

Open Colorings, Perfect Sets and Games on Generalized Baire Spaces

Dorottya Sziráki

MTA Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics

SETTOP 2018

Novi Sad Conference in Set Theory and General Topology

July 5, 2018

Generalized Baire spaces

Let κ be an uncountable cardinal such that $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$.

The κ -Baire space ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is the set of functions $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, with the **bounded topology**: basic open sets are of the form

$$N_s = \{f \in {}^\kappa\kappa : s \subset f\}, \quad \text{where } s \in {}^{<\kappa}\kappa.$$

Generalized Baire spaces

Let κ be an uncountable cardinal such that $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$.

The κ -**Baire space** ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is the set of functions $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, with the **bounded topology**: basic open sets are of the form

$$N_s = \{f \in {}^\kappa\kappa : s \subset f\}, \quad \text{where } s \in {}^{<\kappa}\kappa.$$

The κ -**Cantor space** ${}^\kappa 2$ is defined similarly.

Generalized Baire spaces

Let κ be an uncountable cardinal such that $\kappa^{<\kappa} = \kappa$.

The κ -**Baire space** ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is the set of functions $f : \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$, with the **bounded topology**: basic open sets are of the form

$$N_s = \{f \in {}^\kappa\kappa : s \subset f\}, \quad \text{where } s \in {}^{<\kappa}\kappa.$$

The κ -**Cantor space** ${}^\kappa 2$ is defined similarly.

κ -Borel sets: close the family of open subsets under intersections and unions of size $\leq \kappa$ and complementation.

Open coloring axioms for subsets of the κ -Baire space

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$.

$\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$:

Suppose $[X]^2 = R_0 \cup R_1$ is an **open** partition

(i.e. $\{(x, y) : \{x, y\} \in R_0\}$ is an open subset of $X \times X$).

Then either X is a union of κ -many R_1 -homogeneous sets, or there exists an R_0 -homogeneous set of size κ^+ .

Open coloring axioms for subsets of the κ -Baire space

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$.

$\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$:

Suppose $[X]^2 = R_0 \cup R_1$ is an **open** partition

(i.e. $\{(x, y) : \{x, y\} \in R_0\}$ is an open subset of $X \times X$).

Then either X is a union of κ -many R_1 -homogeneous sets, or there exists an R_0 -homogeneous set of size κ^+ .

$\text{OCA}_\kappa^*(X)$:

If $[X]^2 = R_0 \cup R_1$ is an open partition,

then either X is a union of κ -many R_1 -homogeneous sets, or X

has a κ -perfect R_0 -homogeneous subset,

Open coloring axioms for subsets of the κ -Baire space

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$.

$\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$:

Suppose $[X]^2 = R_0 \cup R_1$ is an **open** partition

(i.e. $\{(x, y) : \{x, y\} \in R_0\}$ is an open subset of $X \times X$).

Then either X is a union of κ -many R_1 -homogeneous sets, or there exists an R_0 -homogeneous set of size κ^+ .

$\text{OCA}_\kappa^*(X)$:

If $[X]^2 = R_0 \cup R_1$ is an open partition,

then either X is a union of κ -many R_1 -homogeneous sets, or X **has a κ -perfect R_0 -homogeneous subset**,

i.e., there is a continuous embedding $f : {}^\kappa 2 \rightarrow X$

whose image is R_0 -homogeneous.

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^*(X)$ for κ -analytic X

κ -analytic or $\Sigma_1^1(\kappa)$ sets: continuous images of κ -Borel sets;
equivalently: continuous images of closed sets.

Theorem (Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^*(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa))$ holds in $V[G]$.

$OCA_{\kappa}^*(X)$ for κ -analytic X

κ -analytic or $\Sigma_1^1(\kappa)$ sets: continuous images of κ -Borel sets;
equivalently: continuous images of closed sets.

Theorem (Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then

$OCA_{\kappa}^*(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa))$ holds in $V[G]$.

- ▶ In the classical setting (when $\kappa = \omega$), $OCA^*(\Sigma_1^1)$ holds in ZFC (Feng, 1993).

$\text{OCA}_\kappa^*(X)$ for κ -analytic X

κ -analytic or $\Sigma_1^1(\kappa)$ sets: continuous images of κ -Borel sets;
equivalently: continuous images of closed sets.

Theorem (Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then

$$\text{OCA}_\kappa^*(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa)) \text{ holds in } V[G].$$

- ▶ In the classical setting (when $\kappa = \omega$), $\text{OCA}^*(\Sigma_1^1)$ holds in ZFC (Feng, 1993).
- ▶ For uncountable $\kappa = \kappa^{<\kappa}$, $\text{OCA}_\kappa^*(\Sigma_1^1(\kappa))$ is equiconsistent with the existence of an inaccessible $\lambda > \kappa$ by our result.

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^*(X)$ for definable $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$

Work in progress

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^(X)$ holds for all $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$ definable from an element of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$.*

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^*(X)$ for definable $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$

Work in progress

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

$\text{OCA}_{\kappa}^(X)$ holds for all $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$ definable from an element of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$.*

- ▶ The classical version of this result is due to [Feng \(1993\)](#).

$OCA_{\kappa}^*(X)$ for definable $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$

Work in progress

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is inaccessible and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

$OCA_{\kappa}^(X)$ holds for all $X \subseteq {}^{\kappa}\kappa$ definable from an element of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$.*

- ▶ The classical version of this result is due to [Feng \(1993\)](#).
- ▶ The κ -perfect set property holds for such subsets X ([Schlicht, 2017](#)).

Question

Let OCA_κ say: “ $\text{OCA}_\kappa(X)$ holds for all $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ ”.

Is OCA_κ consistent?

If so, how does it influence the structure of the κ -Baire space?

Perfectness for the κ -Baire space

A subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is **closed** if and only if it is the set of branches

$$[T] = \{x \in {}^\kappa\kappa : x \upharpoonright \alpha \in T \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of a subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$.

Perfectness for the κ -Baire space

A subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is **closed** if and only if it is the set of branches

$$[T] = \{x \in {}^\kappa\kappa : x \upharpoonright \alpha \in T \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of a subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$.

Definition

A subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ is a **strong κ -perfect tree** if

Perfectness for the κ -Baire space

A subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is **closed** if and only if it is the set of branches

$$[T] = \{x \in {}^\kappa\kappa : x \upharpoonright \alpha \in T \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of a subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$.

Definition

A subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ is a **strong κ -perfect tree** if T is $<\kappa$ -closed

Perfectness for the κ -Baire space

A subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is **closed** if and only if it is the set of branches

$$[T] = \{x \in {}^\kappa\kappa : x \upharpoonright \alpha \in T \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of a subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$.

Definition

A subtree T of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$ is a **strong κ -perfect tree** if T is $<\kappa$ -closed and every node of T extends to a splitting node.

Perfectness for the κ -Baire space

A subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ is **closed** if and only if it is the set of branches

$$[T] = \{x \in {}^\kappa\kappa : x \upharpoonright \alpha \in T \text{ for all } \alpha < \kappa\}$$

of a subtree T of $<^\kappa\kappa$.

Definition

A subtree T of $<^\kappa\kappa$ is a **strong κ -perfect tree** if T is $<^\kappa$ -closed and every node of T extends to a splitting node.

A set $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is a **strong κ -perfect set** if $X = [T]$ for a strong κ -perfect tree T .

Väänänen's perfect set game

Perfectness was first generalized for the κ -Baire space by Väänänen, based on the following game.

Definition (Väänänen)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$, let $x_0 \in X$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$. Then $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(X, x_0)$ is the following game.

I		U_1	...		U_α	...	
II	x_0		x_1	...		x_α	...

Väänänen's perfect set game

Perfectness was first generalized for the κ -Baire space by Väänänen, based on the following game.

Definition (Väänänen)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$, let $x_0 \in X$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$. Then $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(X, x_0)$ is the following game.

I		U_1	...		U_α	...	
II	x_0		x_1	...		x_α	...

I plays a basic open sets U_α of X such that $U_\alpha \subsetneq U_\beta$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, and $x_\beta \in U_{\beta+1}$ at successor rounds $\alpha = \beta + 1$, and $U_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$ at limit rounds α .

II responds with $x_\alpha \in U_\alpha$ such that $x_\alpha \neq x_\beta$ for all $\beta < \alpha$.

Player **II** wins the run if she can make all her γ moves legally.

Väänänen's perfect set game

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

Definition (Väänänen)

X is γ -**perfect** if **II** wins $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(X, x_0)$ for all $x_0 \in X$.

Väänänen's perfect set game

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

Definition (Väänänen)

X is **γ -perfect** if **II** wins $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(X, x_0)$ for all $x_0 \in X$.

X is **γ -scattered** if **I** wins $\mathcal{V}_\gamma(X, x_0)$ for all $x_0 \in X$.

κ -perfect and κ -scattered trees

Definition

Let T be a subtree of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$, and let $t_0 \in T$. Then $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ is the following game.

I		i_1		\dots		i_α		\dots
II	t_1^0, t_1^1		\dots		t_α^0, t_α^1		\dots	

II plays $t_\alpha^0, t_\alpha^1 \in T$ such that $t_\alpha^0 \perp t_\alpha^1$ and $t_\alpha^i \supset t_\beta^{i_\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and $i < 2$. Then **I** chooses, by playing $i_\alpha < 2$.

(Thus, **II** plays a pair of disjoint basic open subsets of $[T]$ which are contained in the previously chosen basic open sets).

κ -perfect and κ -scattered trees

Definition

Let T be a subtree of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$, and let $t_0 \in T$. Then $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ is the following game.

I		i_1		\dots		i_α		\dots
II	t_1^0, t_1^1		\dots		t_α^0, t_α^1		\dots	

II plays $t_\alpha^0, t_\alpha^1 \in T$ such that $t_\alpha^0 \perp t_\alpha^1$ and $t_\alpha^i \supset t_\beta^{i_\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and $i < 2$. Then **I** chooses, by playing $i_\alpha < 2$.

(Thus, **II** plays a pair of disjoint basic open subsets of $[T]$ which are contained in the previously chosen basic open sets).

Player **II** wins the run if she can make all her κ moves legally.

κ -perfect and κ -scattered trees

Definition

Let T be a subtree of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$, and let $t_0 \in T$. Then $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ is the following game.

I		i_1		\dots		i_α		\dots
II	t_1^0, t_1^1		\dots		t_α^0, t_α^1		\dots	

II plays $t_\alpha^0, t_\alpha^1 \in T$ such that $t_\alpha^0 \perp t_\alpha^1$ and $t_\alpha^i \supset t_\beta^{i_\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and $i < 2$. Then **I** chooses, by playing $i_\alpha < 2$.

(Thus, **II** plays a pair of disjoint basic open subsets of $[T]$ which are contained in the previously chosen basic open sets).

Player **II** wins the run if she can make all her κ moves legally.

T is a κ -perfect tree if **II** wins $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ for all $t_0 \in T$.

κ -perfect and κ -scattered trees

Definition

Let T be a subtree of ${}^{<\kappa}\kappa$, and let $t_0 \in T$. Then $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ is the following game.

I		i_1		\dots		i_α		\dots
II	t_1^0, t_1^1		\dots		t_α^0, t_α^1		\dots	

II plays $t_\alpha^0, t_\alpha^1 \in T$ such that $t_\alpha^0 \perp t_\alpha^1$ and $t_\alpha^i \supset t_\beta^{i_\beta}$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ and $i < 2$. Then **I** chooses, by playing $i_\alpha < 2$.

(Thus, **II** plays a pair of disjoint basic open subsets of $[T]$ which are contained in the previously chosen basic open sets).

Player **II** wins the run if she can make all her κ moves legally.

T is a κ -**perfect tree** if **II** wins $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ for all $t_0 \in T$.

T is a κ -**scattered tree** if **I** wins $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^*(T, t_0)$ for all $t_0 \in T$.

κ -perfect sets and trees

Proposition

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$. The following are equivalent.

1. X is a κ -perfect set.
2. X is a union of strong κ -perfect sets.
3. $X = [T]$ for a κ -perfect tree $[T]$.

κ -perfect sets and trees

Proposition

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$. The following are equivalent.

1. X is a κ -perfect set.
2. X is a union of strong κ -perfect sets.
3. $X = [T]$ for a κ -perfect tree $[T]$.

This may not hold for κ -scattered sets and trees.

γ -perfect sets and trees

Suppose $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) sets are defined using Väänänen's game.

γ -perfect sets and trees

Suppose $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) sets are defined using Väänänen's game.
- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) trees can be defined using a strong cut-and-choose game $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ (Galgon, 2016).

γ -perfect sets and trees

Suppose $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) sets are defined using Väänänen's game.
- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) trees can be defined using a strong cut-and-choose game $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ (Galgon, 2016).
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ is easier for player **I** and harder for player **II** to win than $\mathcal{G}_\gamma^*(T, t_0)$.

γ -perfect sets and trees

Suppose $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) sets are defined using Väänänen's game.
- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) trees can be defined using a strong cut-and-choose game $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ (Galgon, 2016).
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ is easier for player **I** and harder for player **II** to win than $\mathcal{G}_\gamma^*(T, t_0)$.

Proposition

In the $\gamma = \kappa$ case, the games $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(T, t_0)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^(T, t_0)$ are equivalent.*

γ -perfect sets and trees

Suppose $\omega \leq \gamma \leq \kappa$.

- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) sets are defined using Väänänen's game.
- ▶ γ -perfect (and γ -scattered) trees can be defined using a strong cut-and-choose game $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ (Galgon, 2016).
- ▶ $\mathcal{G}_\gamma(T, t_0)$ is easier for player **I** and harder for player **II** to win than $\mathcal{G}_\gamma^*(T, t_0)$.

Proposition

In the $\gamma = \kappa$ case, the games $\mathcal{G}_\kappa(T, t_0)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\kappa^(T, t_0)$ are equivalent.*

Thus, the two games lead to equivalent definitions of κ -perfectness and κ -scatteredness for trees.

γ -perfect sets and trees when $\gamma < \kappa$

Theorem (Sz.)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma < \kappa$.

1. If X is a γ -perfect set, then $X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .

γ -perfect sets and trees when $\gamma < \kappa$

Theorem (Sz.)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma < \kappa$.

1. If X is a γ -perfect set, then $X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .
2. If κ is weakly compact and $X \subseteq {}^{<\kappa} 2$, then

X is a γ -perfect set $\iff X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .

γ -perfect sets and trees when $\gamma < \kappa$

Theorem (Sz.)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma < \kappa$.

1. If X is a γ -perfect set, then $X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .
2. If κ is weakly compact and $X \subseteq {}^{<\kappa} 2$, then

X is a γ -perfect set $\iff X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .

More generally: if κ has the tree property and T is a κ -tree, then

$[T]$ is a γ -perfect set $\iff T$ is a γ -perfect tree.

γ -perfect sets and trees when $\gamma < \kappa$

Theorem (Sz.)

Let $X \subseteq {}^\kappa \kappa$ and let $\omega \leq \gamma < \kappa$.

1. If X is a γ -perfect set, then $X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .
2. If κ is weakly compact and $X \subseteq {}^{<\kappa} 2$, then

X is a γ -perfect set $\iff X = [T]$ for a γ -perfect tree T .

More generally: if κ has the tree property and T is a κ -tree, then

$[T]$ is a γ -perfect set $\iff T$ is a γ -perfect tree.

3. Analogue of these statements for “generalized Cantor-Bendixson ranks” for subsets of ${}^\kappa \kappa$ and for subtrees of ${}^{<\kappa} \kappa$.

Generalized Cantor-Bendixson hierarchies can be defined for subsets of the κ -Baire space and for subtrees of ${}^{<\kappa} \kappa$, using modifications of Väänänen’s and Galgon’s games.

Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem

Proposition (Sz.)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The κ -perfect set property for closed subsets of ${}^\kappa\kappa$
(every closed subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of size $> \kappa$ has a κ -perfect subset).*

Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem

Proposition (Sz.)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The κ -perfect set property for closed subsets of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$*
(every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ of size $> \kappa$ has a κ -perfect subset).
2. *Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem:*
every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ is the (disjoint) union of
a κ -perfect set and a κ -scattered set, which is of size $\leq \kappa$.

Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem

Proposition (Sz.)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The κ -perfect set property for closed subsets of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$*
(every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ of size $> \kappa$ has a κ -perfect subset).
 2. *Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem:*
every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ is the (disjoint) union of
a κ -perfect set and a κ -scattered set, which is of size $\leq \kappa$.
- Väänänen (1991) showed that (2) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.

Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem

Proposition (Sz.)

The following statements are equivalent:

1. *The κ -perfect set property for closed subsets of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ (every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ of size $> \kappa$ has a κ -perfect subset).*
 2. *Väänänen's generalized Cantor-Bendixson theorem:*
every closed subset of ${}^{\kappa}\kappa$ is the (disjoint) union of a κ -perfect set and a κ -scattered set, which is of size $\leq \kappa$.
- ▶ Väänänen (1991) showed that (2) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.
 - ▶ Galgon (2016) showed that (2) holds after Lévy-collapsing an inaccessible $\lambda > \kappa$ to κ^+ .

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

every subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of cardinality κ^+
contains a κ -dense in itself subset. (1)

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

every subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of cardinality κ^+
contains a κ -dense in itself subset. (1)

Väänänen (1991) showed that (1) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

Theorem (Schlicht, Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is weakly compact and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

every subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of cardinality κ^+
contains a κ -dense in itself subset. (1)

Väänänen (1991) showed that (1) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

Theorem (Schlicht, Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is weakly compact and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

every subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of cardinality κ^+
contains a κ -dense in itself subset. (1)

Väänänen (1991) showed that (1) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.

Remark: The following are equivalent for any $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$.

- ▶ X contains a κ -dense in itself subset.
- ▶ X contains a subset whose closure is a **strong** κ -perfect set.

Density in itself for the κ -Baire space

Definition

A subset $Y \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$ is κ -dense in itself if \overline{Y} is κ -perfect.

Theorem (Schlicht, Sz.)

If $\lambda > \kappa$ is weakly compact and G is $\text{Col}(\kappa, < \lambda)$ -generic, then in $V[G]$,

every subset of ${}^\kappa\kappa$ of cardinality κ^+
contains a κ -dense in itself subset. (1)

Väänänen (1991) showed that (1) is consistent relative to the existence of a measurable $\lambda > \kappa$.

Remark: The following are equivalent for any $X \subseteq {}^\kappa\kappa$.

- ▶ X contains a κ -dense in itself subset.
- ▶ X contains a subset whose closure is a **strong** κ -perfect set.
- ▶ Player **II** wins Väänänen's game $\mathcal{V}_\kappa(X, x)$ for some $x \in X$.

Thank you!