing* **PS** are partial functions $p; \omega \rightarrow 2$
with co-infinite domain, the order is reversed inclusion.

Killing P-points

Shelah's Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a P-point.

$\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{U})^\omega$ forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point (in any further bounding extension).

The conditions of the *Silver forcing* **PS** are partial functions $p; \omega \rightarrow 2$ with co-infinite domain, the order is reversed inclusion.

Proposition (Ch-Guzmán)

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point (in any further Sacks property extension).

Proposition (Ch–Guzmán)

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P -point
(in any further Sacks property extension).

Corollaries

- ▶ There is a *nice* model with no P -points.

Proposition (Ch–Guzmán)

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P -point
(in any further Sacks property extension).

Corollaries

- ▶ There is a *nice* model with no P -points.
- ▶ It is consistent that there are no P -points and the continuum \mathfrak{c} is arbitrarily large.

Proposition (Ch–Guzmán)

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point
(in any further Sacks property extension).

Corollaries

- ▶ There is a *nice* model with no P-points.
- ▶ It is consistent that there are no P-points and the continuum \mathfrak{c} is arbitrarily large.
- ▶ The inequality $\text{cof } \mathcal{N} < \text{cov } v_0$ implies that there are no P-points.

$$v_0 = \{ A \subset 2^\omega \mid \forall p \in \mathbf{PS} \exists q \in \mathbf{PS}, q < p, [q] \cap A = \emptyset \}$$

Proposition (Ch–Guzmán)

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P -point
(in any further Sacks property extension).

Corollaries

- ▶ There is a *nice* model with no P -points.
- ▶ It is consistent that there are no P -points and the continuum \mathfrak{c} is arbitrarily large.
- ▶ The inequality $\text{cof } \mathcal{N} < \text{cov } v_0$ implies that there are no P -points.

$$v_0 = \{ A \subset 2^\omega \mid \forall p \in \mathbf{PS} \exists q \in \mathbf{PS}, q < p, [q] \cap A = \emptyset \}$$

Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS $^\omega$ forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P -point.

Non-extendibility

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter (base).

How to prove that \mathcal{U} is not extendible to a P -point?

Non-extendibility

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter (base).

How to prove that \mathcal{U} is not extendible to a P -point?

Find sequence of finite partitions of ω .

$\langle \mathcal{D}_n = \langle D_n^0, D_n^1, \dots, D_n^{\ell(n)} \rangle \mid n \in \omega \rangle$

such that for each selector $c: \omega \rightarrow \omega$

each pseudo-intersection of $\langle D_n^{c(n)} \rangle$ belongs to \mathcal{U}^* .

Non-extendibility

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter (base).

How to prove that \mathcal{U} is not extendible to a P -point?

Find sequence of finite partitions of ω .

$\langle \mathcal{D}_n = \langle D_n^0, D_n^1, \dots, D_n^{\ell(n)} \rangle \mid n \in \omega \rangle$

such that for each selector $c: \omega \rightarrow \omega$

each pseudo-intersection of $\langle D_n^{c(n)} \rangle$ belongs to \mathcal{U}^* .

How to show that each pseudo-intersection of a sequence $\langle D_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$ is in \mathcal{U}^ ?*

Non-extendibility

Let \mathcal{U} be a filter (base).

How to prove that \mathcal{U} is not extendible to a P -point?

Find sequence of finite partitions of ω .

$\langle \mathcal{D}_n = \langle D_n^0, D_n^1, \dots, D_n^{\ell(n)} \rangle \mid n \in \omega \rangle$

such that for each selector $c: \omega \rightarrow \omega$

each pseudo-intersection of $\langle D_n^{c(n)} \rangle$ belongs to \mathcal{U}^* .

How to show that each pseudo-intersection of a sequence $\langle D_n \mid n \in \omega \rangle$ is in \mathcal{U}^ ?*

For each $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ find an increasing sequence $\langle b_n \in \omega \mid n \in \omega \rangle$

such that $f(n) < b_n$ and $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} (D_n \cap [b_n, b_{n+1})) \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

The conditions of the *Silver forcing* **PS** are partial functions $p; \omega \rightarrow 2$ with co-infinite domain, the order is reversed inclusion.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS ^{ω} *forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point.*

The conditions of the *Silver forcing* **PS** are partial functions $p; \omega \rightarrow 2$ with co-infinite domain, the order is reversed inclusion.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS ^{ω} *forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point.*

Fact

*The forcing **PS** ^{ω} is proper and has the Sacks property.*

I.e. for every $p \in \mathbf{PS}$ and for every \dot{c} such that $p \Vdash \dot{c} \in \omega^\omega$ there is $q \leq p$ and $\{ C_n \in [\omega]^{n+1} \mid n \in \omega \}$ such that $q \Vdash \dot{c}(n) \in C_n$.

The conditions of the *Silver forcing* **PS** are partial functions $p; \omega \rightarrow 2$ with co-infinite domain, the order is reversed inclusion.

Proposition

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-principal ultrafilter.

PS ^{ω} *forces that \mathcal{U} cannot be extended to a P-point.*

Fact

*The forcing **PS** ^{ω} is proper and has the Sacks property.*

i.e. for every $p \in \mathbf{PS}$ and for every \dot{c} such that $p \Vdash \dot{c} \in \omega^\omega$ there is $q \leq p$ and $\{ C_n \in [\omega]^{n+1} \mid n \in \omega \}$ such that $q \Vdash \dot{c}(n) \in C_n$.

Lemma

If $m > n^2$, then for each $C \in [m]^n$ there exists $s \in m$ such that $C \cap (C - \{s\}) = \emptyset \pmod{m}$.

Independent reals

For $r \in [\omega]^\omega$ let $\mathbf{I}_n(r) = \{x \in \omega \mid |x \cap r| = n\}$

Independent reals

For $r \in [\omega]^\omega$ let $\mathbf{I}_n(r) = \{x \in \omega \mid |x \cap r| = n\}$

$$D_n^k(r) = \bigcup \{ \mathbf{I}_j(r) \mid j \equiv k \pmod n \}$$

Independent reals

For $r \in [\omega]^\omega$ let $\mathbf{I}_n(r) = \{x \in \omega \mid |x \cap r| = n\}$

$$D_n^k(r) = \bigcup \{ \mathbf{I}_j(r) \mid j \equiv k \pmod n \}$$

Fact

Let $k < n \neq 1$, and r be a Silver generic real over V .

Then $D_n^k(r)$ is an independent real over V .

i.e. $D_n^k(r) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for each $A \in [\omega]^\omega \cap V$.